# 2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment 

## Executive Summary

The Alternate Proficiency Assessment (APA) is a portfolio assessment designed to measure progress toward achieving New Jersey's state educational standards for those students with severe cognitive disabilities who are unable to participate in the New Jersey Assessment of Skills and Knowledge in grade 3 and grade 4 (NJ ASK3 and NJ ASK4), the Grade Eight Proficiency Assessment (GEPA), or the High School Proficiency Assessment (HSPA). APA students in grades 5-7 will be assessed beginning with the 2006-2007 school year.

The 2006 APA was administered in grades 4, 8, and 11 in Language Arts Literacy, Mathematics, and Science; and Language Arts Literacy and Mathematics in grade 3. Evidence of student performance as demonstrated in the student portfolio was collected from October 24, 2005, through March 1, 2006, during instructional activities for the 2005-2006 school year. Work samples exemplified each student's abilities as they relate to the standards and to the student's individual education program goals and objectives. A total of 4,174 students were evaluated by the 2006 APA. Of these, 3,362 students had valid Language Arts Literacy scores, 3,128 students had valid Mathematics scores, and 2,261 students had valid Science scores. Valid score indicates an earned proficiency level based on entry scores. When entries are deemed unscorable, the proficiency level is reported as void instead. Proficiency levels and voids are assigned by content areas. Therefore, a student may receive a void in one content area but a proficiency level in another content area.

The APA results are reported as proficiency levels. Beginning with the 2002-2003 school year, APA proficiency levels were combined with the other New Jersey state assessment results for state and federal accountability. The APA proficiency levels are parallel with other New Jersey state assessment programs. The APA portfolios are classified into the following proficiency levels for each content area:

- Advanced Proficient - indicates that the portfolio exceeded the level of proficiency in the content area.
- Proficient - means that the portfolio met the state level of proficiency in the content area.
- Partially Proficient - indicates that the portfolio is below the state minimum level of proficiency.

Portfolios were scored using a rubric designed to measure student performance and program components in areas identified as important in the education of students with significant disabilities. Results of the program level and student progress may be reviewed by schools and districts to identify strengths and weaknesses in their educational programs, and to determine whether programmatic changes and/or additional instructional support are required.

The student progress score for each content area is classified into three levels:

- Substantial Progress
- Considerable Progress
- Minimal Progress

The student progress level cut scores are used to determine the degree of progress the student made toward the measurable criteria for the targeted skills assessed. Both the measurable criteria and the targeted skills are selected by the teacher, based on the student's Individualized Education Program (IEP), and listed in the portfolio.

A holistic sorting method was used to determine the cut scores for the three program levels:

- Commendable
- Satisfactory
- Needs Improvement

The student progress level and the program level are combined to derive the three proficiency levels. At the recommendation of the APA Advisory Committee, the performance classification weights the program level more than the student progress level due to the use of state assessment results for school and district accountability.

The table below prescribes how a portfolio is classified Advanced Proficient, Proficient, or Partially Proficient.

| Proficiency Levels |  | Student Progress Levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Substantial | Considerable | Minimal |
| Program Levels | Commendable | Advanced Proficient | Advanced Proficient | Proficient |
|  | Satisfactory | Proficient | Proficient | Proficient |
|  | Needs Improvement | Proficient | Partially Proficient | Partially Proficient |

It is important to recognize that the APA system does not report total raw or scale scores. The key components in interpreting portfolio results are the student scores, student progress level, program level, and proficiency level. Proficiency level is not derived based on a total score, but solely by the table presented above. Scale scores are not appropriate for use with the Alternate Proficiency Assessment system so there are no issues of equating involved. There are no sets of test items; therefore, there are no item difficulties, nor is there a need to equate test scores from year to year.

The New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment was developed for two purposes:

- To measure the progress of a small percentage of students with severe cognitive disabilities who cannot participate in the regular statewide assessments even with accommodations and/or modifications.
- To ensure that the educational results for all students are included in the statewide accountability system at the individual, school, district, and state levels.

Accountability through assessment provides equity in program and educational opportunities for all students. Alternate assessment ensures an inclusive statewide assessment system and student accountability linked to the common core of learning within the content standards in New Jersey.

This executive summary includes six tables derived from the statewide summary for the 2006 APA. Tables provide the number of participating APA students with valid scores and the percent of students at each APA proficiency level. The percentages may not total to one hundred due to rounding. The percentage of students in Proficient or Advanced Proficient is calculated by subtracting the percentage of students in Partially Proficient from one hundred.

