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Presentation Contents and Purpose

New Jersey Framework: Introduction and Context
• Describes New Jersey’s approach to improve school and district accountability in an effort to close the achievement gap and raise overall student performance throughout the state

PART 1: New Jersey’s ESSA State Plan
• Explains the Department’s process for developing the State Plan
• Summarizes parts of the State Plan in an easy-to-read format, specifically the description of how NJDOE will identify and support schools that need the most help

PART 2: New Jersey’s ESSA State Plan
• Builds upon Part 1 to summarize remaining components of the State Plan, specifically a description of some of New Jersey’s supports for all students, educators, schools, and districts
New Jersey Framework: Introduction and Context
Introduction: The *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)*

The *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* replaces *No Child Left Behind (NCLB)* and reauthorizes the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)* of 1965. ESSA is meant to ensure that all students have equitable access to high-quality educational resources and opportunities, as well as to close educational achievement gaps.

**To Receive Funding:**
- Each state must submit to the U.S. Department of Education every several years and each district then applies to the state every year.
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New Jersey’s Approach to ESSA

Although federal education law is just a portion of the federal, state, district, school and community policies that affect our students’ educational experiences, the enactment of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) has spurred meaningful conversations with stakeholders throughout the state about how New Jersey can best do the following:

- **Unify** around a collective vision that all children are provided the educational opportunity to reach their greatest potential

- **Improve** existing systems of accountability and support to help schools close achievement gaps and raise overall student performance

- **Empower** communities with better information so that they may determine and provide what is best for their students
A Unified Vision for What All Students Deserve

Conversations across the state revealed a common goal; for each and every student to achieve his or her greatest potential through safe and healthy educational experiences that adequately challenge, support and prepare them for success beyond high school. To realize this collective vision, New Jersey must close its significant achievement gaps and ensure that all students are provided the opportunities they deserve.
New Jersey’s Strong Educational Foundation

New Jersey is among the highest-ranking states in the nation academically. The following are elements of the strong educational foundation that will remain intact in New Jersey’s ESSA State Plan:

**Standards:**
- New Jersey’s rigorous academic standards, the New Jersey Student Learning Standards (NJSLS), that were recently refined by New Jersey educators to ensure that all students graduate from high school with the skills and knowledge to succeed in the 21st century

**Assessments:**
- The statewide PARCC assessments that provide parents, students, and educators with useful information to inform academic progress and improve classroom instruction
- Graduation requirements that more accurately reflect college and career readiness

**Supports for Students and Educators:**
- Educator preparation and evaluation policies that aim to improve training, support and development of our teachers and school leaders
- The New Jersey Tiered System of Supports that provides a framework for schools to meet the academic, behavioral, health, enrichment and social/emotional needs of all students
Opportunities to Build Upon that Foundation

With the end of NCLB and the development of the ESSA State Plan, New Jersey had the opportunity to engage in conversations with stakeholders and reflect on ways it can build upon the state’s strong foundation by better integrating and aligning the existing accountability and support systems.

**Accountability:**

- Align incentives for students, educators, school boards and state policymakers across multiple accountability systems and academic requirements
- Streamline and simplify existing accountability systems and related improvement plans (school- and district-level) that are at times duplicative and/or overly time intensive
- Develop common definitions and expectations for indicators across our various accountability systems
- Capture a broader picture of schools for federal accountability measures that go beyond just proficiency and graduation rates

**Supports for Districts and Schools:**

- Create state-level support for schools and districts that feature more targeted efforts around remediation and collaboration – not a one-size-fits-all intervention
- Engage schools and districts in a cohesive manner that aligns the efforts of NJDOE program offices, county offices and regional achievement centers
Opportunities to Build Upon that Foundation (Cont’d)

As the NJDOE focuses on improving accountability and support, the needs of New Jersey’s historically disadvantaged students and the diverse voices of its stakeholders will continue to be paramount.

**Equity:**
- Ensure New Jersey’s accountability systems prioritize efforts to identify and address academic gaps among different subgroups
- Ensure state efforts aim to ensure equitable distributions of resources, effective instructors, and educational supports to New Jersey’s most struggling schools and districts

**Engagement:**
- Continue to provide opportunities to hear from parents, students, educators and community members in order to continuously enhance state policies around standards, assessment and accountability
- Utilize statewide feedback to provide communities with a more comprehensive overview of their schools’ and districts’ attributes
- Provide parents and students with opportunities to take advantage of flexible course offerings and school choice
Defining New Jersey’s Accountability Systems

Accountability for education in New Jersey is defined through three primary accountability systems, each with a unique set of indicators and/or requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description (through SY 16-17)</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| School reports designed and developed by NJDOE and include federal mandates. District reports are controlled by districts. | Some examples include:  
• Academic achievement/progress to college and career readiness;  
• Post-secondary enrollment data; and  
• Rates of chronic absenteeism. |
| State-mandated system used for NJDOE county office staff to identify and intervene in low-performing districts. Districts that do not satisfy at least 80% of quality performance indicators in at least one key area must develop QSAC district improvement plan (DIP). | 84 quality performance indicators in:  
• Instruction and programs;  
• Personnel;  
• Operations management;  
• Governance; and  
• Fiscal management. |
| Federally-mandated system used to identify focus and priority schools, which were supported by NJDOE Regional Achievement Centers (RACs) staff and program offices like Title I. Districts that failed to meet Annual Yearly Progress for all or some students develop school improvement plans (SIP). | NCLB’s required indicators:  
• Academic proficiency;  
• graduation rate for High Schools; and one other indicator chosen by states  
ESSA now also requires measures of student progress/growth, an additional measure of student success and English Lang |
New Jersey’s Current Accountability Systems

Accountability in New Jersey operates as three distinct systems, each with its own set of indicators that attempt to focus the efforts of schools and districts.

- Only school-level reports have been produced by NJDOE; no uniform district-level reports exist
- Complex and difficult to read
- Underutilized tool by parents, students and educators
- No ability for deeper comparative analysis

School Accountability (NCLB)

Required states to use a narrow set of data points to identify struggling schools; for example, required states to use proficiency data but prohibited progress or growth data. Without growth included, system could identify some schools with low proficiency as “in need of improvement” – even if they were making outstanding progress with their students.

District Accountability (QSAC)

Misalignment with some federal accountability indicators makes it challenging for districts to prioritize specific areas of need for their students
- Requires district improvement plans (DIPs) that are not aligned to federally required school improvement plans (SIPs)
Aligning New Jersey’s Accountability and Support Systems

New Jersey is using the shift from NCLB to ESSA as an opportunity to align its accountability and support systems to more accurately and fairly measure student, school and district performance.

