Appendix A

Mitigation Plan Crosswalk

Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Jurisdiction: Multi-jurisdictional Plan; 43 municipalities in Mercer, Hunterdon, Warren and Sussex Counties (see list of specific jurisdictions below)	Title of Plan: A Multi-Ju Mitigation Plan for Munic New Jersey portion of the	ipalities in the Non-tidal,	Date of Plan: June 30, 2008; revised July 30, 2008 following comments by NJOEM; revised August 6, 2008 following further comments from NJOEM;			
Local Point of Contact: Laura Tessieri, P.E., CFM	1	Address: PO Box 7360				
Title: Water Resources Engineer		25 State Police Drive West Trenton, NJ 08628-0360				
Agency: Delaware River Basin Commission						
Phone Number: (609) 883-9500 ext 304		E-Mail: Laura.Tessieri@	drbc.state.nj.us			

NOTE: This crosswalk is contained as Appendix A in order to demonstrate that this Plan has been developed to meet both FMA and All Hazard Mitigation Plan requirements for the Flood Hazard. An additional FMA crosswalk (FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW AND APPROVAL STATUS) has been prepared at NJOEM's request for use in review of this Plan.

State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]		
Date Received in FEMA Region [insert #]		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approved		
Date Approved		
Butte Approved		

	NFIP Status*			
Jurisdiction:	Y	N	N/A	CRS Class
1. Ewing Township, Mercer County	X			
2. Hamilton Township, Mercer County	Х			
3. Hopewell Township, Mercer County	Х			

		ı
4. Lawrence Township, Mercer County	X	
5. Pennington Borough, Mercer County	X	
6. Trenton City, Mercer County	X	
7. Delaware Township, Hunterdon County	X	
8. East Amwell Township, Hunterdon County	X	
9. Franklin Township, Hunterdon County	X	
10. Frenchtown Borough, Hunterdon County	X	
11. Hampton Borough, Hunterdon County	X	
12. Kingwood Township, Hunterdon County	X	
13. Lambertville City, Hunterdon County	X	
14. Lebanon Township, Hunterdon County	X	
15. Milford Borough, Hunterdon County	X	
16. Raritan Township, Hunterdon County	X	
17. Stockton Borough, Hunterdon County	X	
18. West Amwell Township, Hunterdon County	X	
19. Belvidere Township, Warren County	X	
20. Blairstown Township, Warren County	X	
21. Franklin Township, Warren County	X	
22. Frelinghuysen Township, Warren County	X	
23. Town of Hackettstown, Warren County	X	
24. Hardwick Township, Warren County	X	
25. Harmony Township, Warren County	x	

26. Independence Township, Warren County	Х		
27. Knowlton Township, Warren County	Х		
28. Lopatcong Township, Warren County	Х		
29. Mansfield Township, Warren County	Х		
30. Oxford Township, Warren County	Х		
31. Town of Phillipsburg, Warren County	Х		
32. Pohatcong Township, Warren County	Х		
33. White Township, Warren County	X		
34. Andover Borough, Sussex County	Х		
35. Branchville Borough, Sussex County	Х		
36. Byram Township, Sussex County	Х		
37. Frankford Township, Sussex County	Х		
38. Fredon Township, Sussex County	X		
39. Montague Township, Sussex County	X		
40. Town of Newton, Sussex County	Х		
41. Sandyston Township, Sussex County	Х		
42. Sparta Township, Sussex County	Х		
43. Stillwater Township, Sussex County	Х		
	Χ		

* Notes: Y = Participating N = Not Participating N/A = Not Mapped

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN	REVIE	W S	UMMAF	R Y					
The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not beer	n formally add	opted.			Mitigation Strategy	STAFF	ORD	<u>F1</u>	<u>MA</u>
Each requirement includes separate elements. All ele "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfille Elements of each requirement are listed on the follow A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's compreceiving a "Needs Improvement" score.	ed and receive ving pages of a gray (recom	e a score the Plan mended	of "Satisfact Review Cros but not requi	ory." sswalk. ired) will	Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i) and §78.5(c) Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii) and §78.5(d)	N	S	N	S
SCORING SYSTEM					Implementation of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iii) and §78.5(d) and (e)				
Please check one of the following for each requireme	ent.				Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iv) and FEMA 299				
 N - Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the Reviewer's comments must be provided. S - Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the encouraged, but not required. 				ents are	Plan Maintenance Process	STAFF N	ORD S	<u>FI</u> N	<u>MA</u> S
Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)	STAFF	FORD	<u>FM.</u>	<u>A</u>	Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(i) and §78.5(e)				
	NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET	Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)				
Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) and §78.5(f)					Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)				
OR									
Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5) and and §78.5(f) AND					Additional State Requirements*	<u>STAFF</u> N	<u>ORD</u> S	<u>FI</u> N	<u>MA</u> S
Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3) and and §78.5(a)					Insert State Requirement				
Planning Process	N	S	N	s	Insert State Requirement				
Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1) and §78.5(a)					Insert State Requirement				
Risk Assessment	N	s	N	S					
Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i) and §78.5(b)					LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STA	TUS	STAFF	ORD	<u>FMA</u>
Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i) and §78.5(b)					PLAN NOT AP	PROVED			
Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii) and §78.5(b)									
Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A) and §78.5(b)					PLAN AP	PROVED			
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)					*States that have additional requirements can a	dd them in	the appro	opriate se	ections of
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)					the <i>Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance</i> of this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score	or create a	new sect	ion and r	
Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii) and FEMA 299					See Reviewer's Comments		•		

