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## Market Returns for FY 2008

## Returns Through J une 30, 2008

|  | 1 Year | 3 Years | 5 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Equities |  |  |  |
| S\&P1500 Index | -12.7\% | 4. 7\% | 8. $2 \%$ |
| International Equities |  |  |  |
| MSCI EAFE ex-prohibited | -11. 7\% | 12.6\% | 16.5\% |
| Emarcing Markets Equities |  |  |  |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Index | 4. 6\% | 27.1\% | 29.8\% |
| Investment-Grade Bonds |  |  |  |
| Lehman Brothers US Treasuries Index | 10.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.8\% |
| Lehman Brothers Corporate Index | 3.1\% | 2.3\% | 3.0\% |
| Lehman Brothers Mortgage Backed Index | 7.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.6\% |
| High Yield Bonds |  |  |  |
| Lehman Brothers High Yield Index | -1.6\% | 4.9\% | 6.9\% |

## Market Returns for FY 2008

## Returns Through J une 30, 2008

|  | 1 Year | 3 Years | 5 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodities | 41.6\% | 19.8\% | 18.6\% |
| Private Equity | $-1.5 \%$ | 1.6\% | 10.6\% |
| Real Estate |  |  |  |
| NCREIF Property Index + 100\%Index | 10.3\% | 15.9\% | 15.8\% |
| Hedge Funds |  |  |  |
| HFRI Funds of Funds Index | -0.2\% | 8. 1\% | 7. $7 \%$ |
| Cash |  |  |  |
| 91 Day US T-Bill Index | 3.6\% | 4. $3 \%$ | 3. $2 \%$ |

## US Equities - A Tale of Two Markets

Performance For Key Sectors J uly 07 - J une 08


## International Equities -

Similar story plus additional volatility from "Currency Changes"

J uly 07 - J une 08 Performance


From 2002 through 2007, the markets boomed with the Emerging Markets climbing to unprecedented levels...

Markets finally began to turn in 2008


Equity Index Performance by Country / Region
(Dec-2007 to Oct-2008)

## US Fixed Income Performance By Sector/Industry

One Year Performance as of J une 30, 2008


## High Yield Bond spreads started to widen dramatically in late 2007. . Will defaults follow?

High Yield Bond Spreads and Defaults


## After a rapid run-up and fears of rampant inflation... <br> Commodity prices have now come crashing down




## The averaga Hedge Fund had negrive returns as well...But returns have beaten stocks

Performance Comparison: 2006-2008 YTD ${ }^{(1)}$


## Current Drawdown


(1) As of Sept 30, 2008

## How We Got Here



## The bottom line is that systemic debt rose to unprecedented heights.

> Total U. S. Credit Market Debt Has Risen to 350\%of GDP

Total Credit Market Debt / U.S. GDP (1)


## .. Much of which supports an unprecedented growth in spending relative to income



Spending Has Outpaced Income

## Much of this increased spending went into housing



The onset of subprime and other structured loans led to an unparalleled increase in homeownership (68\%vs. Iong term trend of 64\%9

Low interest rates, and an under-regulated financial sector, served as the catalysts for this rapid growth in debt Real 10-Year Treasury Yield

1 Year ARM Rates


Source: Bloomberg per The Conference Board and Factset
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## We See It Here



It would take a $20 \%$ decline to get to trend, which would imply a credit contraction of approximately $\$ 2$ trillion

## This excess leveraga led to strong economic growth around the world



## Rating Agancies propagzted the llusion of a low-risk investment environment

> They assigned high, investment-grade ratings to opaque structured financial products and debt issued by highly leveraged companies
> Since the outbreak of the credit crisis, they have downgraded more than $\$ 1.9$ trillion of mortgage-backed securities


## Unfortunately, the economy and financial markets are already unwinding these excesses



## Percent of Homes in <br> Foreclosure or Arrears



## Financial institutions have sustained more than $\$ 500$ billion in write-downs since credit crisis began

## IMF Comparison of Losses Across Financial Crises ${ }^{(1)}$



The IMF expects that total financial losses will exceed those of any past crisis

## Then...And Now

November 2006

Buy an undervalued property, fix it up,
and then flip it for a profit!
Flipping
Houses


## Consumer confidence is approaching all-time lows...

## Consumer Confidence



## ...and unemployment is quickly ticking up



## U.S. stocks are near a 200-year low... but look at what has followed

U.S. Stocks 10 Year Rolling Annualized Total Return
(1827-2008)