Through this realignment and redesign, New Jersey will:
• Ensure that accountability and supports systems are aligned but not duplicated
• Remove overly burdensome practices that do not directly support student success
• Provide communities with a more comprehensive overview of their district’s and school’s attributes
Using Different Indicators Appropriately

Across each of these three accountability systems, indicators should be used appropriately to evaluate how schools and districts are providing New Jersey students with the educational opportunities they deserve.

Aligning these accountability systems requires an understanding of what each system is intended for, and then identify appropriate indicators for each particular system:

• School accountability in ESSA is just one piece of New Jersey’s school and district accountability and, specifically, its role is to identify schools in need of support and improvement.
• Indicators that are more within the control of a district should be considered for QSAC rather than ESSA.
• School and district reporting may be a more logical fit for indicators that are important for the public to know about, but should not lead directly to state action.
New Jersey Framework:  
**ESSA Background and Process for Developing the State Plan**
**ESSA State Plan Requirements**

The purpose of ESEA, as reauthorized by ESSA, is to provide all students the opportunity to receive a fair, equitable, and high-quality education, and to close educational achievement gaps. New Jersey’s state plan outlines how the NJDOE will meet or already is meeting the ESSA requirements which are organized in the following four categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish state standards, set academic goals, and assess progress toward those goals for all students and schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure and report performance of all students, schools and districts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and support schools in need of improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support all students, educators, schools and districts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Changes from NCLB to ESSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCLB Requirements</th>
<th>ESSA Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Required state to set rigorous standards for all students aligned with college and career skills.</td>
<td>No significant change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Standards</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assessments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required state to set rigorous standards for all students aligned with college and career skills.</td>
<td>No significant change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELA/Math:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Science:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In each of grades 3-8; and</td>
<td>- Once in elementary; once in middle; and once in high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Once in grades 10-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-Term Goals</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal government set universal long-term academic proficiency goals; states set graduation rate goals.</td>
<td>States must set long-term academic proficiency, graduation rate, and English language proficiency goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused primarily on academic proficiency rates. Secondary indicators included graduation rates for high schools and attendance for elementary/middle schools; indicators were established by federal government.</td>
<td>Adds some discretion for states to develop their own school accountability systems. States are required to incorporate all of the following indicators: academic proficiency; graduation rates for high school; academic growth on statewide tests or another statewide indicator of academic progress for K-8; progress toward English language proficiency; and at least one other state-determined indicator of school quality or student success.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Changes from NCLB to ESSA (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification of Low-Performing Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCLB Requirements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States were required annually to identify schools in need of improvement. A school was designated as such if it failed to make its annual yearly progress (AYP) for two consecutive years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support for Low-Performing Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCLB Requirements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were federally established consequences each year that a school remained “in need of improvement” and interventions were very school-centered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School District Plans (Annual application for funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCLB Requirements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School districts applied annually to the state for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **ESSA Requirements**                              |
| Changes to the identification criteria, timeline, and associated labels. States must now identify schools in need of “comprehensive support and improvement” (overall low performance) and “targeted support and improvement” (low subgroup performance). |

| **ESSA Requirements**                              |
| States must establish a system of support for schools identified in need of comprehensive or targeted support and improvement; districts play a more significant role in the process. |

| **ESSA Requirements**                              |
| No major changes made to this procedure or timeline for submission; minor changes to stakeholder engagement requirements. |
ESSA Engagement

To create the New Jersey ESSA State Plan, the NJDOE’s engaged in as many conversations as possible with representatives from different communities that have diverse perspectives.

Key Engagement Activities

- Received over 5,300 survey responses in total
- Formed the ESSA Stakeholder Focus Group with over 80 invited education and community organizations
- Attended or hosted more than 90 meetings in total
- Over 400 districts represented at in-person ESSA technical assistance sessions
- Heard from individuals representing more than 160 communities
- Hosted four listening and learning sessions across the state, during which NJDOE met with more than 140 attendees

During the comment period:
- Engaged with 1600 additional community members
- Hosted or attended 39 additional meetings
- Received 255 survey responses
Implementing ESSA: Upcoming Activities

To be responsive to stakeholder input regarding best practices for implementing the upcoming incremental changes, the Department among other actions plans to provide districts the following supports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing Stakeholder Engagement</strong></td>
<td>• Convene focus groups on additional measures of school success to include in the school accountability and reporting systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gather public input on school performance report redesign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District ESSA Spending Guidance and Support</strong></td>
<td>• Continue updating the ESSA guidance for district’s webpage with resources and tools to help districts best meet student needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Release activity-based ESSA funding guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Highlight best practices in stakeholder engagement at the school and district level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transitioning to New Accountability and Support System</strong></td>
<td>• Differentiate supports for current schools in need of support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identify new list of schools in need of support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Continue to develop tools and structures to support planning and improvement efforts at the district- and school-levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Collection Guidance and Procedures</strong></td>
<td>• Support districts with new data collections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Continually improve data collections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to Read the Following Slides

The NJDOE has created the following slides to provide the public with an easy-to-read document that captures the key policy decisions found throughout the accountability and support sections of the ESSA State Plan. Following adjustments to the original proposal based on significant feedback during the comment period, the full ESSA State Plan was submitted to the U.S. Department of Education.

KEY

Requirement under ESSA

How New Jersey will meet the requirement
PART 1: New Jersey’s ESSA State Plan Overview
ESSA State Plan Requirements

The blue shading indicates the topics that will be covered in the next slides. As a reminder, New Jersey’s state plan outlines how the NJDOE will meet or already is meeting the ESSA requirements but should not be seen as representative of all NJDOE work in these areas:

- Establish state standards, set academic goals, and assess progress toward those goals for all students and schools
- Measure and report performance of all students, schools and districts
- Identify and support schools in need of improvement
- Support all students, educators, schools and districts
Maintain High Standards for Students

States must establish challenging state standards for what all students must achieve each year in school including English language proficiency for English Learners (EL).

- In May 2016, the New Jersey State Board adopted the New Jersey Student Learning Standards, based on the recommendations of committees of educators who spent months reviewing and analyzing the state’s prior standards.

- Since 2005, New Jersey has utilize and will continue to use the World-class Instructional Design and Assessment standards (WIDA) for English language development, which are widely recognized by stakeholders as most effective.

- Students with disabilities have been educated using the New Jersey Student Learning Standards with appropriate modifications based on student needs.

