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8 Consideration of the Initial Decision in the matter of the Appeal in Thomas P. Campisi, Jr., v. The State of New Jersey (DKT 15-0009-AP)

Thomas P. Campisi, Jr., sworn 28
9 Consideration of the Petition of 16
Caesars Enterprise Services, Harrah's Atlantic City Operating Company, LLC, Bally's Park Place, Inc., and Boardwalk Regency Corporation for the issuance of a temporary casino key employee license with a multi-casino endorsement for Paul Bateman and permission for him to assume the duties and exercise the powers of Manager, Collections for Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC, pending plenary licensure; and a waiver of residency pursuant to NJSA 5:12-89(b)4 (PRN 2361601)
(Public Meeting 16-10-13 was commenced at 10:32 a.m.)

MR. NANCE: Good morning. I'd like to read an opening statement:

This is to advise the general public that in compliance with Chapter 231 of the public laws of 1975 entitled "Senator Bryon M. Baer Open Public Meeting Act," the New Jersey Casino Control Commission on December 4th, 2015, filed with the Secretary of State at the State House in Trenton an annual meeting schedule. On December 9, 2015, copies were mailed to subscribers.

Members of the press will be permitted to take photographs. We ask that this be done in a manner which is not distracting to the Commission.

The use of cell phones in the public meeting room is prohibited.

Any member of the public who wish to address the Commission will be given the opportunity to do so before the Commission adjourns for the day.

Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

ITEM NO. 2
COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on this?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor?
(Ayes.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Motion carries.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you.
Item No. 2 are applications for initial casino key employee licenses.

Initially for your consideration are Items 2 b and c , Danyell Miller and Jarret Roth.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
I'll entertain a motion on B and C .
COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I move to grant the two initial casino key employee licenses.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
A second?
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on those two?

ITEM NO. 1
(The Flag Salute was recited.)
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Good morning.
Please answer when I call your name for
the record, please.
Commissioner Cooper?
COMMISSIONER COOPER: Here.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington?
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chair Levinson?
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Here.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you.
Matters discussed in closed session this morning including key license applications.

And approval of the closed-session minutes from the September 14, 2016, executive session.

The first matter on the agenda for your consideration is the approval of the minutes of the September 14, 2016, public meeting.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
Is there a motion for these matters?
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I move we ratify the minutes for September 14.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Is there a second?

ITEM NO. 2
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor?
(Ayes.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Motion carries.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you.
Item 2a, Shawn Cassatt. The Division has interposed an objection.

Staff has reviewed the matter and recommended that it be remanded to the conference process.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
Is there a motion on this matter?
COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I
move to remand for a hearing the initial casino
key employee license application of Shawn T.
Cassatt.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
Is there a second?
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on this?
(No response.)

|  | 10 |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 3 | 1 | ITEM NO. 5 |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 2 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? |
| 3 | (Ayes.) | 3 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed? | 4 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? |
| 5 | (No response.) | 5 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 6 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? |
| 7 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 7 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Yes. |
| 8 | Item 3, applications for resubmitted | 8 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. |
| 9 | casino key employee licenses identified on the | 9 | Item No. 5 are approvals through |
| 10 | agenda as Items 3a through h. | 10 | Delegation of Authority between September 12, |
| 11 | There are no objections to any of the | 11 | 2016, and October 11, 2016, pursuant to |
| 12 | matters identified. | 12 | Resolution 13-01-10-16-C. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 13 | Although no action is required, Mr. |
| 14 | Do I have a motion on these eight casino | 14 | MacFadden will review those matters with you. |
| 15 | licenses? | 15 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. |
| 16 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I move that we | 16 | Good morning, Mr. Chairman and |
| 17 | grant the eight resubmitted casino key employee | 17 | Commissioners. |
| 18 | licenses. | 18 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 19 | MR. MacFADDEN: Item No. 5 consists of |
| 20 | A second? | 20 | two individuals who were issued a temporary |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. | 21 | casino key employee license via Delegated |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on | 22 | Authority subsequent to the September 14, 2016, |
| 23 | these eight? | 23 | public meeting. |
| 24 | (No response.) | 24 | They are: Mark T. Burns and Noelle M. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 25 | McManus. Additionally, Colleen Carraccio, |
|  | 11 |  | 13 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 4 | 1 | ITEM NO. 6 |
| 2 | (Ayes.) | 2 | Normand Mullan, and Paul Stowell were granted a |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed? | 3 | multi-casino endorsement upon their key |
| 4 | (No response.) | 4 | license. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 5 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 6 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 6 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Item No. 6 are requests |
| 7 | Item 4 is the application of Joseph A. | 7 | for re -- for inactivation of casino key |
| 8 | Corbo, Jr., for a casino key employee license | 8 | employee licenses. |
| 9 | and for qualification. | 9 | Those matters will be reviewed by Mr. |
| 10 | This is a resubmission. There is no | 10 | MacFadden for you. |
| 11 | objection on the part of the Division or | 11 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. |
| 12 | Commission staff. | 12 | Item 6 consists of five individuals who, |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 13 | in lieu of filing a resubmission application, |
| 14 | Is there a motion for Mr. Corbo? | 14 | have requested to be placed on the inactive |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Excuse me. | 15 | list not to exceed five years. |
| 16 | Mr. Chairman, I move to grant the | 16 | Staff is recommending granting the |
| 17 | resubmitted key license and qualification. | 17 | requested relief. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 18 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 19 | Second? | 19 | I'll entertain a motion on these |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. | 20 | matters. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on | 21 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I |
| 22 | this? | 22 | move to request the granted relief and order |
| 23 | (No response.) | 23 | that the five casino key employee licenses be |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: This is a roll call | 24 | inactivated. |
| 25 | vote. | 25 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |


|  | 14 |  | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 7 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | Is there a second on this? | 2 | skipping to Item No. 9 . |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. | 3 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Yes. With your |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion? | 4 | permission, Mr. Chairman, Item No. 9 is the |
| 5 | (No response.) | 5 | consideration of the Petition of Caesars |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 6 | Enterprises Services, Harrah's Atlantic City |
| 7 | (Ayes.) | 7 | Operating Company, LLC, Bally's Park Place, |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed? | 8 | Inc., and Boardwalk Regency Corporation for the |
| 9 | (No response.) | 9 | issuance of a temporary casino key employee |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: The motion carries. | 10 | license with multi-casino endorsement for Paul |
| 11 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 11 | Bateman and for permission for him to assume |
| 12 | Item No. 7 is consideration of the | 12 | the duties of Manager, Collections, Caesars |
| 13 | lapsing of casino key employee licenses | 13 | Enterprises Services, LLC, pending plenary |
| 14 | identified in the agenda as Items 7a through g. | 14 | licensure as well as for a waiver of residency. |
| 15 | Mr. MacFadden will go over those matters | 15 | Senior Counsel Liz Casey will review |
| 16 | with you as well. | 16 | that matter with you. |
| 17 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. | 17 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 18 | Item 7 consists of seven individuals | 18 | MS. CASEY: Good morning, Chairman and |
| 19 | whose casino key employee review deadline have | 19 | Commissioners. |
| 20 | passed and have neither filed the resubmission | 20 | As Miss Fauntleroy just said, the |
| 21 | paperwork nor requested to be placed on the | 21 | Caesars entities have jointly petitioned for a |
| 22 | inactive list. | 22 | temporary key employee license for Paul Bateman |
| 23 | Those individuals are: Clifford Dipalo, | 23 | with a multi-casino endorsement and a residency |
| 24 | Bryon P. Dowd, Franklin D. Hagerty, Jr., Kevin | 24 | waiver. Mr. Bateman is to serve as Manager of |
| 25 | M. Magro, Evan A. Mills, Jr., Thomas A. [sic] | 25 | Collections for Caesars Enterprise Services, |
|  | 15 |  | 17 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 7 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | Pelletier, Joseph A. Stickel. | 2 | LLC. |
| 3 | Consequently, staff recommends that the | 3 | The parties are represented. Mr. |
| 4 | licenses of these individuals be allowed to | 4 | Agnellini is here on behalf of the Caesar |
| 5 | lapse. | 5 | entities, and Miss Richardson is here on behalf |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 6 | of the Division. |
| 7 | Is there a motion on these seven? | 7 | And a draft resolution has been |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I | 8 | circulated to the parties. |
| 9 | move that we find that the seven key employee | 9 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 10 | licenses lapse pursuant to NJAC 19:41A-6.1(f) | 10 | Please enter your appearance. |
| 11 | of the regulations. | 11 | MR. AGNELLINI: Good morning, Mr. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 12 | Chairman, members of the Commission. |
| 13 | A second? | 13 | Pacifico Agnellini from Brownstein, |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. | 14 | Hyatt, Farber, Schreck on behalf of the Caesars |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on | 15 | entities. |
| 16 | these? | 16 | Thank you. |
| 17 | (No response.) | 17 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 18 | MS. RICHARDSON: Good morning, Chairman |
| 19 | (Ayes.) | 19 | and Commissioners. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed? | 20 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Good morning. |
| 21 | (No response.) | 21 | MS. RICHARDSON: Tracy Richardson, |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 22 | Deputy Attorney General for the Division of |
| 23 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. | 23 | Gaming Enforcement. |
| 24 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 24 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Good morning. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: I believe we're | 25 | Mr. Agnellini? |


