

Bureau of Materials Materials Approval Procedures

MAP Number: 115-15

Effective Date: April 1, 2015

Approved By: <u>Eileen Sheehy</u>

PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL OF PREFABRICATED MODULAR RETAINING WALL SYSTEMS

PURPOSE:

To establish a procedure to approve Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall Systems for addition to the NJDOT Bureau of Material's Qualified Products List (QPL).

REFERENCES:

NJDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction Section 513 – Retaining Walls Section 904.02.02 – Precast Concrete Retaining Walls AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications NJDOT Bridges and Structures Design Manual.

PROCEDURE:

A. Manufacturer's Request for Approval.

The manufacturer shall request in writing the approval of the Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall System. To be qualified as a Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall System, the wall system shall meet the definition that is specified in Subsection 11.11.1 of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. The following information shall be included in the request for approval:

- 1. The name, address, and contact information for the manufacturer.
- 2. The name or designation of the Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall System that is to be evaluated.
- 3. Information as required in the attached checklist.

Mail the request for approval to the following:

Mailing Address (USPS):

Manager, Bureau of Materials (Thiokol Bldg. 4) New Jersey Department of Transportation P.O. Box 600 Trenton, NJ 08625-0600

Street Address (UPS, FedEx, etc.):

Manager, Bureau of Materials (Thiokol Bldg. 4) New Jersey Department of Transportation 930 Lower Ferry Road West Trenton, NJ 08628

B. Bureau of Structural Engineering Review.

The Bureau of Structural Engineering will review the manufacturer's submittal for completeness according to the checklist. If the submittal is incomplete, it will be rejected. The Bureau of Structural Engineering will review the design criteria to verify that it meets AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications and NJDOT design parameters. The Bureau of Structural Engineering will make the final determination on the approval of the wall system for addition to the QPL.

PROJECT ACCEPTANCE REQUIREMENTS:

Qualification of a Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall System and its addition to the QPL does not constitute a blanket approval of the wall system. On a project to project basis, the final design of the wall system shall be submitted for approval according to the Working Drawing procedures of the *NJDOT Standard Specifications*.

DISQUALIFICATION:

The ME may remove a wall system from the QPL for non-conformance with design and construction specification requirements or for a documented history of poor field performance. The manufacturer shall notify the ME, in writing, of any change in product formulation. Failure to notify the ME of changes in product formulation will result in disqualification.

REQUALIFICATION:

The ME will reevaluate a product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL only after submission of a formal request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been resolved.

The ME may require the manufacturer to requalify the product for any of the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that obsolete wall systems are not kept on the list, the ME may request written confirmation from the manufacturer that the wall system is still available and has not changed formulation. Failure to respond to the Bureau's written request will result in the product being removed from the list.
- 2. If the formulation of the wall system has changed, the ME may require that the new formulation be requalified.
- 3. If the NJDOT Standard Specifications or AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications change, or if any referenced ASTM or AASHTO standards change, the ME may require requalification to ensure that the product meets new criteria.

Submittal Check List

PREFABRICATED MODULAR RETAINING WALL SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS

To expedite the evaluation of the Prefabricated Modular Retaining Wall system, applicants must furnish information as indicated in the Checklist. The Checklist items should be referenced to assure that the submittal package includes all of the listed information. The submittal package should be organized according to the numbered items in the Checklist. The completed Checklist should be included with the submitted package.

Part One:

Identify material specification designations that govern the materials that are used in furnishing the wall system components. Provide product literature or other documentation that describes the wall system, its components and adequately addresses the checklist items. Identify precast concrete facilities that have experience with fabricating the concrete components of the wall system.