New Jersey’s Student Learning Standards and WIDA standards meet the ESSA requirements.
Under **ESSA**, States must annually assess students’ progress toward achieving the states’ challenging statewide standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who must take statewide assessments?</th>
<th><strong>NCLB 1111(b)(3)</strong></th>
<th><strong>ESSA 1111(b)(2)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All students in required grades</td>
<td>All students in required grades</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State must ensure at least 95% of all students and each subgroup in each school take the assessment</td>
<td>State must ensure at least 95% of all students and each subgroup in each school take the assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In which grades must students take statewide assessments?</th>
<th><strong>Math and English Language Arts (ELA):</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Math and English Language Arts (ELA):</td>
<td>In each of grades 3-8; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science:</td>
<td>Once in grades 10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science:</td>
<td>In each of grades 3-8; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science:</td>
<td>Once in grades 9-12 (only change)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Jersey’s current assessments program meets **ESSA** requirements.
ESSA requires states to set a long-term goal for academic achievement, graduation rate, and progress toward English language proficiency that is the same for all schools. ESSA also requires states to set interim targets to ensure that all students and subgroups of students, where applicable, are making progress toward attaining these long-term goals.

To fulfill the ESSA requirement, New Jersey aimed to create long-term goals that are both ambitious and achievable. Each long-term goal will have annual interim targets to provide guideposts to schools and districts to determine if adequate progress is being made.

The long-term goal and interim targets will factor into the accountability system in two ways:

1) Displayed on school and district performance reports
2) Factored into New Jersey’s definition of “consistently underperforming” schools (defined later in this document)
Long Term Goals

In developing its long-term goals, the NJDOE:

- Reviewed current statewide performance data;
- Selected a timeline to reflect the progress of our incoming kindergarteners (class of 2030); and
- Identified long-term goals that were both ambitious and achievable for our students.

New Jersey’s plan requires that by 2030, for all students and all subgroups:

- The percentage of students meeting or exceeding all grade-level expectations on statewide assessments in ELA and math will increase to 80%.
- 95% of students will graduate from high school in four years, and 96% will graduate in five years.

New Jersey’s plan requires that by 2023:

- 86% of English Learners will make expected progress towards English language proficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Long-Term Goal</th>
<th>2016 NJ Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Language Arts Proficiency</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics Proficiency</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation Rate (4-year, 5-year)</td>
<td>90.1%, 91.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timeline for Goals

1 On May 3, 2017, New Jersey revised its goals for progress towards English language proficiency upon the request from the U.S. Department of Education to comply with ESSA section 1111(c)(4)(A)(ii). However, New Jersey plans to reassess these goals as growth data from the updated ACCESS for ELLs assessment becomes available.

2 Percent of students meeting/exceeding grade level expectations on PARCC and DLM.
ESSA State Plan Requirements

The blue shading indicates the topics that will be covered in the next slides. As a reminder New Jersey’s state plan outlines how the NJDOE will meet or already is meeting the ESSA requirements but should not be seen as representative of all NJDOE work in these areas:

- Establish state standards, set academic goals, and assess progress toward those goals for all students and schools
- Measure and report performance of all students, schools and districts
- Identify and support schools in need of improvement
- Support all students, educators, schools and districts
School and District Reporting: Overview

The NJDOE believes that the most extensive form of accountability is driven by communities. School and district reports provide information that stakeholders – students, parents, and community members – can use to identify the needs of students and schools. 

ESSA requires many new pieces of data to be collected and publicly reported, but New Jersey is committed to developing reports that provide stakeholders with a broader picture of their schools and districts.
In ESSA, states must produce yearly report cards that explain the state accountability system and report on many new data elements.

In response to stakeholder feedback, as well as changes to reporting requirements in ESSA, the NJDOE will make significant enhancements to performance reports beginning this year in order to provide user-friendly yet robust information to empower communities. These enhancements include:

- Improved visuals and usability
- Translation of reports into languages other than English
- New district-level reports provided by the state
- District accountability (QSAC) and student accountability (ESSA) integration in reports
- Functionality to easily compare schools and districts
- Better guidance on how to effectively use the reports
- Broader set of indicators to inform and empower students, parents, and communities based on stakeholder feedback (see next slide for details)
School and District Reporting: Enhancements (continued)

The NJDOE will work to provide communities across the state with a broader set of indicators to inform and empower students, parents, and communities.

2015-2016 School Year (Released Spring 2017)
- Demographics
- Academic Performance
- Graduation Rate
- Chronic absenteeism
- Suspensions and expulsions
- Dropout rate
- Visual and performing arts
- CTE concentrators
- Dual enrollment
- AP/IB participation
- Faculty attendance
- Student/Teacher ratio
- Post-secondary enrollment

Additions for 2016-2017 and 2017-2018
- Full-day Pre-K and K access
- Preschool enrollment
- In-school vs. out-of-school suspensions
- Post-secondary outcomes
- Per-pupil expenditures
- Teacher experience
- Teacher credentials
- Incidences of violence, school-related arrests, referrals to law enforcement
- Additional subgroups: homeless, military-connected, and foster care students
- Performance on ACCESS for ELLs 2.0

2018-19 and Beyond

NJDOE will continue to seek feedback on how to improve data and visuals.
School Accountability in ESSA is just one of the New Jersey accountability systems. Its role is to identify our schools most in need of support and improvement.

The following pages will outline New Jersey’s ESSA school accountability system in the areas below:

- Additional measure of school quality and student success
- Weights of each indicator
- Incorporating subgroups into each indicator
- Minimum n-size
- Participation rate
- Graduation rate
- English Learners
ESSA requires states to use a set of indicators to measure the performance of all schools. The indicators for academic progress, progress towards English language proficiency, and school quality or student success are all new under ESSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary &amp; Middle School</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Academic Achievement (PARCC/DLM Proficiency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High School</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Academic Achievement (PARCC/DLM Proficiency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Graduation Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEW ESSA Indicators</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Academic Progress for elementary and middle schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Progress toward English language proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C At least one ADDITIONAL indicator of school quality or student success</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All accountability measures must:
- Be supported by research showing that performance and/or progress are likely to increase
- Allow for meaningful differentiation of schools
- Be disaggregated by subgroup
- Be valid and reliable across all schools in a particular grade span
ESSA School Accountability: New Indicators

NEW ESSA REQUIRED INDICATORS

A. **Academic Progress** for Elementary and Middle School

B. Progress Toward **English Language Proficiency**

C. **At least one ADDITIONAL** indicator of *school quality* or *student success*

HOW NEW JERSEY WILL MEET THE REQUIREMENT

Student growth percentiles (SGP) are currently reported in our school performance reports and show academic progress by demonstrating a student’s growth compared to their academic peers, or students with similar prior test scores.

Starting in school year 2017-2018 all English learners must demonstrate growth of approximately one level on the ACCESS for ELLs test per year for a maximum of 5 years.

