Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

Printouts of Workpapers Used to Prepare Estimates

Prepared by: David Von Hippel

Prepared for:

The New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate

December 16, 2005

Table of Contents

1.	SUMMARY OF APPROACH TO ESTIMATION OF RATE AND BILL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED RPS
2.	PRINTOUT OF EXCEL WORKBOOK USED TO PREPARE ESTIMATES6
2.1	GUIDE TO WORKBOOK CONTENTS WORKSHEET6
2.2	SUMMARY TABLES AND GRAPHS WORKSHEET7
2.3	RATE AND BILL IMPACTS WORKSHEET10
2.4	RPS GENERATION AND COSTS ESTIMATION WORKSHEET19
2.5	RPS AND SOLAR RPS GENERATION REQUIREMENTS ESTIMATE WORKSHEET26
2.6	RENEWABLE GENERATION ALTERNATIVES COSTS ESTIMATION WORKSHEET
2.7	COSTS OF CONVENTIONAL GENERATION WORKSHEET
2.8	ELECTRIC UTILITY DATA FOR NEW JERSEY WORKSHEET41
2.9	TARIFFS ESTIMATION WORKSHEET46
2.10	BIOMASS RESOURCE AND POTENTIAL GENERATION ESTIMATE WORKSHEET54
2.11	BACKGROUND DATA ON WIND POWER SYSTEM COSTS WORKSHEET
2.12	BACKGROUND DATA ON SOLAR PV SYSTEM COSTS WORKSHEET
2.13	HISTORICAL POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS WORKSHEET61
2.14	ANNUAL ENERGY OUTLOOK ENERGY USE FORECASTS FOR MID-ATLANTIC REGION WORKSHEET64
2.15	EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS FOR NEW JERSEY WORKSHEET

1. Summary of Approach to Estimation of Rate and Bill Impacts of Proposed RPS

This technical report presents and fully documents the estimation of the rate and bill impacts—and of selected other impacts such as air pollutant emissions—of the renewable portfolio standard (RPS) proposed for New Jersey. The overall approach has been to estimate the cost through 2020 of the solar and non-solar generation that would be required in each year under the RPS, estimate the cost of conventional generation avoided by the renewable generation included in the RPS, use those results to estimate the total net cost of the RPS, and then use the total net cost estimates to estimate the rate and bill impacts for different classes of electricity customers. A flow diagram that summarizes how data and results are used in preparing these estimates is provided below. The estimates themselves, the data and assumptions used to perform the estimates, and the data sources and calculations employed, are all detailed in the following pages of this "Workpapers" volume.

Within the overall approach outlined above, the estimation of rate and bill impacts includes the following elements:

- Estimates of the **solar and non-solar renewable generation required** under the RPS in each year (2004 through 2020 "Energy Years"), based on assumptions about the fractions of generation by each renewable source mandated in each year, on the growth in overall electricity demand (excluding that served by municipal utilities) in New Jersey, and on the assumed savings in electricity demand due to energy efficiency programs.
- Estimates of the current and future capacity, operating and (for biomass) fuel **costs of solar and non-solar renewable generation** options, differentiated by sector "host" for solar (residential, commercial, industrial, or "utility/merchant" categories), and onshore wind, offshore wind, and biomass-fueled generation for non-solar options. As with RPS requirements, these estimates and other estimates below are prepared by year, for energy years 2004 through 2020.
- Estimates of the average **Basic Generation Service (BGS) costs, and full tariff costs**, by sector, for current and future years, for use in computing the net costs of RPS requirements.
- Estimates of the **total and net** (of BGS) **costs of the RPS requirement** in each year, including estimates of electricity output by type of renewable generator, peak generation capacity by renewable electricity source, total generation costs, avoided generation costs, net RPS costs, and avoided air pollutant (Carbon Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide, and Nitrogen Oxides) emissions.
- Estimates of **total net RPS costs, and of RPS customer rate and bill impacts**, by sector, including costs of the Clean Energy Program and costs of utility lost revenue/lost margins due to net metering of customer-sited solar photovoltaic (PV) system output.
- **Supporting** these estimates are several **compilations of background data**, including data on current and future cost and performance of wind, solar PV, and biomass energy systems, data on population and employment projections, data on utility tariffs, sales, and revenues, and

projections of regional energy (Mid-Atlantic) use and other parameters from the US Department of Energy's Annual Energy Outlook (AEO2005).



In the workbook printouts that follow, with the exception of some parts of the Summary Tables and Graphs worksheet, most cells where values are either **calculated or imported from elsewhere in the workbook are shaded gray**. Also, some text is shaded gray, indicating that the text can change if different workbook settings are chosen. In several places in the workbook, "**switches**" have been inserted to allow the workbook user to change the way that some workbook results are calculated, that is, to change certain key settings. These switches are inactive in the printouts presented here, but are active in the Excel workbook itself. Switches use pull-down lists to make selection, and appear in the text that follows as a line of text, typically in smaller type, with a "down arrow" at the right. An example of a switch appears a few lines below the shaded text (starting "NOTE: RECs costs and rate impacts shown…") on page 9 of this document.

Assumptions and data sources used in this document are detailed in the "Notes and Sources" section found on the last page (or last few pages) of worksheets. The subsections of

section 2 of this document **correspond to each of the worksheets** contained in the overall workbook.

2. Printout of Excel Workbook Used to Prepare Estimates

2.1 Guide to Workbook Contents Worksheet

Estimate of Rate (RPS) Rules BOARD OF PUBLIC	Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard											
and Energy Efficience	<u>×</u>											
"Proposed Readopti	on With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4											
Proposed New Rules	3: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1											
(As described in BPU pro	oposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005,</u> (Lite 37 N.J.K. 3911))											
Prepared by:	David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005											
BUIDE TO WORKSHEETS WITHIN THIS WORKBOOK												
Worksheets (press	Worksheets (press											
buttons to access												
sheets)	Worksheet Contents											
Summaries	SUMMARY TABLES AND GRAPHS BASED ON ANALYSIS											
Rate&Bill_Impacts	ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS PER-UNIT COSTS AND RATE AND BILL IMPACTS BY YEAR											
RPS_Costs	ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS GENERATION AND COSTS BY TYPE AND YEAR											
RPS_Generation_Reqt	ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS GENERATION REQUIREMENTS BY YEAR											
Renewables_costs	ESTIMATE OF COSTS OF RENEWABLE GENERATION ALTERNATIVES											
BGS_costs	ESTIMATE OF COSTS OF CONVENTIONAL GENERATION											
Sales_Data	ELECTRIC UTILITY DATA FOR NEW JERSEY											
Tariffs	ROUGH ESTIMATE OF BASIC GENERATION (ENERGY) COMPONENT OF TARIFFS BY UTILITY AND BY CUSTOMER CLASS IN NEW JERSEY											
Biomass_fuels	BIOMASS RESOURCE AND POTENTIAL GENERATION ESTIMATE FOR NEW JERSEY											
Wind_Cost_Data	BACKGROUND DATA ON WIND POWER SYSTEM COSTS (CURRENT AND FUTURE)											
Solar_Cost_Data	BACKGROUND DATA ON SOLAR PV SYSTEM COSTS (CURRENT AND FUTURE)											
Population	HISTORICAL POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS FOR NEW JERSEY											
AEO2005_data	ANNUAL ENERGY OUTLOOK ENERGY USE FORECASTS FOR MID-ATLANTIC REGION											
NJ_Employment_Proj	ections EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS FOR NEW JERSEY											

2.2 Summary Tables and Graphs Worksheet

Estimate o	of Rate Impa	cts of Pro	posed Nev	Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)								
Rules	-											
BOARD OF	PUBLIC UTILI	TIES, Energ	y Competitio	on Standards, Renewable Energy								
and Energy	Efficiency											
"Proposed F	Readoption Wi	ith Amendm	ents: N.J.A.	C. 14:4								
Proposed N	Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"											
(As described	in BPU proposal	in the New Jer	rsey Register,	<u>Monday, October 17, 2005,</u> (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))								
Prepared by:	David Von Hippe		Date last Modi	fied: 12/15/2005								
SUMMARY	SUMMARY TABLES AND GRAPHS											
Numbering sho	Numbering shown below refers to tables in text of <u>NJBPU Proposed Renewable Portfolio Standards Rule</u>											
A Policy Analysis Draft Report, David Nichols November 2005 ALL COSTS IN 2004 DOLLARS												
NOTE: Incremental cost totals below treat portion of capital costs paid by CEP as costs in the year that CEP rebates/grants												
and program c	osts are paid/inc	urred.										
Table 1 In	cremental Cos	sts for Meeti	ng Propose	d RPS, 2008/9-2020/1								
	Net Annual	1										
	Cost for Class I											
Energy Year*	RPS (2004 \$)											
2004	\$ 61,700,000											
2005	\$ 32,300,000 \$ 107.900.000											
2007	\$ 155,600,000											
2008	\$ 251,500,000											
2009	\$ 139,900,000											
2010	\$ 180,000,000											
2011	\$ 190,600,000		Calculation of	Net Present Value (NPV)								
2012	\$ 214,500,000		of Net RPS co	Ists								
2013	\$ 248,400,000		Real Annual D									
2014	\$ 279,200,000		NPV, 2004 three	bugh 2020: \$1,830,000,000								
2015	\$ 249,500,000											
2010	\$ 364 900 000											
2017	\$ 415 600 000											
2010	\$ 456 400 000											
2020	\$ 502,100,000											
*An "Energy Ye	ar" runs from from	June 1 XX to M	May 31, XX + 1.									
For example, E	nergy year 2008 r	uns from the be	ginning of June	2008 to the end of May, 2009.								
NOTE: Bill Imp	acts estimates b	elow treat por	tion of capital	costs paid by CEP as costs in the year that CEP rebates/grants								
and program c	osts are paid/inc	urred. The bill	impacts of rec	covery of lost revenues from customer-sited generation are also								
included.												
<u> Table 2 Bi</u>	II Impacts of F	Proposed RF	S for Typica	al Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Customers								
	Implied Avera	age Annual Bill	Impact per									
	Customer Calcu	lated After EE	** Impacts on									
	Sales AND Inc	luding Lost Re	venues from									
	Net Meterin	g of Custome	-sited PV									
Energy Year*	Residential	Commercial	Industrial									
2008	\$ 28 ¢ 10	\$ 276	\$ 2,610 \$ 1,480									
2009	\$ 20	φ 157 \$ 201	y 1,469 \$ 1,91∩									
2010	\$ 21	\$ 214	\$ 2.031									
2012	\$ 24	\$ 241	\$ 2,285									
2013	\$ 27	\$ 279	\$ 2,642									
2014	\$ 31	\$ 314	\$ 2,968									
2015	\$ 27 ¢ 22	\$ 290	\$ 2,710									
2016	9 32 \$ 40	φ 345 \$ 420	ຈ 3,216 \$ 2012									
2017	\$ 40 \$ 45	ψ 420 \$ 478	9 3,912 \$ 4.445									
2019	\$ 49	\$ 527	\$ 4.882									
2020	\$ 54	\$ 580	\$ 5,363									
*An "Energy Ye	ar" runs from from	June 1 XX to M	May 31, XX + 1.									
For example, E	nergy year 2008 r	uns from the be	ginning of June	2008 to the end of May, 2009.								





NOTE: Rate Impacts estimates below treat portion of capital costs paid by CEP as costs in the year that CEP rebates/grants and program costs are paid/incurred. The bill impacts of recovery of lost revenues from customer-sited generation are also included.

Note also that the values below are affected by the "switches" on lines 74 and 104 of the "Rate&Bill_Impacts" worksheet.

Table 3: Rate Impacts of Proposed RPS for Typical Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Customers

	_											
							Estimated Average Annual Rate Impact per Customer Calculated After Energy					
	Es	timated Aver	age	Annual Ra	te l	mpact per	Efficiency Impacts on Sales AND					
		Customer (Calc	ulated Afte	r E	nergy	Including Lost Revenues from Net					
	Ef	ficiency Impa	cts	on Sales A	ND	Including	Metering of Customer-sited PV (%					
		Lost Revenu	les	from Net M	ete	ring of	difference	from estimated	d average			
		Customer	site	ed PV (2004	\$/	(Wĥ)	rat	es without RPS	5)			
Energy Year*		Residential	Co	ommercial	lı	ndustrial	Residential	Commercial	Industrial			
2008	\$	0.00320	\$	0.00319	\$	0.00317	3.20%	3.70%	4.48%			
2009	\$	0.00179	\$	0.00181	\$	0.00181	1.73%	2.04%	2.46%			
2010	\$	0.00228	\$	0.00232	\$	0.00231	2.15%	2.52%	3.03%			
2011	\$	0.00242	\$	0.00246	\$	0.00246	2.21%	2.60%	3.09%			
2012	\$	0.00271	\$	0.00277	\$	0.00276	2.40%	2.82%	3.34%			
2013	\$	0.00313	\$	0.00320	\$	0.00319	2.68%	3.15%	3.70%			
2014	\$	0.00351	\$	0.00359	\$	0.00359	2.91%	3.42%	3.99%			
2015	\$	0.00307	\$	0.00327	\$	0.00323	2.52%	3.07%	3.53%			
2016	\$	0.00359	\$	0.00383	\$	0.00378	2.91%	3.55%	4.07%			
2017	\$	0.00431	\$	0.00460	\$	0.00454	3.45%	4.20%	4.82%			
2018	\$	0.00482	\$	0.00516	\$	0.00509	3.81%	4.65%	5.32%			
2019	\$	0.00524	\$	0.00564	\$	0.00555	4.09%	5.02%	5.72%			
2020	\$	0.00571	\$	0.00617	\$	0.00607	4 40%	5 41%	6 15%			

*An "Energy Year" runs from from June 1 XX to May 31, XX + 1.

For example, Energy year 2008 runs from the beginning of June 2008 to the end of May, 2009.

Table 4: Portion of RPS Cost Due to the Solar Set-Aside Proposed in the Draft Rule

Energy Year*	Portion of RPS Cost Due to the Solar Set-Aside Proposed in the Draft Rule
2008	85%
2009	82%
2010	87%
2011	88%
2012	89%
2013	91%
2014	92%
2015	92%
2016	93%
2017	89%
2018	90%
2019	92%
2020	92%

*An "Energy Year" runs from from June 1 XX to May 31, XX + 1.

For example, Energy year 2008 runs from the beginning of June 2008 to the end of May, 2009.

Rate and Bill Impacts Worksheet 2.3

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy

and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5: and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u>, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS PER-UNIT COSTS AND RATE AND BILL IMPACTS BY YEAR All costs in constant (real) 2004 dollars

NOTE: RECs costs and rate impacts shown in the first table below DO NOT include the portion of capital costs paid for by Clean Energy Program grants. To change this assumption (thus placing the full cost of Class I options in RECs), use the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

Note that additional tables with rate and bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below. Tables that include the impacts of revenue lost from net metering of customer-sited solar PV output are also provided below.

Allow net negative RPS component (solar/non-solar) Class I costs in Table below?

NO--If net costs fall below zero, RPS costs considered to be zero

ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS PER-UNIT COSTS

							Implied Average Cost of			ge Cost of						
				Net Cos	st o	f RPS		RECs	for	RPS	Imp	lied Ave	rag	e Rate Im	pact o	of RECs
	Sales	(MWh)	Ģ	Seneration	(at	end-user)	0	Generatio	n (\$	\$/MWh)**	fc	r Class I	RP	S Genera	ation, S	\$/kWh
			С	lass I Solar	С	lass I Non-		Class I	С	lass I Non-	(Class I	Cla	ass I Non-		
Energy Year*	Total	Net of DSM		PV		solar		Solar PV		solar	S	olar PV		solar	Class	s I Total
2004	76,793,865	75,718,751	\$	609,048	\$	690,779	\$	80	\$	1.23	\$	0.00001	\$	0.00001	\$	0.00002
2005	78,984,625	76,803,726	\$	1,003,020	\$	626,671	\$	77	\$	0.83	\$	0.00001	\$	0.00001	\$	0.00002
2006	81,237,882	77,919,653	\$	2,119,667	\$	-	\$	69	\$	-	\$	0.00003	\$	-	\$	0.00003
2007	83,555,420	79,067,415	\$	4,010,032	\$	-	\$	62	\$	-	\$	0.00005	\$	-	\$	0.00005
2008	85,939,072	80,247,920	\$	7,073,993	\$	-	\$	55	\$	-	\$	0.00009	\$	-	\$	0.00009
2009	87,184,869	80,883,422	\$	14,327,569	\$	-	\$	80	\$	-	\$	0.00018	\$	-	\$	0.00018
2010	88,448,724	81,528,137	\$	23,829,698	\$	-	\$	96	\$	-	\$	0.00029	\$	-	\$	0.00029
2011	89,730,901	82,182,197	\$	33,536,622	\$	-	\$	104	\$	-	\$	0.00041	\$	-	\$	0.00041
2012	91,031,665	82,845,739	\$	44,267,039	\$	-	\$	108	\$	-	\$	0.00053	\$	-	\$	0.00053
2013	92,351,284	83,518,900	\$	56,530,652	\$	-	\$	109	\$	-	\$	0.00068	\$	-	\$	0.00068
2014	93,690,034	84,201,819	\$	70,053,153	\$	-	\$	109	\$	-	\$	0.00083	\$	-	\$	0.00083
2015	95,170,857	86,027,060	\$	94,391,976	\$	-	\$	118	\$	-	\$	0.00110	\$	-	\$	0.00110
2016	96,675,085	87,895,218	\$	121,973,129	\$	-	\$	124	\$	-	\$	0.00139	\$	-	\$	0.00139
2017	98,203,089	89,807,373	\$	152,355,972	\$	-	\$	127	\$	-	\$	0.00170	\$	-	\$	0.00170
2018	99,755,244	91,764,633	\$	185,229,458	\$	-	\$	128	\$	-	\$	0.00202	\$	-	\$	0.00202
2019	101,331,931	93,140,959	\$	219,084,852	\$	-	\$	128	\$	-	\$	0.00235	\$	-	\$	0.00235
2020	102,933,538	94,538,239	\$	254,177,176	\$	-	\$	127	\$	-	\$	0.00269	\$	-	\$	0.00269
*An "Energy Yea	ar" runs from f	rom June 1 XX	X to	May 31. X	X +	1.					. —					

•

For example, Energy year 2008 runs from June 2008 to the end of May, 2009.

* In years where Class I generation (solar, non-solar, or both) have costs LESS than average costs for basic

generation service, costs of RECs are set at zero.

ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS RATE IMPACTS BY YEAR

Γ

Capital costs paid by CEP are NOT INCLUDED in the total impacts shown in this Table.

To change this assumption (thus removing CEP contributions to capital costs in the rate impacts shown here) use the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

Note that additional tables with rate and bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below.

	Implied Av	verage Rate II	mpact of Purc	hase of RECs	for RPS as a	Fraction of V	Veighted Av	erage Estima	ted Tariffs	
		Residential			Commercial		Industrial			
Energy Year	Class I Solar	Class I Non-	Class I Total	Class I Solar	Class I Non-	Class I Total	Class I Solar PV	Class I Non-	Class I Total	
2004	0.007%	0.008%	0.015%	0.008%	0.009%	0.018%	0.010%	0.011%	0.021%	
2004	0.007 %	0.000%	0.010%	0.00076	0.000%	0.010%	0.017%	0.011%	0.021%	
2000	0.012%	0.000%	0.026%	0.01476	0.000%	0.020%	0.036%	0.010%	0.027%	
2000	0.020%	0.000%	0.020%	0.050%	0.000%	0.057%	0.050%	0.000%	0.050%	
2008	0.043%	0.000%	0.043%	0.007 %	0.000%	0.007 %	0.005%	0.000%	0.005%	
2000	0.00076	0.000%	0.00076	0.102%	0.000%	0.102%	0.120%	0.000%	0.120%	
2003	0.172%	0.000%	0.172%	0.13376	0.000%	0.133%	0.24170	0.000%	0.241%	
2010	0.273%	0.000%	0.270%	0.010%	0.000%	0.010%	0.502%	0.000%	0.502%	
2011	0.070%	0.000%	0.070%	0.400%	0.000%	0.400%	0.645%	0.000%	0.645%	
2012	0.580%	0.000%	0.580%	0.666%	0.000%	0.666%	0.784%	0.000%	0.784%	
2014	0.690%	0.000%	0.690%	0.000%	0.000%	0.790%	0.925%	0.000%	0.925%	
2015	0.899%	0.000%	0.899%	1.029%	0.000%	1.029%	1.202%	0.000%	1.202%	
2016	1.124%	0.000%	1.124%	1.285%	0.000%	1.285%	1.496%	0.000%	1.496%	
2017	1.357%	0.000%	1.357%	1.550%	0.000%	1.550%	1 801%	0.000%	1.100%	
2018	1.595%	0.000%	1.595%	1.820%	0.000%	1.820%	2,110%	0.000%	2.110%	
2019	1.836%	0.000%	1.836%	2.093%	0.000%	2.093%	2.421%	0.000%	2.421%	
2020	2.072%	0.000%	2.072%	2.360%	0.000%	2.360%	2.724%	0.000%	2.724%	

Lost Revenues from Net Metering of Customer-sited Solar PV Calculated With:

Lost Margins Allocated Based on PV Generation

Capital costs paid by CEP are NOT INCLUDED in the total impacts shown in this Table.

To change this assumption (thus removing CEP contributions to capital costs in the rate impacts shown here) use

the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

Note that additional tables with rate and bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below.

	Implied Ave	nplied Average Rate Impact of Purchase of RECs for RPS AND Lost Revenues Due to Sales Lost Via Net Metering										
		_	as a Fra	action of Weig	hted Averag	e Estimated 1	Tariffs		_			
		Residential			Commercial		Industrial					
	Class I Solar	Class I Non-		Class I Solar	Class I Non-		Class I	Class I Non-				
Energy Year	PV	solar	Class I Total	PV	solar	Class I Total	Solar PV	solar	Class I Total			
2004	0.011%	0.008%	0.019%	0.012%	0.009%	0.021%	0.013%	0.011%	0.024%			
2005	0.018%	0.008%	0.026%	0.020%	0.009%	0.029%	0.021%	0.010%	0.032%			
2006	0.041%	0.000%	0.041%	0.045%	0.000%	0.045%	0.047%	0.000%	0.047%			
2007	0.081%	0.000%	0.081%	0.089%	0.000%	0.089%	0.094%	0.000%	0.094%			
2008	0.152%	0.000%	0.152%	0.166%	0.000%	0.166%	0.175%	0.000%	0.175%			
2009	0.226%	0.000%	0.226%	0.290%	0.000%	0.290%	0.347%	0.000%	0.347%			
2010	0.347%	0.000%	0.347%	0.439%	0.000%	0.439%	0.522%	0.000%	0.522%			
2011	0.463%	0.000%	0.463%	0.581%	0.000%	0.581%	0.687%	0.000%	0.687%			
2012	0.583%	0.000%	0.583%	0.729%	0.000%	0.729%	0.856%	0.000%	0.856%			
2013	0.714%	0.000%	0.714%	0.889%	0.000%	0.889%	1.038%	0.000%	1.038%			
2014	0.849%	0.000%	0.849%	1.055%	0.000%	1.055%	1.225%	0.000%	1.225%			
2015	1.042%	0.000%	1.042%	1.378%	0.000%	1.378%	1.560%	0.000%	1.560%			
2016	1.293%	0.000%	1.293%	1.700%	0.000%	1.700%	1.923%	0.000%	1.923%			
2017	1.557%	0.000%	1.557%	2.039%	0.000%	2.039%	2.303%	0.000%	2.303%			
2018	1.827%	0.000%	1.827%	2.390%	0.000%	2.390%	2.694%	0.000%	2.694%			
2019	2.103%	0.000%	2.103%	2.751%	0.000%	2.751%	3.094%	0.000%	3.094%			
2020	2.377%	0.000%	2.377%	3.112%	0.000%	3.112%	3.493%	0.000%	3.493%			

CEP Rebate, Grant, and Program Costs Distributed Assuming:

CEP Costs Distributed Evenly across Sectors

NOTE: The following table is valid ONLY if the rate impacts of Clean Energy Program rebates, grants, and program costs are accounted for separately from the portion of capital costs of Class I systems NOT paid for by CEP. At present, a calculation option in this workbook is set so that capital costs paid by CEP **ARE** accounted for separately, and the table below **IS** a valid estimate of total RECs, Lost Margins, and CEP Rate Impacts.

	Implied Ave	uplied Average Rate Impact of Purchase of RECs for RPS AND Lost Revenues Due to Sales Lost Via Net Metering										
	AND Rate		r Repates, G	Average	Estimated 1	ariffs	newables as		or weighted			
		Residential			Commercial		Industrial					
	Class I Solar Class I Non-			Class I Solar	Class I Non-		Class I					
Energy Year	PV	solar	Class I Total	PV	solar	Class I Total	Solar PV	solar	Class I Total			
2004	0.350%	0.382%	0.733%	0.403%	0.440%	0.843%	0.478%	0.524%	1.002%			
2005	0.258%	0.135%	0.393%	0.297%	0.156%	0.453%	0.352%	0.187%	0.540%			
2006	0.787%	0.538%	1.325%	0.908%	0.622%	1.531%	1.087%	0.749%	1.836%			
2007	1.486%	0.460%	1.946%	1.717%	0.534%	2.251%	2.066%	0.646%	2.712%			
2008	2.716%	0.480%	3.196%	3.143%	0.558%	3.701%	3.802%	0.679%	4.481%			
2009	1.435%	0.297%	1.733%	1.692%	0.345%	2.036%	2.042%	0.417%	2.459%			
2010	1.880%	0.271%	2.152%	2.211%	0.314%	2.525%	2.651%	0.377%	3.028%			
2011	1.945%	0.265%	2.210%	2.290%	0.306%	2.595%	2.725%	0.365%	3.090%			
2012	2.151%	0.251%	2.402%	2.532%	0.289%	2.821%	2.994%	0.342%	3.337%			
2013	2.441%	0.242%	2.683%	2.871%	0.278%	3.149%	3.373%	0.327%	3.700%			
2014	2.680%	0.229%	2.910%	3.152%	0.263%	3.415%	3.679%	0.307%	3.987%			
2015	2.337%	0.182%	2.520%	2.860%	0.209%	3.069%	3.291%	0.244%	3.534%			
2016	2.731%	0.179%	2.909%	3.343%	0.204%	3.548%	3.837%	0.238%	4.075%			
2017	3.107%	0.343%	3.450%	3.810%	0.392%	4.202%	4.360%	0.456%	4.816%			
2018	3.470%	0.340%	3.811%	4.266%	0.388%	4.654%	4.868%	0.450%	5.319%			
2019	3.770%	0.322%	4.092%	4.651%	0.367%	5.018%	5.293%	0.425%	5.717%			
2020	4.083%	0.316%	4.398%	5.055%	0.359%	5.414%	5.735%	0.415%	6.150%			

ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS BILL IMPACTS BY YEAR

Key Asumptions and Annual Rates of Change for Key Parameters

	2004 - 2008	2009 - 2014	2015 - 2020
Overall growth in Statewide Customer Count (See Note	e 1)		
Residential Customers	0.72%	0.62%	0.54%
Commercial Customers	0.62%	0.52%	0.44%
Industrial Customers	0.62%	0.52%	0.44%

	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other	Total
Estimated Customer Count in Calendar 2004*	3,238,058	441,337	13,557		
Estimated Customer Count in Energy Year 2004**	3,253,583	443,280	13,614		
Fraction of 2004 Investor-owned Utility and "Energy Only"					
Provider Sales by Sector	36.3%	49.0%	14.3%	0.4%	100.0%

*Estimated as customers of 4 investor-owned utilities as of 2004 plus customers of "energy

only" service providers as of 2003.

**Estimated using the average annual growth rates of New Jersey customer count by sector from 1990 to 2002. (Assumes trend in statewide customer numbers growth from 1999-2002 applies from the end of calendar year 2004 to the end of energy year 2004 (6 months).)

