At the December 18, 2012 public meeting, the Government Records Council (“Council”) considered the October 23, 2012 Findings and Recommendations of the Executive Director and all related documentation submitted by the parties. The Council voted unanimously to adopt the entirety of said findings and recommendations. The Council, therefore, finds that:

1. The Custodian did not timely respond to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests. As such, the Custodian’s failure to respond in writing to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests either granting access, denying access, seeking clarification or requesting an extension of time within the statutorily mandated seven (7) business days results in a “deemed” denial of the Complainant’s OPRA request pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g., N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i., and Kelley v. Township of Rockaway, GRC Complaint No. 2007-11 (Interim Order October 31, 2007).

2. Because the Complainant’s two (2) requests ask questions or seek information rather than identifiable government records, the requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005), Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005), New Jersey Builders Association v. New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007), LaMantia v. Jamesburg Public Library (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2008-140 (February 2009), and Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009), and the Custodian has not unlawfully denied access to the Complainant’s two (2) requests. See also Ohlson v. Township of Edison (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-233 (August 2009).

3. The Custodian’s failure to respond to the Complainant’s two (2) requests in a timely manner resulted in a “deemed” denial pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g. and N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i. However, the Complainant’s requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005), Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005), New Jersey Builders Association v. New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007), LaMantia v. 
Jamesburg Public Library (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2008-140 (February 2009) and Shain v. Ocean County Board of Taxation, GRC Complaint No. 2007-127 (November 2007), because they are overly broad, fail to specify identifiable government records and would require the Custodian to research his files to compile information and possibly create new records. Moreover, the Custodian did not unlawfully denial access to said request. See also Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009) and Ohlson v. Township of Edison (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-233 (August 2009). Additionally, the evidence of record does not indicate that the Custodian’s violations of OPRA had a positive element of conscious wrongdoing or was intentional and deliberate. Therefore, it is concluded that the Custodian’s untimely responses do not rise to the level of a knowing and willful violation of OPRA and unreasonable denial of access under the totality of the circumstances.

This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey within forty-five (45) days. Information about the appeals process can be obtained from the Appellate Division Clerk’s Office, Hughes Justice Complex, 25 W. Market St., PO Box 006, Trenton, NJ 08625-0006. Proper service of submissions pursuant to any appeal is to be made to the Council in care of the Executive Director at the State of New Jersey Government Records Council, 101 South Broad Street, PO Box 819, Trenton, NJ 08625-0819.

Final Decision Rendered by the
Government Records Council
On The 18th Day of December, 2012

Robin Berg Tabakin, Chair
Government Records Council

I attest the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the Government Records Council.

Denise Parkinson Vetti, Secretary
Government Records Council
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Daniel F. Rummel
Complainant

v.

Cumberland County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Custodian of Records

Records Relevant to Complaint: See Exhibit A (attached).

Request Made: March 7, 2011 and March 25, 2011
Response Made: March 17, 2011 and April 13, 2011
Custodian: Ken Mecouch
GRC Complaint Filed: May 12, 2011

Background

March 7, 2010
Complainant’s first (1st) Open Public Records Act (“OPRA”) request. The Complainant requests the records relevant to this complaint listed on the attached Exhibit on an official OPRA request form.

March 17, 2011
Memorandum from the Custodian’s Counsel to the Custodian. The Custodian’s Counsel states that she has checked with the Cumberland County Sheriff’s Office (“CCSO”) and there is no record of their receipt of this request in December or any other time. Counsel further states that the Complainant’s requests do not seek specific identifiable government records but instead seek information, pose questions and are invalid under OPRA because they are overly broad and fail to identify with reasonable clarity the government records sought as required by MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Div. of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534, 546 (App. Div. 2005); Bent v. Twp of Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30, 37; and NJ Builders Ass’n v. NJ Council on Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007).

1 No legal representation listed on record.
2 Represented by Jane B. Capasso, Esq., Lipman, Antonelli, Batt, Gilson, Malestein, Rothman & Capasso (Vineland, NJ).
3 The Complainant stated that requests submitted to other agencies within Cumberland County were part of this complaint; however, the evidence of record indicates that those agencies handled those requests independent of the County and its Custodian. Thus, the GRC will not address same as part of this complaint.
4 The GRC received the Denial of Access Complaint on said date.
March 17, 2011

Custodian’s response to the first (1st) OPRA request with the following attachments:

- Fax from Linda Lawhun (“Ms. Lawhun”), Executive Assistant Prosecutor for the Cumberland County Prosecutor’s Office (“CCPO”), to the Custodian, dated March 14, 2011 enclosing a letter from Ms. Lawhun to the Complainant dated December 28, 2010.
- Memorandum from the Custodian’s Counsel to the Custodian dated March 17, 2011.