|  | 18 |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | MR. AGNELLINI: Good morning. | 2 | application and had no objection to the |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Good morning. | 3 | Petition. |
| 4 | MR. AGNELLINI: Before you is a petition | 4 | As Mr. Agnellini stated, Caesars had |
| 5 | on behalf of Caesars Enterprise Services, along | 5 | approached the Division in 2012 for approval of |
| 6 | with the operating entities in Atlantic City, | 6 | an initiative to consolidate certain credit and |
| 7 | Harrah's Atlantic City, Bally's Park Place, and | 7 | collection functions. And based on the |
| 8 | Boardwalk Regency for the issuance of a | 8 | backdrop of the 2011 reforms of promoting |
| 9 | temporary key license with a multi-casino | 9 | efficiencies, of updating the regulatory |
| 10 | endorsement and residency waiver for Mr. Paul | 10 | structure to permit streamlining of processes, |
| 11 | Bateman. | 11 | the Division did approve that transfer of |
| 12 | Mr. Bateman is the Manager of collection | 12 | functions, certain functions at the end of |
| 13 | activity at the Caesars Enterprise Services | 13 | 2012. And to our knowledge there hasn't been |
| 14 | level, which is -- as you know, is an entity | 14 | any regulatory issues with those functions |
| 15 | that provides services to various operating | 15 | being from the corporate offices in Nevada |
| 16 | entities throughout the country for Caesars. | 16 | since then. |
| 17 | That entity is based in Las Vegas, and this | 17 | Thank you. |
| 18 | position is part of a position that was created | 18 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 19 | through a restructuring back in 2012 that was | 19 | Mr. Agnellini, what Miss Richardson |
| 20 | really necessitated because Caesars was -- | 20 | stated of promoting efficiencies -- and I'm all |
| 21 | really wanted to make sure that they were | 21 | for it. I think that's something that we all |
| 22 | compliant throughout the organization with | 22 | want to get to, and in the business climate, |
| 23 | their requirements with respect to credit and | 23 | that's what we want to see. But I'm have a |
| 24 | collection. And also with the advancements in | 24 | hard time figuring out what's efficiencies are |
| 25 | technology, it made it more -- I don't want to | 25 | being promoted in New Jersey when it comes to |
|  | 19 |  | 21 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | say more efficient, but a better system to have | 2 | Caesars in a lot of these projects. So I know |
| 3 | this as a consolidated function. | 3 | we've been back here with multiple comments of |
| 4 | So that system was created, and it | 4 | where I am on these waivers of residencies. |
| 5 | received its approval. Mr. Bateman's been in | 5 | This is a position from 1980 that's been here |
| 6 | that position for almost two years, and there | 6 | and licensed and working in New Jersey. |
| 7 | was a determination made recently that a key | 7 | There's another one that's now in Las Vegas. |
| 8 | license should be required for that position. | 8 | So, you know, again, I'm stating that it |
| 9 | So we've applied for that key license and are | 9 | would-- the efficiencies are a good thing. |
| 10 | asking for it to be temporarily issued. | 10 | When are they going to start happening in New |
| 11 | This position does not impact the | 11 | Jersey? |
| 12 | collection, credit positions that are currently | 12 | Commissioners, any other questions? |
| 13 | existing in Atlantic City. There are a couple | 13 | If you would like to respond to that. |
| 14 | of positions there. This is a position that | 14 | I'm sorry. |
| 15 | exists. Was created back in 2012. And, like I | 15 | MR. AGNELLINI: I appreciate the |
| 16 | said, he's been in it for almost two years. | 16 | comment. I certainly will take that back to |
| 17 | But so we would ask that you approve that. | 17 | the client. I think the client understands the |
| 18 | We have reviewed the Draft Resolution | 18 | sensitivity there. And it is a -- with this |
| 19 | and have no comments and no questions on it. | 19 | particular position, it was something that was |
| 20 | And thank you. | 20 | part of the 2012 restructuring across the |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 21 | universe for Caesars. It wasn't intended to |
| 22 | Miss Richardson? | 22 | displace anything. It was because of |
| 23 | MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you. | 23 | technological advancements and because of |
| 24 | We had notified the Commission staff on | 24 | wanting to be compliant throughout the realm, |
| 25 | September 22nd that we have reviewed the | 25 | that's where it ended up. I understand your |


