

#525-11 (OAL Decision: Not available online)

PATRICIA KAMINSKI, :  
 :  
 PETITIONER, :  
 :  
 V. : COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION  
 :  
 STATE-OPERATED SCHOOL DISTRICT : DECISION  
 OF THE CITY OF PATERSON, :  
 PASSAIC COUNTY, :  
 :  
 RESPONDENT. :

---

SYNOPSIS

In August 2011, the petitioner filed an appeal contending that her employment was terminated by the respondent in a reduction in force, in violation of her tenure and seniority rights. A hearing in the matter was scheduled for October 31, 2011 at the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). Petitioner received appropriate notice of the hearing, but failed to appear. Accordingly, the ALJ dismissed the petition.

Upon review, the Commissioner concurred with the ALJ that because petitioner failed to appear at the scheduled hearing, the matter is appropriately dismissed for failure to prosecute.

<p>This synopsis is not part of the Commissioner's decision. It has been prepared for the convenience of the reader. It has been neither reviewed nor approved by the Commissioner.</p>
---

November 23, 2011

OAL DKT. NO. EDU 9312-10  
AGENCY DKT. NO. 201-7/10

PATRICIA KAMINSKI, :  
 :  
 PETITIONER, :  
 :  
 V. : COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION  
 :  
 STATE-OPERATED SCHOOL DISTRICT : DECISION  
 OF THE CITY OF PATERSON, :  
 PASSAIC COUNTY, :  
 :  
 RESPONDENT. :

---

The record of this matter and the Initial Decision of the Office of Administrative Law have been reviewed. The parties filed no exceptions to the Initial Decision.

Upon such review, the Commissioner concurs with the Administrative Law Judge that – because petitioner failed to appear at the October 31, 2011 hearing in this matter, after having received due notice of such hearing – this matter is appropriately dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Accordingly, the recommended decision of the OAL is adopted and the instant petition of appeal is hereby dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.\*

ACTING COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION

Date of Decision: November 23, 2011

Date of Mailing: November 25, 2011

---

\* This decision may be appealed to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court pursuant to *P.L. 2008, c. 36*.