1				Implied Sale	s by Sector B	Before DSM	Implied Sales by Sector After DSM			
	Implied Cu	stomer Count	by Sector	Impac	ts on Sales (N	MWh)	Impacts on Sales (MWh)			
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	
2004	3,253,583	443,280	13,614	27,843,783	37,654,746	11,014,169	27,453,970	37,127,579	10,859,971	
2005	3,276,898	446,013	13,697	28,638,105	38,728,953	11,328,379	27,847,358	37,659,581	11,015,583	
2006	3,300,379	448,763	13,782	29,455,087	39,833,804	11,651,553	28,251,969	38,206,759	11,175,635	
2007	3,324,029	451,530	13,867	30,295,376	40,970,175	11,983,946	28,668,123	38,769,547	11,340,253	
2008	3,347,848	454,314	13,952	31,159,636	42,138,964	12,325,821	29,096,148	39,348,391	11,509,567	
2009	3,368,649	456,683	14,025	31,611,334	42,749,822	12,504,500	29,326,567	39,660,000	11,600,714	
2010	3,389,580	459,064	14,098	32,069,581	43,369,535	12,685,768	29,560,327	39,976,126	11,693,182	
2011	3,410,640	461,457	14,172	32,534,470	43,998,231	12,869,665	29,797,475	40,296,835	11,786,991	
2012	3,431,832	463,863	14,246	33,006,099	44,636,042	13,056,227	30,038,061	40,622,193	11,882,160	
2013	3,453,155	466,281	14,320	33,484,564	45,283,098	13,245,494	30,282,134	40,952,268	11,978,708	
2014	3,474,610	468,712	14,395	33,969,966	45,939,534	13,437,504	30,529,746	41,287,127	12,076,656	
2015	3,493,406	470,778	14,458	34,506,880	46,665,634	13,649,891	31,191,538	42,182,107	12,338,441	
2016	3,512,303	472,854	14,522	35,052,280	47,403,210	13,865,635	31,868,892	43,098,131	12,606,382	
2017	3,531,302	474,939	14,586	35,606,301	48,152,444	14,084,789	32,562,198	44,035,728	12,880,633	
2018	3,550,404	477,033	14,650	36,169,079	48,913,521	14,307,407	33,271,857	44,995,442	13,161,353	
2019	3,569,609	479,137	14,715	36,740,751	49,686,626	14,533,544	33,770,883	45,670,303	13,358,753	
2020	3,588,918	481,249	14,780	37,321,459	50,471,951	14,763,255	34,277,506	46,355,439	13,559,158	

	Implied An (MWh) by Sec	nual Sales per ctor Before DS Sales	⁻ Customer M Impacts on	Implied Ann (MWh) by Sec	ual Sales per ctor After DSM Sales	Customer // Impacts on	Implied Ar (MWh) by S PV Net M	nnual Sales pe ector After DS etering Impac	er Customer M AND Solar ts on Sales
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Commercial	Industrial
2004	8.56	84.95	809.06	8.44	83.76	797.73	8.44	83.75	797.65
2005	8.74	86.83	827.04	8.50	84.44	804.21	8.50	84.42	804.05
2006	8.92	88.76	845.42	8.56	85.14	810.89	8.56	85.11	810.54
2007	9.11	90.74	864.21	8.62	85.86	817.79	8.62	85.80	817.05
2008	9.31	92.75	883.42	8.69	86.61	824.92	8.68	86.48	823.45
2009	9.38	93.61	891.58	8.71	86.84	827.14	8.70	86.65	823.95
2010	9.46	94.47	899.81	8.72	87.08	829.41	8.71	86.81	825.00
2011	9.54	95.35	908.12	8.74	87.33	831.73	8.72	86.97	826.01
2012	9.62	96.23	916.51	8.75	87.57	834.09	8.73	87.13	826.87
2013	9.70	97.12	924.97	8.77	87.83	836.51	8.74	87.27	827.45
2014	9.78	98.01	933.51	8.79	88.09	838.97	8.75	87.40	827.79
2015	9.88	99.12	944.11	8.93	89.60	853.40	8.89	88.67	839.59
2016	9.98	100.25	954.82	9.07	91.14	868.10	9.03	90.00	851.19
2017	10.08	101.39	965.65	9.22	92.72	883.09	9.17	91.33	862.58
2018	10.19	102.54	976.61	9.37	94.32	898.38	9.31	92.66	873.76
2019	10.29	103.70	987.69	9.46	95.32	907.85	9.39	93.35	878.80
2020	10.40	104.88	998.89	9.55	96.32	917.42	9.47	94.03	883.52

Capital costs paid by CEP are NOT INCLUDED in the total impacts shown in this Table. To change this assumption (thus removing CEP contributions to capital costs in the bill impacts shown here) use the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook. Note that additional tables with bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below.

			Im	plied Aver	age .	Annual Bi	ill In	npact per C	Cus	tomer Ca	lcul	ated Befo	re l	DSM Impa	acts	on Sale	s	
			R	esidential					Cor	nmercial					lı	ndustrial		
	Clas	s I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-			Cla	ass I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-				Class I	Cla	ss I Non	-	
Energy Year		PV		solar	Cla	ss I Total		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total	S	Solar PV		solar	Cla	ss I Total
2004	\$	0.07	\$	0.08	\$	0.15	\$	0.68	\$	0.77	\$	1.46	\$	7	\$	7	\$	14
2005	\$	0.11	\$	0.07	\$	0.19	\$	1.13	\$	0.71	\$	1.84	\$	11	\$	7	\$	18
2006	\$	0.24	\$	-	\$	0.24	\$	2.41	\$	-	\$	2.41	\$	23	\$	-	\$	23
2007	\$	0.46	\$	-	\$	0.46	\$	4.60	\$	-	\$	4.60	\$	44	\$	-	\$	44
2008	\$	0.82	\$	-	\$	0.82	\$	8.18	\$	-	\$	8.18	\$	78	\$	-	\$	78
2009	\$	1.66	\$	-	\$	1.66	\$	16.58	\$	-	\$	16.58	\$	158	\$	-	\$	158
2010	\$	2.77	\$	-	\$	2.77	\$	27.61	\$	-	\$	27.61	\$	263	\$	-	\$	263
2011	\$	3.89	\$	-	\$	3.89	\$	38.91	\$	-	\$	38.91	\$	371	\$	-	\$	371
2012	\$	5.14	\$	-	\$	5.14	\$	51.42	\$	-	\$	51.42	\$	490	\$	-	\$	490
2013	\$	6.56	\$	-	\$	6.56	\$	65.73	\$	-	\$	65.73	\$	626	\$	-	\$	626
2014	\$	8.13	\$	-	\$	8.13	\$	81.54	\$	-	\$	81.54	\$	777	\$	-	\$	777
2015	\$	10.84	\$	-	\$	10.84	\$	108.76	\$	-	\$	108.76	\$	1,036	\$	-	\$	1,036
2016	\$	13.85	\$	-	\$	13.85	\$	139.12	\$	-	\$	139.12	\$	1,325	\$	-	\$	1,325
2017	\$	17.11	\$	-	\$	17.11	\$	172.00	\$	-	\$	172.00	\$	1,638	\$	-	\$	1,638
2018	\$	20.56	\$	-	\$	20.56	\$	206.97	\$	-	\$	206.97	\$	1,971	\$	-	\$	1,971
2019	\$	24.21	\$	-	\$	24.21	\$	243.92	\$	-	\$	243.92	\$	2,323	\$	-	\$	2,323
2020	\$	27.96	\$	-	\$	27.96	\$	281.97	\$	-	\$	281.97	\$	2,686	\$	-	\$	2,686

Capital costs paid by CEP are NOT INCLUDED in the total impacts shown in this Table.

To change this assumption (thus removing CEP contributions to capital costs in the bill impacts shown here) use

the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

Note that additional tables with bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below.

			Im	plied Ave	rage	Annual E	Bill	Impact per	Cus	stomer Ca	alcu	lated Afte	er D	SM Impa	cts (on Sale	s	
			R	esidential					Cor	nmercial					h	ndustria	l	
	Cla	ss I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-			CI	ass I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-				Class I	Cla	ss I Nor	۱-	
Energy Year		PV		solar	Cla	iss I Total		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total	Ś	Solar PV		solar	C	lass I Total
2004	\$	0.07	\$	0.08	\$	0.14	\$	0.67	\$	0.76	\$	1.44	\$	6	\$	7	'\$	14
2005	\$	0.11	\$	0.07	\$	0.18	\$	1.10	\$	0.69	\$	1.79	\$	11	\$	7	'\$	17
2006	\$	0.23	\$	-	\$	0.23	\$	2.32	\$	-	\$	2.32	\$	22	\$	-	\$	22
2007	\$	0.44	\$	-	\$	0.44	\$	4.35	\$	-	\$	4.35	\$	41	\$	-	\$	41
2008	\$	0.77	\$	-	\$	0.77	\$	7.63	\$	-	\$	7.63	\$	73	\$	-	\$	73
2009	\$	1.54	\$	-	\$	1.54	\$	15.38	\$	-	\$	15.38	\$	147	\$	-	\$	147
2010	\$	2.55	\$	-	\$	2.55	\$	25.45	\$	-	\$	25.45	\$	242	\$	-	\$	242
2011	\$	3.57	\$	-	\$	3.57	\$	35.64	\$	-	\$	35.64	\$	339	\$	-	\$	339
2012	\$	4.68	\$	-	\$	4.68	\$	46.79	\$	-	\$	46.79	\$	446	\$	-	\$	446
2013	\$	5.94	\$	-	\$	5.94	\$	59.45	\$	-	\$	59.45	\$	566	\$	-	\$	566
2014	\$	7.31	\$	-	\$	7.31	\$	73.28	\$	-	\$	73.28	\$	698	\$	-	\$	698
2015	\$	9.80	\$	-	\$	9.80	\$	98.31	\$	-	\$	98.31	\$	936	\$	-	\$	936
2016	\$	12.59	\$	-	\$	12.59	\$	126.48	\$	-	\$	126.48	\$	1,205	\$	-	\$	1,205
2017	\$	15.64	\$	-	\$	15.64	\$	157.29	\$	-	\$	157.29	\$	1,498	\$	-	\$	1,498
2018	\$	18.92	\$	-	\$	18.92	\$	190.39	\$	-	\$	190.39	\$	1,813	\$	-	\$	1,813
2019	\$	22.25	\$	-	\$	22.25	\$	224.21	\$	-	\$	224.21	\$	2,135	\$	-	\$	2,135
2020	\$	25.68	\$	-	\$	25.68	\$	258.98	\$	-	\$	258.98	\$	2,467	\$	-	\$	2,467

Lost Revenues from Net Metering of Customer-sited Solar PV Calculated With:

Lost Margins Allocated Based on PV Generation AND

Capital costs paid by CEP are NOT INCLUDED in the total impacts shown in this Table.

To change this assumption (thus removing CEP contributions to capital costs in the bill impacts shown here) use

the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

Note that additional tables with bill impacts including costs paid by CEP are provided below.

		Implied A	ve	rage Annu	al Bill	Impact	per	Customer	Ca	Iculated A	Afte	r DSM Imp	bac	ts on Sal	es A	ND Inclu	ıding	Lost
						Rever	ues	from Net I	Met	ering of C	Cust	omer-site	d P	V				
			R	esidential					Coi	mmercial					1	ndustrial		
	Clas	ss I Solar	Cl	ass I Non-			CI	ass I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-				Class I	Cla	iss I Non-		
Energy Year		PV		solar	Class	I Total		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total	S	olar PV		solar	Clas	s I Total
2004	\$	0.10	\$	0.08	\$	0.18	\$	0.96	\$	0.76	\$	1.73	\$	8	\$	7	\$	15
2005	\$	0.17	\$	0.07	\$	0.24	\$	1.60	\$	0.69	\$	2.28	\$	14	\$	7	\$	20
2006	\$	0.37	\$	-	\$	0.37	\$	3.46	\$	-	\$	3.46	\$	29	\$	-	\$	29
2007	\$	0.72	\$	-	\$	0.72	\$	6.76	\$	-	\$	6.76	\$	56	\$	-	\$	56
2008	\$	1.32	\$	-	\$	1.32	\$	12.37	\$	-	\$	12.37	\$	102	\$	-	\$	102
2009	\$	2.02	\$	-	\$	2.02	\$	22.33	\$	-	\$	22.33	\$	210	\$	-	\$	210
2010	\$	3.21	\$	-	\$	3.21	\$	35.02	\$	-	\$	35.02	\$	330	\$	-	\$	330
2011	\$	4.42	\$	-	\$	4.42	\$	47.97	\$	-	\$	47.97	\$	451	\$	-	\$	451
2012	\$	5.75	\$	-	\$	5.75	\$	62.32	\$	-	\$	62.32	\$	586	\$	-	\$	586
2013	\$	7.27	\$	-	\$	7.27	\$	78.80	\$	-	\$	78.80	\$	741	\$	-	\$	741
2014	\$	8.95	\$	-	\$	8.95	\$	97.05	\$	-	\$	97.05	\$	912	\$	-	\$	912
2015	\$	11.30	\$	-	\$	11.30	\$	130.28	\$	-	\$	130.28	\$	1,196	\$	-	\$	1,196
2016	\$	14.42	\$	-	\$	14.42	\$	165.27	\$	-	\$	165.27	\$	1,518	\$	-	\$	1,518
2017	\$	17.84	\$	-	\$	17.84	\$	203.84	\$	-	\$	203.84	\$	1,871	\$	-	\$	1,871
2018	\$	21.53	\$	-	\$	21.53	\$	245.62	\$	-	\$	245.62	\$	2,252	\$	-	\$	2,252
2019	\$	25.30	\$	-	\$	25.30	\$	288.64	\$	-	\$	288.64	\$	2,642	\$	-	\$	2,642
2020	\$	29.20	\$	-	\$	29.20	\$	333.27	\$	-	\$	333.27	\$	3,046	\$	-	\$	3,046

In the calculations in the Table that follows:

Lost Margins Allocated Based on PV Generation

CEP Costs Distributed Evenly across Sectors

NOTE: The following table is valid ONLY if the rate impacts of Clean Energy Program rebates, grants, and program costs are accounted for separately from the portion of capital costs of Class I systems NOT paid for by CEP. At present, a calculation option in this workbook is set so that capital costs paid by CEP below IS a valid estimate of total RECs, Lost Margins, and CEP Bill Impacts.

	Impl	ied Av	erag A	ge Bill Imp ND Rate I	act mpa	of Purcha	ise c P Re	of RECs fo ebates, Gr	r Rl	PS AND L s, and Pro	.ost ogra	Revenue am Costs	s Du for (ue to Sal Class I R	es L lene	ost Via N wables	let M	etering
			Re	esidential					Co	mmercial					ıl	ndustrial		
	Class	I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-			Cla	iss I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-			(Class I	Cla	ss I Non-		
Energy Year	P	V		solar	Cla	ss I Total		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total	S	olar PV		solar	Cla	ss I Total
2004	\$	3.31	\$	3.61	\$	6.91	\$	32.77	\$	35.81	\$	68.58	\$	311	\$	341	\$	652
2005	\$	2.38	\$	1.25	\$	3.63	\$	23.58	\$	12.43	\$	36.01	\$	223	\$	118	\$	341
2006	\$	7.12	\$	4.86	\$	11.99	\$	70.63	\$	48.38	\$	119.01	\$	669	\$	461	\$	1,130
2007	\$	13.17	\$	4.08	\$	17.24	\$	130.65	\$	40.61	\$	171.26	\$	1,236	\$	387	\$	1,623
2008	\$	23.58	\$	4.17	\$	27.75	\$	234.17	\$	41.55	\$	275.72	\$	2,214	\$	396	\$	2,610
2009	\$	12.86	\$	2.66	\$	15.52	\$	130.32	\$	26.55	\$	156.86	\$	1,237	\$	252	\$	1,489
2010	\$	17.38	\$	2.51	\$	19.88	\$	176.27	\$	25.01	\$	201.28	\$	1,672	\$	238	\$	1,910
2011	\$	18.55	\$	2.53	\$	21.08	\$	189.01	\$	25.24	\$	214.25	\$	1,791	\$	240	\$	2,031
2012	\$	21.21	\$	2.48	\$	23.68	\$	216.61	\$	24.72	\$	241.33	\$	2,050	\$	235	\$	2,285
2013	\$	24.88	\$	2.47	\$	27.35	\$	254.63	\$	24.64	\$	279.28	\$	2,408	\$	234	\$	2,642
2014	\$	28.27	\$	2.42	\$	30.68	\$	289.98	\$	24.16	\$	314.14	\$	2,739	\$	229	\$	2,968
2015	\$	25.36	\$	1.98	\$	27.34	\$	270.41	\$	19.74	\$	290.15	\$	2,523	\$	187	\$	2,710
2016	\$	30.45	\$	1.99	\$	32.45	\$	325.02	\$	19.88	\$	344.90	\$	3,028	\$	188	\$	3,216
2017	\$	35.61	\$	3.93	\$	39.55	\$	380.83	\$	39.19	\$	420.02	\$	3,542	\$	370	\$	3,912
2018	\$	40.89	\$	4.01	\$	44.90	\$	438.32	\$	39.92	\$	478.24	\$	4,069	\$	376	\$	4,445
2019	\$	45.36	\$	3.87	\$	49.23	\$	488.01	\$	38.52	\$	526.53	\$	4,519	\$	363	\$	4,882
2020	\$	50.16	\$	3.88	\$	54.03	\$	541.42	\$	38.50	\$	579.92	\$	5.001	\$	362	\$	5.363

ESTIMATE OF SOLAR RPS IMPACTS ON REVENUES BY YEAR

Key Assumptions: For customer-sited PV systems, we assume that net metering would result in utility lost revenues. We further assume that these lost revenues would be roughly equal to the difference between full tariffs and BGS costs (as estimated in this workbook) and that lost revenues would be recovered through tariffs. Lost revenues by customer class are calculated below in two ways: based on solar PV generation by customers in each class, and based on average lost revenues per kWh of overall net sales. Rate impacts are calculated based on post-DSM, post-net-metering sales (sales net of DSM impacts and PV generation).

					Lost Reven	ue t	by Sector,	We	eighted		Lost Reve	nu	e by Secto	or, V	Veighted
	Solar P\//	Output by Soci	tor (MM/b)	A	verage ove	r Su	immer and	d VV /h)	inter PV	F	verage ov	er :	Summer a	nd (vr)	Winter PV
Enorgy Voor	Posidential	Commorcial							Inductrial		Posidontial			yı)	Inductrial
	2 4 2 2	2 550	1 212	¢		¢.		¢		- -			407.054	^	
2004	2,423	3,559	1,212	Ð	0.0456	Ð	0.0359	Ð	0.0202	\$	110,899	\$	127,851	\$	24,496
2005	4,178	6,137	2,089	\$	0.0457	\$	0.0359	\$	0.0202	\$	190,960	\$	220,151	\$	42,180
2006	9,799	14,393	4,900	\$	0.0456	\$	0.0358	\$	0.0202	\$	447,239	\$	515,605	\$	98,788
2007	20,671	30,361	10,336	\$	0.0456	\$	0.0358	\$	0.0201	\$	942,125	\$	1,086,140	\$	208,101
2008	41,087	60,346	20,543	\$	0.0455	\$	0.0357	\$	0.0201	\$	1,869,956	\$	2,155,801	\$	413,044
2009	35,750	89,376	44,688	\$	0.0454	\$	0.0357	\$	0.0201	\$	1,624,793	\$	3,188,361	\$	897,229
2010	49,732	124,330	62,165	\$	0.0454	\$	0.0356	\$	0.0200	\$	2,257,056	\$	4,429,062	\$	1,246,372
2011	64,760	161,899	80,949	\$	0.0453	\$	0.0356	\$	0.0200	\$	2,934,929	\$	5,759,265	\$	1,620,701
2012	82,349	205,872	102,936	\$	0.0453	\$	0.0355	\$	0.0200	\$	3,726,825	\$	7,313,215	\$	2,057,994
2013	103,730	259,326	129,663	\$	0.0452	\$	0.0355	\$	0.0200	\$	4,687,891	\$	9,199,133	\$	2,588,706
2014	128,829	322,072	161,036	\$	0.0451	\$	0.0354	\$	0.0199	\$	5,813,969	\$	11,408,857	\$	3,210,539
2015	119,750	439,082	199,583	\$	0.0451	\$	0.0354	\$	0.0199	\$	5,396,633	\$	15,531,868	\$	3,973,442
2016	147,400	540,468	245,667	\$	0.0450	\$	0.0353	\$	0.0199	\$	6,633,391	\$	19,091,339	\$	4,884,044
2017	179,570	658,423	299,283	\$	0.0449	\$	0.0353	\$	0.0199	\$	8,069,737	\$	23,225,239	\$	5,941,599
2018	216,381	793,397	360,635	\$	0.0449	\$	0.0352	\$	0.0198	\$	9,710,326	\$	27,946,963	\$	7,149,535
2019	256,510	940,537	427,517	\$	0.0448	\$	0.0352	\$	0.0198	\$	11,494,975	\$	33,083,302	\$	8,463,539
2020	300,632	1,102,316	501,053	\$	0.0447	\$	0.0351	\$	0.0198	\$	13,453,235	\$	38,719,304	\$	9,905,370

		L Ai	Lost Reven Innual Averato to Sectors	ue age wh	Rate Impace, with Impace, with Impace	ct b icts tioi	by Sector, S Allocated In Occurs	А	Lost Revenu	e R ge,	ate Impac with Impa	t by	Sector, Allocated
Energy	Veer				(\$/KVVh)		la dua tata l		Evenly Ad	cros	s Sectors	(\$/ŀ	(VVh)
Energy	real	۲	Residential		ommerciai	•	Industrial		Residential	C	ommerciai		Industrial
	2004	\$	0.000004	\$	0.000003	\$	0.000002	\$	0.000003	\$	0.000003	\$	0.000003
	2005	\$	0.000007	\$	0.000006	\$	0.000004	\$	0.000006	\$	0.000006	\$	0.000006
	2006	\$	0.000016	\$	0.000014	\$	0.000009	\$	0.000014	\$	0.000014	\$	0.000014
	2007	\$	0.000033	\$	0.000028	\$	0.000018	\$	0.000028	\$	0.000028	\$	0.000028
	2008	\$	0.000064	\$	0.000055	\$	0.000036	\$	0.000056	\$	0.000056	\$	0.000056
	2009	\$	0.000055	\$	0.000081	\$	0.000078	\$	0.000071	\$	0.000071	\$	0.000071
	2010	\$	0.000076	\$	0.000111	\$	0.000107	\$	0.000098	\$	0.000098	\$	0.000098
	2011	\$	0.000099	\$	0.000143	\$	0.000138	\$	0.000126	\$	0.000126	\$	0.000126
	2012	\$	0.000124	\$	0.000181	\$	0.000175	\$	0.000159	\$	0.000159	\$	0.000159
	2013	\$	0.000155	\$	0.000226	\$	0.000218	\$	0.000199	\$	0.000199	\$	0.000199
	2014	\$	0.000191	\$	0.000279	\$	0.000269	\$	0.000245	\$	0.000245	\$	0.000245
	2015	\$	0.000174	\$	0.000372	\$	0.000327	\$	0.000293	\$	0.000293	\$	0.000293
	2016	\$	0.000209	\$	0.000449	\$	0.000395	\$	0.000353	\$	0.000353	\$	0.000353
	2017	\$	0.000249	\$	0.000535	\$	0.000472	\$	0.000422	\$	0.000422	\$	0.000422
	2018	\$	0.000294	\$	0.000632	\$	0.000559	\$	0.000498	\$	0.000498	\$	0.000498
	2019	\$	0.000343	\$	0.000740	\$	0.000655	\$	0.000582	\$	0.000582	\$	0.000582
	2020	\$	0.000396	\$	0.000856	\$	0.000759	\$	0.000673	\$	0.000673	\$	0.000673

ESTIMATE OF RATE IMPACTS OF CLEAN ENERGY PROGRAM REBATES, GRANTS, AND PROGRAM COSTS RELATED TO INCENTIVES PROVIDED FOR CLASS I GENERATION

Key Asumptions:

CEP program costs for administering grants and rebates for Class I generation average 10% of value of rebates and grants actually awarded. Portion of current (2005) CEP Budget set aside for Administrative costs.

Note: Costs in 2005 as estimated below are likely somewhat overstated, as some 2005 generation is provided by capacity installed before 2005.

(All Costs in real 2004 dollars)

			С	lean Energ	jy P	rogram Re	ba	te/Grant a	nd	Program (Cos	sts (\$/yr)			
		Solar P\	/ Sy	ystems				Wind S	Sys	tems		Other Re	ne	wables	
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial		Industrial	Util	lity/ Merchant	0	nshore Wind	0	ffshore Wind		Biomass		[Not Used]	TOTAL
2004	\$ 11,799,505	\$ 11,962,964	\$	3,872,212	\$	1,126,613	\$	12,902,320	\$	18,564,935	\$	218,810	\$	-	\$ 60,447,359
2005	\$ 8,205,198	\$ 8,318,865	\$	2,692,678	\$	783,430	\$	4,347,957	\$	6,256,204	\$	75,485	\$	-	\$ 30,679,818
2006	\$ 25,227,285	\$ 25,576,759	\$	8,278,770	\$	2,408,694	\$	18,031,262	\$	25,944,884	\$	320,464	\$	-	\$ 105,788,119
2007	\$ 46,842,697	\$ 47,491,609	\$	15,372,240	\$	4,472,527	\$	15,230,384	\$	21,914,747	\$	277,104	\$	-	\$ 151,601,308
2008	\$ 84,441,590	\$ 85,611,360	\$	27,710,967	\$	8,062,458	\$	15,688,391	\$	22,573,766	\$	292,205	\$	-	\$ 244,380,736
2009	\$ 41,450,658	\$ 39,397,303	\$	16,377,630	\$	3,575,231	\$	9,904,273	\$	14,515,395	\$	362,097	\$	-	\$ 125,582,586
2010	\$ 51,039,357	\$ 53,325,610	\$	23,495,560	\$	4,789,746	\$	9,317,457	\$	13,758,020	\$	415,841	\$	-	\$ 156,141,592
2011	\$ 44,829,548	\$ 56,291,399	\$	27,174,249	\$	4,967,801	\$	9,399,950	\$	13,964,807	\$	485,371	\$	-	\$ 157,113,126
2012	\$ 43,464,128	\$ 64,451,081	\$	33,174,640	\$	5,611,137	\$	9,196,561	\$	13,755,698	\$	548,433	\$	-	\$ 170,201,678
2013	\$ 43,818,203	\$ 76,476,013	\$	41,397,127	\$	6,582,323	\$	9,167,511	\$	13,798,641	\$	618,065	\$	-	\$ 191,857,883
2014	\$ 40,735,773	\$ 87,706,312	\$	49,970,961	\$	7,456,011	\$	8,981,977	\$	13,611,225	\$	683,075	\$	-	\$ 209,145,335
2015	\$ 41,298,130	\$ 62,310,001	\$	27,269,571	\$	5,077,782	\$	7,372,763	\$	11,194,079	\$	583,829	\$	0	\$ 155,106,155
2016	\$ 44,958,370	\$ 73,537,886	\$	31,623,885	\$	5,888,586	\$	7,424,540	\$	11,341,603	\$	646,832	\$	0	\$ 175,421,702
2017	\$ 47,144,052	\$ 84,554,910	\$	35,688,421	\$	6,645,430	\$	14,898,354	\$	22,506,723	\$	1,125,999	\$	0	\$ 212,563,889
2018	\$ 48,196,730	\$ 95,652,300	\$	39,612,609	\$	7,376,141	\$	15,182,830	\$	23,079,493	\$	1,271,614	\$	0	\$ 230,371,717
2019	\$ 45,862,289	\$ 103,369,088	\$	41,887,662	\$	7,799,772	\$	14,639,961	\$	22,420,169	\$	1,370,400	\$	0	\$ 237,349,340
2020	\$ 43,802,210	\$ 112,469,507	\$	44,673,161	\$	8,318,451	\$	14,639,152	\$	22,565,612	\$	1,503,169	\$	0	\$ 247,971,262

	Im	nplied Ave	erad	ae Rate Im	pac	t of CEP
	Re	bate, Gra	nt,	and Progra	am	Costs for
		Class I R	PS	Generatio	n, 9	\$/kWh
	Cla	ss I Solar	CI	ass I Non-		
Energy Year		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total
2004	\$	0.000380	\$	0.000418	\$	0.000798
2005	\$	0.000260	\$	0.000139	\$	0.000399
2006	\$	0.000789	\$	0.000568	\$	0.001358
2007	\$	0.001444	\$	0.000473	\$	0.001917
2008	\$	0.002565	\$	0.000480	\$	0.003045
2009	\$	0.001246	\$	0.000306	\$	0.001553
2010	\$	0.001627	\$	0.000288	\$	0.001915
2011	\$	0.001622	\$	0.000290	\$	0.001912
2012	\$	0.001771	\$	0.000284	\$	0.002054
2013	\$	0.002015	\$	0.000282	\$	0.002297
2014	\$	0.002207	\$	0.000276	\$	0.002484
2015	\$	0.001580	\$	0.000223	\$	0.001803
2016	\$	0.001775	\$	0.000221	\$	0.001996
2017	\$	0.001938	\$	0.000429	\$	0.002367
2018	\$	0.002080	\$	0.000431	\$	0.002510
2019	\$	0.002136	\$	0.000413	\$	0.002548
2020	\$	0.002214	\$	0.000409	\$	0.002623

