

SUMMARY OF RESULTS – FIBI089




1. Stream Name:	Beden Brook
2. Sampling Date:	7/6/2009
3. Sampling Location:	Opossum Road
4. Municipality	Montgomery
5. County:	Somerset
•	10
6. Watershed Management Area:	
7. Contributing Drainage Area (Sq. Mi.):	26.5 2 Declarada
8. Electrofishing Gear:	2 Backpacks
9. FIBI Score and Rating*:	Round 1 – 40 (Good), Round 2 – 30 (Fair)
10. Habitat Score and Rating:	Round 1 – 120 (Sub-optimal), Round 2 – 133 (Sub-optimal)
11. Fishable Species Present:	Yes
12. Relevant AMNET ¹ Station Data:	
Proximity of FIBI station to AMNET station:	1 mi. US AN0401
AMNET Rating:	Round 2 – Fair, Round 3 – Good
13. Stream Chemistries:	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	7.35
Temperature ⁰ C.	18.38
pH	7.07
Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	296
14. Length of Stream Sampled:	150m
15. Water Clarity:	Clear
16. Average Open Forest Canopy:	27.8%
17. Discharge:	7.7 cfs
18. Substrate:	30% Gravel/Sand, 30% Cobble, 40% Bedrock
19. Habitat:	10% Riffle, 60% Run, 30% Pool
20. Snags:	Yes
21. Periphyton:	Moderate
22. Submerged Aquatic Vegetation:	No
23. Outfalls:	None
24. Number of Fish Species Identified:	20
25. Total Number of Fish Collected:	571
26. Number of Fish With Anomalies:	1

¹ AMNET is the acronym for the DEP's ambient benthic macroinvertebrate monitoring network – a series of 820 monitoring stations located throughout the state's waterways that collects data on the health of bottom dwelling stream fauna which in turn is used to assess general water quality
 ^{*} Round 1 data was scored prior to the FIBI metric recalibration.



FIBI089-R2

BEDEN BROOK OPOSSUM ROAD MONTGOMERY TWP. SOMERSET





FIBI089- Beden Brook @ Opossum Rd Date Sampled - 7/6/2009		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
# of Fish Species				Score 5	
# of Benthic Insectivorous Species (BI) (excluding White Suckers and Bullheads)				3	
# of Trout and Centrarchid Species (excluding Green Sunfish and Bluegill)				3	
# of Intolerant Species (IS)				1	
Proportion of Tolerant Individuals				1	
Proportion of Individuals as Generalists				3	
Proportion of Individuals as Insectivorous C	Syprinids			3	
Proportion of Individuals as Trout OR	*whichever	gives better	score		
Proportion of Individuals as Piscivores (exc	luding Ameri	can Eel)*		1	
# of Individuals in Sample (excluding Tolerant Species)				5	
Proportion of Individuals w/disease/anomali (excluding blackspot)	ies			5	
Total				30	

Stream Rating			
45-50	Excellent		
37-44	Good		
29-36	Fair		
10-28	Poor		

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FOR HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS Beden Brook (FIBI089) - 7/6/2009