The Custodian states that he received the Complainant’s OPRA request on March 7, 2011 and that the deadline to respond to such request is March 16, 2011. The Custodian acknowledges that this response is occurring on the eighth (8th) business day after the Custodian’s receipt of the request. The Custodian states that the attached identifies the records requested to which access is being denied and provides the legal basis for such denial.

March 24, 2011

Letter from the Complainant to the Custodian with the following attachments:

- Complainant’s OPRA request to the CCSO dated December 8, 2010.
- Memorandum from the Custodian’s Counsel to the Custodian dated March 17, 2011.

The Complainant states that he is in receipt of Custodian Counsel’s response to his first (1st) OPRA request. The Complainant states that he believes that the Custodian Counsel’s response was evasive. The Complainant states that to be clear, the CCSO conducted an investigation of the Complainant in 2001. The Complainant states that he is seeking the information used by the CCSO. The Complainant states that accordingly, he has submitted multiple questions in order to clarify the specific information that he believes is disclosable under OPRA.

The Complainant states that he has attached the OPRA request sent to the CCSO on December 10, 2010 after speaking with them verbally via telephone. The Complainant states that although the CCSO contended that they never received this request, the Complainant asserts that he in fact mailed same. The Complainant states that he is in need of the requested information in order to challenge a court order regarding his children.

March 25, 2010

Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request. The Complainant requests the records relevant to this complaint listed on the attached Exhibit on an official OPRA request form.5

5 The Complainant refers to this request as his third (3rd) OPRA request; however, the evidence of record indicates that he submitted the first OPRA request to the Cumberland County Sheriff’s Office and not the Board of Chosen Freeholders.
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April 13, 2011
Custodian’s response to the Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request with the following attachments:

- Memorandum from Lieutenant Moore, CCSO, to Sheriff Austino dated March 30, 2011 (with attachments).

The Custodian responds in writing via letter to the Complainant’s OPRA request on the twelfth (12th) business day following receipt of such request. The Custodian states that he referred the subject OPRA request to the Custodian’s Counsel and CCSO. The Custodian states that the responses of both are attached.

The Custodian states that access to the requested record is denied because the request seeks information or asks questions and does not identify any specific government records. The Custodian thus states that the Complainant’s request is invalid under OPRA. See NJ Builders, supra, and Bent, supra.

May 12, 2011
Denial of Access Complaint filed with the Government Records Council (“GRC”) with the following attachments:

- Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request dated March 7, 2011.
- Letter from the Custodian to the Complainant dated March 17, 2011 (with attachments).
- Letter from the Complainant to the Custodian dated March 24, 2011 (with attachments).
- Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request dated March 25, 2011.
- Letter from the Custodian to the Complainant dated April 13, 2011 (with attachments).

The Complainant states that he has filed multiple OPRA requests with the Cumberland County Board of Chosen Freeholders (“County”). The Complainant states that the Custodian denied him access to the records requested.

The Complainant agrees to mediate this complaint.

May 17, 2011
Offer of Mediation sent to the Custodian.

May 23, 2011
The Custodian agrees to mediate this complaint.

---

6 The Custodian certifies in the Statement of Information that he received the Complainant’s OPRA request on April 4, 2011 via certified mail, but that the OPRA request was initially received on March 28, 2011.
7 Both the Custodian and Complainant included this letter as part of their submissions; however, only one attachment accompanied this letter.
8 The Complainant made additional assertions of fact and legal arguments not relevant to the adjudication of this case.
May 24, 2011
Complaint referred to mediation.

July 14, 2011
Complaint referred back from mediation.

July 15, 2011
Request for the Statement of Information ("SOI") sent to the Custodian.

July 21, 2011
E-mail from Patricia Hughes ("Ms. Hughes"), Secretary, to the GRC, requesting a one (1) week extension to complete the SOI due to the complexity and volume of information in this matter.

July 21, 2011
E-mail from the GRC to Ms. Hughes. The GRC grants Ms. Hughes an extension of five (5) business days to submit the SOI.