The NJDOE received the most feedback on this indicator (*the following slides explain how this indicator was determined*)
**ESSA School Accountability: “Additional Indicator”**

To determine the indicator(s) that can be used to help identify schools in need of support and improvement, the NJDOE asked the following questions for each proposed indicator:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Indicator Checklist</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supported by stakeholders:</strong> Recognizing consensus is unlikely, do a large number of stakeholders support the indicator?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research based/link to student outcomes:</strong> Is performance and/or progress on the indicator likely to improve student success in college and careers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actionable at a school level:</strong> Is the indicator actionable and within the control of a school as compared to something that only a district or other entity could impact?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairly represents schools needing support and improvement:</strong> Is it fair to use the data to identify the most struggling schools?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data available and allowable under ESSA:</strong> Does currently available data meet the federal requirements?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Disaggregated by subgroup
- Applicable to all schools in a particular grade-span
- Supported by research that indicates increase student learning
ESSA School Accountability: Chronic Absenteeism as the “Additional” Indicator

New Jersey will use chronic absenteeism as the additional indicator of school quality and student success during the first year of New Jersey’s school accountability system under ESSA. Chronic Absenteeism is a measure of the percentage of students who are not present 10% or more of the total enrolled school days.

Research demonstrates a strong correlation between the chronic absenteeism indicator and successful student outcomes:

• Children who are chronically absent in K and 1st grade are much less likely to be reading at grade level by 3rd grade.
• By high school, attendance is a better drop-out indicator than test scores.
• A student who is chronically absent for any year between 8th and 12th grade is over seven times more likely to drop out of school.

Stakeholders often said that the indicator of school quality and student success should in some way measure whether a school provides a positive school environment. Chronic absenteeism is one measure of a positive school climate because the more welcoming and supportive a school climate is, the more likely a student is to attend school. Conversely, students who do not regularly come to school cannot learn.
ESSA School Accountability: Continuing the “Additional” Indicator Discussion

While chronic absenteeism will be used as the “additional indicator of school quality and student success” in the first year of New Jersey’s ESSA accountability system, the NJDOE is committed to ongoing conversations with stakeholders to explore and develop additional indicators for both ESSA accountability and school and district reporting.

**NJDOE’s Commitment to Continued Engagement**

- Conversations with interested members of the ESSA Stakeholder Focus Group to refine and improve the definitions of each indicator and identify additional accountability indicators
- Launch a survey to collect feedback on school performance reports
- Focus groups with parents and educators to determine the tools that they need to effectively measure school quality and student success
- Conduct user testing to ensure that school performance reports are clear and easy to use
- Collaborate with other states to learn best practices
## ESSA School Accountability: Summary

Below is a summary of New Jersey’s indicators to meet the ESSA requirements for school accountability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESSA Requirement</th>
<th>NJ Measure</th>
<th>Elementary/Middle School</th>
<th>High School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Achievement</td>
<td>PARCC/DLM Proficiency</td>
<td>Percent of students in the school who meet/exceed grade-level standards on each required annual statewide assessment</td>
<td>Percent of students in the school who meet/exceed grade-level standards on English Language Arts 9 and 10, Algebra 1 and 2, and Geometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Progress (Elementary/Middle Schools) and Graduation Rate (HS)</td>
<td>Student Growth Percentile/Graduation Rate</td>
<td>Student Growth Percentile (SGP): Metric that measures students’ growth on the annual statewide assessment compared to other students in their academic peer group</td>
<td>Graduation Rate: Percent of students who graduate in 4 years and percent of students who graduate in 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Measure of School Quality or Student Success</td>
<td>Chronic Absenteeism</td>
<td>Percent of students who are not present 10% or more of their total enrolled school days</td>
<td>Percent of students who are not present 10% or more of their total enrolled school days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress Towards English Language Proficiency</td>
<td>Progress to English Language Proficiency (ELP)</td>
<td>English learners must demonstrate growth of approximately one level on the ACCESS for ELLs test per year for up to five years depending on a student’s starting point</td>
<td>English learners must demonstrate growth of approximately one level on the ACCESS for ELLs test per year for up to five years depending on a student’s starting point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In developing the weights for the indicators in ESSA, the NJDOE followed a set of principles that reflected the diverse needs of New Jersey students, the pervasive achievement gaps, and input from stakeholders.

In ESSA, states must assign weights for each indicator and create an overall formula that provides each school with a summative score. This summative score is then used to determine the lists of schools in need of support and improvement.

NCLB focused on proficiency, thereby not accounting for significant annual growth made by schools.

New Jersey’s achievement gap is staggering and, too often, school and district summative performance does not spotlight students that are consistently underperforming.

Federal accountability has a history of complex indicators, weights, and scoring that, when coupled with state accountability and reporting, become confusing and disjointed for schools, districts, and communities.

Ensure that both proficiency and growth were adequately reflected in the summative score.

Ensure student subgroup performance is not masked by aggregate school-level performance.

Ensure that weights and calculations are as clear and simple as possible, while adhering to the provision of the ESSA law.
NJDOE heard from many stakeholders that it should weigh student growth more than any other factor in the school accountability system. As a result, NJDOE revised the school accountability formula as shown below. These summative ratings will be used to determine the schools in need of comprehensive and targeted support and improvement.

### ESSA School Accountability: Formula*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schools who meet minimum n-size for English Learners</th>
<th>Schools who do not meet minimum n-size for English Learners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% PARCC/DLM Proficiency</td>
<td>35% PARCC/DLM Proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40% SGP/Graduation Rate</td>
<td>50% SGP/Graduation Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Chronic Absenteeism</td>
<td>15% Chronic Absenteeism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Progress to English Language Proficiency (ELP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100% Summative Score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Full implementation in the 18-19 school year
ESSA School Accountability: Weighting of Subgroups

States are required to factor subgroup performance on each indicator into the ESSA accountability system (except for the progress toward English language proficiency indicator).

NJDOE will be weighting subgroups and overall performance equally to ensure the school accountability system does not mask within-school achievement gaps.

Subgroups include:

- Economically disadvantaged students
- Students from major racial and ethnic groups
- Students with disabilities (defined as students with Individual Education Plans or IEPs)
- English learners
ESSA School Accountability: Example Weighting of Subgroups

The below example illustrates how the subgroup weighting would work under the formula described in the previous slide. All subgroups are counted equally. Note that students can be in more than one subgroup (e.g. White and Economically Disadvantaged).

Example School: Math Proficiency Subgroup Weighting

1000 students in the school
1. 700 White
2. 300 Black or African American
3. 150 Economically Disadvantaged
   *(Both White and African American)*
States must select a minimum number of students – minimum n-size – necessary for a particular group (“subgroup”) to be included in the ESSA school accountability system.