1.1 Concrete Facing Unit

Yes	No	N/A	
			standard dimensions and tolerances
			joint sizes
			concrete strength (f"c = 5000 psi minimum)
			wet cast concrete % air (range)
			moisture absorption (percent by weight)
			scaling resistance
			freeze thaw durability
			facing unit to facing unit shear resistance
			bearing pads (joints)
			spacers (pins, etc.)
			joint filter requirements: geotextile or graded granular
			aesthetic choices (texture, relief, color, graffiti treatment)
			other facing materials

1.2	Leveli	ing Pad	
Yes	No	N/A	
			cast-in-place
			precast
			granular
1.3	Drain	age Elen	nents
Yes	No	N/A	
			weep holes
			base
			backfill
			surface
1.4	Copin	ng	
Yes	No	N/A	
			precast
			precast attachment method/details
			cast-in-place
1.5	Traffi	ic Barrie	r
Yes	No	N/A	
			precast
			cast-in-place
1.6	Conn	ections to	Appurtenances
Yes	No	N/A	
			precast

Part Two: Design

Clearly identify that the design conforms to the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications. Identify design assumptions and procedures with specific references (e.g., design code sections) for each of the listed items.

(Note: When designing the moment (anchor) slab for a concrete barrier installation, the design of the barrier section may be based on a 10 kip transverse force that is distributed over a 5 feet section of barrier. For stability analysis, a 20 feet length of moment slab to counteract sliding and overturning shall be used.)

2.1	AASI	TTO LKI	FD Provisions
Yes	No	N/A	
			sliding
			overturning (including traffic impact)
			bearing resistance
			overall stability
			seismic
			movement at service limit state
			passive resistance and sliding
			safety against structural failure
			drainage
2.2	Perfo	rmance (Criteria
Yes	No	N/A	
_			erection tolerances
_			horizontal/vertical deflection limits
2.4	Draw	ings	
			drawings (may be on 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 11 paper size) showing all standard details along with any ng the following:
Yes	No	N/A	
			details for wall elements
			connection details
			appurtenance connection details
			obstruction detail (utilities, parapet/sidewalk connection, light standard and box)
			corrosion/durability protection details

construction details

2.5	Specifi	cations
Provide	e sample	specifications for:
Yes	No	N/A
		wall system component materials
2.6	Examp	le Calculations
Provide	e sample	calculations for the design items listed in Part 2.1 above.
Yes	No	N/A
		_
2.7	Compi	iter Support
		rogram is used for design or distributed to customers, provide representative computer printouts of ons for the above typical applications demonstrating the reasonableness of computer results.
Yes	No	N/A
		_
Part	Three	: Construction
Provide	e the follo	owing information related to the construction of the system:
3.1	Fabric	ation of Facing Units
Yes	No	N/A
		curing methods
		concrete surface finish requirements
3.2	Field (Construction Manual
		nented field construction manual describing in detail and with illustrations as necessary the step-by- a sequence, including requirements for:
Yes	No	N/A
		foundation preparation
		special tools required
		leveling pad
		facing erection

			facing batter for alignment
			steps to maintain horizontal and vertical alignment
			retained and backfill placement/compaction
			erosion mitigation
			all equipment requirements
3.3	Contr	actor or	Subcontractor Prequalification Requirements
List ar	ny contra	ctor or su	bcontractor pre-qualifications.
Yes	No	N/A	
			formance formation related to the performance of the system:
Provid	le the foll	lowing in	
Provid 4.1	le the foll	lowing in	formation related to the performance of the system:
Provid 4.1 Provid	le the foll	lowing in	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History
Provid 4.1 Provid	Project le a well-	lowing in ct Perfor	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History
Provid 4.1 Provid	Project le a well-	lowing in ct Perfor	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History ted history of performance (with photos, where available), including:
Provid 4.1	Project le a well-	lowing in ct Perfor	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History ted history of performance (with photos, where available), including: oldest
Provid 4.1 Provid	Project le a well-	lowing in ct Perfor	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History ted history of performance (with photos, where available), including: oldest highest projects experiencing maximum measured settlement (total and differential)
Provid 4.1 Provid	Project le a well-	lowing in ct Perfor	formation related to the performance of the system: mance History ted history of performance (with photos, where available), including: oldest highest projects experiencing maximum measured settlement (total and differential) measurements of lateral movement/tilt