		Clean Er	er	gy Progran	n Ra	ate Impact Allocate	by d to	Sector witl Sectors W	n In /he	npacts fro re Genera	om I Itio	Rebates/G n Occurs	iraı (\$/ŀ	nts for Cu (Wh)	sto	mer-sited	So	lar PV
			F	Residential					Co	mmercial						Industrial		
	Cla	ss I Solar	С	lass I Non-			С	lass I Solar	Cla	ass I Non-				Class I	Cla	ass I Non-		
Energy Year		PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total		PV		solar	CI	ass I Total	3	Solar PV		solar	Cla	ass I Total
2004	\$	0.000445	\$	0.000418	\$	0.000863	\$	0.000337	\$	0.000418	\$	0.000756	\$	0.000371	\$	0.000418	\$	0.000790
2005	\$	0.000305	\$	0.000139	\$	0.000444	\$	0.000231	\$	0.000139	\$	0.000370	\$	0.000255	\$	0.000139	\$	0.000394
2006	\$	0.000924	\$	0.000568	\$	0.001492	\$	0.000700	\$	0.000568	\$	0.001269	\$	0.000772	\$	0.000568	\$	0.001340
2007	\$	0.001691	\$	0.000473	\$	0.002164	\$	0.001282	\$	0.000473	\$	0.001755	\$	0.001412	\$	0.000473	\$	0.001885
2008	\$	0.003003	\$	0.000480	\$	0.003483	\$	0.002276	\$	0.000480	\$	0.002757	\$	0.002508	\$	0.000480	\$	0.002989
2009	\$	0.001458	\$	0.000306	\$	0.001764	\$	0.001038	\$	0.000306	\$	0.001344	\$	0.001456	\$	0.000306	\$	0.001762
2010	\$	0.001785	\$	0.000288	\$	0.002074	\$	0.001393	\$	0.000288	\$	0.001681	\$	0.002068	\$	0.000288	\$	0.002356
2011	\$	0.001565	\$	0.000290	\$	0.001855	\$	0.001457	\$	0.000290	\$	0.001748	\$	0.002366	\$	0.000290	\$	0.002656
2012	\$	0.001515	\$	0.000284	\$	0.001798	\$	0.001654	\$	0.000284	\$	0.001938	\$	0.002860	\$	0.000284	\$	0.003143
2013	\$	0.001526	\$	0.000282	\$	0.001808	\$	0.001946	\$	0.000282	\$	0.002229	\$	0.003535	\$	0.000282	\$	0.003817
2014	\$	0.001423	\$	0.000276	\$	0.001699	\$	0.002213	\$	0.000276	\$	0.002489	\$	0.004226	\$	0.000276	\$	0.004503
2015	\$	0.001383	\$	0.000223	\$	0.001606	\$	0.001536	\$	0.000223	\$	0.001759	\$	0.002269	\$	0.000223	\$	0.002492
2016	\$	0.001478	\$	0.000221	\$	0.001699	\$	0.001773	\$	0.000221	\$	0.001994	\$	0.002576	\$	0.000221	\$	0.002796
2017	\$	0.001522	\$	0.000429	\$	0.001951	\$	0.001994	\$	0.000429	\$	0.002423	\$	0.002845	\$	0.000429	\$	0.003274
2018	\$	0.001529	\$	0.000431	\$	0.001960	\$	0.002206	\$	0.000431	\$	0.002637	\$	0.003090	\$	0.000431	\$	0.003521
2019	\$	0.001442	\$	0.000413	\$	0.001854	\$	0.002347	\$	0.000413	\$	0.002760	\$	0.003219	\$	0.000413	\$	0.003632
2020	\$	0.001366	\$	0.000409	\$	0.001775	\$	0.002514	\$	0.000409	\$	0.002924	\$	0.003383	\$	0.000409	\$	0.003792

Notes and Sources:

Note 1: Projection for customer numbers in future years are very approximate. For the residential sector, the number of customers is assumed to grow at the average rate of population growth plus 0.1%/yr, which has been approximately the pattern (that is, faster growth in the number of households than in population, as family size decreases) over the past decade in New Jersey. For the Commercial and Industrial sectors, growth in the number of customers is assumed to be similar to the rate of population growth, which has been the pattern in recent years, but it should be noted that growth in commercial and industrial customers has historically fluctuated significantly from year to year.

2.4 **RPS Generation and Costs Estimation Worksheet**

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4 Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1" (As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u>, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911)) Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005 ESTIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS GENERATION AND COSTS BY TYPE AND YEAR KEY ASSUMPTIONS AND INPUTS Fraction of Solar PV Generation by Type of Installation Fraction of Total Annual Average Solar PV kWh Generation by System Scale Energy Years Residential Commercial Industrial Utility/ Merchant 5% 2004 32% 47% 16% See Note 1 2008 32% 47% 16% 5% See Note 1 2014 25% See Note 2 20% 50% 5% 2020 15% 55% 25% 5% See Note 3 Fraction of Other Class I Generation by Type of Installation Fraction of Total Annual Average Non-solar Class I kWh Generation by System Type (Utility/Merchant scale) Energy Years Onshore Wind Offshore Wind Biomass [Not Used] 2004 50% 1% See Note 4 49% 0% 2008 49% 50% 1% 0% 2014 48% 50% 2% 0% 2020 47% 50% 3% 0% Generation Multiplier for Other Class I Generation 1 Placeholder value-see note below. NOTE: This factor could be used to reflect the sales-level (end-user) requirements for Other Class I Generation. A similar factor is not required for Solar PV Generation (except PV used at the Utility/Merchant/level) because on-site PV power incurrs negligible transmission and distribution losses. In the comparison of Class I Renewables costs with conventional costs, conventional costs are estimated based on retail prices, thus transmission and distribution losses are by definition already included in the costs of conventional generation as used here. Note that a value of 1.00 is used in the intial form of this analysis because the New Jersey RPS rule is written so as to define the required amount of renewable generation purchased relative to total sales, regardless of where the renewable generation takes place. As a consequence, a kW of customer-sited and a kW of "merchant" generation producing electricity from renewable resources are counted the same under the RPS rule as currently configured. Distribution capacity and ancillary system benefits of distributed PV generation \$50 /kW-yr Additional on-peak system (generation) benefits of PV generation (including utility/merchant) \$50 /kW-yr Average Fraction of Annual Solar PV Output in June through September 39% Calculated for a Newark location using the "PVWATTS" on-line calculator, and assuming a south-facing collector tilted at 30% to the horizontal. PVWATTS (v.1) is produced by USDOE NREL, available at http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/calculators/PVWATTS/version1/code/pvwattsv1.cgi. Note that as the collector is tilted toward the horizontal, the fraction of generation in the summer months rises, but (at least when the tilt falls past about 35 degrees, for a Newark location) overall annual generation falls. This suggests that customers might choose (when possible) to optimize the tilt angle their fixed-array PVs so as to take advantage of the differential between summer and winter rates (assuming net metering of solar PV output).

RESULTS

All costs in constant (real) 2004 dollars

RENEWABLE CLASS I GENERATION AND CAPACITY BY TYPE OF GENERATOR

	Solar PV	Class I MWh O	utput by Syste	em Scale	Other Class	I Renewables M	IWh Output by S	System Type
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]
2004	2,423	3,559	1,212	379	274,556	280,159	5,603	-
2005	4,178	6,137	2,089	653	369,941	377,490	7,550	-
2006	9,799	14,393	4,900	1,531	777,739	793,612	15,872	-
2007	20,671	30,361	10,336	3,230	1,132,846	1,155,966	23,119	-
2008	41,087	60,346	20,543	6,420	1,509,945	1,540,760	30,815	-
2009	53,626	84,907	31,282	8,938	1,850,485	1,894,694	44,210	-
2010	69,625	119,357	47,246	12,433	2,179,062	2,238,763	59,700	-
2011	84,187	157,042	66,379	16,190	2,519,049	2,596,957	77,909	-
2012	98,818	201,754	90,584	20,587	2,860,208	2,958,836	98,628	-
2013	114,104	256,733	121,883	25,933	3,209,009	3,331,151	122,142	-
2014	128,829	322,072	161,036	32,207	3,559,514	3,707,827	148,313	-
2015	153,013	405,818	199,583	39,917	3,970,526	4,150,376	179,850	0
2016	180,156	507,712	245,667	49,133	4,392,871	4,607,907	215,036	0
2017	209,498	628,494	299,283	59,857	5,257,660	5,534,379	276,719	0
2018	240,423	769,355	360,635	72,127	6,156,948	6,503,818	346,870	0
2019	270,761	926,287	427,517	85,503	7,041,778	7,464,782	423,004	0
2020	300,632	1,102,316	501,053	100,211	7,944,615	8,451,719	507,103	0

						Reliewables imp	med Capacity (KVV) by System
	Solar PV Clas	s I Implied Cap	acity (kW) by S	System Scale		Ту	ре	
F		.						
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]
2004	2,019	2,966	1,010	315	104,473	86,437	1,019	-
2005	3,482	5,114	1,741	544	140,769	116,466	1,373	-
2006	8,166	11,994	4,083	1,276	295,943	244,851	2,886	-
2007	17,226	25,301	8,613	2,692	431,068	356,647	4,204	-
2008	34,239	50,289	17,120	5,350	574,560	475,367	5,603	-
2009	44,688	70,756	26,068	7,448	704,142	584,566	8,038	-
2010	58,021	99,464	39,371	10,361	829,171	690,720	10,855	-
2011	70,156	130,868	55,315	13,492	958,542	801,233	14,165	-
2012	82,349	168,129	75,486	17,156	1,088,359	912,883	17,932	-
2013	95,086	213,944	101,569	21,611	1,221,084	1,027,752	22,208	-
2014	107,357	268,393	134,197	26,839	1,354,457	1,143,967	26,966	-
2015	127,511	338,182	166,319	33,264	1,510,855	1,280,506	32,700	0
2016	150,130	423,093	204,723	40,945	1,671,564	1,421,667	39,097	0
2017	174,582	523,745	249,403	49,881	2,000,632	1,707,509	50,313	0
2018	200,353	641,129	300,529	60,106	2,342,826	2,006,608	63,067	0
2019	225,634	771,906	356,264	71,253	2,679,520	2,303,092	76,910	0
2020	250,526	918,597	417,544	83,509	3,023,065	2,607,589	92,201	0

RENEWABLE CLASS I COSTS BY TYPE OF GENERATOR

NOTE: Calculations in the table below are carried out so that costs of renewable generation are "vintaged", that is, the costs of generation for systems built in a given year continue at the rate prevailing in that year throughout the lifetime of the analysis.

NOTE: Costs shown below DO NOT include the portion of capital costs paid for by Clean Energy Program grants. To change this assumption, use the menu found on line 45 of the 'Renewable_costs' worksheet in this workbook.

		Solar PV (Cla	ss I Total Ne	et C	osts by Syst	tem	Scale	cale Other Class I Renewables Total Costs by Syst					em Type		
Energy Year		Residential		Commercial		Industrial	Uti	ility/ Merchant	c	Onshore Wind		Offshore Wind		Biomass	[Not Used]	
20	004	\$ 294,023	\$	566,949	\$	177,124	\$	53,805	\$	14,033,348	\$	21,507,696	\$	431,110	\$	-
2	005	\$ 493,508	\$	953,636	\$	297,660	\$	90,827	\$	18,784,228	\$	28,800,627	\$	580,391	\$	-
2	006	\$ 1,090,912	\$	2,118,305	\$	659,823	\$	203,394	\$	38,579,541	\$	59,237,459	\$	1,216,522	\$	-
20	007	\$ 2,169,381	\$	4,234,053	\$	1,315,990	\$	409,976	\$	55,381,033	\$	85,114,093	\$	1,768,650	\$	-
20	800	\$ 4,055,654	\$	7,960,076	\$	2,468,213	\$	777,803	\$	72,773,873	\$	111,946,968	\$	2,353,064	\$	-
20	009	\$ 5,813,121	\$	13,791,526	\$	4,835,748	\$	1,286,070	\$	88,544,139	\$	136,721,096	\$	3,381,227	\$	-
2	010	\$ 7,937,474	\$	21,596,175	\$	8,190,338	\$	1,962,612	\$	103,442,552	\$	160,335,270	\$	4,566,417	\$	-
2	011	\$ 9,767,260	\$	29,738,167	\$	12,019,931	\$	2,659,593	\$	118,537,554	\$	184,442,513	\$	5,954,975	\$	-
2	012	\$ 11,505,043	\$	38,945,615	\$	16,631,605	\$	3,441,318	\$	133,370,851	\$	208,328,336	\$	7,529,855	\$	-
2	013	\$ 13,219,087	\$	49,729,827	\$	22,304,141	\$	4,351,642	\$	148,223,654	\$	232,432,313	\$	9,311,407	\$	-
2	014	\$ 14,776,049	\$	61,929,968	\$	29,048,751	\$	5,374,926	\$	162,842,550	\$	256,354,174	\$	11,287,820	\$	-
2	015	\$ 19,046,030	\$	81,788,826	\$	37,536,586	\$	6,929,469	\$	180,000,148	\$	284,482,343	\$	13,685,166	\$	0
2	016	\$ 23,636,780	\$	105,001,964	\$	47,276,041	\$	8,722,328	\$	197,342,523	\$	313,122,368	\$	16,351,266	\$	0
2	017	\$ 28,388,361	\$	131,427,040	\$	58,146,647	\$	10,734,090	\$	232,273,996	\$	370,242,603	\$	21,010,000	\$	0
2	018	\$ 33,180,323	\$	161,010,376	\$	70,074,510	\$	12,953,869	\$	268,009,343	\$	429,115,580	\$	26,291,238	\$	0
2	019	\$ 37,675,716	\$	192,635,109	\$	82,536,935	\$	15,286,756	\$	302,601,634	\$	486,603,187	\$	32,004,489	\$	0
2	020	\$ 41,905,706	\$	226,656,760	\$	95,662,647	\$	17,758,969	\$	337,329,431	\$	544,768,221	\$	38,295,270	\$	0

CONVENTIONAL GENERATION COSTS AVOIDED BY TYPE OF CLASS I RENEWABLES GENERATOR

	Г								Other Class I Renewables Total Avoided Costs by System							by System
		Solar PV Cla	iss	I Total Avoid	dec	Costs by S	yste	em Scale				Ту	/pe			- , - ,
Energy Year		Residential		Commercial		Industrial	Uti	lity/ Merchant	(Onshore Wind		Offshore Wind		Biomass		[Not Used]
2004	ł \$	154,513	\$	226,941	\$	77,256	\$	24,143	\$	17,287,874	\$	17,640,688	\$	352,814	\$	-
2005	5 \$	266,436	\$	391,327	\$	133,218	\$	41,631	\$	23,293,901	\$	23,769,287	\$	475,386	\$	-
2006	5 \$	624,886	\$	917,801	\$	312,443	\$	97,638	\$	48,971,619	\$	49,971,040	\$	999,421	\$	-
2007	\$	1,318,198	\$	1,936,103	\$	659,099	\$	205,968	\$	71,331,496	\$	72,787,241	\$	1,455,745	\$	-
2008	3	2,620,081	\$	3,848,244	\$	1,310,040	\$	409,388	\$	95,076,116	\$	97,016,445	\$	1,940,329	\$	-
2009) \$	3,419,668	\$	5,414,475	\$	1,994,807	\$	569,945	\$	116,518,753	\$	119,302,477	\$	2,783,724	\$	-
2010) \$	4,439,932	\$	7,611,312	\$	3,012,811	\$	792,845	\$	137,208,176	\$	140,967,304	\$	3,759,128	\$	-
2011	\$	5,368,566	\$	10,014,440	\$	4,232,908	\$	1,032,416	\$	158,615,969	\$	163,521,618	\$	4,905,649	\$	-
2012	2 \$	6,301,570	\$	12,865,705	\$	5,776,439	\$	1,312,827	\$	180,097,597	\$	186,307,859	\$	6,210,262	\$	-
2013	3 \$	7,276,290	\$	16,371,653	\$	7,772,401	\$	1,653,702	\$	202,060,440	\$	209,751,322	\$	7,690,882	\$	-
2014	l \$	8,215,308	\$	20,538,270	\$	10,269,135	\$	2,053,827	\$	224,130,548	\$	233,469,320	\$	9,338,773	\$	-
2015	5 \$	9,757,546	\$	25,878,709	\$	12,727,234	\$	2,545,447	\$	250,010,575	\$	261,335,096	\$	11,324,521	\$	0
2016	\$	11,488,397	\$	32,376,392	\$	15,665,996	\$	3,133,199	\$	276,604,226	\$	290,144,293	\$	13,540,067	\$	0
2017	′\$	13,359,529	\$	40,078,587	\$	19,085,041	\$	3,817,008	\$	331,057,076	\$	348,481,133	\$	17,424,057	\$	0
2018	3 \$	15,331,603	\$	49,061,131	\$	22,997,405	\$	4,599,481	\$	387,682,174	\$	409,523,423	\$	21,841,249	\$	0
2019) \$	17,266,197	\$	59,068,568	\$	27,262,416	\$	5,452,483	\$	443,396,909	\$	470,032,059	\$	26,635,150	\$	0
2020) \$	19,171,036	\$	70,293,799	\$	31,951,727	\$	6,390,345	\$	500,245,539	\$	532,176,105	\$	31,930,566	\$	0

CLASS I RENEW	AB	LES GENER	SA.	TION COST	S N	IET OF AVC	DID	ED COSTS								
									_		_	<u> </u>				
	5	Solar PV Cla	ISS	I Total Avoid	bet	Costs by S	yste	em Scale	C	ther Class I	Re	enewables To	tal .	Avoided Cos	ts t	by System
Energy Year		Residential		Commercial		Industrial	Uti	ility/ Merchant	(Onshore Wind		Offshore Wind		Biomass	[Not Used]
2004	\$	139,510	\$	340,009	\$	99,867	\$	29,662	\$	(3,254,526)	\$	3,867,009	\$	78,296	\$	-
2005	\$	227,073	\$	562,309	\$	164,442	\$	49,196	\$	(4,509,673)	\$	5,031,339	\$	105,005	\$	-
2006	\$	466,026	\$	1,200,504	\$	347,381	\$	105,756	\$	(10,392,078)	\$	9,266,419	\$	217,101	\$	-
2007	\$	851,183	\$	2,297,950	\$	656,891	\$	204,008	\$	(15,950,463)	\$	12,326,853	\$	312,905	\$	-
2008	\$	1,435,573	\$	4,111,832	\$	1,158,173	\$	368,415	\$	(22,302,243)	\$	14,930,523	\$	412,735	\$	-
2009	\$	2,393,452	\$	8,377,051	\$	2,840,941	\$	716,125	\$	(27,974,614)	\$	17,418,619	\$	597,503	\$	-
2010	\$	3,497,541	\$	13,984,862	\$	5,177,527	\$	1,169,767	\$	(33,765,624)	\$	19,367,966	\$	807,289	\$	-
2011	\$	4,398,695	\$	19,723,728	\$	7,787,023	\$	1,627,176	\$	(40,078,415)	\$	20,920,895	\$	1,049,326	\$	-
2012	\$	5,203,473	\$	26,079,910	\$	10,855,165	\$	2,128,491	\$	(46,726,747)	\$	22,020,476	\$	1,319,593	\$	-
2013	\$	5,942,797	\$	33,358,174	\$	14,531,740	\$	2,697,940	\$	(53,836,786)	\$	22,680,991	\$	1,620,525	\$	-
2014	\$	6,560,741	\$	41,391,697	\$	18,779,615	\$	3,321,099	\$	(61,287,997)	\$	22,884,853	\$	1,949,047	\$	-
2015	\$	9,288,484	\$	55,910,117	\$	24,809,352	\$	4,384,022	\$	(70,010,427)	\$	23,147,247	\$	2,360,646	\$	(0)
2016	\$	12,148,383	\$	72,625,572	\$	31,610,045	\$	5,589,128	\$	(79,261,703)	\$	22,978,075	\$	2,811,199	\$	(0)
2017	\$	15,028,832	\$	91,348,453	\$	39,061,606	\$	6,917,082	\$	(98,783,080)	\$	21,761,471	\$	3,585,944	\$	(0)
2018	\$	17,848,719	\$	111,949,245	\$	47,077,105	\$	8,354,388	\$	(119,672,830)	\$	19,592,158	\$	4,449,989	\$	(0)
2019	\$	20,409,519	\$	133,566,541	\$	55,274,519	\$	9,834,273	\$	(140,795,275)	\$	16,571,128	\$	5,369,339	\$	(0)
2020	\$	22,734,670	\$	156,362,962	\$	63,710,921	\$	11,368,623	\$	(162,916,108)	\$	12,592,116	\$	6,364,703	\$	(0)

ESTIMATES OF AIR POLLUTANT EMISSIONS AVOIDED BY RPS GENERATION

Estimates of emission factors by year based on Annual Energy Outlook 2005 projections of emissions and generation for load for the Mid-Atlantic Area Council for 2002 through 2025. See "AEO2005_data" Worksheet in this workbook for derivation of these emissions vectors. Vectors with estimates of distribution losses and nuclear fractions are derived from the same source.

	Emission Fa Generation	actors Based o n (tons/MWh ge	n Average enerated)	Emission Generatior	Factors Assum Not Displaced generated)	ing Nuclear I (tons/MWh	Fraction of Nuclear Generation in Output	Average Transmission and Distribution Losses
Energy Year	CO ₂	SO ₂	NO _x	CO ₂	SO ₂	NO _x		
2004	0.56	0.00448	0.000766	0.90	0.00713	0.00122	37.18%	6.58%
2005	0.57	0.00462	0.000769	0.89	0.00728	0.00121	36.59%	6.52%
2006	0.57	0.00451	0.000792	0.89	0.00704	0.00124	36.00%	6.46%
2007	0.58	0.00439	0.000793	0.89	0.00681	0.00123	35.49%	6.40%
2008	0.58	0.00396	0.000791	0.90	0.00610	0.00122	35.15%	6.32%
2009	0.58	0.00346	0.000792	0.90	0.00533	0.00122	34.97%	6.25%
2010	0.58	0.00340	0.000781	0.89	0.00521	0.00120	34.71%	6.19%
2011	0.58	0.00334	0.000771	0.89	0.00509	0.00118	34.39%	6.12%
2012	0.58	0.00324	0.000761	0.88	0.00491	0.00116	34.12%	6.06%
2013	0.58	0.00320	0.000750	0.88	0.00484	0.00113	33.87%	5.98%
2014	0.58	0.00316	0.000737	0.87	0.00477	0.00111	33.67%	5.91%
2015	0.58	0.00312	0.000743	0.87	0.00471	0.00112	33.71%	5.85%
2016	0.58	0.00307	0.000749	0.87	0.00463	0.00113	33.70%	5.83%
2017	0.57	0.00302	0.000722	0.86	0.00454	0.00109	33.52%	5.82%
2018	0.57	0.00302	0.000699	0.86	0.00453	0.00105	33.39%	5.80%
2019	0.57	0.00299	0.000687	0.86	0.00448	0.00103	33.28%	5.78%
2020	0.57	0.00293	0.000673	0.86	0.00439	0.00101	33.28%	5.76%

▼

Calculations below assume emissions factors that:

Are Calculated Based on System-Average Emissions

Calculating based on non-nuclear generation only effectively assumes that nuclear power will not be displaced by renewable power.

CLASS I RENEWABLES CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSIONS SAVINGS

	Solar PV Clas	ss I Total Avoid	ed Total Avoid	ed CO ₂ Emissi	ons (tons) by	Other Class I R	enewables Tota	al Avoided CC	D ₂ Emissions (to	ns) by System
			System Scale	_	_			Туре	-	
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	TOTAL	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]	TOTAL
2004	1,453	2,135	727	213	4,528	154,523	157,676	3,154	-	315,353
2005	2,521	3,702	1,260	370	7,853	209,515	213,791	4,276	-	427,582
2006	5,952	8,742	2,976	874	18,543	443,716	452,771	9,055	-	905,542
2007	12,650	18,579	6,325	1,858	39,412	651,554	664,851	13,297	-	1,329,702
2008	25,372	37,265	12,686	3,729	79,051	876,954	894,851	17,897	-	1,789,702
2009	33,276	52,687	19,411	5,220	110,594	1,080,688	1,106,507	25,818	-	2,213,014
2010	43,053	73,806	29,215	7,240	153,313	1,268,873	1,303,637	34,764	-	2,607,274
2011	51,902	96,816	40,922	9,405	199,045	1,463,377	1,508,636	45,259	-	3,017,272
2012	60,843	124,220	55,772	11,952	252,787	1,660,470	1,717,727	57,258	-	3,435,454
2013	70,168	157,878	74,952	15,047	318,044	1,861,956	1,932,826	70,870	-	3,865,652
2014	78,994	197,485	98,742	18,647	393,869	2,060,876	2,146,746	85,870	-	4,293,492
2015	93,630	248,323	122,126	23,074	487,154	2,295,235	2,399,201	103,965	0	4,798,402
2016	109,769	309,349	149,685	28,287	597,090	2,529,028	2,652,827	123,799	0	5,305,654
2017	126,952	380,856	181,360	34,278	723,446	3,010,906	3,169,374	158,469	0	6,338,749
2018	145,459	465,470	218,189	41,246	870,364	3,520,873	3,719,233	198,359	0	7,438,465
2019	163,498	559,335	258,155	48,810	1,029,798	4,019,807	4,261,280	241,473	0	8,522,560
2020	181,629	665,973	302,715	57,244	1,207,562	4,538,294	4,827,973	289,678	0	9,655,946
ΤΟΤΑΙ	1 207 120	3 402 622	1 575 219	307 492	6 492 453	31 646 646	33 129 907	1 483 260	0	66 259 814

CLASS I RENEWABLES SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS SAVINGS

	Solar PV Clas	ss I Total Avoid	ed Total Avoid System Scale	ed SO ₂ Emissio	ons (tons) by	Other Class I Renewables Total Avoided SO ₂ Emissions (tons) by System Type						
								.)po				
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	TOTAL	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]	TOTAL		
2004	12	17	6	2	36	1,231	1,256	25	-	2,511		
2005	21	30	10	3	64	1,708	1,743	35	-	3,486		
2006	47	69	24	7	146	3,505	3,576	72	-	7,153		
2007	97	142	48	14	301	4,977	5,079	102	-	10,158		
2008	173	254	86	25	539	5,977	6,099	122	-	12,199		
2009	197	313	115	31	656	6,410	6,563	153	-	13,126		
2010	251	431	171	42	895	7,406	7,609	203	-	15,218		
2011	299	557	235	54	1,145	8,420	8,680	260	-	17,360		
2012	339	692	311	67	1,409	9,255	9,574	319	-	19,148		
2013	387	871	414	83	1,755	10,273	10,664	391	-	21,328		
2014	431	1,079	539	102	2,151	11,255	11,724	469	-	23,449		
2015	506	1,342	660	125	2,633	12,407	12,969	562	0	25,939		
2016	585	1,649	798	151	3,183	13,483	14,143	660	0	28,286		
2017	669	2,008	956	181	3,815	15,877	16,713	836	0	33,425		
2018	767	2,454	1,150	217	4,589	18,564	19,610	1,046	0	39,220		
2019	856	2,930	1,352	256	5,395	21,058	22,323	1,265	0	44,646		
2020	932	3,418	1,554	294	6,198	23,295	24,782	1,487	0	49,564		
TOTAL	6,570	18,257	8,430	1,653	34,911	175,101	183,107	8,006	0	366,215		

CLASS I RENEW	ABLES NITRO	GEN OXIDES F	MISSIONS S	AVINGS						
1	Solar PV Cla	ss I Total Avoid	ed Total Avoid	led NO _x Emissi	ons (tons) by	Other Class I F	enewables Tot	al Avoided NC	D _x Emissions (to	ons) by System
<u> </u>			System Scale					Туре		· · · ·
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	TOTAL	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]	TOTAL
2004	2.0	2.9	1.0	0.3	6.2	210	214	4.3	-	429
2005	3.4	5.0	1.7	0.5	11	285	290	5.8	-	581
2006	8.3	12.1	4.1	1.2	26	616	628	12.6	-	1,257
2007	17.4	25.6	8.7	2.6	54	898	916	18.3	-	1,832
2008	34.5	50.7	17.3	5.1	108	1,194	1,218	24.4	-	2,436
2009	45.1	71.4	26.3	7.1	150	1,465	1,500	35.0	-	3,000
2010	57.7	98.9	39.2	9.7	206	1,701	1,748	46.6	-	3,495
2011	68.9	128.5	54.3	12.5	264	1,943	2,003	60.1	-	4,006
2012	79.8	162.9	73.1	15.7	332	2,178	2,253	75.1	-	4,505
2013	90.7	204.0	96.8	19.4	411	2,405	2,497	91.6	-	4,994
2014	100.5	251.3	125.7	23.7	501	2,623	2,732	109.3	-	5,464
2015	120.4	319.3	157.0	29.7	626	2,951	3,085	133.7	0	6,170
2016	142.7	402.2	194.6	36.8	776	3,288	3,449	161.0	0	6,899
2017	160.0	480.1	228.6	43.2	912	3,796	3,995	199.8	0	7,991
2018	177.8	569.1	266.8	50.4	1,064	4,305	4,547	242.5	0	9,095
2019	196.7	673.0	310.6	58.7	1,239	4,837	5,127	290.5	0	10,254
2020	214.1	785.1	356.8	67.5	1,424	5,350	5,691	341.5	0	11,383
ΤΟΤΑΙ	1 520	4 242	1 963	384	8 109	40.043	41 895	1 852	0	83 790

FRACTION OF RE	ENEWABLE CL	ASS I GENER	ATION BY TY	PE OF GENER	ATOR AND B	Y YEAR		
	Solar PV	Class I MWh C	Output by Syste	m Scale	Other Class	Renewables M	Nh Output by S	System Type
Energy Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchant	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]
2004	32.0%	47.0%	16.0%	5.0%	49.0%	50.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2005	32.0%	47.0%	16.0%	5.0%	49.0%	50.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2006	32.0%	47.0%	16.0%	5.0%	49.0%	50.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2007	32.0%	47.0%	16.0%	5.0%	49.0%	50.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2008	32.0%	47.0%	16.0%	5.0%	49.0%	50.0%	1.0%	0.0%
2009	30.0%	47.5%	17.5%	5.0%	48.8%	50.0%	1.2%	0.0%
2010	28.0%	48.0%	19.0%	5.0%	48.7%	50.0%	1.3%	0.0%
2011	26.0%	48.5%	20.5%	5.0%	48.5%	50.0%	1.5%	0.0%
2012	24.0%	49.0%	22.0%	5.0%	48.3%	50.0%	1.7%	0.0%
2013	22.0%	49.5%	23.5%	5.0%	48.2%	50.0%	1.8%	0.0%
2014	20.0%	50.0%	25.0%	5.0%	48.0%	50.0%	2.0%	0.0%
2015	19.2%	50.8%	25.0%	5.0%	47.8%	50.0%	2.2%	0.0%
2016	18.3%	51.7%	25.0%	5.0%	47.7%	50.0%	2.3%	0.0%
2017	17.5%	52.5%	25.0%	5.0%	47.5%	50.0%	2.5%	0.0%
2018	16.7%	53.3%	25.0%	5.0%	47.3%	50.0%	2.7%	0.0%
2019	15.8%	54.2%	25.0%	5.0%	47.2%	50.0%	2.8%	0.0%
2020	15.0%	55.0%	25.0%	5.0%	47.0%	50.0%	3.0%	0.0%

Notes and Sources:

Note 1: Values for fractions of PV systems installed by type for 2004 - 2008 are at this point placeholder values based roughly on ratios of 2003 electricity sales by customer class. The relatively high percentage of residential systems for this period reflects, in part, the continued high CEP contribution toward system capital costs.