Although all students are factored into a school’s overall performance for each indicator, if a certain group of students (e.g. economically disadvantaged or white) meets the minimum n-size, the group must be factored into the accountability system separately as a “subgroup.” A smaller n-size would allow for more subgroups to be counted in the overall accountability system. However, the n-size must be large enough to be statistically sound.

Conversations with stakeholders revealed diverse opinions about balancing the goals of ensuring accuracy and stability in our data as compared to including as many subgroups as possible in our accountability system.

The NJDOE attempted to balance both perspectives by setting a **minimum n-size of 20 students for accountability**. At this n-size, thousands more students will be included than under the previous minimum n-size of 30; and the NJDOE expects that compared to a lower n-size, school performance will not drastically fluctuate based on a few students.

New Jersey will maintain 10 as its minimum n-size for school and district reporting.
Below is an example of a school with ten subgroups. Only six of these subgroups would meet the minimum n-size of 20 students needed to be counted as a subgroup in the ESSA school accountability system.

### Example school with six subgroups who meet minimum n-size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Total # of Students in the School</th>
<th>Counted as a Subgroup in Accountability System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian of Alaska Native</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students with Disabilities</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Learners</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged Students</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ESSA School Accountability: Participation Rate

Under 1111(c)(4)(E) of ESSA, all states are required annually to measure the achievement of at least 95 percent of all students in each student subgroup. When measuring, calculating, and reporting proficiency rates, states are required to include either a denominator equal to 95 percent of all students (and of each student subgroup as the case may be) or the number of students participating in the assessments. For schools that fail to achieve 95 percent participation, any student below the 95 percent threshold will therefore be counted as “not proficient” in the calculation of proficiency rates even though they did not take the exam. This is shown in the example below.

School A:
- 100 Total students
- 75 Take assessment and meet/exceed expectations
- 25 Students do not take assessment

School A Proficiency Score: $\frac{75}{95} = 79\%$ proficient

School B:
- 100 Total students
- 75 Take assessment and meet/exceed expectations
- 20 Take and do not meet expectations
- 5 Students do not take assessment

School B Proficiency Score: $\frac{75}{95} = 79\%$ proficient

NJDOE will factor participation rate into its school accountability system by applying the minimum requirements of 1111(c)(4)(E) of ESSA as described above. NJDOE will look for additional guidance from the U.S. Department of Education to comply with this section of the law. To ensure schools and school communities have as much actionable information as possible and upon the recommendation from stakeholders, the NJDOE has committed to making proficiency results publicly available in two ways: 1) with participation rate factored in, or based on at least 95% of students in tested grades and 2) without participation rate, or based on the actual number of tested students.
The NJDOE is committed to maintaining high standards for all students while allowing schools an appropriate amount of time to graduate students who have not yet mastered college and career standards. Many stakeholders recommended including an extended graduation rate, and NJDOE data systems can measure 5-year cohort graduation rates. Accordingly, the NJDOE will include a 5-year graduation rate, weighted at 50%, to account for students who require more time to graduate.
ESSA School Accountability: English Learner Background

One of the more significant changes under ESSA is that it elevated attention to English Learners (ELs) by adding a measure of English language proficiency into the overall school accountability system.

Key Facts on New Jersey’s ELs and ESSA

- New Jersey has approximately 70,000 ELs which represents a more than 30% growth since 2010
- New Jersey had the fourth highest number of recent immigrant students in the United States\(^1\)
- Under ESSA all schools not just those receiving Title III funds are accountable for ensuring ELs make progress towards English language proficiency (ELP)

\(^1\)According to the 2013 biennial Title III report
Since students start in different places in terms of their ability to speak and understand English, the New Jersey English Learner indicator will use the ACCESS for ELLs test to evaluate progress towards English proficiency based on the starting level of individual students. Expectations will be increased by equal intervals each year so that all students meet proficiency within 5 years.

- New Jersey’s English Learner Progress Indicator will measure the percentage of students who made progress towards attaining English Language proficiency.
- New Jersey English Language Proficiency is defined as attaining a 4.5 on the ACCESS for ELLs assessment.
- Starting in school year 2017-2018, all English learners must demonstrate growth of approximately one level on the ACCESS for ELLs test per year for a maximum of 5 years.
- The number of years allowed to achieve proficiency varies by student based on their starting level of proficiency.
ESSA School Accountability: Factoring English Learners into Other Indicators

In addition to adding the English Learner metric (described on the previous slide), ESSA allows for the following accountability adjustments for English learners:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESSA Requirements and Flexibility for States</th>
<th>NJ Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>States may exempt English learners who have been enrolled in U.S. schools for less than one year from one administration of the statewide English language arts/literacy assessment (PARCC ELA).</td>
<td>New Jersey will continue current practice and use this flexibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States may allow English learners who have exited English language programs to be included in the English learner ESSA school accountability subgroup for up to 4 years after exiting the programs. Previously under NCLB, states could include these students in the subgroup for just 2 years.</td>
<td>New Jersey will give better credit for student success and use this flexibility to include English learners for four years after they exit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant stakeholder support has reaffirmed New Jersey’s decision to take advantage of these flexibilities.
Establish state standards, set academic goals, and assess progress toward those goals for all students and schools

Measure and report performance of all students, schools and districts

Identify and support schools in need of improvement

Support all students, educators, schools and districts
States must use the ESSA accountability system to identify schools in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement, and Targeted Support and Improvement. In addition, states must define “consistently underperforming” under Targeted Support and Improvement.

**Schools in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**
- Bottom 5% of Title I schools
- Schools with less than 67% graduation rate
- Title I schools identified as in need of targeted support and improvement for 3 or more consecutive years

**Schools in need of Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)**
- Schools where student groups perform at or below the bottom 5% of schools
- Schools with student groups who are “consistently underperforming” as defined by the state

**New Jersey’s Definition of “Consistently Underperforming”**

**Non-High Schools**
Each school that, for two consecutive years, has at least one subgroup of students, which:
- Falls below its interim target in academic achievement;
- Falls below a pre-determined level in academic progress; and
- For each indicator, falls below the state average for all students.

**High Schools**
Each school that, for two consecutive years, has at least one subgroup of students, which:
- Falls below its interim targets in:
  - Academic achievement; and
  - Graduation rate; and
- For each indicator, falls below the state average for all students.
Identifying Schools in Need of Support and Improvement

**ESSA Requirements for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)**

**Step 1:** All schools receive a summative score

**Step 2:** Schools are ranked in order of their score. The bottom 5% of Title I schools are identified in need of comprehensive support.