## New J ersey Pension Fund Performance



As of J une 30, 2008
Annualized Performance

| 1 Year | $-2.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3 Years | $7.7 \%$ |
| 5 Years | $9.2 \%$ |
| 10 Years | $5.4 \%$ |

## Portfolio decisions made in FY08 build on change in asset allocations since J une 05

| Asset Class | June 05 | June 08 | Madium-Term Coal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Equities | 50.0\% | 30.8\% | 27.5\% |
| International Equities | 16.2\% | 17.4\% | 18.5\% |
| Emerging Markets Equities | 0 | 1.4\% | 2.5\% |
| US Fixed Income | 26.2\% | 24.1\% | 24.0\% |
| US High yield | 0 | 0.9\% | 3.5\% |
| International Fixed Income | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Commodities/ Real Assets | 0 | 1.2\% | 3.0\% |
| TIPs | 0 | 4.5\% | 3.0\% |
| Private Equity | 0 | 3.4\% | 5.0\% |
| Real Estate | 0 | 2.4\% | 4.0\% |
| Absolute Return | 0 | 4.2\% | 6.0\% |
| Cash | 5.4\% | 7.0\% | 3.0\% |

## How did returns compare among funds?

## Fiscal Year Ended J une 30, 2008

| Naw J ersey | $-\mathbf{- 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| TRS of Texas | $-2.1 \%$ |
| CaIPERS | $-2.4 \%$ |
| PA Public Schools | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Connecticut | $-4.6 \%$ |
| Florida SBA | $-4.4 \%$ |
| CalSTRS | $-3.7 \%$ |
| NYC Employees (NYCERS) | $-9.5 \%$ |
| Institutional average, per Wilshire Associates: $-5 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
| Harvard | $8.6 \%$ |
| Princeton | $5.6 \%$ |
| Yale | $2.0 \%$ |
| Institutional average, per Wilshire Associates: - 3\% |  |

## Within our internally managad portfolios, our performance vs. benchmarks has been excellent

|  | 6/06 | 6/07 | $\underline{6 / 08}{ }^{(1)}$ | Excess Return <br> (\$millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equities | 10.5\% | 20.6\% | -10.2\% | 1,371 |
| Benchmark | 9.2\% | 20.2\% | -12.7 |  |
| International Equity | 28.0\% | 28.5\% | -9.8\% | 637 |
| Benchmark | 26.6\% | 27.3\% | -11.5\% |  |
| Domestic Fixed Income | -1.0\% | 5.2\% | 9.1\% | 271 |
| Benchmark | -1.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.8\% |  |

Total
$\$ 2.27$
BILLION
Division Staff added nearly $\$ 2.3$ billion of value based on their investment decisions relative to the market over the past 3 years

## Current Division staff dedicated to internal managament

|  | 6/30/2008 Investment Amount (\$mm) | Investment Professional FTEs* | Asset Per Investment Professional (\$mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Management | 18,814 | 1.50 | 12,543 |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 20,957 | 1. 25 | 16,766 |
| Intl Fixed Income | 2,081 | 0.25 | 8,324 |
| Subtotal - Fixed Income | 41,852 | 3.00 | 13,951 |
| Domestic Equity | 23,959 | 8.00 | 2,995 |
| Intl Equity | 14,693 | 6.00 | 2,449 |
| Subtotal - Public Equity | 38,652 | 14.00 | 2,761 |
| Total | 80,504 | 17.00 | 4,736 |

## Putting the Year in Perspective

The Division had minimal or no exposure to...
$>$ Debt

1) Subprime Mortgage Securities
2) Non-agency Mortgage Backed Securities
3) CDOs
4) Asset Back Commercial Paper/SIVs
5) Student Loan Paper

Equities
6) Mortgage Brokers
7) Monoline Insurers
8) Student Loan Issuers
9) Thrifts/ S\&L's
10) Auto Makers (GMV Ford)
11) Freddie Mac/ Fannie Mae Commons
12) Rating Agency Stocks (Moody's \& McGraw Hill)
13) Bear Stearns