|  | 22 |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | comment and appreciate your comment, you know, | 2 | have to confirm that. |
| 3 | where it ended up. And I will take that back | 3 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. |
| 4 | to Caesars. | 4 | MR. AGNELLINI: The social gaming is |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 5 | conducted by a separate entity which has |
| 6 | Commissioners, any other questions? | 6 | actually now been sold, so. |
| 7 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: You mentioned | 7 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Right. I did |
| 8 | that there are -- there continue to be credit | 8 | know that. |
| 9 | and collection positions in Atlantic City? | 9 | (Laughter.) |
| 10 | MR. AGNELLINI: Yes. This particular | 10 | MR. AGNELLINI: I forgot about that, |
| 11 | individual has been in this position for almost | 11 | myself. |
| 12 | two years. So it wasn't as if -- it wasn't -- | 12 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: It was not a |
| 13 | I just wanted to make sure that we understood | 13 | trick question. All right. |
| 14 | that this wasn't just moving him out there now. | 14 | And I just would like to reiterate the |
| 15 | This was part of something that, you know, | 15 | residency waiver and it just leaves a bad |
| 16 | happened in back in 2012, and that the | 16 | sense. |
| 17 | positions that were in Atlantic City before, | 17 | MR. AGNELLINI: Understood. |
| 18 | the two collection and credit positions, are | 18 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: And we would |
| 19 | still there. | 19 | like to see people in New Jersey. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. So, | 20 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Commissioners -- |
| 21 | actually, there were two questions then. | 21 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Thank you. |
| 22 | Do they report to him? | 22 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: -- any further |
| 23 | MR. AGNELLINI: Yes. | 23 | questions? |
| 24 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. And so | 24 | (No response.) |
| 25 | he's been in this position for two years? | 25 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Hearing none, I'll |
|  | 23 |  | 25 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | MR. AGNELLINI: Almost two years. I | 2 | entertain a motion on this matter. |
| 3 | don't know the exact dates, but almost two | 3 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I |
| 4 | years. Yes. | 4 | move that we approve the Petition and issue a |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: And it's just | 5 | temporary casino key license to Paul Bateman |
| 6 | been determined that he needs a license? | 6 | pursuant to NJSA 5:12-89(e) with a multi-casino |
| 7 | MR. AGNELLINI: Needs a key. Yes. | 7 | endorsement pursuant to NJSA 5:12-91.1 and |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Needs a key | 8 | permit him to assume the duties and exercise |
| 9 | license. Yes. | 9 | the powers of Manager, Collections for Caesars |
| 10 | So what was -- how -- what was his | 10 | Enterprise Services, LLC, pending plenary |
| 11 | standard before that? | 11 | licensure; further, grant the Petitioner's |
| 12 | MR. AGNELLINI: He was -- I would -- I | 12 | request to waive the residency requirement as |
| 13 | guess he was just a registrant as an employee | 13 | provided for in NJSA 5:12-89(b)4. |
| 14 | of Caesars Entertainment Services. I don't | 14 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 15 | know exactly. But he did not -- there wasn't a | 15 | Is there a second? |
| 16 | requirement that he hold a key license | 16 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. |
| 17 | originally. | 17 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Any discussion on |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. Does he | 18 | this? Any further discussion? |
| 19 | have jurisdiction over the internet or the | 19 | (No response.) |
| 20 | social games or any other -- or is it just the | 20 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: This is a roll call |
| 21 | gaming -- | 21 | vote. |
| 22 | MR. AGNELLINI: Well, the collection | 22 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? |
| 23 | activity would likely include internet gaming. | 23 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. |
| 24 | Yes. On the social side, I would say no. That | 24 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? |
| 25 | is -- and it is a separate entity. I would | 25 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. |

## ITEM NO. 8

MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Yes.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you.
MR. AGNELLINI: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
We will return to Item 8, which is a
consideration of the initial decision in the
matter of the appeal in "Thomas P. Campisi,
Jr., versus State of New Jersey," Docket No.
$15-0009-A P$.
Senior Counsel Teresa Pimpinelli will set that matter up for you.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
MS. PIMPINELLI: Good morning, chairman and Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Good morning.
MS. PIMPINELLI: For your consideration is the initial decision of Vice Chair
Harrington in the appeal of Thomas P. Campisi, Jr., versus State of New Jersey, Division of Gaming Enforcement and seeking removal from the Exclusion List.

As you know, this matter is before you as an appeal of the May 28, 2015, initial

ITEM NO. 8
decision of the Division of Gaming Enforcement denying Appellant's request to be removed from the Exclusion List.

I note that you have been provided with the entire record, which is subject to the confidentiality and exclusion requirements of all applicable laws, including but not limited to Section 74.1 of the Act.

Below the record was as follows: One, Appellant's Petition; two, exhibits submitted by Appellant and the Division during the October hearing. Excuse me. October 2014 hearing. The transcript of the hearing, and the Division's May 28, 2015, initial decision.

Upon filing his appeal with the Commission, the record was supplemented with written submissions, oral argument, transcripts, and exhibits.

I note that Appellant is here pro se, and Lane Stebbins is here on behalf of the Division.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
Can you please enter your appearance? Stand up and say your name.

ITEM NO. 8
MR. CAMPISI: Tom Campisi.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you very much.
MR. STEBBINS: Lane Stebbins, Deputy
Attorney General, on behalf of the Division.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
Mr. Campisi, I understand that you have
thoroughly reviewed the record in this matter.
MR. CAMPISI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Would you like to make any summary argument on your behalf? If you do, can you please stand and be sworn in?

MR. CAMPISI: Yeah.
MR. NANCE: Would you please raise your right hand.

THOMAS P. CAMPISI, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. NANCE: Please state your name for the record.

MR. CAMPISI: Tom. Thomas Campisi.
MR. NANCE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.

## ITEM NO. 8

Go ahead, Mr. Campisi. Yeah.
MR. CAMPISI: Oh, okay.
Well, I know Mr. Stebbins is going to object to this hearing, so I just wrote a few things down, you know, that I would just like to talk about. And it wouldn't take that long.

Now, to the remand hearing, the Division has taken the position that Commissioner Harrington didn't have the authority to order the taking of additional evidence. But under NJAC 19:42A-4.3(b), it says in the hearing examiner's own discretion, a direct -correction of the record. So I, you know, I -that's the only one I researched. I think she did have the authority to grant a new hearing. And no matter what the circumstance of that hearing is, I don't think it should affect my presentation because I was only -- you know, only did what I was told to do.

And one more point with that is the Division had ample time to eject -- object to that because of -- now, that order was sent to me and Mr. Stebbins on February 16th, you know, 2016. Now, that was a full 43 days, you know,
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2 that he could have objected to that point. You know, which he didn't, so.