**Step 3:** Additionally, schools with graduation rates less than 67% and schools who have been designated as in need of targeted support for more than 3 years are identified in need of comprehensive support.

- PARCC Proficiency
- SGP/Graduation Rate
- ELL Progress
- Chronic Absenteeism
- Summative Score

Bottom 5%; <67% Graduation Rate; >3 Years in Targeted
## Identifying Schools in Need of Support and Improvement

### ESSA Requirements for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1: Each subgroup of students (racial, ethnic and economic status) in each school is given a summative score.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 2: Subgroup scores are compared to the “cutoff score” that puts a school into the bottom 5% of Title I schools (see previous slide).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3: Any school with a subgroup score at or below the bottom 5% of all schools are designated as in need of targeted support and improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4: In addition to the schools identified by the “cutoff score”, those who are deemed “consistently underperforming” (as previously defined) are also designated as needing targeted support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Factors Considered

- PARCC Proficiency
- SGP/Graduation Rate
- ELP Progress
- Chronic Absenteeism

### Bottom 5% “cutoff score”

- **Bottom 5% “cutoff score”**
- **“Consistently Underperforming”**
Aligning Plans, Supports and Resources

To focus on the most critical needs of students and reduce burden on schools and districts, NJDOE will aim to align or eliminate duplicative reporting requirements, create a cohesive set of supports from our state entities, and provide more timely and relevant data, tools and resources to our educators.
System of Supporting Identified Schools and Districts

**Level 1** Support for All Schools and Districts
- Universal level of training, tools and support offered to all schools and districts in the NJDOE’s priority areas.

**Level 2** Intermediate Level of Support
- Support for districts with schools identified as in need of targeted support or needing limited support as a result of an NJQSAC review.
- Districts will be offered coaching by the NJDOE or may use their federal and state funds to purchase coaching and other professional development.

**Level 3** Most Intensive Level of Support
- Most intensive support for districts with schools identified as in need of comprehensive support and/or a significant number of schools in need of targeted support. These districts may also have considerable challenges identified through NJQSAC.
- Support is led by regional teams and includes other NJDOE staff and external support based in district, school and student needs, where appropriate.
PART 2: Support for Students, Educators, Schools, and Districts
Establish state standards, set academic goals, and assess progress toward those goals for all students and schools

Measure and report performance of all students, schools and districts

Identify and support schools in need of improvement

Support all students, educators, schools and districts
Context
ESSA State Supports in Context

Work related to ESSA is just one piece of the broader work of the Department to support students, educators, schools, and districts to ensure all students are prepared for college, career, and success in life. In fact, funding from ESSA is designed to supplement the work that states are already doing for their students. The following pages reflect only key elements of the ESSA State Plan meant to supplement our other efforts and should not be seen as representative of all NJDOE work in these areas.
ESSA State Plan Support Descriptions

The New Jersey ESSA State Plan breaks down supports into three main categories. The level and depth of the federal fiscal support outlined on the following slides may vary, depending on the final allocations to states:

- Supporting Students
- Supporting Educators
- Supporting Districts
Supporting the Needs of Students

In the ESSA State Plan, the NJDOE must describe its efforts to ensure that districts:

1) Support the continuum of a student’s education from preschool through grade 12; and
2) Provide equitable access to a well-rounded education and rigorous coursework in subjects in which female students, minority students, English learners, children with disabilities, or low-income students are underrepresented.

NJDOE believes that schools, districts, and communities are best positioned to identify and address the unique needs of their students. The state will support districts collectively by providing the following:

1. Improved Data to Identify Student Needs *(See “Performance Reports” in Part 1)*
2. Guidance to Best Use Funds to Meet Students’ Needs *(See “Support for Districts”)*
3. Support Implementation of Tiered System of Supports
4. Birth through Grade 12 Standards
5. Increasing Access to Early Childhood Education
6. Leveraging Equity Regulations
Support Implementation of Tiered System of Supports

Over the last two years, the NJDOE has developed the New Jersey Tiered System of Supports (NJTSS) – an evidence-based framework for implementing academic and behavioral supports and interventions to help educators improve achievement for ALL students.

New Jersey Tiered System of Supports

**Tier 1**
- High-quality learning environments, curricula, and instructional practices
- Planning for learner variability, differentiation
- Multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression
- 80% of students

**Tier 2**
- Supplemental supports and interventions in English Language Arts, mathematics and behavior
- Small groups
- Targeted sustained interventions
- Regular progress monitoring
- 15% of students

**Tier 3**
- Intensive, sustained, individualized academic and behavioral supports and interventions
- Frequent progress monitoring
- 5% of students

http://www.nj.gov/education/njtss/
As mentioned in Part 1, ESSA requires states to create K-12 standards and ensure that schools and communities can assess students’ progress towards these standards. New Jersey takes this one step further by creating birth to grade 12 standards to ensure that students have everything they need to be successful from the time they enter public school until they become productive members of society.
The NJDOE believes that one of the most effective ways to close the achievement gap in New Jersey is by providing increased access to early childhood education. Through a combination of state funds and federal funds, New Jersey supports high-quality birth through third grade programs through its comprehensive supports.

Supporting High-Quality Early Childhood Education in New Jersey

- Increased access to pre-k and full-day kindergarten
- Awarded two multi-million-dollar federal grants: Race to the Top Early Learning Challenge Grant and Preschool Expansion Grant
- Partnerships with Departments of Health, Human Services and Children and Families to maximize systemic coordination
- Professional development that emphasizes a continuous cycle of improvement and best practices
For more than four decades, New Jersey has had regulations in place (N.J.A.C. 6A:7, Managing for Equality and Equity in Education, or its predecessor -- Equality in Educational Programs) to ensure that districts achieve equity in terms of their overall educational programs. The NJDOE County Offices provide supports to districts that have significant challenges in achieving educational equity. Additionally, the NJDOE is focused on reducing the disproportionate representation of specific racial/ethnic groups in special education.