Crosswalk developed: 2/16/05

PREREQUISITE(S)

Adoption by the Local Governing Body

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(5):** [The local hazard mitigation plan **shall** include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).
- FMA Requirement §78.5(f): Documentation of formal plan adoption by the legal entity submitting the plan (e.g., Governor, Mayor, County Executive).

				SCO	RE	
	Location in the		STAFF	ORD	FN	MΑ
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
A. Has the local governing body adopted the plan?	Section 7, pg 276; Appendix F					
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	Appendix F					
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(5): For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan must document that it has been formally adopted.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(f): Documentation of formal plan adoption by the legal entity submitting the plan (e.g., Governor, Mayor, County Executive).

				SCO	RE	
	Location in the		STAFF	ORD	F۱	ΛA
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?	Section 1, pg 17-18					
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the plan?	Not yet					
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?	Appendix F					
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(a)(3): Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(a): Description of the planning process and public involvement. Public involvement may include workshops, public meetings, or public hearings.

	Location in the				SCO		
	Location in the			STAFF	ORD	FN	MΑ
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments		NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?	Section 2, pg 40-48; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific); Appendix C						
			SUMMARY SCORE				

PLANNING PROCESS:

Documentation of the Planning Process

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(b): An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan. In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:
 - (1) An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;
 - (2) An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and
 - (3) Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.
- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(1): [The plan shall document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(a): Description of the planning process and public involvement. Public involvement may include workshops, public meetings, or public hearings.

Element				SCO	RE	
	Location in the		STAFF	ORD	F۱	MA
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the plan?	Section 2; pg 35- 39					

					SCO	RE	
		Location in the		STAFF	ORD	FM	IΑ
Ele	ment	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
В.	Does the plan indicate who was involved in the planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, etc.?)	Section 2, pg 39-40					
C.	Does the plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Section 2, pg 42; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific); Section 7, pg 276					
D.	Was there an opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Section 2, pg 42; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
E.	Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Section 2, pg 43; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
			SUMMARY SCORE				

RISK ASSESSMENT: §201.61(2): The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.

Identifying Hazards

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6I(2)(i): [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(b): Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk, including estimates of the number and type of structures at risk, repetitive loss properties, and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.

	Location in the			SCC	RE	
	Plan (section or		STAF	FORD	FM	ΙΑ
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include a description of the types of all natural hazards that affect the jurisdiction?	Only Flooding, Section 3, pg 49 -					
If the hazard identification omits (without explanation) any hazards commonly recognized as threats to the jurisdiction, this part of the plan cannot receive a Satisfactory score.	55					
Consult with the State Hazard Mitigation Officer to identify applicable hazards that may occur in the planning area.						
	•	SUMMARY SCORE				

Profiling Hazards

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the ... location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(b): Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk,, and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.

	1		SC		ORE	
	Location in the Plan (section or		STAFFORD		FN	IΑ
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (i.e., geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3, pg 66-72; App D					
B. Does the risk assessment identify the extent (i.e., magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3, pg 66-72					
C. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3, pg 55-65; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)					
D. Does the plan include the probability of future events (i.e., chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the plan?	Section 3, pg 51-52					
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

- Multihazard Requirement $\S 201.6(c)(2)(ii)$: [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(b): Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk,, and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.