Now, there was another point that the Division brought up in their exceptions. It was that the names of Andrew Micali and Vincent Procopio shouldn't have been compared to my case but, you know, the press release of, you know, the Attorney General's Office in that case was, the headline was: 24 indicted in multimillion dollar sports gambling ring that operated inside the poker room at Borgata. And then it went on to say that that was a highly organized criminal venture. And, you know, the Division took exception because they weren't put on the list for a career or professional criminal that I think they were just put on the list for promoting gambling. So I just, you know, wanted to bring that point out.

Another point that the Division brought out that probably was their remaining point was the main concern of the Division in denying me relief in my Petition was that the Division believes the public perception of the integrity of the gaming license -- licensed gaming in New
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Jersey would be compromised. And my answer to that is I believe that if the public had been privy to all of the evidence, testimony, and investigations that have taken place for almost three years during this case, then the public's perception could come to the conclusion that the integrity, stability, and credibility of licensed gaming in New Jersey has not been compromised.

In other words, if the public could have seen all the work that went into this to the denying me and seen all the evidence that I presented of how I've changed, they could come to the conclusion that I'm not a threat.

This was the initial comments. This was comments by Commissioner Harrington in her initial decision on Page 22, and she goes on to state: How does removing Appellant from the Exclusion List create a negative public perception? Appellant has done exactly what the governing regulations require. He has amply demonstrated that circumstances have changed to such an extent that he no longer satisfies the criteria for exclusion.
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Then on Page 25 she says: To hold Appellant to a different standard than what the law provides is contrary to the public trust and confidence in the regulations of the Atlantic City casino industry.

Now, this is another point. This is my last point. In the remand hearing dated April 25th, 2016, the Division made the following statement on Page 5: There are indeed certain offenses, particularly when coupled with organized criminal activity, which render a person permanently excludable.

And this is me talking: The Division has taken the position that they can bar me for life from attending the casinos of Atlantic City because of my prior ties to organized criminal activity even though there is no such statute or regulation in the Casino Control Act. This position by the Division is arbitrary and capricious and violates the express legislative policy of NJAC 13:69G-1.8, which clearly states there is a remedy for me to be removed from the Exclusion List.

In these hearings of almost three years,
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I have provided the testimony of myself, as well as the testimony of five witnesses and letters of my two sons and three sisters who all attest to my good character. All of the evidence that I have presented at these hearings show that I have completely turned my life around and that I am a respected member of my community. It would take me an hour to explain how much I have changed for the better and to tell of all the good deeds I have done for the members of my community and to make their lives better.

Now, this is a comment from the Division in their final decision of May 28, 2015: No evidence was presented at the hearing to demonstrate that Petitioner has been associated with organized criminal activity since 1979 or that he has been arrested or convicted since that time.

Then this was -- this is from the evidence on remand hearing dated April 25th -April 15th, 2016, on Page 6. This is the Division talking: Throughout his entire testimony, Appellant seems sincere, credible,
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2
3
-
and candid. As before with the original character evidence presented by Appellant, it is clear that he is not the same person as he was when he committed the very serious crimes in the past.

Now, between those two statements, that's all that has to be said. I mean, this is their Exhibit D-2. You know, they investigated me by six of the best law enforcement agencies in the country. There's nothing there. I mean, I wouldn't be here if I didn't change. Why would I go through all this trouble?

I just -- you know, and I think the main point of this case is the law specifically says "since you were placed on the list." All of the activity the Division is using against me is -- that's before I was placed on the list. The law is how have I changed since I was put on the -- placed on the list? I mean, all the evidence just shows that. I mean, I don't want to go over the evidence. That would take hours.

So, you know, that's pretty much all I
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presented and the law that we have. We reach a conclusion. If someone such as Mr. Campisi is aggrieved, he can appeal, and it's your responsibility then to review the Division's actions and determinations.

That said, your responsibilities under the Casino Control Act in this type of case are to review and decide appeals. What we don't have here is what standard do you use to review and decide those appeals? Well, if we look at case law for a very long time, both in this state and elsewhere, the standard for review on appeal is whether the decision below was arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable and violative of the policies. That's the standard you should obtain here. It's not stated specifically in the statute where the exclusion review is decided. However, at other portions of the statute, the legislature specifically instructs that the review process on appeal is to be the traditional arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable.

So my argument to you is, firstly, that how we go about the review process, that
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got to say.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. Mr. Stebbins?
MR. STEBBINS: Thank you, Chairman Levinson, members of the Commission.

This case presents an opportunity for discussion regarding the appellate review process that's provided for in the Casino Control Act. And in that regard, I think we need to first recognize that with the 2011 amendments to the Casino Control Act, the responsibilities between the Commission, your agency, and the Division, my agency, were reordered and rearranged a little bit. Principally, responsibilities were shifted to the Division in this very case for exclusion hearings, and certain responsibilities were retained by the Commission in exclusion matters to review and decide appeals from the Division hearing process and decision process.

So we have specifically defined statutory roles to play in this overall process. We do the hearing. We make the decision based upon the facts that are
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standard, is were the actions of the Division arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable? And you must let the decision below stand unless you find that the actions were violative of that standard.

Now, one of the things that happens here is -- excuse me.