Tables 1 through 3 summarize test results for the state, Non-Special Needs districts, and Special Needs districts. Tables 4 through 6 present statewide performance by demographic groups. Results are presented for the total student group and the following demographic variables: gender, migrant status, ethnicity, economic status, and limited English proficient status. Students are counted in the Total Students category only once, plus in as many other categories that apply. Some students might not be included in a gender group because of incomplete or missing information. Students with only one ethnic code are reported in the appropriate ethnic group. Examiners were asked to code all categories applicable to indicate a student's ethnicity. Students with multiple ethnic codes or no ethnic code are counted in the category called "Other."

The demographic information originates from the data collected on the APA scan sheets submitted for the students by school districts. Demographic information was reviewed by the school district personnel prior to reporting, allowing them an opportunity to correct any errors.

A major change for the 2006 State Summary is that Limited English Proficient (LEP) is reported as LEP (Current plus Former) with two subcategories: LEP Current and LEP Former. Beginning in 2005, students coded as multiple ethnicity and those whose ethnicity was unspecified are counted as "Other."

The 2006 APA state summary reports for performance appear at http://www.state.nj.us/njded/schools/achievement/

A small number of Grade 12 students participated in the high school level APA because they are either (1) students new to the state that the IEP team determines the APA is the appropriate assessment, or (2) students who were juniors last year and should have participated in the APA last year but did not. Results of these students were extracted in order to report results of the Grade 11 students properly.

## Highlights from the 2006 APA Performance Results

Table 1 shows the Language Arts Literacy results for the 2006 APA. This table presents the number of students with valid scores and the percentage of students in each proficiency level for the tested grade levels reported for the state, Non-Special Needs districts, and Special Needs districts. The percentage of APA students scored at or above Proficient on their Language Arts Literacy portfolios in the tested grade levels was:

- Grade 3 - $93.4 \%$
- Grade 4 - 94.3\%
- Grade 8 - 93.4\%
- Grade 11 - $91.6 \%$

The percentage of APA students in Non-Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their Language Arts Literacy portfolios was $95.6 \%$ at Grade 3, $95.5 \%$ at Grade 4, $93.9 \%$ at Grade 8, and $91.3 \%$ at Grade 11. The percentage of APA students in Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their portfolios was $87.4 \%$ at Grade 3, $90.9 \%$ at Grade 4, $92.0 \%$ at Grade 8, and 92.5 at Grade 11.

Table 2 shows similar information to Table 1 for the 2006 APA Mathematics content area. The percentage of APA students scored at or above Proficient on their Mathematics portfolios in the tested grade levels was:

- Grade 3 - 90.8\%
- Grade 4 - 91.5\%
- Grade 8 - $86.7 \%$
- Grade 11 - 85.9\%

The percentage of APA students in Non-Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their Mathematics portfolios was $91.2 \%$ at Grade 3, $92.0 \%$ at Grade 4, $87.0 \%$ at Grade 8, and $84.2 \%$ at Grade 11. The percentage of APA students in Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their portfolios was $89.9 \%$ at Grade 3, $90.2 \%$ at Grade $4,85.9 \%$ at Grade 8, and $91.5 \%$ at Grade 11.

Table 3 shows similar information for the 2006 APA Science content area. The percentage of APA students scored at or above Proficient on their Science portfolios in the tested grade levels was:

- Grade 4 - $91.9 \%$
- Grade 8 - 92.9\%
- Grade 11 - 92.4\%

The percentage of APA students in Non-Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their Science portfolios was $93.4 \%$ at Grade 4, $94.1 \%$ at Grade 8, and $91.1 \%$ at Grade 11. The percentage of APA students in Special Needs districts scored at or above Proficient on their portfolios was $88.0 \%$ at Grade $4,88.9 \%$ at Grade 8, and $96.6 \%$ at Grade 11.

TABLE 1
2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment
Statewide Performance
Language Arts Literacy

| LANGUAGE ARTS <br> LITERACY | Number of Students with Valid <br> Scores | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Partially <br> Proficient | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Proficient | \% <br> Advanced <br> Proficient |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 3 STATE | $\mathbf{9 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 661 | 4.4 | 57.8 | 37.8 |
| Special Needs | 247 | 12.6 | 59.1 | 28.3 |
| GRADE 4 STATE | $\mathbf{8 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 650 | 4.5 | 61.5 | 34.0 |
| Special Needs | 232 | 9.1 | 63.4 | 27.6 |
| GRADE 8 STATE | $\mathbf{9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 0}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 706 | 6.1 | 56.7 | 37.3 |
| Special Needs | 224 | 8.0 | 59.8 | 32.1 |
| GRADE 11 STATE | $\mathbf{6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 1}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 481 | 8.7 | 55.1 | 36.2 |
| Special Needs | 161 | 7.5 | 52.8 | 39.8 |

