

Agenda Date: 08/24/16

Agenda Item: IVB

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314 Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 <u>www.nj.gov/bpu/</u>

		CABLE TELEVISION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT PETITION OF UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY, INC., D/B/A CENTURYLINK AND DISHNET WIRELINE, LLC. FOR APPROVAL OF A RESALE AGREEMENT)))	ORDER APPROVING RESALE AGREEMENT
)	DOCKET NO. TO16050394

Parties of Record:

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director, New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel **Zsuzsanna E. Benedek, Esq.**, United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a Centurylink **Brian McIntyre,** dishNET Wireline

BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated May 3, 2016, United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink ("CenturyLink"), a New Jersey corporation, and dishNET Wireline, LLC, ("dishNET"), (jointly, "the Parties"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56, codified in scattered sections of 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §151 <u>et seq.</u> ("Act"), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") a joint application ("Application") for approval of a negotiated Resale agreement, entitled "Resale Agreement By and Between United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink and dishNET for the State of New Jersey" ("Agreement"). CenturyLink is an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") as defined by the Act with the duty to negotiate Resale agreements pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. <u>See</u> 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §251(c) and §251(h)(1). The Agreement sets forth the rates, terms and conditions under which CenturyLink will offer to dishNET telecommunications services for the purpose of resale.

CenturyLink and dishNET assert that the Agreement satisfies the requirements for Board approval because it does not discriminate against any other telecommunications carrier, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(i) and that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(ii).

The Agreement shall become effective on the date of Board approval, and continue for a period of 3 years after execution. The Agreement provides for post-termination interim services arrangements.

The New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel") submitted comments to the Board by letter dated May 11, 2016, stating it did not object to Board approval of the Agreement, subject to consideration of specific issues, conditions and recommendations. Specifically, Rate Counsel takes issue with paragraph 44, subsection 44.7.1 through 44.7.4 and 44.8, of the Agreement, governing the possible collection, increase, and use of a security deposit payable to CenturyLink by dishNET. Rate Counsel states that these terms present the possibility of discriminatory application against CLEC carriers at the sole discretion of CenturyLink.

CenturyLink responded by letter to the Board dated May 18, 2016, requesting approval of the Agreement without modification or revision, and claiming Rate Counsel failed to demonstrate that modification of the Agreement as it requested is lawful, just, or appropriate. CenturyLink contends none of the security deposit provisions of the underlying Agreement permit CenturyLink to require or increase deposits based upon the CLEC's investment grade or credit worthiness, as all carriers are treated alike with the opportunity to opt-in to an existing agreement or negotiate a new agreement. To require inclusion of language regarding security deposit provisions in this Agreement, CenturyLink argues, would be discriminatory against all current carriers having interconnection agreements with CenturyLink.

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(a)(1), an ILEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service, or network elements without regard to the standards set forth in 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §251(b) and (c). In addition, 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any Resale agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that: (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity . . . 47 <u>U.S.C.</u> §252(e)(2)(A).

The Board finds that Rate Counsel's objections to certain provisions of the Agreement are without merit, and accordingly, the Board declines to make modifications to the Agreement. The Board notes that the Agreement has been independently and voluntarily negotiated between two business entities, and is an integrated package that reflects a negotiated balance of many interests and concerns critical to both parties.

The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter reflect that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity and does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers that are non-parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board <u>FINDS</u> that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and <u>HEREBY APPROVES</u> the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement. In addition, approval does not constitute a determination concerning, nor shall the Board be bound by, any provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.

No agreement shall be read to limit the authority of the Board to review resale agreements or to require compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards under Section 252(e) of the Act. Subsequent amendments or modifications to the Agreement approved herein shall be subject to review and approval by the Board. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.

This Order shall be effective on September 3, 2016.

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

RICHARD S. MROZ PRESIDENT

JOSEPH L. FIÓRDALÍSO

∕CØMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

ATTEST

IRENE KIM AS **SECRETARY**

UPENDRA J. CHIVUKULA COMMISSIONER

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within document is a true copy of the original in the files of the Board of Public Utilities

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY, INC., D/B/A CENTURYLINK, AND DISHNET WIRELINE, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. TO16050394

SERVICE LIST

Zsuzsanna E. Benedek, Esq.
United Telephone Company of
New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a
CenturyLink
240 North Third Street, Suite 300
Harrisburg, PA 17101
Sue.benedek@centurylink.com

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director Division of Rate Counsel 140 Front Street, 4th Floor Post Office Box 003 Trenton, NJ 08625-0003 sbrand@rpa.state.nj.us

Maria Novas-Ruiz, Esq.
Division of Rate Counsel
140 Front Street, 4th Floor
Post Office Box 003
Trenton, NJ 08625-0003
mnovas@rpa.state.nj.us

Veronica Beke
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Law & Public
Safety
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street
Post Office Box 45029
Newark, NJ 07101-45029
veronica.beke@dol.lps.state.nj.us

Rocco Della Serra
Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications
Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314
Post Office Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350
Rocco.Della-Serra@bpu.state.nj.us

Jimarli Figueiredo
Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications
Board of Public Utilities
44 South Clinton Avenue, 3rd Floor, Suite 314
Post Office Box 350
Trenton, NJ 08625-0350
Jimarli figueiredo@bpu.state.nj.us

Brian McIntyre dishNET Wireline, LLC 9601 S. Merrdian Blvd Englewood, CO 80112 Brian.McIntyre@dish.com