Key requirements of this regulation, applicable to all districts including charter and renaissance schools, include the following:

• Districts must identify and correct all discriminatory and inequitable educational and hiring policies, patterns, programs, and practices affecting its facilities, programs, students, and staff
• Specific to academic programming, districts must analyze both curriculum and course access to determine whether all students have the same opportunities
• Every three years, districts must submit a Comprehensive Equity Plan (CEP) describing both their analysis and action plans to address inequities
**Supports for the Most Vulnerable Populations**

*ESSA* provides funding for three of the most vulnerable student populations described in the three boxes below. In exchange for funds, states are required to identify, provide specific supports and protections, and analyze outcomes related to each unique population. Minimal changes were made in *ESSA* for each of the three programs, primarily to refine processes, better define student populations, and ensure adequate monitoring of supports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>McKinney-Vento: Supports for students experiencing homelessness</th>
<th>Title IC: Supports for migratory students</th>
<th>Title ID: Supports for children and youth in locally operated juvenile correctional facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The NJDOE is committed to ensuring that our most special populations are receiving the supports they need to be successful. In fact, much of what is represented in *ESSA* is not new to New Jersey, but rather reflects strong support systems that have been in place both through programming and regulations for 30+ years. The NJDOE will continue to apply for and use funds as authorized in each of the above federal programs in order to supplement this important work.
ESSA provides funding to states to develop grants that support the building of community learning centers. These centers provide academic, artistic, and cultural enrichment opportunities during non-school hours to students who attend high-poverty and low-performing schools.

New Jersey will continue implementing the 21st Century Community Learning Center (CCLC) grant which currently supports 56 programs in more than 130 schools across the state. New community learning centers have an opportunity to apply each year.

2017-18 Grant Application
- The 2017-18 application will open in March with applications due in April
- Grants are typically $250,000-$550,000 and run for 5 years; however, in 2017-18, the NJDOE will also pilot a few smaller grants with more flexibility and a shorter timeframe of 3 years
Supporting Educators
Supporting Educators in **ESSA**

As mentioned earlier in this presentation, *ESSA* provides funding to states primarily to ensure that poor and minority students have the support they need to succeed at the same levels as their more affluent, non-minority peers. Through Title IIA (Supporting Effective Instruction) funding and related requirements, *ESSA* specifically asks states to:

1. Ensure that well-trained educators are available to support the unique needs of all students in meeting challenging statewide standards
2. Ensure that poor and minority students have equal access to excellent educators who are defined in law as effective, teaching within the field they are trained for, and experienced

New Jersey’s *ESSA* State Plan to Support Educators

1. Support for All Educators
2. Address Unequal Access to Excellent Educators
Support for All Educators: Overview

An approach that looks at the entire lifecycle of an educator – from how you prepare and recruit them to the profession, to how you retain your best – is needed to attract, develop, and retain exceptional educators. The below initiatives, funded by ESSA, will complement ongoing initiatives meant to provide New Jersey students with excellent educators.

The funds will be used to:

- **Upgrade the Online Certification System** - NJDOE plans to upgrade the system used to certify aspiring educators to: decrease time to issue a certification and hire an educator, save money, render the system more user friendly and collect additional data about NJ educators to share with stakeholders.

- **Deliver Job-Embedded Training** to New Jersey educators (see next slide).

Pending sufficient funding, the NJDOE plans to use Title II funds to support the following:

- **Improve performance reports on educator preparation programs**

- **Extending New Jersey’s Building Teacher Leadership Capacity (BTLC) grant** and partnering with the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) to better support novice and aspiring teachers and improve teacher preparation.

- **Continue the Achievement Coaches program**, which utilizes highly effective teachers to develop and deliver training to other teachers; In the future, consider working with key stakeholders to expand programming to include additional modules, such as ones targeted towards principals and teacher leaders.
### Support for All Educators: Job-Embedded Training

Through Title IIA funds, ESSA provides funds to supplement the work the state is doing to support educators in ensuring all students can master New Jersey’s rigorous standards. To help districts close the existing equity gap, the NJDOE plans to focus the available funding to train teachers around the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Algebra 1</strong></th>
<th><strong>Literacy</strong></th>
<th><strong>Curricular Framework</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NJDOE plans to develop and train teachers on optional model Algebra 1 curriculum to ensure that high-quality Algebra 1 courses are consistently taught throughout the state</td>
<td>NJDOE will analyze statewide data relating to literacy in order to support districts in developing professional learning that includes research-based strategies, assessment and instructional materials</td>
<td>NJDOE will support districts in their development of curriculum by building a curricular framework for ELA and mathematics and training academic leadership teams in its use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Data Literacy</strong></th>
<th><strong>Classroom Technology</strong></th>
<th><strong>NJTSS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NJDOE plans to provide guidance to districts on how to better utilize data to support student learning</td>
<td>NJDOE will design, implement and evaluate an ongoing, job-embedded, and data-driven professional development plan for districts with a focus on digital literacy</td>
<td>NJDOE will provide supports to educators to implement New Jersey’s Tiered System of Support (NJTSS – described in supports for students section)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Address Unequal Access to Excellent Educators: Overview

After two years of implementing the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC), significant gaps remain in regard to economic and race/ethnicity groupings. Acknowledging that educator quality accounts for the greatest in-school impact on student achievement, the NJDOE is committed to providing students, particularly low-income and minority students, with the strongest possible educators.

*Marzano et al., 2005; Goldhaber, 2009.
Address Unequal Access to Excellent Educators:  
**Educator Equity Analysis**

Through its Excellent Educators for All initiative in 2015, the U.S. Department of Education asked states to develop plans to ensure students have equitable access to teachers who are effective, experienced, and teaching in the fields for which they were trained. The ESSA State Plan builds upon New Jersey’s [Excellent Educators for All plan](#), approved by the U.S. Department of Education in the summer of 2015; however, New Jersey has shifted how it defines “Excellent Educators” under ESSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>2015 Definition</th>
<th>ESSA Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualified</td>
<td>Highly Qualified Teachers (HQT)</td>
<td>Teachers who have earned “Effective” or better on AchieveNJ, the statewide evaluation system*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Field</td>
<td>Teachers who are properly certified for their current position</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experienced</td>
<td>Teachers with four or more years of teaching experience</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AchieveNJ is based on requirements within the TeachNJ statute. Approximately 90 New Jersey educators engaged to review performance-level descriptors (PLDs) for each of the four performance levels in AchieveNJ, and to establish the cut scores needed to earn each level.
Address Unequal Access to Excellent Educators: 
*Educator Equity Analysis (continued)*

In New Jersey’s 2015 *Excellent Educators for All* plan, the NJDOE analyzed data to determine whether students from economically disadvantaged (low-income) families, or students of color are educated by excellent educators at the same rate as students who are not members of those groups. The data analyzed for New Jersey’s *ESSA State Plan* indicates very similar findings to those identified with the 2015 *Excellent Educators for All* plan.