One of the things that happens here is we have what is a de novo review by your regulations. That is nowhere to be found in the statute. And Commissioner Levenson, just in the matter immediately before here, you were talking about efficiencies in the process and how you embrace that notion, as do I. As does the Division. So here we have a circumstance where after the Division has taken the body of evidence and after the Division has applied the law, and now it comes to your -- your shop, you in a de novo process reapply the law. That is not an efficient way to go about the review process. So we have -- we have a difference of opinion in terms of what the process should be.

The Division's position is that you review with the traditional standards, the
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| 2 | standards that we find in Section 110 of the | 2 | standard, or if you look at it under the de |
| 3 | Act. You make your findings, and that is that. | 3 | novo review, the same result should occur, and |
| 4 | To do a de novo review where you reapply your | 4 | that is that Mr. Campisi should remain on the |
| 5 | thoughts on the law is simply not countenanced | 5 | Exclusion List. |
| 6 | by the statute. | 6 | When we look at the evidence, what do we |
| 7 | The other thing that happened in this | 7 | have? Mr. Campisi was convicted of not one but |
| 8 | case which the Division raises an eyebrow about | 8 | two murders, one of which he admitted where he |
| 9 | is the order to remand it for additional | 9 | was the triggerman. He disposed of the bodies. |
| 10 | evidence. Quite simply, by the time the remand | 10 | This is the most serious of all crimes that our |
| 11 | order was forthcoming, there was no further | 11 | community and our society recognizes. He had |
| 12 | opportunity to take evidence. The time had | 12 | multiple arrests and convictions for gambling. |
| 13 | come and gone for that. Remember that by the | 13 | Indeed, he indicated that he was essentially a |
| 14 | time we get there, we had the statement of | 14 | bookmaker beyond the fact of the indictment |
| 15 | items in the record which Miss Pimpinelli read. | 15 | with the conviction for conspiracy in the |
| 16 | We briefed -- both sides briefed based upon | 16 | murders, and he was in a mafia family. So, you |
| 17 | that record with the statement of items. Using | 17 | know, we have all of that in place. |
| 18 | the statement of items. And we had presented | 18 | And indeed, Commissioner Harrington |
| 19 | oral argument. At that point everything was in | 19 | recognized that Mr. Campisi could be excluded |
| 20 | place. So to remand it at that point, in | 20 | on the basis of either A-1 or A-2 under the |
| 21 | effect, replicates -- in your judgment | 21 | regulations, that being a member or an |
| 22 | replicates the whole hearing process. You're | 22 | associate of organized crime, based upon the |
| 23 | saying we want more evidence. We want the law | 23 | information presented. |
| 24 | to be applied. So when you talk about the | 24 | And what did Mr. Campisi present? He |
| 25 | efficiencies in the 2011 amendments, which we | 25 | presented some letters. He called some live |
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| 2 | both embraced, we're simply not there with this | 2 | witnesses, some photos and awards, and a |
| 3 | process. | 3 | medical report. But there are significant |
| 4 | So what I'm saying is, the use of the de | 4 | deficiencies which attach to his presentation. |
| 5 | novo review process, firstly, is beyond the | 5 | It was clear from the first time that Mr . |
| 6 | statutory authority and, secondly, when you do | 6 | Campisi had not been forthright with his |
| 7 | apply -- and we don't agree with it. When you | 7 | witnesses, the witnesses who testified in |
| 8 | do apply the de novo process, it was not done | 8 | person did not know his criminal background. |
| 9 | properly in this case with the remand for the | 9 | Mr. Campisi acknowledged that the majority of |
| 10 | taking of additional evidence. So there's both | 10 | the people who signed a letter on his behalf |
| 11 | of those features which attach to this. | 11 | did not know about the criminal background. |
| 12 | Lastly, as I said before, the standard | 12 | And all of this came out at the first |
| 13 | for review, according to traditional case law, | 13 | evidential hearing. |
| 14 | according to elements in the statute of | 14 | What happens then is Commissioner |
| 15 | arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable, I | 15 | Harrington decides, well, we're going to send |
| 16 | submit to you that it has to be clearly and | 16 | it back for more evidence, which the Division's |
| 17 | convincingly contrary to the law, the policies. | 17 | positions is that it's inappropriate. So what |
| 18 | Alternatively stated, is it arbitrary, | 18 | happens when it comes back? Now, especially |
| 19 | capricious, and unreasonable? If there's a | 19 | one of the witnesses says, now I know, and I |
| 20 | basis to find that the decisions -- the | 20 | feel the same way about him. Well, it gave Mr. |
| 21 | decision by the Division is correct, then it | 21 | Campisi an opportunity to cure the defects |
| 22 | should be upheld. | 22 | which were exposed at the first hearing. It is |
| 23 | In this case, even when you look at | 23 | not an efficient way to go about the |
| 24 | the -- when you look at the evidence, whether | 24 | review-and-decide process for exclusion |
| 25 | you look at it with the traditional appellate | 25 | appeals. |
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| 2 | There are also certain things that are | 2 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. |
| 3 | lacking in Mr. Campisi's evidential | 3 | MR. CAMPISI: That's the only thing I |
| 4 | presentation. These are friends and families | 4 | have to say. |
| 5 | that he presented. We have no independent | 5 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Further? Nothing. |
| 6 | voice from law enforcement person. We have no | 6 | Mr. Stebbins, anything? |
| 7 | independent voice from any clergy. As was | 7 | MR. STEBBINS: Nothing further. I'll |
| 8 | found in the initial decision, the letters from | 8 | answer any questions you have. |
| 9 | family were given less weight because it's | 9 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. |
| 10 | family. That's with a small "f" by the way, | 10 | Commissioners, do you have any questions of Mr. |
| 11 | not the capital "F." So that's where we are | 11 | Campisi or Mr. Stebbins on this matter? |
| 12 | with this. | 12 | Miss Cooper? |
| 13 | Now, the Division is not saying Mr. | 13 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Campisi, when |
| 14 | Campisi pointed out that there is a provision | 14 | was the last time you personally had any |
| 15 | for removal from the list. The Division is not | 15 | contact, any type of communication or contact |
| 16 | saying you can never come off the list. But | 16 | with anyone or any group involved with criminal |
| 17 | what the Division is saying here, is that there | 17 | activity? |
| 18 | is insufficient proof to support his removal. | 18 | MR. CAMPISI: You know, after I got out |
| 19 | The Division's action is not arbitrary, | 19 | of prison, you know -- you know, the only |
| 20 | capricious, and unreasonable. Mr. Campisi did | 20 | contact -- like he said, it was me and six |
| 21 | not demonstrate clearly and convincingly that | 21 | other members in my family that got in trouble. |