July 29, 2011
Custodian’s SOI with the following attachments:

- Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request dated December 8, 2010.
- Letter from Ms. Lawhun to the Complainant dated December 28, 2010.
- Facsimile from Ms. Lawhun to the Custodian dated March 14, 2011 attaching a letter from Ms. Lawhun to the Complainant dated December 28, 2010.
- Memorandum from the Custodian’s Counsel to the Custodian dated March 17, 2011.
- Letter from the Custodian to the Complainant dated March 17, 2011 (with attachments)
- Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request dated March 25, 2011.
- Letter from the Custodian to the Complainant dated April 13, 2011 (with attachments).

The Custodian certifies that his search for the requested records included forwarding a copy of the Complainant’s OPRA requests to the CCPO and CCSO. The Custodian certifies that they were asked to check for records. The Custodian certifies that both offices conducted a search of their records and responded to the Custodian. The Custodian certifies that a copy of the Complainant’s OPRA requests were also forwarded to the Custodian’s Counsel.

The Custodian also certifies that the records that may have been responsive to the request had a retention schedule of 2001 and 2004 (CCPO) and 2007 (CCSO) in accordance with the Records Destruction Schedule established and approved by Records Management Services.
Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request

The Custodian certifies that the Administration Office received the Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request on March 7, 2011 and e-mailed same to Ms. Lawhun on March 11, 2011. The Custodian certifies that he received a fax from the CCPO on March 14, 2011 attaching Ms. Lawhun’s response to a December 10, 2010 OPRA request. The Custodian certifies that the Custodian’s Counsel provided him with a response on March 15, 2011. The Custodian certifies that he forwarded his response and attachments to the Complainant on March 17, 2011.

Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request

The Custodian certifies that the Administration received the Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request on March 28, 2011. The Custodian certifies a copy of the request was received certified mail on April 4, 2011. The Custodian certifies that he forwarded copies of the request to Counsel, the CCPO and CCSO on March 29, 2011. The Custodian certifies that he received responses from the CCSO and CCPO on March 30, 2011 and April 5, 2011 respectively. The Custodian certifies that he forwarded his response and attachments to the Complainant on April 13, 2011.

The Custodian’s Counsel submits a letter brief in support of the Custodian’s denial of access to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests. Counsel notes that the Custodian’s responses were based on her guidance.

Counsel states that OPRA defines a government record as:

“… any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file … or that has been received in the course of his or its official business …” (Emphasis added.) N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.

Counsel further states that regarding possibly invalid OPRA requests, the GRC advises that:

“[a] valid OPRA request seeks specific, identifiable government records. Valid OPRA requests do not ask questions, do not seek information, and do not require a custodian to conduct any research or create a new record. The GRC has routinely upheld a custodian’s denial of a request on the basis that it is invalid because it asks questions, seeks information, and requires the custodian to conduct research or create a new record.” See “Custodian’s Toolkit,” GRC, First Edition (March 2011) at pg. 6.

Counsel asserts that the Custodian relied upon existing case law to analyze and deny the Complainant’s OPRA requests are the basis that they were invalid.
Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request

Counsel argues that request Item Nos. 1 through 4 sought information related to an interview or series of interviews during an unspecified time period with “dozens of people” related to an action in Pennsylvania and possibly New Jersey. Counsel asserts that the Custodian determined that these items did not identify a specific records. Counsel asserts that providing a response would have forced the Custodian to seek information or create a record. See Miles v. Township of Barnegat, GRC Complaint No. 2004-214 (April 2005).

Counsel states that the CCPO previously responded to requests made by the Complainant on December 7, 2010 and December 28, 2010 pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”). Counsel notes that the Custodian referred the Complainant to see the CCPO’s letter in its response. Counsel states that the CCPO advised the Complainant at that time that the CCPO did not maintain any civil histories on any party and did not possess any record of complaints from New Jersey to Pennsylvania concerning him in 2001. Counsel states that the CCPO also informed the Complainant that OPRA was not intended to be used as a research tool pursuant to MAG, supra, and that a valid request must describe the specific records sought pursuant to Bent, supra.

Counsel states that the CCPO further advised that it had a 1995 file on the Complainant that was remanded to municipal court and a 1998 file that was transferred to family court. Counsel states that both files have since been destroyed in accordance with the CCPO’s records retention schedule.

Counsel argues that the Custodian determined that request Item No. 5 through 9 and 11 through 15 were likewise overly broad pursuant to MAG, supra, and Bent, supra, because they failed to specify identifiable records.