Note 2: Values for fractions of PV systems installed by type for 2009 - 2014 assume that CEP incentives for installation of smaller PV systems (indeed, all systems) will be reduced after 2009, and that as a result more of the capital costs of PV system installation will ultimately be paid through RECs. This implies that solar PV systems will be installed more often as a business investment, meaning that a higher proportion of systems will be installed by customers in the commercial and industrial sectors after 2008.

Note 3: Values for fractions of PV systems installed by type for 2015 - 2020 assume that CEP incentives for installation of PV systems continue to slowly decline, and that the trend toward increasing factions of total PV generation on commercial and industrial customer sites increases, tempered somewhat by the assumption that falling PV prices make "solar roofs" more affordable.

Note 4: Figures for fractions of non-solar Class I generation from onshore and offshore wind are placeholder values at present. Values for fractions of non-solar Class I generation from biomass-fueled plants reflect the assumption that biomass plants, and the fuel collection/supply networks needed to feed them, may develop more slowly than wind power infrastructure. Having risen to 3 percent of total non-solar Class I RPS generation by 2020, the total biomass generation will use each year roughly one-third of the biomass resources estimated by an Oak Ridge National Laboratory study (see the "Biomass_Fuels" worksheet in this workbook) as being available annually in New Jersey.

Note 5: The results of several studies that estimated value of the on-peak and/or transmission and distribution
system benefits of solar photovoltaic power or other distributed generation are provided below. Although clearly
an anecdotal sampling for systems in various locations, these studies overall suggest that both the
distribution capacity and ancillary system benefits of distributed PV generation and the
additional on-peak system (generation) benefits of PV generation can be substantial.
We use \$50 /kW-yr as starting values for each of these parameters,
though higher values for each could arguably be justified based on the results shown below.
The paper "Mid-Atlantic States Cost Curve Analysis", by William B. Marcus, and Greg Ruszovan,
dated September 18, 2002, prepared for the The National Association of Energy Service Companies and
the Pace Law School Energy Project, and available as
http://www.millionsolarroofs.org/articles/static/1/binaries/Mid_Atlantic_States_Cost_Curve_Analysis.pdf,
includes a comparison of the value of both DSM load reduction and peak savings through solar PV
distributed generation. Using 2000 data from the PJM utility system, and based on data from a PV installation
in the Philadelphia area, this analysis concluded (page 13) that
the value of solar generation was 206% higher than actual market prices
the on-peak nature of solar PV generation. Discounting for the fact that higher summertime generation has already
been accounted for above (with solar PV generation in the summer being a factor of
higher than it generation were evenly averaged over the year), a net cost premium due to on-peak generation of 159%
can be estimated. At 2005 weighted average BGS costs, this is
about \$ 121.37 per kW-yr of Solar PV capacity.
In the article " The Role of Electric Utilities in the Photovoltaics Industry ", in <u>Renewable Energy Annual 1996</u> , dated April 1997 (available as http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/solar.renewables/renewable.energy.annual/chap07.html), Peter Holihan of the USDOE Energy Information Administration presents a study of a solar PV installation in the Pacific Gas and Electric (California) service territory that finds that total "non-traditional" (that is, benefits other than the avoided cost of fuel and generating capacity), excluding externalities (pollutant emissions) costs, was between \$107 and \$180 per kW-yr (1995 dollars"nominal" and "high" estimates). The elements of these estimated benefits were "postpone planned reliability improvements" (\$4/kW-yr), "reduce kWh and kVAR losses" (\$14-15/kW-yr), "reduced transformer upgrade expenditures" (\$16-88/kW-yr), "marginal cost of transmission capacity" (\$45/kW-yr),
and "marginal cost of keeping peak load-following units on line" (\$28/kW-yr). In a July 9, 2001 filing before the New Jersey BPU , a group of New Jersey Utilities filed as " Supplement 1 to the Program Compliance Filing " a document lableled "New Jersey Clean Energy Collaborative Energy and Economic Assessment of Energy Efficiency Programs", in which Table 3.10, on page 18, indicates a stream of "Projected Avoided Resource Costs" in 2000 dollars wherein electricity transmission and distribution avoided capacity from 2000 to 2016 had a values ranging from about \$23 to \$25 per kW-yr.
In a report entitled QUANTIFYING THE BENEFITS OF SOLAR POWER FOR CALIFORNIA: A WHITE PAPER by Ed Smeloff, dated January 2005, prepared for the Vote Solar Initiative, and available as http://www.votesolar.org/tools_QuantifyingSolar'sBenefits.pdf, includes estimates of avoided transmission and distribution losses for three California utilities ranging from about \$40 to about \$90 per kW-yr, for which the original source was "California Long-Term Avoided Costs, Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc., pages 129-130."
In the study SYSTEM WIDE ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION IN THE NEW <u>ENGLAND ENERGY MARKET</u> , by Dragoljub Kosanovic and Christopher Beebe, dated February 2005 prepared for the Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, and available as http://www.ceere.org/iac/pubsdownloads/DG%20Benefits%20Report.pdf, the authors estimate a value of annual transmission deferment of \$57.92/kW-yr, a distribution deferral value of \$5.22/kW-yr, and a congestion cost reduction value of \$23.50/kW-yr. They also cite a reduction in the cost of on-peak utility costs for power (locational marginal price) at \$220.75/kW-yr. Note that these costs are calculated for fuel-fired distributed generation or cogeneration.

2.5 RPS and Solar RPS Generation Requirements Estimate Worksheet

Estimate o	of Rate Impa	acts of P	roposed N	lew Jersey	y Renewa	ble Portfo	olio Stano	dard						
(RPS) Rule	stimate of Rate impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard {PS) Rules OARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy													
BOARD OF	PUBLIC UTIL	ITIES, Ene	ergy Compe	tition Stand	ards, Rene	wable Ener	qv							
and Energy	Efficiencv													
"Proposed F	Readoption W	Vith Amen	dments: N.J	J.A.C. 14:4										
Proposed N	ew Rules: N.	J.A.C. 14:	4-1. 2.3. 2.4	. 2.5. 2.7. an	d 5: and 14	:8-1"								
(As described	in BPU proposa	al in the New	Jersev Reais	ter. Mondav. C	ctober 17. 20	05. (Cite 37 N	I.J.R. 3911))							
• • • • • • • • •							,							
Prepared by:	repared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005													
ESTIMATE C	STIMATE OF RPS AND SOLAR RPS GENERATION REQUIREMENTS BY YEAR													
KEY ASSUMPT	TIONS AND INP	<u>uts</u>												
Estimated NJ-V See "Sales_Date	wide sales in En ta" worksheet in t	this workboo	005, excluding k	g municipal uti	lities:	76,793,865	MWh							
Annual Rates of	Annual Rates of Change for Key Parameters													
		· · · · · *		2004 2008	2000 2014	2014 2020	1							
Growth Rates B	etween Energy	rears -	aloc	2004 - 2008	2008 - 2014	2014 - 2020	See Note 3							
Annual new EE	** savings as % (of pre-EE sal	es	1.4%	0.7%	0.8%	See Note 4							
**EE denotes "E	nergy Efficiency	," ,"			••••									
Total 2020 Clas	s I Renewables	Generation F	Requirement as	% of Net State	wide Sales		20%	See Note 1						
Total 2016 Clas	s I Renewables	Generation H	equirement as	% of Net State	wide Sales		11.603%	See Note 1						
Total 2020 Clas	s I Solar PV Ger	/ Generation	Requirement as % (of Net Statewid	e Sales wide Sales		2.12%	See Note 1						
(Note that total	Class Land Sola	r PV Class L	requirement a	irements for 20	004-2007 are a	as in existina	17.0076							
estimates of RF	S percentages c	of supplied er	perav from the l	BPU proposal. a	as shown in ve	llow in the tab	le below.							
Annual percenta	age requirements	s increase to	target values a	bove from 2008	8 on, with relat	ive year-to-ye	ar growth							
as in the BPU p	roposal.)													
Annual 2004 - 2	020 Class II Rer	newables Ger	neration Requir	rement as % of	Net Statewide	Sales	2.50%	See Note 2						
A			10		Devert estime			D011						
Average persist	ence of EE Savir	ngs	10	years	Rougn estima	te based on a	verage lile or	DSM measur	es					
				Statewide	Class	Total Class I	Class I Non-	Close Color	Total Class I	Class Non	Class Salar	Total Class II		
		New EE	Net Total EE	Electricity	Generation	Requirements	Requirements	Requirements	Generation	Solar Generation	Generation	Generation		
	Pre-EE Sales	Savings	Savings	Demand Net	Requirements	as % of Net	as % of Net	as % of Net	Requirements	Requirements	Requirements	Requirements		
Energy Year	(MWh)	(MWh)	(MWh)	of EE	(MWh)	Generation	Generation	Generation	(MWh)	(MWh)	(MWh)	(MWh)		
2004	76,793,865	1,075,114	1,075,114	75,718,751	1,892,969	0.750%	0.740%	0.010%	567,891	560,319	12.0572	1,892,969		
2005	81 237 882	1 137 330	2,100,099	77 919 652	1,920,093	2.076%	2 037%	0.017%	1 617 8/6	1 587 222	30 622	1,920,093		
2008	83.555 420	1,169,776	4,488,005	79.067 415	1,976 685	3.006%	2.924%	0.082%	2.376.529	2.311 931	64 598	1,976 685		
2008	85,939,072	1,203,147	5,691,152	80,247,920	2,006,198	4.000%	3.840%	0.160%	3,209,917	3,081,520	128,397	2,006,198		
2009	87,184,869	610,294	6,301,446	80,883,422	2,022,086	4.906%	4.685%	0.221%	3,968,141	3,789,388	178,752	2,022,086		
2010	88,448,724	619,141	6,920,587	81,528,137	2,038,203	5.797%	5.492%	0.305%	4,726,186	4,477,525	248,661	2,038,203		
2011	89,730,901	628,116	7,548,704	82,182,197	2,054,555	6.714%	6.320%	0.394%	5,517,713	5,193,915	323,798	2,054,555		
2012	91,031,665	637,222	8,185,925	82,845,739	2,071,143	7.640%	7.143%	0.497%	6,329,414	5,917,671	411,743	2,071,143		
2013	92,351,284	646,459	8,832,384	83,518,900	2,087,973	8.598%	7.977%	0.621%	7,180,955	6,662,303	518,652	2,087,973		
2014	93,690,034	655,830	9,488,214	84,201,819	2,105,045	9.572%	8.807%	0.765%	8,059,798	7,415,654	644,144	2,105,045		
2015	95,170,857	761,367	9,143,797	86,027,060	2,150,677	10.577%	9.649%	0.928%	9,099,082	8,300,751	798,331	2,150,677		
2016	96,675,085	773,401	8,779,867	87,895,218	2,197,380	11.603%	10.485%	1.118%	10,198,482	9,215,814	982,669	2,197,380		
2017	98,203,089	785,625	8,395,716	89,807,373	2,245,184	13.658%	12.325%	1.333%	12,265,891	11,068,759	1,197,132	2,245,184		
2018	99,700,244	810 655	7,990,011	91,704,033	2,294,116	15.747%	16.020%	1.072%	14,450,177	14 020 564	1,442,540	2,294,110		
2019	102 933 538	823 468	8 395 200	94 538 230	2,320,324	20.000%	17 880%	2 120%	18 907 648	16 903 437	2 004 211	2,320,324		
*An "Energy Ye	ar" runs from from	m June 1 XX	to May 31, XX	+ 1.	2,000,400	20.000 /0	17.00076	2.120/0	.0,007,040	10,000,407	2,004,211	2,000,400		
For example, Er	nergy year 2008	runs from the	e beginning of	June 2008 to the	e end of May,	2009.								

Notes and Sources

Note 1: Base values of 20%, 11.603%, 2.12%, for 2020 Class I, 2016 Class I, and 2020 Solar PV Generation, respectively,

are from BPU Proposal, Table A, as shown below. Renewable Energy Percentages as included in the Proposed RPS Rule, Table A (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3937) of

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

		Class I Non-	
		solar	Total Class I
	Solar Electric	Renewable	Renewable
Energy Year	Generation	Energy	Energy
2004	0.010%	0.740%	0.750%
2005	0.017%	0.983%	1.000%
2006	0.039%	2.037%	2.076%
2007	0.082%	2.924%	3.006%
2008	0.160%	3.840%	4.000%
2009	0.221%	4.685%	4.906%
2010	0.305%	5.492%	5.797%
2011	0.394%	6.320%	6.714%
2012	0.497%	7.143%	7.640%
2013	0.621%	7.977%	8.598%
2014	0.765%	8.807%	9.572%
2015	0.928%	9.649%	10.577%
2016	1.118%	10.485%	11.603%
2017	1.333%	12.325%	13.658%
2018	1.572%	14.175%	15.747%
2019	1.836%	16.029%	17.865%
2020	2.120%	17.880%	20.000%

Note 2: Class II generation fraction from BPU Proposal cited above.

Note 3: Long-term statewide electricity demand sales projections for New Jersey were not available as of the time this estimate of rate impacts was prepared, so the following adaptation of regional data from the the <u>Annual Energy Outlook 2005</u> (AEO2005), prepared by the USDOE Energy Information Administration (EIA), was used. Data below, except as noted, are from the Supplement volume to AEO2005, available from http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/supplement/supref.html.

Estimates of M	id-Atlantic Sta	tes Electricity	Consumptio	on by Sector fro	om AEO2005					
See "AEO2005	_data" workshee	et in this workb	ook.	r			·			
Units: Quadrillio	n Btu		0011		Implied Ra	tes of Growth	of overall			
Desidential	2004	2008	2014	2020	2004-2008	2008-2014	2014-2020			
Residential	0.4203	0.4592	0.4723	0.4951	2.24%	0.47%	0.79%			
Commercial	0.5116	0.5740	0.6207	0.6680	2.92%	1.31%	1.23%			
Tatal	0.2855	0.2996	0.3117	0.3198	1.21%	0.67%	0.42%			
Total	1.2282	1.3443	1.4174	1.4968	2.28%	0.89%	0.91%			
Domographic/	Conomia Para	motors for Mi	d Atlantia St	atoc						
(Data in millions		2004	2008	2014	2020					
Population	sj	40.28	40.80	41 42	41.98	See "Populati	on" workshee	t in this work	book	
Non-Farm Emp	ovment	17.9	18.4	18.9	19.7		01 worksnee)5 data" work	sheet in this	workbook	
	oymont	17.5	10.4	10.5	15.7	000 AL0200		Sheet in this	WOINDOOK.	
Demographic/	Economic Para	meters for Ne	w Jersev							
(Data in millions	a)	2004	2008	2014	2020					
Population	,	8.68	8.91	9.21	9.64	See "Populati	on" workshee	t in this work	book	
Total Employme	ent*	4.08	4 27	4.56	4 94	See "NJ Emr	olovment Pro	iections" worl	ksheet in this	workbook
*Note that farm	employment in	New Jersev is	verv lowon t	he order of 0.1%	6 of total empl	ovment and				nonaoona
therefore the dif	ference betwee	n Total and No	n-Farm Empl	ovment in New .	lersev is neale	ected in these	estimates			
In the table abo		t volues for 200	1 rann Empi	ro linoarly interr	olated from p	roioctions	countates.			
			4 anu 2000 a	ie intearty interp			41.			
101 2002 - 2012	as in source do	Cument, and va			sed on relative	rates of grow	ui			
of employment	n mid-Atlantic S	states in 2008-2	2014 and 2014	4-2020, plus gro	with in employ	ment				
in New Jersey f	rom 2008 to 201	14 relative to gr	owth in emplo	byment in the M	id-Atlantic Stat	tes				
over the same p	period.									
Note that both	population and	d total employ	ment in New	Jersey, based	on the figure	s shown her	e, are expect	ed		
to increase sig	nificantly faste	er than in the o	other states of	of the Mid-Atla	ntic Region (N	New York and	Pennsylvan	ia).		
In the calculati	ons shown bel	low, this cause	es forecast g	rowth in electr	icity use in N	ew Jersey to	increase			
significantly fa	ster than in the	e region as a v	vhole.							
Implied per-per-	rson and per-e	mployee elect	ricity consur	mption in Mid-A	Atlantic States	S				
						Implied Rat	es of Growth	of Per-unit	1	
						E	lectricity Use		1	
		2004	2008	2014	2020	2004-2008	2008-2014	2014-2020	I	
Residential (MM	IBtu/person)	10.43	11.25	11.40	11.79	1.91%	0.22%	0.56%	1	
Commercial (MI	MBtu/Empl.)	28.58	31.20	32.84	33.91	2.21%	0.86%	0.54%	1	
Industrial (MMB	tu/Empl.)	15.95	16.28	16.49	16.23	0.52%	0.22%	-0.27%	1	
	• / •									
Estimated Elec	tricity Consum	ption growth	in New Jerse	ey using per-pe	erson and per	-employee g	rowth as in			
Mid-Atlantic St	ates from AEO	projections,	and growth i	n employment	and population	on in New Jer	sey as show	n above.		
		• •	-				-			
					Implied Ra	tes of Growth	of overall			
Units: MWh		Energy	Year		F	Electricity Use	or or or an			
	2004	2008	2014	2020	2004-2008	2008-2014	2014-2020			
Residential	27 717 257	30 686 205	32 136 636	34 785 913	2.58%	0.77%	1 33%			
Commercial	37 492 205	42 841 796	48 129 563	53 816 802	3 30%	1 96%	1.88%			
Industrial	10 964 177	11 716 984	12 667 1/6	13 499 480	1 67%	1 31%	1.07%			
Sum of Abovo	76 173 620	85 244 084	02 033 3/5	102 102 104	2 850/	1 // 150/	1.52%			
	76 703 865	85 030 072	03 600 034	102,102,194	2.00%	1.40%	1.50%			
*Noto that close		00,909,072	33,030,034	102,333,338	∠.00%	1.40%	1.30%			
note that elect	icity consumption	un ior transpon		s not accounted	ioi explicitly, s					

totals of the residential/commercial/industrial sales shown are slightly less than full statewide sales from private utilities. The "Total Sales" row figures assume that transport and other sales will increase at the average combined rate of the other sectors.

Note 4: No firm estimates of EE (Energy Efficiency) savings to be achieved in the coming years by the Clean Energy Program and other initiatives in New Jersey are available, therefore it is assumed that roughly half of the estimated growth in (pre-EE) electricity service needs will be met through EE savings.

Note that both population and total employment in New Jersey, based on the figures shown here, are expected to increase significantly faster than in the other states of the Mid-Atlantic Region (New York and Pennsylvania). In the calculations shown below, this causes forecast growth in electricity use in New Jersey to increase significantly faster than in the region as a whole.

mplied per-person and per-employee electricity consumption in Mid-Atlantic States													
I I					Implied Rat	es of Growth	of Per-unit						
1					I E	lectricity Use	;						
Ĺ	2004	2008	2014	2020	2004-2008	2008-2014	2014-2020						
Residential (MMBtu/person)	10.43	11.25	11.40	11.79	1.91%	0.22%	0.56%						
Commercial (MMBtu/Empl.)	28.58	31.20	32.84	33.91	2.21%	0.86%	0.54%						
Industrial (MMBtu/Empl.)	15.95	16.28	16.49	16.23	0.52%	0.22%	-0.27%						

Estimated Electricity Consumption growth in New Jersey using per-person and per-employee growth as in Mid-Atlantic States from AEO projections, and growth in employment and population in New Jersey as shown above.

Units: MWh		Energ	v Year		Implied Ra E	tes of Growth lectricity Use	of overall
	2004	2008	2014	2020	2004-2008	2008-2014	2014-2020
Residential	27,717,257	30,686,205	32,136,636	34,785,913	2.58%	0.77%	1.33%
Commercial	37,492,205	42,841,796	48,129,563	53,816,802	3.39%	1.96%	1.88%
Industrial	10,964,177	11,716,984	12,667,146	13,499,480	1.67%	1.31%	1.07%
Sum of Above	76,173,639	85,244,984	92,933,345	102,102,194	2.85%	1.45%	1.58%
TOTAL Sales*	76,793,865	85,939,072	93,690,034	102,933,538	2.85%	1.45%	1.58%

*Note that electricity consumption for transport and "other" is not accounted for explicitly, so the totals of the residential/commercial/industrial sales shown are slightly less than full statewide sales from private utilities. The "Total Sales" row figures assume that transport and other sales will increase at the average combined rate of the other sectors.

Note 4: No firm estimates of EE (Energy Efficiency) savings to be achieved in the coming years by the Clean Energy Program and other initiatives in New Jersey are available, therefore it is assumed that roughly half of the estimated growth in (pre-EE) electricity service needs will be met through EE savings.