1. Epifaunal Substrate /Available Cover fa of of ur st al (i, fa a) SCORE 14 2 2. Embeddedness g p by cc sp score 19 A 7 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes SCORE 14 A 2 4. Sediment Deposition Li 55 st by st 59 st SCORE 15 2	Optimal Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential i.e., logs/snags that are nonewer fall and not transient). 20 19 18 17 16 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 025% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 19 18 17 16 All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). 19 18 17 16 List evolve, or on enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 16 20 19 18 17 16	Suboptimal 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 11 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 13 12 11	Marginal 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 6 10 9 8 7 6 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow- shallow are missing, score low). 6 10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50- 80% for low-gradient) of the botom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Poor Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity / depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently: pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
1. Epifaunal Substrate /Available Cover fa of of ur st al (i, fa a) SCORE 14 2 2. Embeddedness g p by cc sp score 19 A 7 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes SCORE 14 A 7 4. Sediment Deposition Li 55 st by st 59 st SCORE 15 2	avorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, indercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new all and <u>not</u> transient). 20 19 18 17 16 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space 20 19 18 17 16 All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 16 20 19 18 17 16	well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).1514131211Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounder to fit fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).1211Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).1211Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient)1211I514131211Some new increase in bar formation in pools.of he bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.1211	habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 9 8 7 6 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow- shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50- 80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment depositis at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
2. Embeddedness SCORE 19 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes SCORE 14 4. Sediment Deposition SCORE 15 2 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche pace 20 19 18 17 16 All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, (ast-deep, fast-shallow). (slow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m) 20 19 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<220% for low-gradient	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 14 13 12 11	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. \\ \hline 10 9 8 7 6 \\ \hline 0nly 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). \\ \hline 10 9 8 7 6 \\ \hline 0nly 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if each shallow or slow-gravel, score low). \\ \hline 10 9 8 7 6 \\ \hline 0nly 2 0 0 \\ \hline 0 0 0 \\ \hline 0 0 \\$	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity / depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
2. Embeddedness pa by cc sp SCORE 19 2 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes pa fa (s SCORE 14 2 4. Sediment Deposition is SCORE 15 2	particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space 20 19 18 17 16 All 4 velocity/depth regimes oresent (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (slow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m) 20 19 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity / depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
SCORE 19 2 3. Velocity/Depth Regimes A pr (s) SCORE 14 2 4. Sediment Deposition Li state 5% state 5% state 2 SCORE 15 2	2019181716All 4 velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, [ast-deep, fast-shallow].[ast-deep, fast-shallow].[abw is < 0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m)2019181716Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.2019181720191817201918172019181720191817201918172019181720191817201918172019181720191817	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50-80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Dominated by 1 velocity / depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
3. Velocity/Depth Regimes [7] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6] [6	20 19 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	(if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 15 14 13 12 14 13 15 14 16 13 17 14 18 13 19 14 11 12 11 12 12 14 13 12 14 13 12 11	present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50-80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. moderate deposition of pools	regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
4. Sediment Deposition Li isi 59 stu by SCORE 15 2 W	Little or no enlargement of slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient treams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50- 80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
4. Sediment Deposition isis 59 stu SCORE 15 2	slands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16 Water reaches base of both lower	formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50- 80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment
W	Water reaches base of both lower			
			10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ch	channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
6. Channel Alteration ab	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. In stream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE 17 2	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or fm bends) be of 7) stu ccc be	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of booulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score or each bank) lit	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; ittle potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60- 100% of bank has erosional scars.
	Left 10 9 Right 10 9	8 7 6 8 7 6	5 4 3 5 4 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9. Bank Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	Wight 10 9 More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, under story shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	8 / 0 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50–70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	Left 10 9 Right 10 9	8 7 6 8 7 6	5 4 3 5 4 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
10. Riparian Vegetative W Zone Width (score each bank riparian la pa	Kight 10 9 Width of riparian zone >18 sectors; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, awns, or crops) have not mpacted zone. sectors are accessed and accesed and accesed and accessed and accesed and accessed and accessed	8 7 6 Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	5 4 5 Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	2 1 0 Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 4 (LB)	Left 10 9 Right 10 9	8 7 6 8 7 6	5 4 3 5 4 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

HABITAT SCORE 133

HABITAT SCORES	VALUE
OPTIMAL	160 - 200
SUB-OPTIMAL	110 - 159
MARGINAL	60 - 109
POOR	< 60

FIBI089-R2 Beden Brook

07/06/2009

Common Name	Scientific Name	Abundance	Size Range (inches)
American Eel	Anguilla rostrata	173	-
Banded Killifish	Fundulus diaphanus	95	-
Tessellated Darter	Etheostoma olmstedi	70	-
Common Shiner	Luxilus cornutus	54	-
Comely Shiner	Notropis amoenus	40	-
Satinfin Shiner	Cyprinella analostana	30	-
Redbreast Sunfish	Lepomis auritus	26	1.8 - 5.3
White Sucker	Catostomus commersoni	19	-
Swallowtail Shiner	Notropis procne	15	-
Longnose Dace	Rhinichthys cataractae	12	-
Blacknose Dace	Rhinichthys atratulus	7	-
Green Sunfish	Lepomis cyanellus	7	2.4 - 3.5
Rock Bass	Ambloplites rupestris	6	2.8 - 6.9
Creek Chub	Semotilus atromaculatus	5	-
Spottail Shiner	Notropis hudsonius	4	-
Yellow Bullhead	Ameiurus natalis	3	2.5 - 3.9
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus	2	2.4 - 3.0
Golden Shiner	Notemigonus crysoleucas	1	-
Redfin Pickerel	Esox americanus american	us 1	3.3 - 3.3
Fallfish	Semotilus corporalis	1	-



Common Shiner



Blacknose Dace



Longnose Dace



Bluegill



Banded Killifish



Tessellated Darter



White Sucker



Satinfin Shiner



Swallowtail Shiner



American Eel



Comely Shiner



Green Sunfish



Golden Shiner



Redbreast Sunfish



Spottail Shiner



Rockbass



Redfin Pickerel



Yellow Bullhead



Creek Chub



Fallfish