Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is David E. Peterson. My business address is 9 Clarksville, Maryland 21029-1632.

Q. ARE YOU THE SAME DAVID E. PETERSON WHO PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY EARLIER IN THIS PROCEEDING ON BEHALF OF THE RATEPAYER ADVOCATE?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony at this time is to briefly respond to the rebuttal testimonies submitted by the Joint Petitioners in their rebuttal testimonies. Specifically, I am responding to the rebuttal testimonies of Mark Wathen, and Derek W. Hasbrouck relating to the issues of positive benefits, harm, merger savings and costs, and service quality guarantees.

Q. BEFORE YOU RESPOND TO SPECIFIC ISSUES, HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONIES MADE, DID YOUR REVIEW OF THE JOINT PETITIONERS REBUTTAL TESTIMONIES CAUSE YOU TO CHANGE YOUR CONCLUSION THAT THE JOINT PETITIONERS HAVE FAILED TO MEET BOTH THE POSITIVE BENEFIT AND NO HARM STANDARDS?

A. No, it did not. The Joint Applicants still have not quantified any New Jersey ratepayers that will result from the