TABLE 2
2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment
Statewide Performance

## Mathematics

|  | Mumber of Students with Valid <br> Scores | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Partially <br> Proficient | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Proficient | \% <br> Advanced <br> Proficient |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 3 STATE | $\mathbf{8 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 8}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 625 | 8.8 | 54.2 | 37.0 |
| Special Needs | 238 | 10.1 | 57.1 | 32.8 |
| GRADE 4 STATE | $\mathbf{8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 600 | 8.0 | 59.3 | 32.7 |
| Special Needs | 204 | 9.8 | 63.7 | 26.5 |
| GRADE 8 STATE | $\mathbf{8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 646 | 13.0 | 52.2 | 34.8 |
| Special Needs | 206 | 14.1 | 51.5 | 34.5 |
| GRADE 11 STATE | $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 467 | 15.8 | 52.0 | 32.1 |
| Special Needs | 142 | 8.5 | 53.5 | 38.0 |

TABLE 3
2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment
Statewide Performance

|  | Science <br> Number of Students with Valid <br> Scores | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Partially <br> Proficient | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Proficient | \% <br> Advanced <br> Proficient |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 4 STATE | $\mathbf{7 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 578 | 6.6 | 69.9 | 23.5 |
| Special Needs | 216 | 12.0 | 70.8 | 17.1 |
| GRADE 8 STATE | $\mathbf{8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 664 | 5.9 | 64.5 | 29.7 |
| Special Needs | 207 | 11.1 | 65.2 | 23.7 |
| GRADE 11 STATE | $\mathbf{5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 4}$ |
| Non-Special Needs | 449 | 8.9 | 61.2 | 29.8 |
| Special Needs | 147 | 3.4 | 56.5 | 40.1 |

Statewide results by demographic groups are presented in Table 4 for Language Arts Literacy, Table 5 for Mathematics, and Table 6 for Science. Results are summarized below:

## Gender

Generally, there were about twice as many male students taking the APA as female students. The percentage of male students decreased from approximately $68.1 \%$ at Grade 3 to $65-66 \%$ for Grades 4 and 8 to $60.1 \%$ for Grade 11.

## Language Arts Literacy:

For all grades, the percentage of female students scoring at or above proficiency was very close to the percentage of male students scoring at or above proficiency. The greatest difference was at Grade 4 with $93.2 \%$ of the female students receiving scores at or above Proficient level and $94.9 \%$ of the male students received scores at or above Proficient level.

## Mathematics:

At Grade 3, the percentage of female students scoring at or above proficiency was very close to those of the male students. At Grade 4, $92.8 \%$ of the females attained scores at or above Proficient while $90.8 \%$ of the males scored at or above Proficient level. Grade 8 showed a slightly greater difference with $89.0 \%$ of the females receiving scores at or above Proficient while $85.7 \%$ of the males scored at or above Proficient level. At Grade 11, male students scored higher with $87.3 \%$ of the male students and $84.1 \%$ of the female students scoring at or above Proficient.

## Science:

For Grade $4,89.8 \%$ of the female students and $93.0 \%$ of the male students scored at or above Proficient. Approximately $93.4 \%$ of the Grade 8 female students and $92.6 \%$ of the Grade 8 male students attained scores at or above Proficient; and $92.5 \%$ of the Grade 11 female students and $92.4 \%$ of the Grade 11 male students attained scores at or above Proficient.

Migrant Status Only Non-Migrant data appear on this report. Since three or fewer migrant students took the APA in each grade and content area, data are suppressed because of student confidentiality.

Ethnicity The range of the number of APA students with valid scores by ethnicity groups varied as follows:
White 483 students in Grade 8 Language Arts Literacy to 303 students in Grade 11 Science
Black 237 students in Grade 8 Language Arts Literacy to 161 students in Grade 11 Science
Asian $\quad 56$ students in Grade 3 Language Arts Literacy to 29 students in Grade 11 Language Arts Literacy
Hispanic 167 students in Grades 3 and 4 Language Arts Literacy to 93 students in Grade 11 Science
Other $\quad 16$ students in Grade 4 Mathematics to 10 or fewer students in Grade 8 Mathematics and Science and all Grade 11 content areas.
Since 10 or fewer students in the Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander and American Indian or Alaskan Native ethnic groups took the APA, data for these groups were not reported. There were no American Indian/Alaskan Native students in Grades 8 and 11.