| 22 | the Division's decision was wrong. | 22 | After -- oh, I'm sorry. I got to stand up. |
| 23 | Commissioners, the Division's decision | 23 | You know, after I got out of prison, I |
| 24 | was right in this case. It should be embraced | 24 | just, you know, had contact with my brother. |
| 25 | by this commission. We harken back to the | 25 | And I wasn't, you know, involved with anything. |
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| 2 | words of Brendan Byrne in terms of keeping | 2 | So, you know, is that what you're referring to? |
| 3 | somebody involved in organized crime out of the | 3 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Any type of |
| 4 | industry. We should continue to embrace that. | 4 | involvement or communication. |
| 5 | Glad to answer any questions you may | 5 | MR. CAMPISI: Well, these were my |
| 6 | have. | 6 | relatives. I mean communication, I would see |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 7 | them at, like, a wedding or -- |
| 8 | Mr. Campisi? Do you have anything to | 8 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. |
| 9 | say after that? | 9 | MR. CAMPISI: -- a funeral. But as far |
| 10 | MR. CAMPISI: Well, just point to what | 10 | as, you know, doing anything wrong with them -- |
| 11 | he said about, you know, the statute. You | 11 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: That's where I was |
| 12 | know, he's -- there's nothing in the statute -- | 12 | leading. |
| 13 | while he's saying that arbitrary and | 13 | MR. CAMPISI: No. |
| 14 | capricious, I think that their decision, you | 14 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. |
| 15 | know, to keep me on the list is arbitrary and | 15 | MR. CAMPISI: Okay. |
| 16 | capricious for the simple reason that there is | 16 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Mr. Stebbins? |
| 17 | no law of what he's talking about. I mean, | 17 | MR. STEBBINS: I'm going to object to |
| 18 | that's the Division's opinion. You know, it's | 18 | this. I understand that Mr. Campisi has been |
| 19 | not law. I mean, arbitrary and capricious | 19 | sworn in, but when we have questioning like |
| 20 | means, did you follow the law? And it's | 20 | that and answer back and forth, especially in |
| 21 | clearly a case where I -- in my personal | 21 | view of the fact that we have a record, we have |
| 22 | opinion. I'm not a lawyer. But I just don't | 22 | briefs and all of that, this is an |
| 23 | think they followed the law in that case. You | 23 | inappropriate expansion of a record. This is |
| 24 | know, and that -- and their decision to keep me | 24 | an appellate review. This is not an evidential |
| 25 | on the list. | 25 | trial or hearing. So I'm going to object to |
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| 2 | the expansion of the record here today. | 2 | questions? |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. |  | (No response.) |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. I have one | 4 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Hearing none, I |
| 5 | other question, and I don't think it would be-- | 5 | will -- I'd like to take a short recess. Ten |
| 6 | I -- I'm going on accept. Well, I accept what | 6 | minutes. We'll be back here, whenever ten |
| 7 | you said, but I have one other question. I'm | 7 | minutes is. So thank you. |
| 8 | going to present it. Yes? | 8 | (A recess was taken from 11:13 to 11:51 |
| 9 | MR. CAMPISI: That -- what I had just | 9 | a.m.) |
| 10 | said is in the record. | 10 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Okay. We are back on |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I read the record. | 11 | the record with respect to the matter of No. 8, |
| 12 | Thoroughly -- | 12 | the appeal of Campisi. |
| 13 | MR. CAMPISI: Okay. | 13 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: -- many times. I | 14 | Commissioners, any further questions for |
| 15 | just wanted, shall we say, hear it from you | 15 | either Mr. Stebbins or Mr. Campisi? |
| 16 | being here this morning. | 16 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Not me. |
| 17 | At this point in your life -- you're 78, | 17 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Hearing none, I'll |
| 18 | $79 ?$ | 18 | entertain a motion. |
| 19 | MR. CAMPISI: I'm 77. | 19 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I'll |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Seventy-seven. | 20 | make a motion to adopt the initial decision to |
| 21 | MR. CAMPISI: I'm sorry. | 21 | remove Appellant Thomas Campisi from the |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Why is it so -- | 22 | Exclusion List, finding that Appellant has |
| 23 | why is it important to you at this point in | 23 | demonstrated the circumstances that have |
| 24 | your life to be removed from the Exclusion | 24 | changed to such an extent since his initial |
| 25 | List? | 25 | placement on the Exclusion List on February |
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| 2 | MR. CAMPISI: Well, I learned how to | 2 | 22nd, 1983, that he no longer satisfies -- |
| 3 | play Texas Hold 'em. | 3 | excuse me -- the criteria for exclusion. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. | 4 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 5 | MR. CAMPISI: And I really wanted to go | 5 | Is there a second? |
| 6 | down and play Texas Hold 'em. In a nutshell, | 6 | I'll make that second. |
| 7 | that's pretty much what it is. | 7 | Any discussion on this? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Just play poker? | 8 | I would like to say something. Our |
| 9 | Okay. | 9 | regulations make it clear that any appeal the |
| 10 | MR. CAMPISI: I'm not -- I don't play | 10 | Division's order is handled on a de novo basis, |
| 11 | slot machines. I don't play any table games. | 11 | and I take the exception to the fact that we |
| 12 | It's just that I love to play poker. | 12 | are applying the wrong standard here. In fact, |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. Thank you | 13 | the Casino Control Act compels it. There's no |
| 14 | very much. | 14 | way that an appellant like Mr. Campisi can get |
| 15 | MR. STEBBINS: May I add something here? | 15 | his due process rights without such a review in |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Please. | 16 | filing a decision from this commission. |
| 17 | MR. STEBBINS: Thank you. | 17 | I also take issue with the suggestion |
| 18 | There is no entitlement or right to | 18 | that the process is inefficient. This |
| 19 | gamble in the state. It is a privilege. And | 19 | Commission prides itself on the efficiencies it |
| 20 | we must keep that mind. So just because | 20 | has it implemented in the last four years, and |
| 21 | somebody may want to do something, that is not | 21 | I'm proud of the record that we established in |
| 22 | where we should be going with this analysis. | 22 | streamlining our operations while maintaining |
| 23 | Thank you. | 23 | the highest level of integrity and public |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. | 24 | confidence in public regulatory system. |
| 25 | Commissioners, any other further | 25 | That being said, all in favor? |
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(Ayes.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed?
I'm sorry.
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I would just like to --