2.6 Renewable Generation Alternatives Costs Estimation Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Je	ersey Re	enewable	Portfo	lio Standard										
RPS) Rules 30ARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency														
BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition S	Standards	s, Renewał	ble Enerc	IV										
and Energy Efficiency			-											
"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 1	4:4													
Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.	7, and 5;	and 14:8-	1"											
(As described in BPU proposal in the New Jersey Register, Mon	day, Octob	er 17, 2005,	(Cite 37 N.	J.R. 3911))										
Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Mod	dified:	12/15/2005												
ESTIMATE OF COSTS OF RENEWABLE GENERATION	N ALTER	NATIVES												
EY ASSUMPTIONS AND INPUTS														
Solar PV System Costs System Scale														
Solar PV System Costs System Scale														
Cost Element	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Merchant Units										
Approximate Average Total Capital Cost in 2004	\$ 8,000	\$ 6,100	\$ 5,800	\$ 5,400 \$/kW	See Note 10									
Fixed Operations and Maintenance	\$ 15.00 ¢	\$ 12.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 7.00 \$/kW-yr	See Note 11									
Annual Full-load-equivalent Hours of Operation/vr (k/Wh ac/k/W dc)	φ - 1200	φ - 1200	φ - 1200	φ - φ/KWΠ 1200 kWb/kW	See Note 9									
Capital Recovery Factor*	8.6%	12.7%	12.7%	11.0% per vear	See Note 18									
*Real return on investmentdesigned to include payments to capital	and require	d profit.												
Other Generation System Costs	Svet	am Tyrna (Littili	ity/Merchan	at scale)										
	Onshore		ty/ivicicitat											
Cost Element	Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used] Units										
Approximate Average Total Capital Cost in 2004	\$ 1,150	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000 \$/kW	See Note 16									
Fixed Operations and Maintenance	\$ 20.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 10.00 \$/kW-yr	See Notes 8 (biomass) 14 (wind)									
Variable Operations and Maintenance	φ - 2628	⇒ - 2	\$ 0.026 5500	\$ 0.003 \$/KWN	See Notes 5 (biomass), 14 (Wind) See Notes 6 (biomass), 15 (wind)									
Capital Recovery Eactor*	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%	11.0% per vear	See Note 18									
*Real return on investimentdesigned to include payments to capital	and require	ed profit.	111070	<u> </u>										
Annual Rates of Change and Values for Key Parameters (all char	nges in real	terms)	2015	1										
Variable	2004 - 2008	2009 - 2014	2015 -											
Annual Average Change in Solar PV System Capital Costs	-4.0%	-3.5%	-3.0%	See Note 12										
Annual Average Change in Wind System Capital Costs	-3.0%	-2.5%	-2.0%	See Note 17										
Annual Average Change in Biomass System Capital Costs	-0.7%	-0.7%	-0.7%	See Note 4										
Annual Average Change in [Not Used] System Capital Costs	-0.5%	-0.5%	-0.5%	[Not Used]										
New Jersey Clean Energy Program Average Solar Capital Cost														
Grant as a Fraction of Capital CostsResidential	66.4%	55.0%	35.0%	See Note 1										
Grant as a Fraction of Capital Costs														
Commercial/Industrial/Merchant	60.1%	35.0%	20.0%	See Note 2										
New Jersey Clean Energy Program Average Capital Cost Grant as a	00.170	00.070	20.070	000 11010 2										
Fraction of Capital CostsOther Renewables	9.8%	7.0%	5.0%	See Note 3										
Exclude New Jersey Clean Energy Program contributions toward	NEC.	-		If "YES" is chosen, cos	sts shown below will be									
capital costs from summary costs per kWh?	YES			reduced through CEP	contribution.									
New Jersey Solar Tax CreditResidential	0%	0%	0%	None assumed at pres	sent									
New Jersey Solar Tax CreditCommercial/Industrial/Merchant	0%	0%	0%	None assumed at pres	sent									
Federal Solar Tax CreditResidential	0%	0%	0%	See Note 7										
Federal Solar Lax CreditCommercial/Industrial/Merchant	10%	b 10%	10%	See Note /										
Total investment Tax Greans, Ourer Renewables	0%	0%	0%	1300 NOIE 13										

SUMMARY RESULTS: COSTS PER KWH FOR RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY OPTIONS

NOTE: Summary Costs per kWh EXCLUDE portion of capital costs paid by CEP

(All Costs in real 2004 dollars) Annual Total Costs (\$/kWh) for Systems Purchased in Year Indicated Solar PV Systems Wind Systems Other Renewables Utility/ Offshore [Not Used] Energy Year Commercial Industrial Onshore Wind Biomass Residential Merchant Wind 0.205 0.243 \$ 0.051 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.041 2004 \$ \$ 0.230 \$ 0.184 \$ \$ 0.077 2005 \$ 0.197 \$ 0.233 \$ 0.221 \$ 0.177 \$ 0.050 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.041 2006 \$ 0.190 \$ 0.224 \$ 0.212 \$ 0.170 \$ 0.049 \$ 0.073 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.041 2007 \$ 0.183 \$ 0.216 \$ 0.204 \$ 0.163 \$ 0.047 \$ 0.071 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.040 2008 \$ 0.176 \$ 0.208 \$ 0.196 \$ 0.157 \$ 0.046 \$ 0.070 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.040 0.321 \$ 0.304 \$ 0.244 \$ 0.223 \$ 0.046 \$ 0.070 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.041 2009 \$ 2010 \$ 0.216 \$ 0.310 \$ 0.293 \$ 0.235 \$ 0.045 \$ 0.069 \$ 0.077 \$ 0.041 0.299 \$ 0.283 \$ 0.227 \$ \$ 0.209 \$ 0.044 \$ 0.067 \$ 0.076 2011 \$ 0.041 2012 \$ 0.202 \$ 0.289 \$ 0.274 \$ 0.219 \$ 0.043 \$ 0.066 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.041 0.279 \$ 0.265 \$ 0.212 \$ 0.043 \$ 0.065 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.040 2013 \$ 0.195 \$ \$ 2014 \$ 0.189 \$ 0.270 \$ 0.256 \$ 0.205 0.042 \$ 0.064 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.040 2015 \$ 0.260 \$ 0.304 \$ 0.243 0.042 \$ 0.064 \$ 0.320 \$ \$ 0.076 \$ 0.041 0.295 \$ 0.236 \$ 2016 \$ 0.252 \$ 0.311 \$ 0.041 \$ 0.063 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.041 0.245 \$ 0.286 \$ 0.229 \$ 2017 \$ 0.302 \$ 0.040 \$ 0.062 \$ 0.076 \$ 0.040 2018 \$ 0.238 \$ 0.293 \$ 0.278 \$ 0.223 \$ 0.040 \$ 0.061 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.040 \$ \$ 2019 \$ 0.232 \$ 0.285 \$ 0.270 \$ 0.216 0.039 \$ 0.060 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.040 2020 \$ 0.225 \$ 0.277 \$ 0.262 \$ 0.210 0.038 \$ 0.059 \$ 0.075 \$ 0.040

COST COMPONENT TRENDS OVER TIME FOR RENEWABLE GENERATION OPTIONS

(All Costs in real 2004 dollars)

						Full	Sys	stem Co	sts	(\$/kW)						
				Solar PV	Sys	tems				Wind Sy	/ste	ems	C	ther Re	new	ables
F V								Utility/			Offshore					
Energy Year		Residential	Co	ommercial		Industrial	M	Merchant		hore Wind		Wind	В	iomass	[N	ot Used]
2004	1 3	\$ 8,000	\$	6,100	\$	5,800	\$	5,400	\$	1,150	\$	2,000	\$	2,000	\$	2,000
2005	5 3	\$ 7,680	\$	5,856	\$	5,568	\$	5,184	\$	1,116	\$	1,940	\$	1,986	\$	1,990
2006	5 5	\$ 7,373	\$	5,622	\$	5,345	\$	4,977	\$	1,082	\$	1,882	\$	1,972	\$	1,980
2007	7 3	\$ 7,078	\$	5,397	\$	5,131	\$	4,778	\$	1,050	\$	1,825	\$	1,958	\$	1,970
2008	3 3	\$ 6,795	\$	5,181	\$	4,926	\$	4,586	\$	1,018	\$	1,771	\$	1,945	\$	1,960
2009	9 3	\$ 6,557	\$	5,000	\$	4,754	\$	4,426	\$	993	\$	1,726	\$	1,931	\$	1,950
2010) (\$ 6,327	\$	4,825	\$	4,587	\$	4,271	\$	968	\$	1,683	\$	1,917	\$	1,941
2011	1 3	\$ 6,106	\$	4,656	\$	4,427	\$	4,122	\$	944	\$	1,641	\$	1,904	\$	1,931
2012	2 3	\$ 5,892	\$	4,493	\$	4,272	\$	3,977	\$	920	\$	1,600	\$	1,891	\$	1,921
2013	3 3	\$ 5,686	\$	4,336	\$	4,122	\$	3,838	\$	897	\$	1,560	\$	1,877	\$	1,912
2014	1 3	\$ 5,487	\$	4,184	\$	3,978	\$	3,704	\$	875	\$	1,521	\$	1,864	\$	1,902
2015	5 3	\$ 5,322	\$	4,058	\$	3,859	\$	3,593	\$	857	\$	1,491	\$	1,851	\$	1,893
2010	5 3	\$ 5,163	\$	3,937	\$	3,743	\$	3,485	\$	840	\$	1,461	\$	1,838	\$	1,883
2017	7 3	\$ 5,008	\$	3,819	\$	3,631	\$	3,380	\$	823	\$	1,432	\$	1,825	\$	1,874
2018	3 3	\$ 4,858	\$	3,704	\$	3,522	\$	3,279	\$	807	\$	1,403	\$	1,813	\$	1,864
2019		\$ 4,712	\$	3,593	\$	3,416	\$	3,181	\$	791	\$	1,375	\$	1,800	\$	1,855
2020		\$ 4,571	\$	3,485	\$	3,314	\$	3,085	\$	775	\$	1,347	\$	1,787	\$	1,846

	System Capital Costs (\$/kW) Net of Tax Credits															
		System Capital Costs (\$/kW) Net of Tax Credits														
			S	Solar PV	Sy	stems				Wind S	yste	ems	C	Other Re	nev	vables
								Utility/	1		(Offshore				
Energy Year	F	Residential	Co	mmercial		Industrial	N	lerchant	Ons	hore Wind		Wind	В	iomass	[N	ot Used]
2004	l \$	8,000	\$	5,490	\$	5,220	\$	4,860	\$	1,150	\$	2,000	\$	2,000	\$	2,000
2005	5 \$	7,680	\$	5,270	\$	5,011	\$	4,666	\$	1,116	\$	1,940	\$	1,986	\$	1,990
2006	5 \$	7,373	\$	5,060	\$	4,811	\$	4,479	\$	1,082	\$	1,882	\$	1,972	\$	1,980
2007	\$	7,078	\$	4,857	\$	4,618	\$	4,300	\$	1,050	\$	1,825	\$	1,958	\$	1,970
2008	3 \$	6,795	\$	4,663	\$	4,434	\$	4,128	\$	1,018	\$	1,771	\$	1,945	\$	1,960
2009	\$	6,557	\$	4,500	\$	4,278	\$	3,983	\$	993	\$	1,726	\$	1,931	\$	1,950
2010	\$	6,327	\$	4,342	\$	4,129	\$	3,844	\$	968	\$	1,683	\$	1,917	\$	1,941
2012	\$	6,106	\$	4,190	\$	3,984	\$	3,709	\$	944	\$	1,641	\$	1,904	\$	1,931
2012	2 \$	5,892	\$	4,044	\$	3,845	\$	3,580	\$	920	\$	1,600	\$	1,891	\$	1,921
2013	3 \$	5,686	\$	3,902	\$	3,710	\$	3,454	\$	897	\$	1,560	\$	1,877	\$	1,912
2014	\$	5,487	\$	3,765	\$	3,580	\$	3,333	\$	875	\$	1,521	\$	1,864	\$	1,902
2015	5 \$	5,322	\$	3,653	\$	3,473	\$	3,233	\$	857	\$	1,491	\$	1,851	\$	1,893
2016	5 \$	5,163	\$	3,543	\$	3,369	\$	3,136	\$	840	\$	1,461	\$	1,838	\$	1,883
2017	\$	5.008	\$	3.437	\$	3.268	\$	3.042	\$	823	\$	1.432	\$	1.825	\$	1.874
2018	\$	4.858	\$	3.334	\$	3.170	\$	2.951	\$	807	\$	1.403	\$	1.813	\$	1.864
2019	\$	4,712	Ś	3.234	Ŝ	3.075	Ś	2.862	\$	791	\$	1.375	Ś	1.800	Ŝ	1.855
2020	\$	4.571	Ś	3,137	Ŝ	2.982	Ś	2.777	\$	775	\$	1.347	Ś	1.787	Ŝ	1.846

	A	nnualized	l Sys	stem Ca	pita	l Costs (\$	/kW	/-yr) Net	t of	Tax Cred	lits	(for sys	sten	ns purc	hase	ed in
							ye	ar indic	ated	I)						
			S	olar PV	Syst	tems				Wind Sy	/ste	ms	0	ther Re	newa	ables
								Utility/			0	ffshore				
Energy Year	Re	esidential	Cor	nmercial	li li	ndustrial	M	erchant	Ons	hore Wind		Wind	Bi	omass	[No	t Used]
2004	\$	686	\$	700	\$	666	\$	535	\$	127	\$	220	\$	220	\$	220
2005	\$	659	\$	672	\$	639	\$	514	\$	123	\$	214	\$	219	\$	219
2006	\$	633	\$	645	\$	613	\$	493	\$	119	\$	207	\$	217	\$	218
2007	\$	607	\$	619	\$	589	\$	474	\$	116	\$	201	\$	216	\$	217
2008	\$	583	\$	595	\$	565	\$	455	\$	112	\$	195	\$	214	\$	216
2009	\$	563	\$	574	\$	545	\$	439	\$	109	\$	190	\$	213	\$	215
2010	\$	543	\$	554	\$	526	\$	423	\$	107	\$	185	\$	211	\$	214
2011	\$	524	\$	534	\$	508	\$	409	\$	104	\$	181	\$	210	\$	213
2012	\$	506	\$	516	\$	490	\$	394	\$	101	\$	176	\$	208	\$	212
2013	\$	488	\$	498	\$	473	\$	381	\$	99	\$	172	\$	207	\$	211
2014	\$	471	\$	480	\$	456	\$	367	\$	96	\$	168	\$	205	\$	210
2015	\$	457	\$	466	\$	443	\$	356	\$	94	\$	164	\$	204	\$	209
2016	\$	443	\$	452	\$	430	\$	346	\$	93	\$	161	\$	203	\$	207
2017	\$	430	\$	438	\$	417	\$	335	\$	91	\$	158	\$	201	\$	206
2018	\$	417	\$	425	\$	404	\$	325	\$	89	\$	155	\$	200	\$	205
2019	\$	404	\$	412	\$	392	\$	315	\$	87	\$	151	\$	198	\$	204
2020	\$	392	\$	400	\$	380	\$	306	\$	85	\$	148	\$	197	\$	203

	An	nualized	Svs	tem Ca	oita	Costs (\$	/kW	-vr) Net	of T	ax Cred	its	and Cle	an	Enerav	Pro	oram
) 0	Reb	ate	es (for syst	tem	s purch	ase	d in year	r in	dicated))			9
			S	olar PV	Sys	stems		•		Wind Sy	/ste	ems	0	ther Re	enewables	
					Utility/					(Offshore					
Energy Year	Residential		Cor	nmercial		Industrial		lerchant	Onst	nore Wind		Wind	Bi	omass	[No	t Used]
2004	\$	231	\$	279	\$	265	\$	214	\$	114	\$	199	\$	199	\$	199
2005	\$	221	\$	268	\$	255	\$	205	\$	111	\$	193	\$	197	\$	198
2006	\$	213	\$	257	\$	245	\$	197	\$	108	\$	187	\$	196	\$	197
2007	\$	204	\$	247	\$	235	\$	189	\$	104	\$	181	\$	195	\$	196
2008	\$	196	\$	237	\$	225	\$	181	\$	101	\$	176	\$	193	\$	195
2009	\$	253	\$	373	\$	355	\$	285	\$	102	\$	177	\$	198	\$	200
2010	\$	244	\$	360	\$	342	\$	275	\$	99	\$	172	\$	196	\$	199
2011	\$	236	\$	347	\$	330	\$	266	\$	97	\$	168	\$	195	\$	198
2012	\$	228	\$	335	\$	319	\$	256	\$	94	\$	164	\$	194	\$	197
2013	\$	220	\$	323	\$	307	\$	247	\$	92	\$	160	\$	192	\$	196
2014	\$	212	\$	312	\$	297	\$	239	\$	90	\$	156	\$	191	\$	195
2015	\$	297	\$	373	\$	354	\$	285	\$	90	\$	156	\$	194	\$	198
2016	\$	288	\$	361	\$	344	\$	276	\$	88	\$	153	\$	192	\$	197
2017	Ś.	279	\$	351	\$	333	\$	268	\$	86	Ŝ	150	Ś	191	\$	196
2018	\$	271	\$	340	Ś	323	\$	260	\$	84	Ś	147	Ś	190	\$	195
2019	Ś	263	ŝ	330	ŝ	314	ŝ	252	Ś	83	ŝ	144	ŝ	188	ŝ	194
2020	ŝ	255	ŝ	320	ŝ	304	ŝ	245	ŝ	81	ŝ	141	ŝ	187	ŝ	193

	A	nnua	I Fixed O	&M Costs (\$	/kW-yr) fo	or S	Systems Pu	rchased in	Year Indicate	ed
			Solar PV	Systems			Wind S	ystems	Other Rer	newables
Energy Year	Residential	C	commercial	Industrial	Utility/ Merchar	nt	Onshore Wind	Offshore Wind	Biomass	[Not Used]
2004	\$ 1	5 \$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2005	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2006	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2007	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2008	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2009	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2010	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2011	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2012	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2013	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2014	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2015	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2016	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2017	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2018	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2019	\$ 1	5\$	12	\$ 10	\$	7	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
2020	Þ 1	с	12	\$ 10	Þ	1	\$ 20	\$ 50	\$ 80	\$ 10
	Annua	l Tot	al Variab	le O&M Cost	s (\$/kW-y	/r) f	for Systems	Purchase	d in Year Ind	icated)
			Solar PV	Systems		,	Wind S	ystems	Other Rer	newables
	Desidential		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	la du atrial	Utility/			Offshore	Diamaga	[Nint Lines]]
2004	s -		ommerciai	s -	s -	nt	S -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2005	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2006	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2007	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2008	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2009	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2010	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2011	\$-	\$	-	\$ -	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2012	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2013	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2014	\$ -	\$	-	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2015	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2016	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2017	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2018	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ - ¢		\$ -	\$ - •	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50
2019	ծ - «	ት ድ	-	ծ - «	ֆ - «		5 - ¢	ֆ - «	\$ 144.35	\$ 16.50 \$ 16.50
2020	φ -	\$	-	φ -	φ -		φ -	φ -	φ 144.35	φ 10.30
Notes and Sources										

Note 1: Estimated based on NJ Clean Energy Program (CEP) incentives from

http://www.njcep.com/html/2_incent.html, as of 11/3/2005, for 1 to 10 kW solar PV systems

installed after 12/31/2005. Based on 2006 capital cost calculated from the parameters above,

and a rebate level of \$5.10 per Watt, the CEP program covers 66%

of total system capital costs. Future values of CEP incentives assume that incentives will gradually be reduced as the cost of the technologies decrease and as more emphasis is placed on shifting costs from CEP grants to RECs.

Note 2: Estimated based on NJ Clean Energy Program (CEP) incentives from

http://www.njcep.com/html/2_incent.html, as of 11/3/2005, for 40 to 100 kW

(taken as a very rough average of the sizes of systems that might be installed by commercial, industrial, and merchant solar PV plants in New Jersey)

solar PV systems installed after 12/31/2005. Based on 2006 capital cost calculated from the parameters above, and a rebate level of \$3.45 per Watt, the CEP program covers 60% of total system capital costs. Future values of CEP incentives assume that incentives will gradually be reduced as the cost of the technologies decrease and as more emphasis is placed on

shifting costs from CEP grants to RECs.



Note 9: For example, as in CEP literature: http://www.njcep.com/media/NJ_Solar_Market_Update_Augu.pdf. The units here are kWh of AC (alternating current) energy output per kW of DC (direct current) rated system capacity, and therefore include losses in converting the DC power produced by the solar PV modules themselves to AC power for export to the grid (or for household use). By way of comparison, the annual solar energy input to a flat surface (tilted at an angle approximately equal to that of latitude to the horizontal) is between 4000 to 5000 Watt-hours per square meter per day, or about 1500 to 1800 kWh (heat) input (see, for example, http://www.eere.energy.gov/state_energy/tech_solar.cfm?state=NJ) per square meter per year. Since the maximum solar input at the earth's surface is about 1 kW per square meter, this implies about 1500 to 1800 hours of full-sun equivalent per year in New Jersey, and at a conversion rate of about 0.8 Wh of AC output per Wh of DC solar module output, expected AC output would be about 1200 to 1400 kWh AC per kW DC of system capacity per year.

Note 10: Solar PV system capital costs in 2004, by system type/size, are composite estimates based on the review of data from several sources--see the "Solar_Cost_Data" worksheet in this workbook. Costs are expressed in \$ per peak kW of DC output. In most cases they are somewhat higher (on this basis) than those listed in the "Navigant" report (full cite in Note 4).

Note 11: Solar PV system fixed operating and maintenance costs, by system type/size, are also composite estimates based on the review of data from several sources--see the "Solar_Cost_Data" worksheet in this workbook. Costs are expressed in \$ per peak kW-yr of DC output. In most cases they are somewhat higher (on this basis) than those listed in the "Navigant" report (full cite in Note 4), and reviewers should also note that as this worksheet does not include a factor to account for the decline in O&M costs over time, the O&M cost estimate provided here is effectively an average over the time period of the analysis. Variable O&M costs for solar PV systems are set at zero, as all O&M costs are assumed to be included in the fixed O&M cost estimate.

Note 12: Future declines in solar PV system capital costs, by system type/size, are composite estimates based on the review of data from several sources--see the "Solar_Cost_Data" worksheet in this workbook. Costs are expressed in \$ per peak kW of DC output. The cost declines assumed here are somewhat lower than those listed in the "Navigant" report (full cite in Note 4), which are consistently -5%/yr. The capital cost reduction factor assumed here are between the "baseline" and "road map" cases presented in a USDOE report on the US Solar PV industry. As such, they represent a future in which PV sales receive a policy "push" like that to be provided by the NJ RPS standard, but they do not reach the full level of reduction envisioned by the US DOE's "roadmap" case or included in the Navigant report.

Note 13: No tax credits for non-solar renewable energy sources are currently included in this analysis. A 1.9 cent/kWh production tax credit for wind energy (and some kinds of biomass energy) generation was extended in 2005 by Congress, as a part of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, but expires at the end of 2007, and is thus not included in this analysis, since it would cover relatively few of the systems installed under the RPS. See http://www.compositesworld.com/ct/issues/2005/October/1000, "Energy Bill Extends Production Tax Credit For Wind Energy - October 2005".

Note 14: Wind system fixed operating and maintenance costs, for onshore and offshore installations, are composite estimates based on the review of data from several sources--see the "Wind_Cost_Data" worksheet in this workbook. Costs are expressed in \$ per peak kW-yr of capacity. In most cases they are somewhat higher than those listed in the "Navigant" report (full cite in Note 4), and reviewers should also note that as this worksheet does not include a factor to account for the decline in O&M costs over time, the O&M cost estimates provided here are averages over the time period of the analysis. Variable O&M costs for wind power systems are set at zero, as all O&M costs are assumed to be included in the fixed O&M cost estimate.

Fixed O&M costs for biomass-fueled facilities are set to \$100/kW-yr

Note 15: Wind system equivalent full-capacity operating hours, for onshore and offshore installations, are composite estimates based on the review of data from several sources--see the "Wind_Cost_Data" worksheet in this workbook. Onshore wind operating hours assume a capacity factor of 30% and offshore wind farm operating hours assume a capacity factor of 37%. The former is somewhat higher than that listed in the "Navigant" report (full cite in Note 4) for 2008, and the latter is equal to the 2008 value for offshore wind farms from the Navigant report.

Note 16: Capital costs for onshore and offshore wind installations are
composite estimates based on the review of data from several sourcessee the "Wind Cost Data"
worksheet in this workbook. Onshore wind costs estimates from several sources fall in a fairly
narrow band between \$1100 and \$1200/kW. Offshore wind cost estimates show a somewhat broader range.
from about \$1100 to \$1900/kW. Biomass generation capital costs from the Navigant report (see full cite in Note 4)
start at \$2000/kW for conventional plants and \$2500 for combined-cycle gasification plants, with costs
declining thereafter. Other biomass plant costs are noted in the "Biomass Fuels" worksheet in this workbook
Note 17: Euture capital cost trends for wind systems, for onshore and offshore installations, are
composite estimates based on the review of data from several sourcessee the "Wind Cost Data"
worksheet in this workhook. In the Navigant report (see full cite in Note 4) capital costs decrease at
between 2.5 and 3.1 percent per year for onshore plants over different intervals from 2005 to 2020
though cost reductions for offshore plants are smaller
Data from the document Future for Offshore Wind Energy in the United States (Prenrint)
prenared by W Musial and S Butterfield of the USDOE National Renewable Energy Laboratory suggests that
prepared by W. Maard and O. Datterning of the 00000 to 3 percent per verse range, with cost reductions decreasing
on shore with power cost reduction with the first cost of percent per year range, with cost reductions decreasing
as the technology matures. A study by the European wind Energy Agency ended
Vind Tower Economics describe technique of experience curves to project a story percent
builts. With respect togate of doubling of industrial output (in this case, for every doubling of wind capacity
During which recent there is the doubling of global generation capacity every 5 years (less in Europe),
These lightes imply annual cost reductions in the 2 to 3 percently range.
Note 18: These figures are rough estimates based on the following considerations:
The all eases average plant lifetimes of
In all cases, average plant methins of <u>23</u> years, are used, mough some sources suggest that
inclines for solar PV and wind power facilities will be 30 years within a decade of so, as the technologies
mature.
For residential systems, it is assumed that have again or other lower interact loops will be used to purchase
For residential systems, it is assumed that nome equity or other lower-interest to alls will be used to purchase
solar r' systems, at an average real interest rate of $\frac{r_{0}}{r_{0}}$ per year. Note that this may be overstated,
as a significant fraction of capital costs are being paid by the CEP program, especially in the early years.
At this interest rate, a capital recovery factor of 8.38% is implied.
Foi commercial and industrial systems, it is assumed that commercial loans will be used to purchase
solar PV systems, at an average real interest rate of 12% per year, including proit. Again, this may be overstated,
as a significant fraction of capital costs are being paid by the CEP program, especially in the early years.
At this interest rate, a capital recovery factor of <u>12.7%</u> is implied.
For utility/marchant overteme, it is assumed that the conital recovery factor will be similar to
For utility/merchant systems, it is assumed that the capital recovery factor will be similar to
an average real cost of capital of about <u>10%</u> per year, including profit. Again, this may be overstated,
as a some capital costs may be paid by the CEP program, especially in the early years.
At this interest rate, a capital recovery factor of 11.0% is implied.

2.7 Costs of Conventional Generation Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

ESTIMATE OF COSTS OF CONVENTIONAL GENERATION

KEY ASSUMPTIONS AND INPUTS

Estimated Weighted Average Energy Year 2004 Basic Generation Service Costs (Retail costs assumed to be similar to costs of providing power with conventional generation alternatives)

	S	ummer Sale	s (June-Sep	t)	Winter Sales (Oct-May)						
				Weighted				Weighted			
	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Average	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Average			
Basic Generation Service Costs	\$ 0.0752	\$ 0.0699	\$ 0.0691	\$ 0.0724	\$ 0.0600	\$ 0.0568	\$ 0.0570	\$ 0.0582			
Non-Energy Component of Tariffs*	\$ 0.0481	\$ 0.0477	\$ 0.0255	\$ 0.0464	\$ 0.0440	\$ 0.0332	\$ 0.0123	\$ 0.0366			
Non-Energy Component of Tariffs**	\$ 0.0483	\$ 0.0414	\$ 0.0206	\$ 0.0407	\$ 0.0442	\$ 0.0324	\$ 0.0200	\$ 0.0344			

*Weighted over sales in 4 investor-owned utilities only

**Weighted over "Total EPM" sales for 2004

Annual Rates of Change and Values for Key Parameters (all changes in real terms)

	2004 -	2009 -	2015 -	
Variable	2008	2014	2020	
Annual Average Change in Basic Generation Service Component of				
Retail Tariffs	-4.69%	5.54%	2.02%	See Note 1
Annual Average Change in Non-Energy Component of Retail Tariffs	-0.14%	-0.14%	-0.14%	See Note 1

Note that estimates below assume that sales in all four investor-owned utility service territories in New Jersey grow (or shrink) at comparable rates. Also, the weighted average shown below are based on sales by sector as reported in 2004, and as a result assume that growth (or shrinkage) of sales in each sector and in each service territory grow (or shrink) at approimately the same rates.