## Language Arts Literacy:

For Grade 3, the percentage of students scoring at or above Proficient level ranged from $95.3 \%$ of White students to $80.0 \%$ of the Other student group. (The percentages for the ethnic groups not stated fell between the percentages of the noted ethnic groups - in Grade 3, $91.5 \%$ of the Black students, $91.1 \%$ of the Asian students, and $92.8 \%$ of the Hispanic students.) For Grade 4, the percentages ranged from $96.0 \%$ of the White students to $89.7 \%$ of the Black student group. The percentages ranged from $94.6 \%$ for Asian students to $81.8 \%$ of the Other student group in Grade 8 and from $93.2 \%$ of Hispanic students to $91.0 \%$ of White students in Grade 11.

## Mathematics:

For Grade 3, the percentage of students scored at or above Proficient level ranged from $98.1 \%$ of Asian students to $58.3 \%$ of the Other student group. The percentage of students scored at or above Proficient level for Grade 4 ranged from $96.7 \%$ of the Hispanic student group to $87.2 \%$ of Black students. For Grade 8, the percentage ranged from $88.5 \%$ of the Hispanic student group to
$81.1 \%$ of the Asian student group. For Grade 11, the percentage ranged from $93.3 \%$ of the Asian student group to $84.6 \%$ of Black students.

## Science:

For Grade 4, the percentage ranged from $95.0 \%$ of Asian students to $84.6 \%$ of the Other student group. The percentage of students scored at or above Proficient level for Grade 8 ranged from $93.8 \%$ of the White students to $89.2 \%$ of the Asian student group. For Grade 11, the percentage ranged from $100 \%$ of Asian students to $91.4 \%$ of the White student group.

Economic Status The number of economically disadvantaged students taking the APA was approximately one-half of the number of non-economically disadvantaged students. The greatest percentage ( $34.8 \%$ ) of economically disadvantaged students took the APA Grade 8 and the smallest percentage (32.4\%) of economically disadvantaged students took the APA Grade 11.

## Language Arts Literacy:

The non-economically disadvantaged students generally did better than the economically disadvantaged group. The greatest difference was at Grade 4 with $96.1 \%$ of the non-economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient and $90.7 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient. However, for Grade 11, $93.5 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while $90.6 \%$ of the noneconomically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient.

## Mathematics:

In contrast to Language Arts Literacy, the percentage of economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient was generally greater than the percentage of non-economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient. For Grade 3, $91.3 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while $90.6 \%$ of the non-economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient. For Grade 8, 89.7\% of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while $85.1 \%$ of the non-economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient. For Grade 11, $87.9 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while $84.8 \%$ of the non-economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient. However, for Grade 4, $90.3 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while 92.1\% of the non-economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient

## Science:

The non-economically disadvantaged students generally did better than the economically disadvantaged group in Grades 4 and 8. The greater difference was at Grade 4 with $93.5 \%$ of the non-economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient and $89.1 \%$ of the economically disadvantaged students scoring at or above Proficient. However, for Grade 11, 92.6\% of the
economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient while 92.3\% of the non-economically disadvantaged students scored at or above Proficient.

LEP Status With the exception of Grade 3, only Not Current Limited English Proficient data appear on this report. Because 10 or fewer limited English students tested with the APA at Grades 4, 8, and 11, their data is suppressed. For the Grade 3 LEP Current and Former students testing, 16 students scored at or above Proficient in Language Arts Literacy and 15 students scored at or above Proficient in Mathematics.

## Reporting Rules for State Summary Data File

In order to safeguard student confidentiality, certain information is suppressed from the reports according to the following reporting rules:

- Data are not reported if the number of students with valid scale scores for a particular group is fewer than 11.
- Data are not reported where demographic groups are mutually exclusive (e.g., gender) and there are one or two students with a valid scale score in one of the groups (e.g., male).
- Data are not reported if it is otherwise possible to identify individual student's performance.