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Sorry.
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: -- make a comment.

I would like to thank the Division for your efforts and your determination to assure the integrity of the gaming regulations in New Jersey. My conclusion, which is different, is the result of hearings and analysis based in the current law and regulatory structure.

I compliment Mr. Campisi for turning his life around during the past 40 years.

And I'd like to extend a very special thank you to Senior Counsel Teresa Pimpinelli for her support, expertise, and professionalism in getting us to today's conclusion.

My colleagues and I are committed to the highest standard of ethics, integrity, and compliance with New Jersey's strong and strict casino and gaming governance.
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at 9:30 a.m. in the Commission offices.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
This is the public participation
portion --
MR. CAMPISI: May I say something? Now, this order of pending appeal, I mean, an appeal process takes, like, one or two years. So I mean, there's no great reason for that. Wouldn't that have to be discussed? I mean, I don't understand the reason for granting that so quick.

MS. FAUNTLEROY: As a general rule, that's a very relaxed standard in terms of granting a stay for appeal. Certainly, if you wish to have the Commission something in writing with respect to that, you would have to the right to request the Appellate Division remove and lift that stay.

MR. CAMPISI: Yeah.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: So there are procedural rights you have with respect to that action as well, sir.

MR. CAMPISI: Okay. I would like to know what I'm supposed to do to oppose that.
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Thank you. I vote yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you.
And no further discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor?

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: All those in favor?
(Ayes.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Opposed?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: The motion carries. MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Thank you. MR. STEBBINS: Chairman, if I may make a motion for a stay pending appeal.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: I'm sorry?
MR. STEBBINS: Motion for a stay of your order pending appeal, please.

MS. FAUNTLEROY: That should be -- that should be granted.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. Granted.
MR. STEBBINS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Yup.
MS. FAUNTLEROY: In accordance with
Resolution 15-12-09-03, the next closed session shall be held on Wednesday, November 2, 2016,
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CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. You don't have counsel; correct?

MR. CAMPISI: No. And, you know, I would like to have counsel, but I just can't afford it, so.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Okay. Again, this is the public participation portion of the meeting.

If anyone from the public wishes to be heard, please stand?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Hearing none, that portion is now closed.

I'll entertain a motion to adjourn the meeting.

COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll make a motion.

CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Second?
VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRMAN LEVINSON: Meeting is adjourned.

Thank you.
MR. CAMPISI: I'd just like to thank the Commissioners for your decision. Thank you
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