SUMMARY RESULTS: COSTS PER KWH FOR BASIC GENERATION SERVICE (CONVENTIONAL GENERATION)

		Summer Sales (June-Sept) Winter Sales (Oct-May)											
							Weighted					۷	Veighted
Energy Year	R	esidential	Co	ommercial		ndustrial	Average	R	esidential	Commercial	Industrial		Average
2004	\$	0.0752	\$	0.0699	\$	0.0691	\$ 0.0724	\$	0.0600	\$ 0.0568	\$ 0.0570	\$	0.0582
2005	\$	0.0717	\$	0.0666	\$	0.0659	\$ 0.0690	\$	0.0572	\$ 0.0542	\$ 0.0544	\$	0.0555
2006	\$	0.0684	\$	0.0635	\$	0.0628	\$ 0.0658	\$	0.0545	\$ 0.0516	\$ 0.0518	\$	0.0529
2007	\$	0.0652	\$	0.0605	\$	0.0599	\$ 0.0627	\$	0.0519	\$ 0.0492	\$ 0.0494	\$	0.0504
2008	\$	0.0621	\$	0.0577	\$	0.0571	\$ 0.0598	\$	0.0495	\$ 0.0469	\$ 0.0471	\$	0.0481
2009	\$	0.0655	\$	0.0609	\$	0.0602	\$ 0.0631	\$	0.0522	\$ 0.0495	\$ 0.0497	\$	0.0507
2010	\$	0.0692	\$	0.0643	\$	0.0636	\$ 0.0666	\$	0.0551	\$ 0.0522	\$ 0.0524	\$	0.0535
2011	\$	0.0730	\$	0.0678	\$	0.0671	\$ 0.0703	\$	0.0582	\$ 0.0551	\$ 0.0553	\$	0.0565
2012	\$	0.0771	\$	0.0716	\$	0.0708	\$ 0.0742	\$	0.0614	\$ 0.0582	\$ 0.0584	\$	0.0596
2013	\$	0.0813	\$	0.0756	\$	0.0747	\$ 0.0783	\$	0.0648	\$ 0.0614	\$ 0.0617	\$	0.0630
2014	\$	0.0858	\$	0.0798	\$	0.0789	\$ 0.0826	\$	0.0684	\$ 0.0648	\$ 0.0651	\$	0.0664
2015	\$	0.0876	\$	0.0814	\$	0.0805	\$ 0.0843	\$	0.0698	\$ 0.0661	\$ 0.0664	\$	0.0678
2016	\$	0.0894	\$	0.0830	\$	0.0821	\$ 0.0860	\$	0.0712	\$ 0.0675	\$ 0.0677	\$	0.0692
2017	\$	0.0912	\$	0.0847	\$	0.0838	\$ 0.0877	\$	0.0726	\$ 0.0688	\$ 0.0691	\$	0.0706
2018	\$	0.0930	\$	0.0864	\$	0.0855	\$ 0.0895	\$	0.0741	\$ 0.0702	\$ 0.0705	\$	0.0720
2019	\$	0.0949	\$	0.0881	\$	0.0872	\$ 0.0913	\$	0.0756	\$ 0.0717	\$ 0.0719	\$	0.0734
2020	\$	0.0968	\$	0.0899	\$	0.0890	\$ 0.0931	\$	0.0771	\$ 0.0731	\$ 0.0734	\$	0.0749

SUMMARY RESULTS: COSTS PER KWH FOR NON-ENERGY COMPONENT OF TARIFFS											
		Su	Immer Sales	s (June-Sep	ot)	Winter Sales (Oct-May)					
Energy Year	R	esidential	Commercial	Industrial	Weighted Average	Residential		Commercial	ercial Industrial		Veighted Average
2004	\$	0.0483	\$ 0.0414	\$ 0.0206	\$ 0.0407	\$ 0.0442		\$ 0.0324	\$ 0.0200	\$	0.0344
2005	\$	0.0482	\$ 0.0413	\$ 0.0205	\$ 0.0407	\$	0.0441	\$ 0.0324	\$ 0.0200	\$	0.0343
2006	\$	0.0482	\$ 0.0413	\$ 0.0205	\$ 0.0406	\$	0.0440	\$ 0.0323	\$ 0.0199	\$	0.0343
2007	\$	0.0481	\$ 0.0412	\$ 0.0205	\$ 0.0405	\$	0.0440	\$ 0.0323	\$ 0.0199	\$	0.0343
2008	\$	0.0480	\$ 0.0412	\$ 0.0204	\$ 0.0405	\$	0.0439	\$ 0.0322	\$ 0.0199	\$	0.0342
2009	\$	0.0480	\$ 0.0411	\$ 0.0204	\$ 0.0404	\$	0.0438	\$ 0.0322	\$ 0.0199	\$	0.0342
2010	\$	0.0479	\$ 0.0410	\$ 0.0204	\$ 0.0404	\$	0.0438	\$ 0.0322	\$ 0.0198	\$	0.0341
2011	\$	0.0478	\$ 0.0410	\$ 0.0204	\$ 0.0403	\$	0.0437	\$ 0.0321	\$ 0.0198	\$	0.0341
2012	\$	0.0477	\$ 0.0409	\$ 0.0203	\$ 0.0403	\$	0.0437	\$ 0.0321	\$ 0.0198	\$	0.0340
2013	\$	0.0477	\$ 0.0409	\$ 0.0203	\$ 0.0402	\$	0.0436	\$ 0.0320	\$ 0.0198	\$	0.0340
2014	\$	0.0476	\$ 0.0408	\$ 0.0203	\$ 0.0402	\$	0.0435	\$ 0.0320	\$ 0.0197	\$	0.0339
2015	\$	0.0475	\$ 0.0408	\$ 0.0202	\$ 0.0401	\$	0.0435	\$ 0.0319	\$ 0.0197	\$	0.0339
2016	\$	0.0475	\$ 0.0407	\$ 0.0202	\$ 0.0400	\$	0.0434	\$ 0.0319	\$ 0.0197	\$	0.0338
2017	\$	0.0474	\$ 0.0406	\$ 0.0202	\$ 0.0400	\$	0.0434	\$ 0.0318	\$ 0.0196	\$	0.0338
2018	\$	0.0473	\$ 0.0406	\$ 0.0202	\$ 0.0399	\$	0.0433	\$ 0.0318	\$ 0.0196	\$	0.0337
2019	\$	0.0473	\$ 0.0405	\$ 0.0201	\$ 0.0399	\$	0.0432	\$ 0.0318	\$ 0.0196	\$	0.0337
2020	\$	0.0472	\$ 0.0405	\$ 0.0201	\$ 0.0398	\$	0.0432	\$ 0.0317	\$ 0.0196	\$	0.0336

SUMMARY RESULTS: IMPLIED TOTAL TARIFFS (\$/KWH) BY SECTOR AND SEASON BY YEAR

		Summer Sales (June-Sept)					Winter Sales (Oct-May)					
					Weighted					V	Veighted	
Energy Year	R	esidential	Commercial	Industrial	Average	R	esidential	Commercial	al Industrial		Average	
2004	\$	0.1235	\$ 0.1113	\$ 0.0897	\$ 0.1131	\$	0.1041	\$ 0.0893	\$ 0.0770	\$	0.0926	
2005	\$	0.1199	\$ 0.1080	\$ 0.0864	\$ 0.1097	\$	0.1013	\$ 0.0866	\$ 0.0743	\$	0.0899	
2006	\$	0.1165	\$ 0.1048	\$ 0.0833	\$ 0.1064	\$	0.0985	\$ 0.0840	\$ 0.0718	\$	0.0872	
2007	\$	0.1132	\$ 0.1018	\$ 0.0803	\$ 0.1032	\$	0.0959	\$ 0.0815	\$ 0.0693	\$	0.0847	
2008	\$	0.1101	\$ 0.0989	\$ 0.0775	\$ 0.1002	\$	0.0934	\$ 0.0791	\$ 0.0670	\$	0.0823	
2009	\$	0.1135	\$ 0.1020	\$ 0.0806	\$ 0.1035	\$	0.0961	\$ 0.0817	\$ 0.0695	\$	0.0849	
2010	\$	0.1171	\$ 0.1053	\$ 0.0840	\$ 0.1069	\$	0.0989	\$ 0.0844	\$ 0.0723	\$	0.0877	
2011	\$	0.1208	\$ 0.1088	\$ 0.0874	\$ 0.1106	\$	0.1019	\$ 0.0873	\$ 0.0752	\$	0.0906	
2012	\$	0.1248	\$ 0.1125	\$ 0.0911	\$ 0.1144	\$	0.1051	\$ 0.0903	\$ 0.0782	\$	0.0937	
2013	\$	0.1290	\$ 0.1164	\$ 0.0950	\$ 0.1185	\$	0.1084	\$ 0.0934	\$ 0.0814	\$	0.0969	
2014	\$	0.1335	\$ 0.1206	\$ 0.0992	\$ 0.1228	\$	0.1120	\$ 0.0968	\$ 0.0848	\$	0.1004	
2015	\$	0.1351	\$ 0.1221	\$ 0.1007	\$ 0.1244	\$	0.1133	\$ 0.0981	\$ 0.0861	\$	0.1017	
2016	\$	0.1368	\$ 0.1237	\$ 0.1023	\$ 0.1260	\$	0.1146	\$ 0.0994	\$ 0.0874	\$	0.1030	
2017	\$	0.1386	\$ 0.1253	\$ 0.1039	\$ 0.1277	\$	0.1160	\$ 0.1007	\$ 0.0887	\$	0.1043	
2018	\$	0.1403	\$ 0.1270	\$ 0.1056	\$ 0.1294	\$	0.1174	\$ 0.1020	\$ 0.0901	\$	0.1057	
2019	\$	0.1422	\$ 0.1287	\$ 0.1073	\$ 0.1312	\$	0.1189	\$ 0.1034	\$ 0.0915	\$	0.1071	
2020	\$	0.1440	\$ 0.1304	\$ 0.1091	\$ 0.1330	\$	0.1203	\$ 0.1048	\$ 0.0929	\$	0.1086	

SUMMARY RESULTS: IMPLIED TOTAL TARIFFS (\$/KWH) BY SECTOR BY YEAR

		Tariffs	We	ighted C	ver Annu	ual S	ales
							Weighted
Energy Year	R	esidential	Co	mmercial	Industria	d	Average
2004	\$	0.1119	\$	0.0971	\$ 0.081	6 \$	6 0.1002
2005	\$	0.1087	\$	0.0942	\$ 0.078	7 9	6 0.0972
2006	\$	0.1057	\$	0.0914	\$ 0.075	9 9	\$ 0.0944
2007	\$	0.1028	\$	0.0887	\$ 0.073	3 \$	6 0.0916
2008	\$	0.1001	\$	0.0861	\$ 0.070	7 \$	6 0.0890
2009	\$	0.1030	\$	0.0889	\$ 0.073	5 \$	6 0.0918
2010	\$	0.1062	\$	0.0918	\$ 0.076	4 \$	6 0.0948
2011	\$	0.1095	\$	0.0949	\$ 0.079	5 \$	6 0.0980
2012	\$	0.1129	\$	0.0982	\$ 0.082	8 \$	6 0.1013
2013	\$	0.1166	\$	0.1016	\$ 0.086	3 \$	6 0.1049
2014	\$	0.1205	\$	0.1052	\$ 0.089	9 9	6 0.1086
2015	\$	0.1220	\$	0.1066	\$ 0.091	3 \$	6 0.1100
2016	\$	0.1235	\$	0.1080	\$ 0.092	7 9	6 0.1115
2017	\$	0.1250	\$	0.1094	\$ 0.094	2 \$	6 0.1129
2018	\$	0.1266	\$	0.1109	\$ 0.095	7 9	6 0.1144
2019	\$	0.1281	\$	0.1124	\$ 0.097	2 9	6 0.1159
2020	\$	0.1298	\$	0.1139	\$ 0.098	7 9	6 0.1175

CALCULATION FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES: ESTIMATED \$/KWH COST OF GAS-FIRED GENERATION

The USDOE Annual Energy Outlook 2005 (AEO2005) table labeled "Table 38: Cost and Performance Characteristics of New Central Station Electricity Generating Technologies", and available as page 70 of http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/assumption/pdf/0554(2005).pdf, Assumptions to the Annual Energy Outlook 2005, includes the following cost information on conventional gas or oil-fired combined-cycle central station plants. This cost information was used in the AEO2005 modeling effort. Plant size: 250 MW \$567 Capital cost: 2003 \$/kW (includes contingency factor) Variable O&M cost: 1.83 mills/kWh (cost of zero listed) Fixed O&M cost: 11.04 \$/kW-yr (2003 \$) 6800 Btu/kWh Heat Rate Other Assumptions Capital Recovery Factor 11% per year (real), as for other utility-scale plants evaluated in this workbook. 7.50 per thousand cubic feet, based roughly on Natural Gas Price \$ "New Jersey Natural Gas Price Sold to Electric Power Consumers (Dollars per Thousand Cubic Feet)" for the months in the energy year 2004, as shown in http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n3045nj3m.htm Inflator, 2003 to 2004 dollars 1.03 Average Natural Gas Thermal Conversion Factor: 1022 Btu/cubic foot. Average of thermal conversion factor for gas used in electricity generation in the United States from 1999-2003, based on data in Appendix B2 of USDOE EIA Natural Gas Annual 2003, released December, 2004, and available as http://www.eia.doe.gov/pub/oil_gas/natural_gas/data_publications/natural_gas_annual/current/pdf/table_b02.pdf. 60% Assumption Average Capacity Factor for Combined-Cycle Plants (By way of reference, system-wide Mid-Atlantic Area Council capacity factors in AEO2005 ranged from about 45% in 2002 to about 54% in 2025. See the "AEO2005_data" worksheet in this workbook). 2004 -2009 -2015 -2008 2014 2020 Annual Average Change in Natural Gas Prices from USDOE EIA Annual Energy Outlook 2005 for 2009 to 2020. 2004 to 2008 values assume nominal prices for gas remain as in 2004, implying a real decline of -3.00% 1.42% 2.79% about 3 percent/yr. Cost components in \$/kWh (2004 dollars) Fuel Cost Variable Energy Year Capital Fixed O&M O&M \$/MMBtu Fuel Total 2004 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.049902 \$ 0.0662 \$ 7.34 2005 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.048405 \$ 0.0647 \$ \$ 7.12 2006 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.046953 \$ 0.0632 \$ 6.90 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.045544 \$ 0.0618 2007 \$ 6.70 2008 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.044178 \$ 0.0605 \$ 6.50 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.044806 \$ 0.0611 2009 \$ 6.59 2010 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.045443 \$ 0.0617 \$ 6.68 2011 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.046089 \$ 0.0624 \$ 6.78 2012 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.046744 \$ 0.0630 \$ 6.87 2013 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.047408 \$ 0.0637 \$ 6.97 \$ 0.0644 2014 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.048082 \$ 7.07 2015 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 0.0657 \$ 0.0019 0.049421 \$ \$ 7.27 2016 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.050798 \$ 0.0671 \$ 7.47 2017 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.052213 \$ 0.0685 \$ 7.68 7.89 2018 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.053667 \$ 0.0700 \$ 2019 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.055162 \$ 0.0715 \$ 8.11 \$ 0.0730 2020 \$ 0.0122 \$ 0.0022 \$ 0.0019 0.056699 \$ 8.34

Notes and Sources

Note 1: Future growth rates in BGS costs from 2009 onward are estimated based on the growth rates in the "Generation" component of future electricity rates in the "Mid-Atlantic Area Council" (MAAC) region, which includes New Jersey and corresponds roughly to the PJM region. These electricity rates are as provided in the Supplement Tables to the USDOE <u>Annual Energy Outlook, 2005</u> (see the "AEO2005_data" worksheet in this workbook). A summary of the growth rates implied by the AEO2005 data are provided below.

End-Use Prices	Annual Aver	age Growth	by Period (%	6/y
	2004 -	2008 -	2014 -	
(2003 cents per kilowatthour)	2008	2014	2020	
Residential	-5.22%	3.45%	0.75%	
Commercial	-6.94%	4.21%	1.54%	
Industrial	-4.16%	1.49%	1.63%	
Transportation	-4.59%	3.43%	0.92%	
All Sectors Average	-5.66%	3.50%	1.29%	
Prices by Service Category				
(2003 cents per kilowatthour)				
Generation	-9.19%	5.54%	2.02%	
Transmission	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Distribution	0.00%	0.00%	-0.71%	

Given, based on the estimates above, that the average BGS com	bonent of tariffs was 64% in summer and
63% in other months we use the estimate that generation costs will change at a rate of	n 2004, and assuming this ratio holds for 2004-2008, -4.7% per year during the period.

2.8 Electric Utility Data for New Jersey Worksheet

Г

Estimate o	of Rate Impacts of Propose PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Co	sed New	Jersey F	Renewable ds. Renewa	e Portfolio ble Enerav	Standard (RPS) Rules
and Energy	Efficiency	•				
"Proposed F	Readoption With Amendment	s: N.J.A.C	. 14:4			
Proposed No	ew Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3	3, 2.4, 2.5, Bogistor M	2.7, and 5	5; and 14:8-	-1" (Cito 27 N L B	2011))
(AS described)	in Bro proposal in the <u>New Jersey</u>	Register, w	Unuay, Ocic	<u>ber 17, 2005</u> ,	Cite 37 N.J.K.	. 3911))
Prepared by:	David Von Hippel		Date last Mo	odified:	12/15/2005]
	JTILITY DATA FOR NEW JER	<u>SEY</u>				
Estimate of 200	04 "Energy Year" Sales in NJ, exclu	iding sales l	by municipa	l utilities		
Calendar Year 2	2004 Statewide Sales (all providers)		77,291,545	MWh		
Average Annual Annual Growth i	in Statewide Sales, 1999 - 20	004:	1.80%	per year per vear		
Fraction of State	ewide Sales NOT provided by Municip	al UtilitiesA	verage, 199	9 - 2002:	98.5%	
Estimated "Ener	rgy Year" 2004 Statewide Sales (exclu	uding sales b	y municipal u	utilities): and of calend	76,793,865	MWh
to the end of en	nergy year 2004 (6 months).)	2004 applies			ai yeai 2004	
Summary Histo	orical Data, 1990 - 2003					
		Commencial	Industrial	Transportation	Soloo All Conterro]
Year	Residential Sales (MWh)	Sales (MWh)	Sales (MWh)	and Other Sales (MWh)	(MWh)	
1990	20,497,980	26,838,617	15,040,847	479,243	62,856,687	
1991	21,539,103	27,627,097	15,031,232	485,119	64,682,551	
1992	20,547,017	27,403,257	14,687,420	484,663	63,122,357	
1994	22,153,557	29,357,664	14,251,230	495,142	66,257,593	
1995	22,469,707	29,791,577	13,988,821	503,680	66,753,785	
1996 1997	22,632,226	30,152,284	13,602,503	502,417 506 574	66,889,430 65,915,034	
1998	23,190,705	31,127,232	13,339,168	504,407	68,161,512	
1999	24,550,826	32,506,105	13,121,470	524,752	70,703,153	
2000	24,547,336 25,429,245	33,112,343	12.365.292	505,888 498,885	72.339.691	
2002	27,182,808	35,683,854	11,015,788	577,971	74,460,421	
2003	27,331,434	36,053,869	13,068,144	135,886	76,589,333	
Annual Growth						
Rate, 1990 -						
2002	2.38%	2.40%	-2.56%	1.57%	1.42%	1
		Commercial	Industrial	Transportation	Revenue All	
	Residential Revenue (Thousand \$)	Revenue	Revenue	and Other Revenue	Sectors	
Year		(Thousand \$)	(Thousand \$)	(Thousand \$)	(Thousand \$)	
1990 1991	2,123,447	2,400,040	1,107,443	76,505	5,707,435	
1992	2,232,823	2,557,717	1,131,970	81,154	6,003,664	
1993	2,514,344	2,773,722	1,180,451	85,889	6,554,406	
1994	2,555,916	2,888,861	1,132,092	87,649 90,991	6,664,518	
1996	2,713,991	3,111,470	1,109,074	91,879	7,026,414	
1997	2,693,102	3,079,386	1,084,250	92,938	6,949,676	
1998	2,642,039 2,797.658	3,140,760	1,058,844	90,371 91,453	7,061,620	
2000	2,521,947	3,027,248	1,013,120	61,271	6,623,586	
2001	2,602,692	3,130,379	1,022,612	57,421	6,813,104	
2002 2003	2,921,156	3,334,501	975,600	13,182	7,244,590	
Average						
Annual Growth Rate, 1990 -						
2002	2.40%	2.33%	-2.06%	0.49%	1.63%	

Year	Residential Revenue (Thousand \$)	Commercial Revenue (Thousand \$)	Industrial Revenue (Thousand \$)	Transportation and Other Revenue (Thousand \$)	Revenue All Sectors (Thousand \$)
1990	2,123,447	2,400,040	1,107,443	76,505	5,707,435
1991	2,329,103	2,558,934	1,152,467	79,153	6,119,657
1992	2,232,823	2,557,717	1,131,970	81,154	6,003,664
1993	2,514,344	2,773,722	1,180,451	85,889	6,554,406
1994	2,555,916	2,888,861	1,132,092	87,649	6,664,518
1995	2,692,070	3,048,506	1,140,286	90,991	6,971,853
1996	2,713,991	3,111,470	1,109,074	91,879	7,026,414
1997	2,693,102	3,079,386	1,084,250	92,938	6,949,676
1998	2,642,039	3,140,760	1,058,844	90,371	6,932,014
1999	2,797,658	3,165,203	1,007,306	91,453	7,061,620
2000	2,521,947	3,027,248	1,013,120	61,271	6,623,586
2001	2,602,692	3,130,379	1,022,612	57,421	6,813,104
2002	2,821,156	3,165,851	862,184	81,141	6,930,332
2003	2,921,307	3,334,501	975,600	13,182	7,244,590
Average					
Annual Growth					
Rate, 1990 -					
2002	2.40%	2.33%	-2.06%	0.49%	1.63%

				Transport and	
		Commercial	Industrial	Other	
Year	Residential Customers	Customers	Customers	Customers	Total Customers
1990	2,898,533	386,093	12,124	9,109	3,305,859
1991	2,911,150	389,142	12,945	9,628	3,322,865
1992	2,931,411	372,185	13,902	9,812	3,327,310
1993	2,953,736	376,711	13,868	9,990	3,354,305
1994	2,976,377	380,778	13,658	10,153	3,380,966
1995	3,004,951	386,324	13,583	10,325	3,415,183
1996	3,023,701	389,987	13,327	10,134	3,437,149
1997	3,049,903	397,331	13,209	10,537	3,470,980
1998	3,075,812	406,894	12,990	10,722	3,506,418
1999	3,151,285	434,515	13,438	10,798	3,610,036
2000	3,185,052	432,580	12,463	10,427	3,640,522
2001	3,204,881	418,567	13,170	10,607	3,647,225
2002	3,251,136	429,038	13,397	10,996	3,704,567
2003	3,259,242	449,061	14,205	3	3,722,51
Average					
Annual Growth					
Rate, 1990 -					
2002	0.96%	0.88%	0.84%	1.58%	0.95%

Year	Average Revenue Per kWh -Residential (¢/kWh)	Average Revenue Per kWh - Commercial (¢/kWh)	Average Revenue Per kWh -Industrial (¢/kWh)	Average Revenue Per kWh -Other and Transportation (¢/kWh)	Average Revenue Per kWh -All Sectors (¢/kWh)
1990	10.36	8.94	7.36	15.96	9.08
1991	10.81	9.26	7.67	16.32	9.46
1992	10.87	9.33	7.71	16.74	9.51
1993	11.41	9.73	8.09	17.54	9.99
1994	11.54	9.84	7.94	17.70	10.06
1995	11.98	10.23	8.15	18.07	10.44
1996	11.99	10.32	8.15	18.29	10.50
1997	12.08	10.35	8.11	18.35	10.54
1998	11.39	10.09	7.94	17.92	10.17
1999	11.40	9.74	7.68	17.43	9.99
2000	10.27	9.14	8.58	12.11	9.47
2001	10.24	9.19	8.27	11.51	9.42
2002	10.38	8.87	7.83	14.04	9.31
2003	10.69	9.25	7.47	9.70	9.46

Year 1000	Average Annual Revenue Per Customer - Residential	Average Annual Revenue Per Customer - Commercial	Average Annual Revenue Per Customer - Industrial	Average Annual Revenue Per Customer - Transportation and Other	Average Annual Revenue Per Customer -All Sectors	
1990	\$7.33 #000	\$0,210	\$91,343	\$8,399	\$1,720	
1991	\$800	\$6,576	\$89,028	\$8,221	\$1,842	
1992	\$762	\$6,872	\$81,425	\$8,271	\$1,804	
1993	\$851	\$7,363	\$85,120	\$8,597	\$1,954	
1994	\$859	\$7,587	\$82,889	\$8,633	\$1,971	
1995	\$896	\$7,891	\$83,949	\$8,813	\$2,041	
1996	\$898	\$7,978	\$83,220	\$9,066	\$2,044	
1997	\$883	\$7,750	\$82,084	\$8,820	\$2,002	
1998	\$859	\$7,719	\$81,512	\$8,429	\$1,977	
1999	\$888	\$7,284	\$74,960	\$8,469	\$1,956	
2000	\$792	\$6,998	\$81,290	\$5,876	\$1,819	
2001	\$812	\$7,479	\$77,647	\$5,414	\$1,868	
2002	\$868	\$7.379	\$64.356	\$7.379	\$1.871	
2003	\$896	\$7,425	\$68.680	\$4.394.000	\$1,946	
		· · · ·	+ ,	+))		
				Average kWh		
	Average kWh per Customer -Residential	Average KVVn	Average Kvvn	per Customer -	Average kvvn per	
	Average kwin per Customer -Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Other and	Sectors	
Year		Commonda	induotinai	Transportation	000000	
1990	7,072	69,513	1,240,585	52,612	19,014	
1991	7,399	70,995	1,161,161	50,386	19,466	
1992	7,009	73,628	1,056,497	49,395	18,971	
1993	7,462	75,636	1,052,486	49,017	19,563	
1994	7,443	77,099	1,043,435	48,768	19,597	
1995	7,478	77,116	1,029,877	48,783	19,546	
1996	7,485	77,316	1,020,673	49,577	19,461	
1997	7,307	74,882	1,012,123	48,076	18,990	
1998	7,540	76,500	1,026,880	47,044	19,439	
1999	7.791	74.810	976.445	48,597	19,585	
2000	7,707	76,546	947,730	48,517	19,222	
2001	7 935	81,340	938 898	47 034	19 834	
2002	8 361	83 172	822 258	52 562	20,100	
2002	8 386	80 287	919 968	45 295 333	20,100	
Average Annual Growth	0,000	00,207	310,000	-0,200,000	20,073	
Rate, 1990 -						
2002	1.41%	1.51%	-3.37%	-0.01%	0.46%	
Fraction of NJ S	Sales (MWh) Provided by Private (In	nvestor-owi	ned) Utilities	or "Energy-c	only Providers'	<u>', 1999 - 2003</u>
		Commercial	Industrial	Transportation	Sales All Sectors	
Voor	Residential Sales (MWh)	Sales (MWh)	Sales (MWh)	and Other Sales	(MWh)	
1691	00.00/	00.00(00.00((IVIVVN)	00 50/	
1999	98.0%	99.0%	98.2%	98.0%	98.5%	
2000	98.0%	99.0%	98.0%	98.1%	98.5%	
2001	98.0%	99.0%	98.1%	98.0%	98.5%	
2002	98.1%	99.2%	97.1%	98.2%	98.5%	
2003*	98.0%	99.0%	. 89.9%	100.0%	97.1%	
*EIA sales (MWh	n) data by utility for 2003, on which the	is table is ba	sed, appear	to be incomple	ete. They may o	omit
some sales (abo	ut 1.1 TWh) to commercial and (espe	ecially) indus	trial custome	rs, possibly fro	om "delivery-onl	y" providers.

Note: Additional data used in this worksheet are derived from the following sources, but are not shown here. (Data not shown are available from sources shown, or on request)

Statewide 1999-2005 Sales and Revenue data from Excel workbook "sales_revenue.xls", from USDOE EIA Website <u>http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/page/data.html</u>

Data on sales, 1990 – 2003, by type of provider from http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epa/epa_sprdshts.html,

"Electric Power Annual 2003: Spreadsheets", workbook sales_state.xls, downloaded 10/6/05

Data on revenue from 1990 – 2003, http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epa/epa_sprdshts.html,

"Electric Power Annual 2003: Spreadsheets", workbook revenue_state.xls, downloaded 10/6/05

Data on customer numbers from http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epa/epa_sprdshts.html,

"Electric Power Annual 2003: Spreadsheets", workbook customers_state.xls, downloaded 10/6/05

Data on sales, revenue, customer count by utility, 1999 to 2003 from "Form EIA-861 Database Annual Electric Power Industry Data", downloaded for each year from <u>http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/page/eia861.html</u>. Data from each year are from "FILE2.DBF" of the set of data files provide for each year (downloaded 10/5/05).

Data on sales, revenue, customer count by utility, 2004, from f826util2004.dbf, downloaded from <u>http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/page/eia826.html</u>.