### Key Findings of *ESSA* Educator Equity Analysis

1. Low-income and minority students in New Jersey do not have access to effective teachers at the same rates as their peers
2. Low-income and minority students may *potentially* be taught by out-of-field teachers at higher rates than their peers
3. All students – not just low-income and minority students – are taught by significant numbers of novice teachers
Address Unequal Access to Excellent Educators: 
*Root Causes and Strategies*

After analyzing the data, the NJDOE brainstormed root causes that may be leading to the key findings and the strategies to address each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding 1: Unequal Access to Ineffective Teachers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Root Cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Lack of access to high-quality applicants | • Upgrade the online teacher certification system for more efficient approvals and better data  
• Develop a comprehensive recruitment plan |
| Lack of consistent evaluation implementation across the state means that we may not yet have the complete picture | • Continue to support AchieveNJ evaluation implementation, including developing strong school leaders who are equipped to implement AchieveNJ with fidelity |
| Inability, before AchieveNJ, to effectively manage talent, particularly in districts serving low-income and minority populations | • Utilize AchieveNJ to manage talent (e.g. grow and support all educators, retain the strongest at high rates, and remove those educators who are consistently low-performing) |
| Lack of access to data on preparation program quality (for aspiring teachers, districts, and program providers) | • Increase access to high-quality data on educator preparation providers |
## Key Finding 2: Potentially Unequal Access to In-Field Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Root Cause</th>
<th>Strategies to Address Root Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of awareness about, focus on, and/or ability to impact out-of-field placement in 12 key districts</td>
<td>• Support the 12 districts with greatest number of potentially out-of-field teachers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Data quality challenges                                                              | • Improve NJDOE report used to flag “out-of-field” teachers  
• Utilize New Jersey Quality Single Accountability Continuum (NJQSAC)/Performance Reports to improve data quality and ensure accountability |

## Key Finding 3: All Students Have Inexperienced Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Root Cause</th>
<th>Strategies to Address Root Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Teacher turnover     | • Investigate underlying reasons for teacher turnover  
• Raise the bar for novice teachers through preparation and certification  
• Improve induction support for novice teachers                         |
Supporting Districts
District ESSA Funding

- As a state, New Jersey receives more than $400M annually in federal funding through ESSA. The vast majority of funds go directly to districts (nearly 95%).

- There have been significant changes from No Child Left Behind (NCLB) to ESSA that provide more flexibility and, in certain instances, more operational complexity.

- Federal budgets remain uncertain overall. However, even if ESSA funding remains stable for New Jersey, the Department anticipates that many districts will receive less funding in 2017-18 due to formula changes in the law.
District ESSA Funding and New Optional Set-Asides

Under ESSA, there are two new optional “set-asides” where the state has the option to reserve a portion of district funds to be used at the state’s discretion.

1. **Direct student services set-aside:** Up to 3 percent of the Title IA funds to set up a competitive grant opportunity targeted to a select group of districts for services such as personalized learning, transportation for public school choice, tutoring, credit recovery, accelerated learning, and access to courses not otherwise available to students in their schools.

2. **Principal set-aside:** Up to 3 percent of Title IIA funds for state-level principal and school leader support.

In 2017-18 the NJDOE will not exercise the option to set aside funding for either option above for the following reasons:

1. New Jersey does not want to take money from all districts to redistribute to a few. With federal budget levels already uncertain, the NJDOE plans to ensure that districts get the maximum amount of funding they are entitled to. Additionally, districts may choose to use Title I ESSA funds for all of the intended uses under the direct student services set-aside.

2. In order to implement a new, effective principal and school leader support program, the Department would need at least a year of upfront planning time. The state can revisit this decision annually.
Helping Districts Make the Most of ESSA Funds

The requirements for how to use federal funds are complex, and they have changed in nuanced but important ways under ESSA. The state has begun its efforts to provide districts with information on how to coordinate and use ESSA funds more creatively and efficiently to address the unique needs of each district and community.

General Fiscal Guidance: ESSA changes the allowable uses for various funding sources, primarily allowing more flexibility. The NJDOE has already begun providing resources to help districts with the changes, including:

- Five in-person sessions hosting more than 400 districts across the state
- Recorded webinars on topics of interest or needing clarification
- Fiscal spending handbook and other resources

Activity-Based Guidance: In addition to providing information on the requirements of how to spend each federal funding stream, the NJDOE will help districts think more creatively by showcasing a variety of key activities that are known to improve student outcomes and then highlight the variety of funding sources throughout ESSA that could be used to support the key activity.

Operational Guidance: ESSA changes require schools and districts to adjust and/or add new processes. Examples of hands-on support from the NJDOE include:

- How to conduct school-based budgeting under new supplement not supplant statute
- How to form a consortium
- How to use the modified electronic application
- How rules have changed in regard to support for nonpublic schools
Supporting Identified Schools and Districts

In addition to supporting the development of ESSA plans, over the next year, New Jersey will work to integrate all of its support into a holistic delivery model to districts.

**Level 3**

**Most Intensive Support**

- Most intensive support is for districts with schools identified as in need of comprehensive support and/or a significant number of schools in need of targeted support. These districts may also have considerable challenges identified through New Jersey QSAC. Support is led by regional teams and includes other NJDOE staff and external support based in district, school, and student needs, where appropriate.

**Level 2**

**Middle Level of Support**

- Support for districts with schools identified as in need of targeted support or needing limited support as a result of a New Jersey QSAC review. Districts will be offered information, tools, and/or coaching by the NJDOE or they may use their federal and state funds to purchase coaching and other professional development.

**Level 1**

**Support for All Schools and Districts**

- Universal level of support offered to all districts, schools, and communities regarding NJDOE priority areas, including resources, information, guidance, tools, webinars, etc.
Schoolwide Programs

Under ESSA, there are two types of programs that schools receiving Title I funds can operate, each dictating how funds in this title may be spent—targeted assistance programs and schoolwide programs.

- **Targeted assistance** programs provide educational services only to identified academically at-risk students
- **Schoolwide programs** allow staff in schools with high concentrations of students from low-income families to use ESSA funds to serve all students

Under NCLB, only schools who had a more than a 40% poverty threshold were eligible to receive a waiver to operate as a schoolwide program. ESSA now provides states additional flexibilities for schools below the 40% threshold to implement schoolwide programs. Criteria for waiving the 40% threshold must be defined by the state.

New Jersey will allow schools under the 40% poverty threshold to operate schoolwide programs if they can show:

- **Need**: Districts must show low student performance in at least two student subgroups as measured by the indicators in the school accountability system; and
- **Proven Track Record**: Districts must provide an evaluation showing evidence of effective implementation of their existing targeted assistance program
Thank You for Your Feedback

The NJDOE is dedicated to continuous improvement and welcomes feedback on any ESSA policies in this presentation and any additional guidance or information that may support New Jersey’s students.

To find additional information and resources, please go to the NJDOE ESSA webpage at www.state.nj.us/education/ESSA/.

For questions, concerns please contact NJDOE staff directly at ESSA@doe.state.nj.us.