	Location in the		SCO		RE		
	Plan (section or			STAF	FORD	FN	/A
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments		N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include an overall summary description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to each hazard?	Section 4, pg 73-81						
B. Does the plan address the impact of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)						
		su	MMARY SCORE				

Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A): The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area
- FMA Requirement §78.5(b): Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk, including estimates of the number and type of structures at risk, repetitive loss properties,

	Location in the		SCOF		RE	
	Plan (section or		STAF	FORD	F۱۷	1A
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing buildings (including repetitive loss structures), infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Section 4 pg 75-83	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the Stafford plan from passing.				
B. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Section 4; pg 83 2 nd pp	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

• Multihazard Requirement $\S 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)$: [The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate

			SCORE		RE	
	Location in the		STAF	FORD	F۱۷	IA
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan estimate potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures?	Section 4; pg 75-80	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.				
B. Does the plan describe the methodology used to prepare the estimate?	Section 4; pg 73-74, pg 79	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

• Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C): [The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.

	Location in the					
	Plan (section or		STAI	FFORD	FN	ΛA
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe land uses and development trends?	Section 4, pp 83-86; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii): For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment must assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.
- **FMA FEMA 299 Guidance:** The Plan should be coordinated with, and ideally developed in cooperation with, all of the local jurisdictions within the geographical area.

	1			SCO	RE	
	Location in the Plan (section or		STAI	FFORD	F۱	IΑ
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	Section 6, (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

MITIGATION STRATEGY: $\S 201.6(c)(3)$: The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction's blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.

Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i): [The hazard mitigation strategy shall include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(c): The applicant's floodplain management goals for the area covered by the plan.

			SCC)RE	
	Location in the		STAF	FORD	FN	/IA
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A Does the plan include a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (GOALS are long-term; represent what the community wants to achieve, such as "eliminate flood damage"; and are based on the risk assessment findings.)	Section 1 pg 15; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific); App C					
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii): [The mitigation strategy shall include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(d): Identification and evaluation of cost-effective and technically feasible mitigation actions considered.

				SCO	RE	
	Location in the		STAFF	ORD	FM	IΑ
Element	Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Section 5 pg 105-107; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)					
B Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure?	Section 5 pg 105-107; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure?	Section 5 pg 105-107; Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)					
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Implementation of Mitigation Actions

- Multihazard Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii): [The mitigation strategy section shall include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.
- FMA Requirement §78.5(d): Identification and evaluation of cost-effective and technically feasible mitigation actions considered; and

• FMA Requirement §78.5(e): Presentation of the strategy for reducing flood risks and continued compliance with the NFIP, and procedures for ensuring implementation, reviewing progress, and recommending revisions to the plan.

Location in the		SCOR		RE		
	Plan (section or		STAFFORD		FN	1A
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the mitigation strategy include how the actions are prioritized ? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Section 5 pg 107; App C	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
B. Does the mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered ? (For example, does it identify the responsible department, existing and potential resources, and timeframe?)	Section 5, pg 108 - 117 Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)					
B.1. Does the mitigation strategy address continued compliance with the NFIP?	Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the Stafford plan from passing.				
C. Does the prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a cost-benefit review (see page 3-36 of <i>Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance</i>) to maximize benefits?	Section 6 (jurisdiction specific)	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
C.1. Does the mitigation strategy emphasize cost- effective and technically feasible mitigation actions?	Section 6 (jurisdiction specific); App C	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the Stafford plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv): For multi-jurisdictional plans, there must be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.
- **FMA FEMA 299 Guidance:** The Plan should be coordinated with, and ideally developed in cooperation with, all of the local jurisdictions within the geographical area.

	Location in the		SCO)RE	
	Plan (section or		STAFF	ORD	FM	IA
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A Does the plan include at least one identifiable action item for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?	Section 5, pg 108-117; Section 6, (jurisdiction specific)					
		SUMMARY SCOR			•	

PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i): [The plan maintenance process shall include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(e):** Presentation of the strategy for reducing flood risks and continued compliance with the NFIP, and procedures for ensuring implementation, reviewing progress, and recommending revisions to the plan.

	Lagation in the			SCO	RE	
	Location in the Plan (section or		STAFF	ORD	FN	IΑ
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for monitoring the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for monitoring and include a schedule for reports, site visits, phone calls, and meetings?)	Section 7, 277 - 278					
B. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for evaluating the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for evaluating the plan and include the criteria used to evaluate the plan?)	Section 7, 277-278					
C. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for updating the plan within the five-year cycle?	Section 7, 277-278	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

• Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii): [The plan shall include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.

	Location in the		SCOI		RE	
	Plan (section or		STAFF	ORD	FN	/ΙΑ
Element	annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the requirements of the mitigation plan?	Section 7, pg 277	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
B. Does the plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the requirements in other plans, when appropriate?	Section 7, pg 277-278	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				

Continued Public Involvement

• Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii): [The plan maintenance process shall include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

		Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)		STAFFORD		FMA	
Element			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan explain how continued public participation will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Section 7, pg 279	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.				
		SUMMARY SCORE				