Data from f826util2005.dbf, downloaded from

http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/page/eia826.html, (Data for first 6 months of 2005)

Summary Data	for Summer (June-Sept.) 2004	RESREVENUE	RESSALES	RESCONSUMR	COMREVENUE	COMSALES	COMCONSUMR
	Atlantic City Electric Co	221804	1690234	457340	139704	1143312	61047
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	456904	3621724	935830	287656	2425713	114728
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	622350	5213124	1788774	756063	6472983	252095
	Rockland Electric Co	32942	292849	62306	26663	248282	8741
	Total EPM	1371331	11100384	3244250	1523485	13687406	436611
Summary Data	for Other Months 2004	-					
	Atlantic City Electric Co	282341	2563956		195321	1903102	
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	499100	5245047		405177	4210158	
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	868297	7895920		946598	10977474	
	Rockland Electric Co	41215	422113		41181	447259	
	Total EPM	1777822	16918109		2114406	24203610	
Summary Data	for October 2004 through May 2005	-					
	Atlantic City Electric Co	280277	2544102	461052	184633	1772162	61480
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	542167	5642546	942459	436262	4443010	115662
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	834132	7749996	1794510	938466	11117296	251380
	Rockland Electric Co	42612	442860	62431	42770	467520	8763
	Total EPM	1743198	16740797		2218256	24851076	

Summary Data	for Summer (June-Sept.) 2004	INDREVENUE I	INDSALES	INDCONSUM	OTHREVENUE	OTHRSALES	OTHCONSUN	TOTREVENUE	TOTSALES	TOTCONSUMR
	Atlantic City Electric Co	12521	118257	952	0	0	0	374029	2951803	519339
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	21392	202816	2481	0	0	0	765952	6250253	1053039
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	107908	1203854	7812	3673	44328	2	1489994	12934289	2048684
	Rockland Electric Co	1149	10808	234	0	0	0	60754	551939	71281
	Total EPM	346930	3867474	11479	3673	44328	2	3251183	28743743	3692342
Summary Data	for Other Months 2004									
	Atlantic City Electric Co	25659	280697		0	0		503321	4747755	
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	49135	602869		0	0		953412	10058074	
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	121173	2014862		7260	104250		1943328	20992506	
	Rockland Electric Co	2389	26133		0	0		84785	895505	
	Total EPM	614329	7215805		7260	104250		4526966	48531977	
Summary Data	for October 2004 through May 2005									
	Atlantic City Electric Co	19771	223822	964	0	0	0	484681	4540086	523496
	Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	43226	486216	2476	0	0	0	1021655	10571772	1060597
	Public Service Elec & Gas Co	113633	1876883	8289	2983	87847	16	1889214	20832022	2054182
	Rockland Electric Co	2277	24472	237	0	0	0	87659	934852	71431
	Total EPM	535146	6945910		0	0	0	4518343	48757896	3709706

2.9 Tariffs Estimation Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy

and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1" (As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005,</u> (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

ROUGH ESTIMATE OF BASIC GENERATION (ENERGY) COMPONENT OF TARIFFS BY UTILITY AND BY CUSTOMER CLASS IN NEW JERSEY

Based on Investor-owned Utility Tariff Data and 2004/2005 Sales and Revenue Data

From "Sales_Data" Worksheet in this workbook

	Average Total Revenues (\$) per kWh by Customer Type and Season (2004 Energy Year)														
	Residential Commercial Industr									stria	I				
Utility	Jur	ne - Sept.	0	ct May	Ju	ne - Sept.	0	Oct May		Oct May J		ct May June - Sept.		0	ct May
Atlantic City Electric Co	\$	0.1312	\$	0.1102	\$	0.1222	\$	0.1042	\$	0.1059	\$	0.0883			
Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	\$	0.1262	\$	0.0961	\$	0.1186	\$	0.0982	\$	0.1055	\$	0.0889			
Public Service Elec & Gas Co	\$	0.1194	\$	0.1076	\$	0.1168	\$	0.0844	\$	0.0896	\$	0.0605			
Rockland Electric Co	\$	0.1125	\$	0.0976	\$	0.1074	\$	0.0921	\$	0.1063	\$	0.0914			
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities, Seasonal, by Sector	\$	0.1233	\$	0.1040	\$	0.1176	\$	0.0901	\$	0.0946	\$	0.0693			
Weighted Average over "Total EPM" consumption, Seasonal, by Sector	\$	0.1235	\$	0.1041	\$	0.1113	\$	0.0893	\$	0.0897	\$	0.0770			
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities, Annual, by Sector	\$	0.1117			\$	0.1001			\$	0.0787					
Weighted Average over "Total EPM" consumption, Annual, by Sector	\$	0.1124			\$	0.0960			\$	0.0867					
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities, All Sectors, Seasonal	\$	0.1188	\$	0.0948											
Weighted Average over "Total EPM" consumption, All Sectors, Seasonal*	\$	0.1131	\$	0.0926											
vveighted Average over 4 Utilities, All Sectors, Annual	\$	0.1039													
Weighted Average over "Total EPM" consumption, All Sectors, Annual*	\$	0.1006	the		- 44	ana uubiah	th o	a a data	0.50	derived	The	weighte			

averages using the "Total EPM" figures from 2004, rather than the total over the sales of the four investor-owned utilities in the state, tends to weight the commercial and, especially, industrial sector more heavily because sales in these sectors in 2004 included significant sales from other (non-utility) providers.

	Estimated Average Basic Generation Charge (\$) per kWh by Customer											Customer	
				Туре	and	<u>Season</u>	(En	ergy Yea	<u>ar 2</u>	004)			
		Resid	len	tial	Commercial			ial	al Indu			strial	
Utility	June - Sept. Oct May			Jur	e - Sept.	С	Oct May	Ju	ine - Sept.	(Oct May		
Atlantic City Electric Co	\$	0.0766	\$	0.0580	\$	0.0760	\$	0.0577	\$	0.1083	\$	0.0649	
Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	\$	0.0769	\$	0.0587	\$	0.0732	\$	0.0575	\$	0.0567	\$	0.0527	
Public Service Elec & Gas Co	\$	0.0741	\$	0.0614	\$	0.0678	\$	0.0562	\$	0.0677	\$	0.0572	
Rockland Electric Co	\$	0.0679	\$	0.0626	\$	0.0666	\$	0.0614	\$	0.0666	\$	0.0614	
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities,													
Seasonal, by Sector	\$	0.0752	\$	0.0600	\$	0.0699	\$	0.0568	\$	0.0691	\$	0.0570	
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities,													
Annual, by Sector	\$	0.0660			\$	0.0616			\$	0.0615			
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities, All													
Sectors, Seasonal	\$	0.0724	\$	0.0582									
Weighted Average over 4 Utilities, All													
Sectors, Annual	\$	0.0636											
		Reve	nu	e by Cus	tome	er Type a	ind	Season,	En	ergy Yea	r 20	004	
1 14 11 14 1	l	Resid	ien	tiai	Luna Sont Oct N			iai Nat May	at Indu			ai Det Meu	
Atlantic City Electric Co	Jur	E9 49/		50 70/	Jur	e - Sept.		EE 20/	JU	102 29/	<u> </u>	72 EV	
Jersey Central Power & Lt Co		60.9%	52.7%		61.7		58.5%			53.7%		73.3%	
Public Service Elec & Gas Co		62.1%	61.1%		58.0%		66.6%			75.6%		04.4%	
Rockland Electric Co		60.40/		6/ 10/	62.0%		66 7%		62.7%			67 20/	
		00.4 %		04.170		02.076		00.7 %		02.7 /0		07.270	
	Sales-Weighted Average Basic Generation Charge as a Fraction of Total Revenue by Customer Type						of Total Energy Year 2004 Sales by				Sales by /h)		
Utility	Re	sidential	С	ommercial	In	dustrial	Re	esidential	С	ommercial		Industrial	
Atlantic City Electric Co		54.9%		58.0%		83.4%	4	1234336		2915474		342079	
Jersey Central Power & Lt Co		61.0%		59.7%		57.6%	9264270		6868723			689032	
Public Service Elec & Gas Co		59.1%		63.5%	87.1% 12		2963120	1	7590279		3080737		
Rockland Electric Co		62.6%		65.1%		65.8%		735709		715802		35280	
Sales-Weighted Average for 4													
Utilities		59.19%		62.01%	81.69%								

Assumptions for Above Estimate	IS:
Atlantic City Electric Co	Residential is 100% RS Tariff category. Average June-Sept Monthly Usage: 923.95 kWh
	Commercial fractions of sales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
	MGS-Sec MGS-Prim AGS-Sec AGS-Prim
	34% 11% 28% 28% See Note 1
	Industrial fractions of sales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
	MGS-Sec MGS-Prim AGS-Sec AGS-Prim
	15% 45% 40% 40% See Note 1
Jersey Central Power & Lt Co	Residential sales in the JCP&L service territory were ~95% in the RS
	Tariff category in 2003 (FERC Form 1 datasee Note 3 below). For the purpose
	of this analysis, we assume that 100% of Residential sales were in the RS service class.
	Average June-Sept Monthly Usage: 967.52 kWh
	Commercial tractions of sales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
	GS GSI GP/GI
	71% 9% 20% det Note 5-rightes ale fough estimates. Talin deed in
	equation for GST is a simple (unweighted) averages of
	Industrial fractions of cales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
Public Service Elec & Gas Co	Residential is treated as 100% RS Tariff category. Average June-Sept Monthly Usage: 728.59 kWh
	Commercial fractions of sales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
	LPL under LPL 750-
	GLP 750 kW 1250 kW
	47% 13% 40% See Note 2 figures are rough estimates. Tariffs used in
	equations above are simple (unweighted) averages of
	peak and off-peak values.
	Industrial fractions of sales by rate class (assumed to hold year-round):
	LPL under LPL 750-
	GLP 750 kW 1250 kW
	10% 22.5% 67.5% See Note 2 Figures are rough estimates. Tarins used in
	equations above are simple (unweighted) averages of
	peak and on-peak values.
Rockland Electric Co	Residential is 100% class 1 Tariff category. Average June-Sept Monthly Usage: 1,175.04 kWh
	commercial and industrial are both 100% General Service (class 2) Tariff category.

6%

6%

BASIC GENERATION CHARGE DATA FOR NJ INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES PROVIDED BELOW

PSEG

PSEG Basic Energy Charges for Key Rate Classes

From Public Service Electric and Gas Company B.P.U.N.J. No. 14, "Tariff for Electric Service" Effective 8/1/2003, available as "electric_tariff.pdf". Data below are from Sheets 67 and 67A:

Values below do not include Sales and Use Tax (SUT) of

	Basic Ge	eneration
	Service	(BGS)
	charges, o	ents/kWh
	October	June through
Rate Class	through May	September
RS, first 600 kWh/mo	6.1387	7.2563
RS, over 600 kWh/mo	6.1387	8.1215
BPL [Public Lighting]	4.7139	4.7258
GLP	5.4921	6.7786
GLP Night Use	4.1632	4.0329
LPL under 750 kW on-peak	6.5705	8.7655
LPL under 750 kW off-peak	4.1632	4.0329
LPL 750 to 1250 kW on-peak	7.0705	9.2655
LPL 750 to 1250 kW off-peak	4.6632	4.5329

JCPL

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Basic Energy Charges for Key Rate Classes From JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY BPU NO. 10 ELECTRIC "TARIFF for SERVICE" Effective 7/30/2003, available as "_85256A170068279F_la_NJ+Part+III+2005-0901__file_tariff_iii_eff090105.pdf". Data below are from Sheet 36:

Values below do not include Sales and Use Tax (SUT) of

Basic Generation Service (BGS) charges, cents/kWh October June through Rate Class through May September RS, first 600 kWh/mo 5.8694 7.3397 RS, over 600 kWh/mo 5.8694 8.2568 OL, SVL, MVL, ISL [Street Lighting] 4.9755 5.3046 GS (General Service Secondary) 5.877 7.8387 GST on-peak 7.5698 11.2591 GST off-peak 4.5385 4.7283 GS 750 to 1250 kW 6.377 8.3387 Adds "retail adder" of 0.5 cents to GS charge GST 750 to 1250 kW on-peak 8.0698 11.7591 Adds "retail adder" of 0.5 cents to GS charge GST 750 to 1250 kW off-peak 5.0385 5.2283 Adds "retail adder" of 0.5 cents to GS charge

Filed December 16, 2005

For Customers taking power at primary and transmission voltages, and customers taking power at secondary voltages with demand greater than 1250 kW, the following energy charges pertain (from Sheet 37 of above)

1) BGS Energy Charge per KWH: The sum of actual real-time PJM load weighted average Locational Marginal Price for JCP&L Transmission Zone and ancillary services of \$0.00300 per KWH, times the Losses Multiplier provided below, plus a Retail Margin of \$0.005 per KWH, times 1.06 multiplier for Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT.

Losses Multiplier:	GT – High Tension Service	1.019
	GT	1.041
	GP	1.061
	GST	1.118
	GS	1.118

Based on the algorithms above, and using a 2003 value for the PJM load weighted average locational marginal price (for PJM as a whole, not only for JCP&L) of \$ 0.04123 /kWh from page 79 of <u>State of the Market 2003</u>, (by the Market Monitoring Unit of the PJM Interconnection, dated March 2004, and downloaded as http://www.pjm.com/markets/market-monitor/downloads/mmu-reports/pjm-som-2003-part2.pdf), yields

tariffs for larger customers (before taxes) as follows:

	OCH13/RWII
GT High Tension Service (GTX?)	5.00
GT	5.09
GP	5.17
GST	5.41
GS	5.41

This is a substantial simplification, because PJM prices vary significantly year to year and month to month (though JCPL's summer and winter industrial loads, at least in 2004, had similar monthly averages), but it is used to compute the estimates of weighted average tariffs above in the absence of more specific pricing data.

ATLANTIC ELECTRIC

ATLANTIC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE SECTION IV - SERVICE CLASSIFICATIONS AND RIDERS BPU NJ No. 11 ELECTRIC SERVICE, Tariff Change Effective: October 1, 2005 Available as: "nj_tariff_IV.pdf". Data are from Sheet 60.

	Basic G	eneration	
	October	June through	
Rate Class	through May	September	
RS, first 750 kWh/mo	5.8029	7.4863	
RS, over 750 kWh/mo	5.8029	8.408	
RS TOU on-peak	7.5586	10.8023	
RS TOU off-peak	4.7137	4.9458	
SPL/CSL [Street Lighting]	5.1125	5.3506	
MGS-Secondary	5.9338	7.8622	
MGS-Primary	5.6243	7.4991	
AGS-Secondary	5.8445	7.5170	
AGS-Primary	5.5410	7.3920	
DDC	5.6184	7.0230	
MGS/AGS/DDC 750 to 1250 kW			Adds "retail adder" of 0.5 cents to charges abov

Text below from sheet 60a in Atlantic Electric document referenced above:

BGS-CIEP

Basic Generation Service (BGS)

Energy Charges

BGS Energy Charges for Rate Schedule TGS, AGS and MGS customers with a Peak Load Share (PLS) of 1,250 kW or more, and AGS and MGS customers with a PLS of less than 1,250 kW who have elected BGS-CIEP are hourly and are provided at the real time PJM Load Weighted Average Locational Marginal Prices for the Atlantic Electric Transmission Zone, adjusted for losses, plus administrative charges pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-60 and New Jersey Sales and Use Tax as set forth in Rider SUT.

Retail Margin \$0.005327 per kWh This charge is applicable to all customers taking service under BGS CIEP and those BGS-FP customers on Rate Schedules MGS Secondary, MGS Primary, AGS Secondary or AGS Primary whose annual PLS for generation capacity is equal to or greater than 750 kW as of November 1 of each year. This charge includes administrative charges pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-60 and New Jersey Sales and Use Tax as set forth in Rider SUT

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY

From document ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY, P.U.C. NO. 2 ELECTRICITY Available as "gi24-34.pdf". Data below are from Sheet 48

	Basic Generation				
	October	June through			
Rate Class	through May	September			
Residential – First 250 kWh	6.271	6.271			
Residential – Over 250 kWh	6.259	6.935			
General Service (Non-Demand Billed	6.141	6.663			
Residential TOD/WH Peak	8.142	10.036			
Residential TOD/WH Off-Peak	5.048	4.548			
Public Street Lighting	5.356	4.626			
Residential SH – First 250 kWh	6.164	6.529			
Residential SH – Next 450 kWh	6.164	7.196			
Residential SH – Over 700 kWh	6.164	7.645			
Private Overhead Lighting	5.339	4.603			

(B) BASIC GENERATION SERVICE – COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL ENERGY PRICING (BGS-CIEP)

This service is applicable to all Service Classification No. 7 customers, and Service Classification No. 2 customers who maintain a billing demand of 1,250 kW or greater during any two months of a calendar year, taking BGS from the Company. Service Classification No. 2 metered customers who do not meet the above criteria may elect to take BGS-CIEP service on a voluntary basis. See General Information Section No. 28(A).

BGS Energy Charges:

Charges per kilowatthour:

BGS Energy Charges are hourly and are provided at the real time PJM Load Weighted Average Locational Marginal Prices for the Rockland Electric Transmission Zone, plus Ancillary Services (including PJM Administrative Charges) at the rate of \$0.00330 per kilowatthour, adjusted for losses, plus a retail margin at the rate of \$0.00530 per kilowatthour and applicable taxes.

Notes and Sources								
Note 1: Page 304 of FERC Form 1 fo	r the Atlantic City Ele	ectric Company ((for 2004) lists 100% of AEC's residential sales					
as being in the RS rate class. The sa	me document lists C	ommercial and I	Industrial sales that are approximately					
distributed as follows:	1.44 TWh MC	SS						
	2.7 TWh AG	S						
	1.33 TWh TG	iS						
The sum of these sales, however, is r	nuch higher than the	total sales for th	ne classes as reported by EIA for the same year					
(see "Sales Data" worksheet in this w	orkbook). Our assur	nption is that the	e discrepancy is because the FERC Form 1 figure	res				
include sales by AEC that are of distri	bution services only,	for large custom	ners buying power from energy providers. This					
would seem to be corroborated by the	e relatively low avera	ge tarrifs for the	AGS (7.92 cents/kWh) and TGS					
(3.55 cents/kWh) rate classes in the F	ERC Form 1 data.	We therefore as	ssume that <u>basically all o</u> f the TGS sales, and at	oout				
0.7 TWh of the AGS sales, in 2004, w	ere for distribution-or	nly. Therefore a	about 42% of total AEC commercia	al and				
industrial sales were in the MGS sales	s classes, with the re	mainder (or nea	rly all of it) in the AGS class.					
We assume that about	80% of indust	rial sales were a	at the AGS level, meaning that about	1.7				
TWh of AGS sales were in the comm	ercial sector, thus ab	out	55% of commercial sector sales were in t	he AGS				
sales classes. With no data at hand	on how primary and	secondary sales	are divided, we assume that 75%	of MGS				
sales are at the secondary level, along	g with 50	% of AGS sales	S	•				
Note 2: For the Public Service Electri	c and Gas Company	(PSEG), on the	order of 95% of residential sales are in the RS s	sales				
class (from Page 304 of 2004 FERC	Form 1 for PSEG), so	o this rate is use	d for all residential customers.					
The same document lists Commercia	l and Industrial sales	that are approxi	imately					
distributed as follows:	8.5 TWh GL	.P						
	15.9 TWh LP	L						
The sum of these sales, however, is h	igher than the total s	sales for the clas	sses as reported by EIA for the same year					
(see "Sales Data" worksheet in this w	orkbook). Our assur	nption is that the	e discrepency is because the FERC Form 1 figure	res				
include sales by PSEG that are of dis	tribution services only	y, for large custo	omers buying power from energy providers. This	3				
would seem to be corroborated by the	e relatively low average	ge tariffs for the	LPL (7.1 cents/kWh)					
rate class in the FERC Form 1 data.	We therefore assum	ne <u>that about</u>	3.73 TWh of the LPL rate cla	ass				
sales were for distribution-only. There	efore about	41%	of total PSEG commercial and industrial sales					
were in the GLP rate class.								
We assume that about	90% of indust	rial sales were a	at the LPL level, meaning that about	9.3				
TWh of LPL sales were in the comme	rcial sector, thus abo	out	53% of commercial sector sales were in t	he LPL				
sales classes. With no data at hand	on how sales over ar	nd under 750 kV	V are divided, we assume that 75%	of LPL				
sales are at the 750 - 1250 kW level.								
Note 3: For the Jersey Central Power	and Light Company	(JCPL), on the o	order of 95% of residential sales are in the RS s	ales				
class (from Page 304 of 2003 FERC	Form 1 for JCPL), so	this rate is used	for all residential customers.					
The same document lists Commercia	l and Industrial sales	that are approx	imately					
distributed as follows (TWh):								
Tariff Class	Commercial	Industrial						
GS	6.12	0.44						
	0.79	0.18						
GP OT	1.12	0.69						
	0.58	1.43						
GIX	N/A	0.32						
The sum of those sales is same that								
I ne sum of these sales is somewhat higher than the total sales for the classes as reported by EIA for the								
same year, especially in the Industria	sector, suggesting t	nat some large o	customers are served with distribution services					
only by JCPL.								

2.10 Biomass Resource and Potential Generation Estimate Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

BIOMASS RESOURCE AND POTENTIAL GENERATION ESTIMATE FOR NEW JERSEY

The document <u>Biomass Feedstock Availability in the United States: 1999 State Level Analysis</u> by Marie E. Walsh, Robert L. Perlack, Anthony Turhollow, Daniel de la Torre Ugarte, Denny A. Becker, Robin L. Graham, Stephen E. Slinsky, and Daryll E. Ray, of Oak Ridge National Laboratory and related institutions, dated April 30, 1999 (Updated January, 2000), and

available from http://bioenergy.ornl.gov/resourcedata/index.html

with its accompanying Excel workbook 1999biomass_quantity.xls, offers the following estimates of

availability of different types of biomass fuels in New Jersey at different prices:

	Cumulat	ive Drv ton	s (Sho	ort Tons) Av	vaila	able by Ty	/pe of Biomass	s by Cost Cat	egory
				Forest		Ag			
Estimated Unit Cost	Urban Wastes	Mill Wast	es F	Residues	R	esidues	Switchgrass	SRWC*	TOTAL
Less than \$20/ton	389,089	-		-		-	-	-	389,089
Less than \$30/ton	648,481	8,00	0	70,000		-	-	-	726,481
Less than \$40/ton	648,481	8,00	0	102,000		32,723	-	-	791,204
Less than \$50/ton	648,481	21,00	0	130,700		32,723	142,902	-	975,806
Implied Average Cost**	\$ 24.00	\$ 42.3	8 \$	36.84	\$	40.00	\$ 50.00		\$ 30.46

* SRWC = Short Rotation Woody Crops, for which the workbook above included a zero estimate for each state. The reason why SRWC crops were deemed not to be available at a cost of less than \$50 per ton is unclear from the text. It is possible that the "Switchgrass" category covers both Switchgrass and SRWC (these categories are lumped in the text document that the workbook accompanies), or that SRWC is simply not competitive with other

agricultural land uses.

* Estimated roughly using \$20/ton as the cost for the first row of biomass resource estimates,

\$30/ton for the second row of estimates, and so on. This method yields an upper estimate of the

weighted averages of the costs for the resources as shown in the table.

Estimate of Average Biomass Fuel Costs

Assume a net heat rate for new biomass-fired power plants of 12000 Btu/kWh.
This heat rate would be among the better rates for the existing biomass-fueled powerplants surveyed in
G. Wiltsee (2000), Lessons Learned from Existing Biomass Power Plants, prepared for NREL, and
available as http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy00osti/26946.pdf, published as NREL/SR-570-26946, February 2000.
Newer biomass generation technologies, such as biomass gasification-based technologies (such as BIGCC), have higher
efficiencies (lower heat rates), sometimes lower than 10,000 Btu/kWh. See, for example, the EPRI
report cited in Note 4 of the "Renewables_costs" worksheet in this workbook.
Also assume a biomass energy content of 16 MMBtu/dry ton, which is a fairly central average
for mostly woody biomass (such as urban biomass wastes, which predominate in New Jersey).
With the average fuel costs calculated as above (given that the method used for calculation results in
an upper estimate of fuel costs from the data in the original study, we ignore the impacts of inflation in
going from the ORNL study's original 1999 dollars to the 2004 dollars used in this analysis), the heat rate
above yields a per-kWh fuel cost of \$ 0.0228, and assuming a non-fuel variable O&M cost of \$ 0.0034
per kWh, yields an overall fuel plus non-fuel variable O&M cost of \$ 0.0262 per kWh.
The variable O&M cost estimate shown here is somewhat lower than the value used for a plant purchased in 2005 in the
report cited in Note 4 of the "Renewables_costs" worksheet in this workbook, and is roughly consistent with
the average of variable non-fuel O&M costs for "solid woody biomass"-fueled plants provided in the report
New Jersey Renewable Energy Market Assessment, Final Report to Rutgers University
Center for Energy, Economic and Environmental Policy, Prepared by Navigant Consulting Inc., Sustainable
Energy Advantage LLC, and Boreal Renewable Energy Development, August 2, 2004 (Navigant-REmarket8-04.pdf).
The average of non-fuel variable O&M costs from page 235 of this document for conventional and
BIGCC technologies yields the non-fuel variable cost shown.

Maximum Annual Generation	n from Biomass	s in New Jersey					
Based on the estimates of the	New Jersey bio	mass resource shown above, and the average biomas	s generation heat				
rate estimate of	12000	Btu/kWh, the maximum (sustainable) generation from	biomass in				
New Jersey would be 1,301 GWh per year, or, at 5500 full-load equivalent hours per year,							
approximately	237	MW of generation.					
		-					
Biomass Plant Costs							
The USDOE Annual Energy O	utlook 2005 (AE	O2005) table labeled "Table 38: Cost and					
Performance Characteristics of	New Central S	tation Electricity Generating Technologies", and availal	ble				
as page 70 of http://www.eia.de	be.gov/oiaf/aeo/	/assumption/pdf/0554(2005).pdf,					
Assumptions to the Annual End	ergy Outlook 20	05, includes the following cost information on biomass					
power central station plants. T	his cost informa	tion was used in the AEO2005 modeling effort.					
		-					
Plant size:	80 MW						
Capital cost:	\$1,731	2003 \$/kW (includes contingency factor)					
Variable O&M cost:	29.6	mills/kWh					
Fixed O&M cost:	46.47	\$/kW-yr (2003 \$)					
			' '				
Additional estimates of BIG-ST	plant costs bel	ow from:					
Electric Power Research Institu	ute (1998) <u>Econ</u>	omic and Risk Evaluation of the Brazil Biomass Integra	ated				
Gasification-Gas Turbine Demo	onstration Proje	ct, prepared by EPRI, Principal Investigators					
Charles R. McGowin, Evan Hughes, and Neville Holt, Draft Report, November 1998.							
Available as http://www.worldbank.org/html/fpd/em/biomass/igcc_appendix.pdf.							
Plant size:	40 MW gross	32 MW net					
Capital cost:	\$3,531	1998* \$/kW (all costs for demonstration unit)					
Variable O&M cost:	2.76	mills/kWh (non-fuel)					
Fixed O&M cost: 79.6 \$/kW-yr (1998 \$)							
*Currency date not specified in source document, but assumed to be 1998.							

2.11 Background Data on Wind Power System Costs Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

BACKGROUND DATA ON WIND POWER SYSTEM COSTS (CURRENT AND FUTURE)

 Costs of Solar PV systems as described in the "Navigant Report",

 <u>New Jersey Renewable Energy Market Assessment</u>, Final Report to Rutgers University

 Center for Energy, Economic and Environmental Policy, Prepared by Navigant Consulting Inc., Sustainable

 Energy Advantage LLC, and Boreal Renewable Energy Development, August 2, 2004 (Navigant-REmarket8-04.pdf),

 Pages 220-221.
 Costs in 2004 dollars for 1.8 MW (2004) to 5 MW (2020) turbines.

 Source lists system lifetimes of
 25 years for systems installed in 2005 and 2008,

 years for systems installed thereafter.
 Installed Capital Cost (\$/kWp ac)

 Wind Farm Type
 2005
 2008
 2015
 2020
 2005-2008
 2008-2015-2020

Wind Farm Type 2020 2005-2008 2008-2015 2015-2020 2005 2008 2015 Onshore, 5 MW 1,250 \$ 1,155 \$ 960 \$ 850 -2.6% -2.6% -2.4% \$ Onshore, 20 MW 895 785 -2.7% -2.8% -2.6% \$ 1,185 \$ 1,090 \$ \$ Onshore, 50 MW -3.0% 1,050 \$ 955 \$ 775 \$ 665 -3.1% -2.9% \$ Offshore \$ \$ \$ 1,650 -0.6% N/A 1,900 1,700 -1.6% Average Capacity Factor System Size (kWp ac) 2005 2008 2015 2020 Onshore Class 3 0.4% 29.0% 29.8% 31.0% 31.6% 0.9% 0.6% Onshore Class 4 38.2% 35.0% 36.0% 37.4% 0.9% 0.5% 0.4% Offshore Class 6 N/A 40.0% 37.0% 42.0% 1.1% 1.0%

Data on non-fuel O&M costs from the same source

		Non	fue	1 O&M C	cost	s (\$/kWa	r)	Implied Average Change in			
System Size (kWp ac)	• •	2005		2008		2015		2020	2005-2008	2008-2015	2015-2020
Onshore, 5 MW	\$	25.00	\$	22.80	\$	18.40	\$	15.80	-3.0%	-3.0%	-3.0%
Onshore, 20 MW	\$	23.30	\$	21.30	\$	18.00	\$	14.50	-2.9%	-2.4%	-4.2%
Onshore, 50 MW	\$	20.00	\$	18.30	\$	17.20	\$	12.70	-2.9%	-0.9%	-5.9%
Offshore	N/.	A	\$	49.00	\$	46.00	\$	44.00		-0.9%	-0.9%

30

The graph below, from "Chapter 23: United States" in International Energy Agency IEA Wind Annual Report 2004, available at http://www.ieawind.org/iea_wind_pdf/PDF_2004_IEA_Annual_Report%20PDF/239-252%20USA.pdf

Making the assumption that the majority (effectively, nearly all) of the decrease in the per-kWh cost shown in the graph below is from decreased capital costs, and making rough measurements from the graph, the trend in costs by year shown are as follows:

					Implied	Average Cha	ange in
	Esitm	ated Leveli	zed Cents/k	Capital Costs, %/yr			
Wind Farm Site	2005	2010	2015	2020	2005-2008	2008-2015	2015-2020
Low wind speed sites	4.10	3.50	3.40	3.30	-5.1%	-0.4%	-0.6%
High wind speed sites	3.40	3.30	3.20	3.10	-1.0%	-0.4%	-0.6%



Cost of Wind Energy

Figure 4 Cost of wind energy in the United States, 1990 to 2020.

