Data Assessment Follow Ups from previous meeting

Eutrophication Model Expert Panel Meeting July 25 & 26, 2017



From November 2016 Expert Panel Meeting

- Provide plots / assessments for Expert Panel feedback. Including:
 - Plot Zone 2 primary productivity as a function of flow;
 - Compare loadings & concentrations of CBOD5 and TOC look at ratios;
 - Contact Dr. Chapra to obtain formulas to represent CBOD-5 as carbon;
 - Overplot nutrient concentrations / chlorophyll a / DO;
 - Overplot Secchi / turbidity / TSS / PAR. Chapra can provide equations re light extinction using PAR/Secchi depth/turbidity.



Area Productivity in Zone 2 by 3-day Cumulative Discharge at Trenton



* UDCMS Database* Dr. Jonathan Sharp

k 1979-2002



Area Productivity in Zone 2 Other Cumulative Periods







Area Productivity in Zone 2 Other Cumulative Periods (continued)





Vertical Productivity in Zone 2 by 3-day Cumulative Discharge at Trenton





Vertical Productivity in Zone 2 Other Cumulative Periods







Vertical Productivity in Zone 2 Other Cumulative Periods (continued)







Classification and Regression Tree high productivity conditions

CART for APROD by 3-Day Cumulative Flow and Day of Year



- * 2 explanatory variables
 - * 3-day cumulative flow
 - Day of Year (Jan 1 = 1)
- Conditions with highest productivity
 - * 3-Day flow < 2.9 Billion CF
 - * And After June 11th
 - * 46 APROD observations
 - Min bucket = 10
 - * Method = Anova
 - * Pruned on min cp xerror



Paired TOC and CBOD5 Concentrations Point Discharge Data



Presented to an advisory committee of the DRBC on August 24, 2017. Contents should not be published or re-posted in whole or in part without permission of DRBC.

- * DRBC Point Discharge Monitoring Data
- * TOC was not required
- However 26 of the 78 NPDES submitted TOC results
- CBOD5 1384 observations
- TOC 425 observations
- * 414 paired CBOD5 and TOC
- * Not tied to size or any other factor

Of the paired results

- TOC 1 missing value (NA)
- CBOD5 154 missing values (NA) 37%



Boxplot of Ratios CBOD5 to TOC Point Discharge Data





Paired TOC and CBOD5 Loads Point Discharge Data





CBOD5 as Carbon Point Discharge Data



$$c = \frac{CBOD_5}{r_{oc}(1 - e^{-kd(5)})}$$

- * $r_{oc} = 2.67 \text{ gO}_2/\text{gC}$
- Approx. 64% quantified CBOD5 measurements
- Median Carbon 7.19 mgC/L
- k_d set to 0.075
- Median k_d from all paired CBOD5 and CBOD20 was 0.087 but only 36% of all pairs quantified for both



Overplots of Ambient Nutrient Observations

- * Delaware Estuary Used Boat Run Monitoring Data
- * 22 stations from river mile 6.5 to near head of tide
- * April through October
- * Used 2005 through 2016 data
- * Interactive web app:
 - * https://johnyagecic.shinyapps.io/Overplots/



Assess Light Extinction as a function of model state variables

- Boat Run data set includes paired PAR in air and at 1meter depth since 2015
- * Also collect TSS, Chl. A, and Salinity
- * Compute k_e using Beer-Lambert law
- Assess viability of 2 statistical models for computing k_e as a function of likely model state variables.



Computed k_e from paired air & 1-meter PAR

Computed extinction coefficient from paired PAR measurements Delaware Estuary 2015-2016





3 term model details

```
call:
lm(formula = ke ~ TSS + Salinity + Chl.a, data = brwide, subset = train)
Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                 Max
-2.1313 -0.5789 -0.0675 0.4821 2.4284
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1.163194 0.110083 10.567 < 2e-16 ***
TSS
          0.048026 0.003100 15.493 < 2e-16 ***
Salinity -0.022996 0.006673 -3.446 0.000672 ***
chl.a
        0.042098 0.010369 4.060 6.66e-05 ***
               0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Signif. codes:
Residual standard error: 0.8208 on 239 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.5174, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5113
F-statistic: 85.4 on 3 and 239 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```



Bivariate Plots 3 term model



Delaware River Basin Commission

PENNSYLVANIA • NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NEW JERSEY

•

DELAWARE

2 term model details

```
call:
lm(formula = ke ~ TSS + Salinity, data = brwide, subset = train)
Residuals:
    Min
              10 Median 30
                                       Max
-2.17598 -0.59630 -0.08452 0.53138 2.66086
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1.444510 0.088255 16.367 <2e-16 ***
            0.046911 0.003186 14.725 <2e-16 ***
TSS
salinity -0.015249 0.006598 -2.311 0.0217 *
Signif. codes:
                 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
               0
Residual standard error: 0.8468 on 240 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4841, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4798
F-statistic: 112.6 on 2 and 240 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```



Bivariate plots 2 term model



Presented to an advisory committee of the DRBC on August 24, 2017. Contents should not be published or re-posted in whole or in part without permission of DRBC. Delaware River Basin Commission Delaware • New Jersey PENNSYLVANIA • New York UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Compare k_e computed from secchi depth



Presented to an advisory committee of the DRBC on August 24, 2017. Contents should not be published or re-posted in whole or in part without permission of DRBC. * k_e=1.8/SD
* R² = 0.44



Used CART to look for 'natural' splits in the ke values

CART for Light Extinction by TSS and River Mile



Presented to an advisory committee of the DRBC on August 24, 2017. Contents should not be published or re-posted in whole or in part without permission of DRBC. Using River Mile and TSS only

- * 'Natural' splits at TSS=33, RM 91, and RM 40
- * Minbucket=50
- * Method="anova"
- * Pruned on min cp xerror



3 subarea statistical models

Computed extinction coefficient from paired PAR measurements Delaware Estuary 2015-2016



permission of DRBC.

More work to be done on light extinction

- Statistical model tweaking
- * Included in our 106 grant application project to collect additional PAR, TSS, Chl. a, and salinity data in 2018
 - * 180 samples in Zones 2 through 5
- Evaluate other formulations of k_e as a function of water quality parameters:
 - * More state variables in model?
- * Targets for an acceptable statistical model?
 - Signal versus noise