## How do our management fees compare to our peers?

| Fund Name | Fiscal Year Ended | Total Net <br> Assets <br> (\$ Billions) | Total Fees to <br> Alternatives $\mathcal{E}$ External Managars (\$ Millions) | Cost Per Each \$100 Under Manacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania School Employees | 2007 | \$67.5 | \$307.2 | \$. 46 |
| CalPERS | 2007 | 251.1 | 953.3 | 38 |
| Massachusetts PRIM | 2007 | 50.4 | 116.0 | 23 |
| Oregon | 2007 | 62.9 | 147.1 | . 23 |
| New York Common | 2008 | 153.9 | 275.7 | 18 |
| Florida SBA | 2007 | 136.3 | 206.1 | 15 |
| Ohio Public Employees | 2007 | 83.6 | 124.0 | 15 |
| New York State Teachers | 2007 | 105.0 | 143.1 | 14 |
| CalSTRS | 2007 | 172.4 | 137.0 | $08{ }^{(1)}$ |
| New J ersey | 2007 | \$97.4 B | \$76.2 M | . 08 |

## US Equities - Financials were a major driver of relative returns



## Int'I Equities - Financials were a major driver of relative returns

INTL FINANCIALS Transactions
. Net Purchase/Sale
_- Net Over/Under weight

| Millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| -50,000 | - |  |  |  |  | -1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -2 |
| -100,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -3 |
| -150,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -4 |
| -200,000 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| -250,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -8 |
| -300,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -9 |
| -350,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -10 |
|  | Q2 07 | Q3 07 | Q4 07 | Q1 08 | Q2 08 |  |

## Domestic Fixed Income - Exposure to Agency MBS was the major difference from the benchmark

As of J une 30, 2008


## How We Have Structured our Private Equity Portfolio

|  | Commitments Through 6/30/07 (mm) | Actual Commitments FY08 (mm) | Total Commitments (mm) | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Buyout | 1,076 | 900 | 1,576 | 23.20\% |
| Domestic MM Buyout | 970 | 650 | 1,770 | 26.06\% |
| Domestic Small Mkt Buyout | 325 | 152 | 525 | 7.73\% |
| Int'I Buyout | 567 | 462 | 717 | 10.55\% |
| Emerging Managers | 100 | 108 | 200 | 2.94\% |
| Venture | 150 | 41 | 300 | 4.42\% |
| Distressed | 520 | 400 | 820 | 12.07\% |
| Mezzanine | 285 | 175 | 435 | 6.40\% |
| Co-Investments | 100 | 57 | 200 | 4.42\% |
| Secondaries | 101 | 0 | 150 | 2.21\% |
| Total | 4,194 | 2,945 | 6,793 | 100.00\% |

## Current state of our Hedge Fund Portfolio

|  | $\begin{gathered} 6 / 08 \\ (\$ m m) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percent of <br> Portfolio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund of Funds | 1,250 | 25.0\% |
| (Goldman, Rock Creek, Blackstone) |  |  |
| Credit |  |  |
| (Angelo Gordon, BlackRock, Canyon, Golden Tree) | 725 | 14.5\% |
| Distressed |  |  |
| (Centerbridge, King Street, Pimco) | 800 | 16.0\% |
| Event Driven |  |  |
| (Davidson Kempner, Pendragon, York) | 535 | 10.7\% |
| Equity Long/Short |  |  |
| (Wellington, Omega, Ascend, Glenview) | 890 | 17.8\% |
| Multi Strategy |  |  |
| (Angelo Gordon, Black River, Och-Ziff, Farallon) | 800 | 16.0\% |

## This puts the overall market into perspective

1-Year Performance
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1-Year Performance (86\%)
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## The Perils of Market Timing

Value of a Hypothetical \$10,000 Investment in the SAP 500 Over 10 Years (12/31/97 - 12/31/07)


Source: American Funds, Standard \& Poor's 500 Composite Index, an unmanaged measure of large-company US stocks. The numbers shown above do not take into account reinvested distributions, nor do they reflect sales charges, commissions or expenses.

## Why We Shouldn't Change Our Long-Term Strategy

> We've had business cycles before, and come out of them
> Governments globally are cooperating to aggressively address the problems
> Potential returns on investments look attractive
$>$ Diversification across asset classes ALWAYS makes sense
> We have confidence in our team of investment professionals

## U.S. stocks are near a 200-year low... but look at what has followed

U.S. Stocks 10 Year Rolling Annualized Total Return
(1827-2008)
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