The USDOE Annual Energy Outlook 2005 (AEO2005) table labeled "Table 38: Cost and Performance Characteristics of New Central Station Electricity Generating Technologies", and available as page 70 of http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/assumption/pdf/0554(2005).pdf, Assumptions to the Annual Energy Outlook 2005, includes the following cost information on wind power central station plants. This cost information was used in the AEO2005 modeling effort.

Plant size:	50 MW	
Capital cost:	\$1,134	2003 \$/kW (includes contingency factor)
Variable O&M cost:	0	mills/kWh (cost of zero listed)
Fixed O&M cost:	26.81	\$/kW-yr (2003 \$)

In EIA Projections of Renewable Energy Costs, presented in "Forum on the Economic Impact Analysis of NJ's Proposed 20% RPS" by Chris Namovicz of the USDOE EIA (Energy Information Administration), dated February 22, 2005, and available as http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/pdf/rec.pdf, a wind power average cost of 1100 dollars/kW is provided, with "Minimal cost decline expected in mid-term" and "Performance ranges from 32% [capacity factor] (Class 4) to 42% (Class 6)"

The table that follows is from the document Future for Offshore Wind Energy in the United States (Preprint),															
Prepared by W. Musial and S. Butterfield	of the USD	DE National	Renewable	e Energy La	boratory, an	d labeled									
"To be presented at EnergyOcean 2004 F	alm Beach	, Florida Jui	ne 28-29, 2	004" (June 2	2004 • NREL	/CP-500-36	313).								
Document available as http://www.nrel.go	v/docs/fy04	osti/36313.	pdf.	,			,								
The capital cost data in the table below su	uggest the fo	ollowing rat	es of chang	e of offshor	e wind capita	al costs:									
%/yr Average C	Change in C	apital													
Co	osts														
2006-2009 2009-2016 2016-2020															
-3.3% -2.85% -2.16%															
By way of comparison, the \$0.015 per kWh variable O&M cost, at a capacity factor of 42%															
as listed for a 2006 offshore wind turbine in the table below would be equal in annual cost to a fixed															
O&M cost of \$55.19 per kW/yr															
Table 3 – Shallow Water O	Cost Estir	mates fo	r Offsho	re Wind	– Class 6	Winds									
	rable 5 – Shahow Water Cost Estimates for Offshore wind – Class 6 Winds														
an air an 146 à an 146 a an 146 a			ana an an an an												
shallow water wind CC	2E. Estimated 25 h	s - Glass s - 1 a Thousandi	∙au-mideptr ≈	, 16-miles m	om snore										
	99 H		vy Vear of l	nsfallation											
	2008	2009	2012	2015	2020	2025									
Turbine Size	5	5	5	5	5 MW	5 MW									
Wind Farm Size	500 MW	500 MW	500 MW	500 MW	500 MW	500 MW									
Rotor Diameter	128	128	128	128	128 M	128 M									
Hub Height	80	90	00		Rotor Diameter 128 128 128 128 128 128 M										
Hub Height SU SU SU SU SU SU SUM SUM															
Accumed Water Depth	<30-m	<30-m	su <30-m	80 <30-m	80 M <30-m	80 M <30-m									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant)	<30-m \$338,730	<30-m \$308,244	30-m \$289,750	80 <30-m \$258,746	80 M <30-m \$237,184	80 M <30-m \$229,278									
Assumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant)	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200	<30-m \$308,244 \$87,296	30+m \$289,750 \$76,820	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602	80 M <30-m \$237,194 \$61,969	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903									
Assumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical infractructure	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300	<pre>-30-m \$308,244 \$87,296 \$144,963</pre>	30-m \$299,750 \$76,820 \$136,265	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089	80 M <30-m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical Infractructure ICC / Rating (\$/kw)	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300 \$1,194	<pre></pre>	su <30-m \$289,750 \$76,820 \$136,265 \$1,006	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089 \$909	80 M <30-m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415 \$833	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501 \$805									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical Infractructure ICC / Rating (\$/kw) O&M (\$/kwh)	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300 \$1,194 \$0.0150	<30-m \$308,244 \$87,296 \$144,963 \$1,081 \$0.0132	su <30-m \$289,750 \$76,820 \$136,265 \$1,006 \$0.0116	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089 \$909 \$0.0102	80 M <30-m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415 \$833 \$0.0092	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501 \$805 \$0.0083									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Coct (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical Infractructure ICC / Rating (\$/kw) O&M (\$/kwh) LRC (Yritotal plant)	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300 \$1,194 \$0.0150 \$5,000	<30-m \$308,244 \$87,296 \$144,963 \$1,081 \$0.0132 \$5,000	su <30-m \$289,750 \$76,820 \$136,265 \$1,006 \$0.0116 \$5,000	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089 \$909 \$0.0102 \$5,000	80 M <30+m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415 \$833 \$0.0092 \$5,000	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501 \$805 \$0.0083 \$5,000									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical Infractructure ICC / Rating (\$/kw) O&M (\$/kwh) LRC (Yntotal plant) Capacity Factor (%)	<00 <30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300 \$1,194 \$0.0150 \$5,000 0.42	<30-m \$308,244 \$87,296 \$144,963 \$1,081 \$0,0132 \$5,000 0.44	su <30-m \$299,790 \$76,820 \$135,265 \$1,006 \$0,0116 \$5,000 0.47	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089 \$909 \$0.0102 \$5,000 0.47	80 M <30+m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415 \$833 \$0.0092 \$5,000 0,47	80 M <30+m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501 \$805 \$0.0083 \$5,000 0.47									
Accumed Water Depth Turbine Cost (total plant) Monopile foundations (total plant) Electrical Infractructure ICC / Rating (\$/kw) O&M (\$/kwh) LRC (Yntotal plant) Capacity Factor (%) Availability (%)	<30-m \$338,730 \$99,200 \$159,300 \$1,194 \$0.0150 \$5,000 0.42 0.85	<00- <30-m \$308,244 \$87,296 \$144,963 \$1,081 \$0,0132 \$5,000 0.44 0.9	su <30-m \$299,790 \$76,820 \$136,265 \$1,006 \$1,006 \$0,0116 \$5,000 0.47 0.95	80 <30-m \$258,746 \$67,602 \$128,089 \$909 \$0.0102 \$5,000 0.47 0.95	80 M <30+m \$237,184 \$61,969 \$117,415 \$833 \$0.0092 \$5,000 0.47 0.95	80 M <30-m \$229,278 \$59,903 \$113,501 \$805 \$0.0083 \$5,000 0.47 0.95									

2.12 Background Data on Solar PV System Costs Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy

and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1" (As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u>, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

BACKGROUND DATA ON SOLAR PV SYSTEM COSTS (CURRENT AND FUTURE)

Costs of Solar PV systems as estimated in workbook "CORE PV Financials wproposed for 06 112805v.xls". authored by NJ BPU:

		Ins	talled
		Capit	tal Cost
System Size (kW dc)		(\$/\	W dc)
	2.5	\$	7.80
	10	\$	7.75
	40	\$	7.50
	50	\$	7.50
	70	\$	7.25
	100	\$	7.00
	300	\$	6.75
	500	\$	6.50
	700	\$	6.00

Costs of Solar PV systems as described in the "Navigant Report",

New Jersey Renewable Energy Market Assessment, Final Report to Rutgers University

Center for Energy, Economic and Environmental Policy, Prepared by Navigant Consulting Inc., Sustainable

Energy Advantage LLC, and Boreal Renewable Energy Development, August 2, 2004 (Navigant-REmarket8-04.pdf), Pages 204-206. Costs in 2004 dollars.

Source lists system lifetimes of 25 years for systems installed in 2005 and 2008, years for systems installed thereafter.

								Implied Ave	rage Chang	e in Capital	
		Insta	alle	d Capital	Cos			Costs, %/yr			
System Size (kWp ac)	2005 2008				2015 2020			2005-2008	2008-2015	2015-2020	
3 to 5	\$	8,000	\$	6,900	\$	4,800	\$	3,700	-4.8%	-5.1%	-5.1%
250 to 500	\$	6,000	\$	5,145	\$	3,590	\$	2,780	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%
5000	\$	5,900	\$	5,060	\$	3,530	\$	2,735	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%
		Inst	alle	ed Capital	Co	st (\$/kW c	lc)				
System Size (kWp ac)		2005		2008		2015		2020			
3 to 5	\$	6,560	\$	5,658	\$	3,936	\$	3,034	-4.8%	-5.1%	-5.1%
250 to 500	\$	4,920	\$	4,219	\$	2,944	\$	2,280	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%
5000	\$	4,838	\$	4,149	\$	2,895	\$	2,243	-5.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%

Data on non-fuel O&M costs from the same source

	Non	-fue	el O&M Co	osts	(\$/kWac	-yr)		Implied Ave	rage Change	e in Capital
System Size (kWp ac)	2005 2008		2008		2015		2020	2005-2008	2008-2015	2015-2020
3 to 5	\$ 15.00	\$	14.00	\$	11.00	\$	9.00	-2.3%	-3.4%	-3.9%
250 to 500	\$ 12.00	\$	11.00	\$	9.00	\$	8.00	-2.9%	-2.8%	-2.3%
5000	\$ 6.00	\$	5.50	\$	4.50	\$	4.00	-2.9%	-2.8%	-2.3%
	Non	-fue	el O&M Co	osts	(\$/kWdc	-yr)				
System Size (kWp ac)	2005		2008	2015 2020			2020			
3 to 5	\$ 12.30	\$	11.48	\$	9.02	\$	7.38	-2.3%	-3.4%	-3.9%
250 to 500	\$ 9.84	\$	9.02	\$	7.38	\$	6.56	-2.9%	-2.8%	-2.3%
5000	\$ 4.92	\$	4.51	\$	3.69	\$	3.28	-2.9%	-2.8%	-2.3%

30

Alternative Cost Curves for Solar PV modules											
Source: Our Solar Power Future: The US Photovoltaics Industry Roadmap through 2030 and Beyond, prepared by											
a group of US DOE labora	atories	and othe	ers le	ed by an	NRE	EL team,	date	ed 9/2004	4, and availat	ole as	
http://www.seia.org/roadn	nap.pd	lf. Data a	are f	rom page	e 7 o	f referen	ced	docume	nt.		
		"Best Sy	/ster	n Selling	Pric	e" (\$/kW	/p do	;*)			
"Commercial" Systems	2	004	2	2010	2	2015		2020	2005-2010	2010-2015 20	15-2020
Baseline	\$	6,100	\$	4,870	\$	4,240	\$	3,760	-3.7%	-2.7%	-2.4%
"Roadmap"	\$	6,100	\$	4,650	\$	3,680	\$	3,010	-4.4%	-4.6%	-3.9%
*The source document do	besn't s	specify w	heth	er the co	sts s	shown a	re or	n a kW D	OC or AC basi	s, or note the c	urrency
year, but we assume capi	tal cos	sts are on	n a D	C basis,	and	currenc	y is 2	2004 dol	lars.		
Source: International Ene	rgy Ag	ency (IE/	A), T	RENDS	IN P	HOTOV	OLT	AIC APP	PLICATIONS		
Survey report of selected	IEA co	ountries b	oetw	een 1992	and	<u> 2004</u> .	Rep	ort #IEA-	PVPS T1-14	2005.	
Page 18.											
"Indicative costs" in 2004	in USI	D per kW	p (a	ssumedly	DC	; output)	for c	on-grid P	V systems in	the US:	
<10 kW	7000	to 10,000)			• •		•			
>10 kW	6300	to 8500									
The USDOE Annual Ener	av Ou	tlook 200	5 (A	EO2005)	tab	le labele	d "T	able 38:	Cost and		
Performance Characterist	ics of	New Cen	tral	Station E	lectr	ricitv Ge	nera	tina Tech	nnologies", ar	nd available	
as page 70 of http://www.	eia.do	e.gov/oia	f/ae	o/assumr	otion	/pdf/055	4(20	05).pdf.			
Assumptions to the Annua	al Ene	rav Outlo	ok 2	005. inclu	udes	s the follo	owin	a cost in	formation on	solar	
PV central station plants	This o	cost infor	mati	on was u	sed	in the A	FO2	005 mod	leling effort	o o rai	
	11110		man	on nao a	000		-02	000 11100	ioning onort.		
Plant size:	5 MW										
Capital cost:	•	\$4 467	200	3 \$/kW (i	nclu	des cont	inae	ncy facto	or)		
Variable O&M cost		¢ I, IOI 0	mills	s/kWh (co	nst o	f zero lis	sted)		.,		
Fixed Q&M cost:		10.34	\$/k\	V-vr (200	3 \$)	2010 110	neu)				
		10.01	φ/π	1): (200	υψ)						
In EIA Projections of Ren	owable	Enerav	Cos	ts nrese	nted	l in "Eori	im o	n the Ec	onomic Imna	rt Analysis of	
N I's Proposed 20% RPS	' by Cl	nie Nam	ovic	z of the L			Eno	av Infor	mation Admin	vistration) date	d
Echrupry 22, 2005, and a	unitabl	o oc http:	· //\			JE EIA (df/ro	andf av	wind nowor a	vorage cost of	
rebluary 22, 2005, and a	Valiabi	e as nup.	.// vv v	vw.eia.uu	e.yc	vided for	1/10	5. PUI, a v		tom or	
		8200	dolla	al 5/KVV 15 aro/k/M/ fo	pio		a z		tom with	stem, or	
"I area notontial far agat r		0200	uona		n a z		siue	illai sysi	lem, with		
Large potential for cost n	educiid	on.									
TI 0000 III.'(I	<u> </u>			(.							
The 2003 paper "Lifecycle	Cost	Assessm	nent	of Fielde	a Pr	notovolta		/stems",	by Larry M. M	vioore,	
Leonard A. Maiczyński, Jo	onn vv	. Stracha	n, a	nd Harold	1 N.	Post of a	Sanc	lia Natioi	nal Laborator	les	
(available as http://www.n	rel.go	v/ncpv_p	rm/p	dts/3358	6050	0.pdf) in	clude	es the es	stimate, based		
on review of existing utility	y-scale	e installat	ions	, that ann	ual	O&M co	sts a	re about	t	0.40%	
of total capital costs. Thi	s wou	ld sugges	st cu	rrent O&I	M cc	osts on tl	ne ol	der of \$2	20-24/kW-yr.		
The older (1997) US DOE	docu	ment <u>OV</u>	ER∖	IEW OF	PHO	DTOVOL	TAI	C TECH	NOLOGIES		
(available as http://www.e	ere.er	ergy.gov	/ba/	pdfs/pv_c	over	view.pdf) sug	gests th	at even early	solar PV syste	ms
had O&M costs of under	\$	0.005	per	kWh, whi	ich u	under NJ	con	ditions w	vould be less	than \$	6.00 per kW-yr

2.13 Historical Population Estimates and Projections Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard

(RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy

and Energy Efficiency "Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1" (As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u>, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005 Prepared by:

HISTORICAL POPULATION ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS FOR NEW JERSEY

Following Population Data from

http://www.census.gov/popest/archives/2000s/vintage_2001/CO-EST2001-12/CO-EST2001-12-34.html

		Time Ser	ies of New J	lersev Interd	ensal Popu	ation Estim	ates bv Cou	Inty: April 1.	1990 to Apr	il 1. 2000				1
		April 1.					,							Average Annual
		1990												Growth
		Population	luby 1	luby 1	July 1	luby 1	July 1	July 1	luby 1	July 1	July 1	luly 1	April 1	Rate
		Estimates	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	1990 to
County	State	Base	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Census	2000
county	New Jersey	7 747 750	7 762 963	7 814 676	7 880 508	7 948 915	8 014 306	8 083 242	8 149 596	8 218 808	8 287 418	8 359 592	8 414 350	0.83%
Atlantic	New Jersey	224,327	225,431	228,763	231,612	234,288	236,589	239,212	242,152	244,771	247,863	250,432	252,552	
Bergen	New Jersey	825,380	826,129	829,599	837,520	843,198	848,392	855,242	862,048	868,897	875,050	880,225	884,118	
Burlington	New Jersey	395,066	396,180	399,888	400,773	402,717	407,060	411,890	415,266	417,226	418,250	420,542	423,394	
Camden	New Jersey	502,824	503,524	505,772	507,326	508,094	508,479	509,582	508,926	509,219	509,451	509,410	508,932	
Cape May	New Jersey	95,089	95,368	97,006	98,121	98,504	99,561	100,405	100,861	101,380	101,883	102,135	102,326	
Cumberland	New Jersey	138,053	138,366	140,503	141,921	143,334	144,544	144,829	145,430	145,811	145,924	146,293	146,438	
Essex	New Jersey	777,964	778,564	779,031	782,855	785,960	784,460	783,308	782,503	782,774	785,348	789,678	793,633	
Gloucester	New Jersey	230,082	231,134	234,449	236,553	238,805	242,161	243,752	245,390	247,674	249,996	252,536	254,673	
Hudson	New Jersey	553,099	554,289	558,839	564,276	568,517	572,720	578,889	585,056	592,812	598,238	603,993	608,975	
Hunterdon	New Jersey	107,852	108,128	108,668	110,750	112,395	113,522	114,049	116,203	117,564	119,031	120,689	121,989	
Mercer	New Jersey	325,759	326,477	328,694	330,674	333,292	335,229	337,476	339,146	340,755	344,013	348,435	350,761	
Middlesex	New Jersey	671,712	673,469	679,273	686,901	693,445	701,090	709,223	716,673	725,103	733,257	742,213	750,162	
Monmouth	New Jersey	553,192	554,210	558,418	565,469	571,129	577,069	583,899	589,646	596,520	603,050	610,811	615,301	
Morris	New Jersey	421,330	421,803	423,470	427,343	432,906	439,533	445,308	450,816	456,961	462,263	467,678	470,212	
Ocean	New Jersey	433,203	434,623	439,530	444,676	452,916	461,152	471,243	480,608	488,519	497,395	504,007	510,916	
Passaic	New Jersey	470,872	470,951	470,946	472,484	475,951	478,164	479,717	481,892	484,283	485,760	488,131	489,049	
Salem	New Jersey	65,294	65,383	65,193	65,143	65,035	64,691	65,095	65,397	65,242	64,899	64,483	64,285	
Somerset	New Jersey	240,222	241,464	245,810	250,680	256,834	262,243	267,163	272,872	280,195	287,065	293,770	297,490	
Sussex	New Jersey	130,936	131,346	132,384	133,953	135,646	137,021	138,574	139,562	140,441	141,509	142,750	144,166	
Union	New Jersey	493,819	494,140	495,646	497,731	501,333	504,864	507,430	510,992	513,865	517,715	520,341	522,541	
Warren	New Jersey	91,675	91,984	92,794	93,747	94,616	95,762	96,956	98,157	98,796	99,458	101,040	102,437	
Suggested Citation:														
Table OF 2012/01-12-04-1100 OF 65 01 February Intercenteur Folyadulon Estimated by Oduny, April 1, 1990 (0 April 1, 2000											1			
Source: Population Divi	sion, 0.5. Cen	sus pureau												1
Release Date: April 17, 2	002													1

Following from workbook "stproj.xls", downloaded from New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development website, http://www.wnjpin.net/OneStopCareerCenter/LaborMarketInformation/Imi03/index.html.

Table 1. Projections of Total Population by Projection ModelNew Jersey: 1990 to 2025

Proejction	Census or	n April 1,	Estimates	Projections to July 1,							
Model	1990	2000	2002	2007	2012	2015	2020	2025			
Economic-											
Demographic	7,730,188	8,414,350	8,575,252	8,943,200	9,269,300	9,470,200	9,824,500	10,250,100			
Zero											
Migration	7,730,188	8,414,350	8,575,252	8,782,900	8,913,800	8,972,600	9,073,100	9,168,100			
Historical											
Migration	7,730,188	8,414,350	8,575,252	8,979,900	9,379,900	9,631,900	10,073,400	10,760,300			
Linear											
Regression	7,730,188	8,414,350	8,575,252	8,979,500	9,311,600	9,510,800	9,842,900	10,174,900			
Note: The Econom information New Jersey in subseque	nic-Demographi and its consister Department of I nt tables were ba	c Model is designancy with the year 2 Labor. Projections used on the Econor	ated "preferred" due 2012 employment pr 5 by age, race, sex ar mic-Demographic m	to its greater rojections pre nd Hispanic o nodel.	scope of inpepared by the origin presen	but e ted					

	Average Annual Growth Rates										
Region/State	2005-2010	2010-2015	2015-2020	2005-2020							
Middle Atlantic	0.30%	0.23%	0.15%	0.23%							
New York	0.19%	0.11%	0.03%	0.11%							
New Jersey	0.62%	0.52%	0.44%	0.53%							
Pennsylvania	0.25%	0.20%	0.12%	0.19%							

Calculations based on US Census Population Projections Below

Following are from workbook "PressTab6.xls", downloaded from:

<u>http://www.census.gov/population/www/projections/projectionsagesex.html</u>. (Data for selected states/regions only are shown)

Table 6: Interim Projections: Total Population for Regions, Divisions, and States: 2000 to 2030										
Region, division, and state	Census April 1, 2000	Projections July 1, 2005	Projections July 1, 2010	Projections July 1, 2015	Projections July 1, 2020	Projections July 1, 2025	Projections July 1, 2030			
United States	281,421,906	295,507,134	308,935,581	322,365,787	335,804,546	349,439,199	363,584,435			
Northeast	53,594,378	54,802,949	55,785,179	56,565,669	57,135,437	57,470,313	57,671,068			
New England	13,922,517	14,372,985	14,738,789	15,052,263	15,309,528	15,491,545	15,623,015			
Maine	1,274,923	1,318,557	1,357,134	1,388,878	1,408,665	1,414,402	1,411,097			
New Hampshire	1,235,786	1,314,821	1,385,560	1,456,679	1,524,751	1,586,348	1,646,471			
Vermont	608,827	630,979	652,512	673,169	690,686	703,288	711,867			
Massachusetts	6,349,097	6,518,868	6,649,441	6,758,580	6,855,546	6,938,636	7,012,009			
Rhode Island	1,048,319	1,086,575	1,116,652	1,139,543	1,154,230	1,157,855	1,152,941			
Connecticut	3,405,565	3,503,185	3,577,490	3,635,414	3,675,650	3,691,016	3,688,630			
Middle Atlantic	39,671,861	40,429,964	41,046,390	41,513,406	41,825,909	41,978,768	42,048,053			
New York	18,976,457	19,258,082	19,443,672	19,546,699	19,576,920	19,540,179	19,477,429			
New Jersey	8,414,350	8,745,279	9,018,231	9,255,769	9,461,635	9,636,644	9,802,440			
Pennsylvania	12,281,054	12,426,603	12,584,487	12,710,938	12,787,354	12,801,945	12,768,184			
Midwest	64,392,776	66,005,033	67,391,433	68,569,609	69,455,175	70,041,457	70,497,298			
South	100,236,820	106,916,476	113,583,614	120,440,208	127,570,819	135,160,886	143,269,337			
West 63,197,932 67,782,676 72,175,355 76,790,301 81,643,115 86,766,543 92,146,732										
U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Interim State Population Projections, 2005.										
Internet Release Dat	Internet Release Date: April 21, 2005									

2.14 Annual Energy Outlook Energy Use Forecasts for Mid-Atlantic Region Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules <u>BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy</u> <u>and Energy Efficiency</u> "Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4 Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1" (As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u> , (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))								
Prepared by:	David Vor	Hippel Date la	st Modified: 12/	2/15/2005				
ANNUAL ENERGY OUTLOOK ENERGY USE FORECASTS FOR MID-ATLANTIC REGION Table below downloaded from http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/supplement/supref.html as suptab_xls. Table 2. Energy Consumption by Sector and Source (Quadrillion Btu per Year, Unless Qtherwise Noted) M ddle Atlantic								

Data in this source table, for the years 2002 through 2025, and from the sources cited below, are not shown, but are available from the sources indicated and on request.

Data extracted from Table 116. Indicators of Macroeconomic Activity, from the AEO2005 Supplement, downloaded as supplement_tables(2005).pdf: Nonfarm Employment by Census Division, Middle Atlantic Region, for the years 2002 through 2025.

Data extracted from page 297 to 300 of AEO 2005 Supplement Tables volume (supplement_tables(2005).pdf), Table 62. Electric Power Projections for Electricity Market Module Region Mid-Atlantic Area Council: End-user electricity prices, electricity prices by Service Category electricity demand, generation for customers, fuel prices, electricity generating capacity, and generation by fuel type, for the years 2002 through 2025.

Based on data from this source, a table of intermediate results as follows was calculated (only the first few years are shown here due to the width of the table).

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Implied System Average Capacity Factor	45.1%	43.9%	44.9%	45.0%	45.6%	46.5%	47.6%
Implied System Average CO ₂ (tons/MWh)	0.60	0.59	0.56	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.58
Implied System Average SO ₂ (tons/MWh)	0.0051	0.0046	0.0043	0.0046	0.0046	0.0044	0.0044
Implied System Average NO _x (tons/MWh)	0.00095	0.00090	0.00077	0.00076	0.00078	0.00080	0.00078
Implied System Average Net Energy for							
Load divided by Sales	1.013	1.038	1.066	1.066	1.065	1.064	1.064
Implied Fraction of Net Energy for Load							
from Nuclear Power	38.34%	38.81%	37.42%	36.95%	36.24%	35.75%	35.23%

Table on 2002-2005 "New Jersey Natural Gas Price Sold to Electric Power Consumers (Dollars per Thousand Cubic Feet)" from

http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n3045nj3m.htm.

2.15 Employment Projections for New Jersey Worksheet

Estimate of Rate Impacts of Proposed New Jersey Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Rules

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, Energy Competition Standards, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency

"Proposed Readoption With Amendments: N.J.A.C. 14:4

Proposed New Rules: N.J.A.C. 14:4-1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, and 5; and 14:8-1"

(As described in BPU proposal in the <u>New Jersey Register, Monday, October 17, 2005</u>, (Cite 37 N.J.R. 3911))

Prepared by: David Von Hippel Date last Modified: 12/15/2005

EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS FOR NEW JERSEY

Downloaded from http://www.wnjpin.net/OneStopCareerCenter/LaborMarketInformation/Imi04/state/index.html#ind as file "majorind.xls".

	2002	2002		2012		Change: 2002-2012		
		Pct.		Pct.	Number	Per	cent	
NAICS Industry Title	Number		Number			Total	Annual	
Total All Industries	3,983,900	100.0	4,465,000	100.0	478,100	12.0	1.1	
Goods-Producing	531,600	13.3	506,100	11.3	(25,500)	-4.8	-0.5	
Mining	1,600	0.0	1,500	0.0	-	0.0	-0.6	
Construction	162,600	4.1	186,700	4.2	24,100	14.8	1.4	
Manufacturing	367,500	9.2	317,900	7.1	(49,600)	-13.5	-1.5	
Service-producing	3,452,300	86.7	3,959,100	88.7	506,800	14.7	1.4	
Trade	701,000	17.6	781,300	17.5	80,300	11.5	1.1	
Wholesale trade	236,500	5.9	260,400	5.8	23,900	10.1	1.0	
Retail trade	464,500	11.7	520,900	11.7	56,400	12.1	1.1	
Utilities	15,800	0.4	14,500	0.3	(1,300)	-8.2	-0.9	
Transportation and warehousing	164,600	4.1	181,300	4.1	16,700	10.1	1.0	
Information	113,200	2.8	116,300	2.6	3,100	2.7	0.3	
Financial activities	276,700	6.9	302,000	6.8	25,300	9.1	0.9	
Professional and business services	581,200	14.6	725,100	16.2	143,900	24.8	2.2	
Educational services	82,300	2.1	101,500	2.3	19,200	23.4	2.1	
Health care and social assistance	444,900	11.2	574,300	12.9	129,400	29.1	2.5	
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	46,100	1.2	56,600	1.3	10,500	22.7	2.0	
Accommodation and food services	264,900	6.6	293,200	6.6	28,300	10.7	1.0	
Other Services (except government)	148,300	3.7	175,100	3.9	26,800	18.1	1.6	
Government	613,500	15.4	637,900	14.3	24,400	4.0	0.4	

State of New Jersey

Employment Projections By Major Industry Division, 2002 - 2012

* The industry employment estimates, projections and projected employment change, which are based on nonfarm payroll employment, will not match the occupational data which also include self-employed and unpaid family workers.

NOTE: Totals may not add due to rounding. Numbers are rounded to one hundred. Employment and percentage changes are based on unrounded data.

Prepared by: N.J. Department of Labor and Workforce Development Labor Market and Demographic Research Occupational and Demographic Research September 2004