TABLE 4
2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment Statewide Performance by Demographic Groups

## Language Arts Literacy

|  | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 3 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 4 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 8 <br> \% At or <br> Above <br> Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 11 <br> \% At or <br> Above <br> Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE TOTAL | 908 | 93.4 | 882 | 94.3 | 930 | 93.4 | 642 | 91.6 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 289 | 94.1 | 294 | 93.2 | 319 | 93.4 | 249 | 92.4 |
| Male | 612 | 93.1 | 585 | 94.9 | 611 | 93.5 | 391 | 91.3 |
| Migrant Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | * | * | -- | -- | * | * | -- | -- |
| Non-Migrant | 907 | 93.4 | 882 | 94.3 | 928 | 93.5 | 642 | 91.6 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 449 | 95.3 | 451 | 96.0 | 483 | 94.4 | 334 | 91.0 |
| Black | 213 | 91.5 | 203 | 89.7 | 237 | 92.0 | 168 | 91.1 |
| Asian | 56 | 91.1 | 41 | 92.7 | 37 | 94.6 | 29 | 93.1 |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic | 167 | 92.8 | 167 | 95.8 | 158 | 93.0 | 103 | 93.2 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | * | * | * | * | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Other | 15 | 80.0 | 15 | 93.3 | 11 | 81.8 | * | * |
| Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 303 | 91.7 | 291 | 90.7 | 326 | 91.7 | 216 | 93.5 |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged | 605 | 94.2 | 591 | 96.1 | 604 | 94.4 | 426 | 90.6 |
| LEP Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEP (Current \& Former) | 16 | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LEP Current | 14 | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LEP Former | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Not Current LEP | 894 | 93.3 | 874 | 94.3 | 925 | 93.4 | 640 | 91.6 |

TABLE 5

## 2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment Statewide Performance by Demographic Groups

## Mathematics

|  | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 3 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 4 <br> \% At or Above Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 8 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient | GRAD <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | E 11 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE TOTAL | 863 | 90.8 | 804 | 91.5 | 852 | 86.7 | 609 | 85.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 277 | 91.0 | 278 | 92.8 | 291 | 89.0 | 245 | 84.1 |
| Male | 577 | 90.8 | 522 | 90.8 | 560 | 85.7 | 362 | 87.3 |
| Migrant Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | * | * | -- | -- | * | * | -- | -- |
| Non-Migrant | 862 | 91.0 | 804 | 91.5 | 851 | 86.8 | 609 | 85.9 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 430 | 90.9 | 411 | 91.7 | 444 | 87.2 | 316 | 85.1 |
| Black | 201 | 91.0 | 180 | 87.2 | 212 | 84.9 | 162 | 84.6 |
| Asian | 53 | 98.1 | 42 | 90.5 | 37 | 81.1 | 30 | 93.3 |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic | 159 | 90.6 | 150 | 96.7 | 148 | 88.5 | 94 | 88.3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | * | * | * | * | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Other | 12 | 58.3 | 16 | 87.5 | * | * | * | * |
| Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 289 | 91.3 | 248 | 90.3 | 300 | 89.7 | 207 | 87.9 |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged | 574 | 90.6 | 556 | 92.1 | 552 | 85.1 | 402 | 84.8 |
| LEP Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEP (Current \& Former) | 15 | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LEP Current | 13 | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LEP Former | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Not Current LEP | 850 | 90.7 | 797 | 91.5 | 847 | 86.7 | 608 | 85.9 |

TABLE 6
2006 New Jersey Alternate Proficiency Assessment Statewide Performance by Demographic Groups

## Science

|  | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 4 <br> \% At or <br> Above <br> Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 8 <br> \% At or <br> Above Proficient | GRA <br> Number of Students with Valid Scores | DE 11 <br> \% At or <br> Above <br> Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE TOTAL | 794 | 91.9 | 871 | 92.9 | 596 | 92.4 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 264 | 89.8 | 304 | 93.4 | 239 | 92.5 |
| Male | 527 | 93.0 | 567 | 92.6 | 355 | 92.4 |
| Migrant Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | -- | -- | * | * | -- | -- |
| Non-Migrant | 794 | 91.9 | 870 | 92.9 | 596 | 92.4 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 391 | 94.4 | 454 | 93.8 | 303 | 91.4 |
| Black | 186 | 88.2 | 216 | 92.1 | 161 | 92.5 |
| Asian | 40 | 95.0 | 37 | 89.2 | 30 | 100.0 |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| Hispanic | 159 | 89.9 | 150 | 92.0 | 93 | 93.5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | * | * | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Other | 13 | 84.6 | * | * | * |  |
| Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 274 | 89.1 | 313 | 92.3 | 217 | 92.6 |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged | 520 | 93.5 | 558 | 93.2 | 379 | 92.3 |
| LEP Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEP (Current \& Former) | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| LEP Current | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| LEP Former | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| Not Current LEP | 787 | 92.0 | 869 | 92.9 | 594 | 92.6 |
| * Values are suppressed for student |  |  |  |  |  |  |