Counsel asserts that the Custodian could not respond to request Item No. 10 because the County is not affiliated with the Cumberland County Guidance Center. Counsel further asserts that request Item Nos. 16 through 22 were a series of questions which are not valid requests for records. See “Custodian’s Toolkit,” at pg. 6. Lastly, Counsel asserts that request Item No. 23 sought a non-descript set of records “… that no-one (sic) else has.” Counsel states that the Custodian again relied upon MAG, supra, Bent, supra, and NJ Builders, supra.

Complainant’s second (2nd) OPRA request

Counsel asserts that this request was a resubmission of the Complainant’s first (1st) OPRA request and as denied for the same reasons. Counsel further states that the Custodian forwarded the request to the CCSO, which advised the following:

- No County Bureau of Identification Records exist.
- No active restraining orders for the Complainant as a victim or defendant exist.
- No records for the Complainant as a plaintiff or defendant in any civil proceedings within the CCSO database exist.
Counsel states that regarding request Item Nos. 1 through 4 referencing “R. Morris & Narvez,” the CCSO located in its in-house warrant system one archived out-of-state warrant issued by Pennsylvania for “Failure to Turn Over Custody of Child.” Counsel states that the CCSO received the warrant on September 28, 2001: “R. Morris and Narvez” researched the warrant and produced leads regarding the Complainant’s whereabouts. Counsel states that Maryland officials used these leads to locate and apprehend the Complainant; however, he was never in custody in the County. Counsel states that the paper records were destroyed after 6 years in accordance with the CCSO’s records retention schedule. Counsel states that the Complainant was provided with a copy of the archived warrant and associated notes.

**Analysis**

**Whether the Custodian timely responded to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests?**

OPRA provides that:

“[i]f the custodian is unable to comply with a request for access, the custodian shall indicate the specific basis therefor on the request form and promptly return it to the requestor. The custodian shall sign and date the form and provide the requestor with a copy thereof …” [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g.]

Further, OPRA provides that:

“[u]nless a shorter time period is otherwise provided by statute, regulation, or executive order, a custodian of a government record shall grant access … or deny a request for access … as soon as possible, but not later than seven business days after receiving the request … In the event a custodian fails to respond within seven business days after receiving a request, the failure to respond shall be deemed a denial of the request …” (Emphasis added.) [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i.]

OPRA mandates that a custodian must either grant or deny access to requested records within seven (7) business days from receipt of said request. [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i.]

As also prescribed under [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i.], a custodian’s failure to respond within the required seven (7) business days results in a “deemed” denial. Further, a custodian’s response, either granting or denying access, must be in writing pursuant to [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g.]. Thus, a custodian’s failure to respond in writing to a complainant’s OPRA request either granting access, denying access, seeking clarification or requesting an extension of time within the statutorily mandated seven (7) business days results in a “deemed” denial of the complainant’s OPRA request pursuant to [N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g.].

---

9 It is the GRC’s position that a custodian’s written response either granting access, denying access, seeking clarification or requesting an extension of time within the statutorily mandated seven (7) business days, even if said response is not on the agency’s official OPRA request form, is a valid response pursuant to OPRA.
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The Custodian in the instance complaint certified in the SOI that he received the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests on March 7, 2011 and March 28, 2011 respectively. The Custodian responded to the first (1st) OPRA request on March 17, 2011 and noted that he was aware that his response was one (1) business day late. Further, although the Custodian stated in his response to the second (2nd) OPRA request that it was received via certified mail on April 4, 2011, he certified in the SOI that he began circulating the request on March 29, 2011. The Custodian responded in writing on April 13, 2011, twelve (12) business days after receipt of said request. Thus, the evidence supports that the Custodian also failed to respond in a timely manner to the second (2nd) OPRA request.

Therefore, the Custodian did not timely respond to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests. As such, the Custodian’s failure to respond in writing to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests either granting access, denying access, seeking clarification or requesting an extension of time results in a “deemed” denial of the Complainant’s OPRA request pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g., N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i., and Kelley, supra.

Whether the Custodian unlawfully denied access to the requested records?

OPRA provides that:

“…government records shall be readily accessible for inspection, copying, or examination by the citizens of this State, with certain exceptions…” (Emphasis added.) N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.

Additionally, OPRA defines a government record as:

“… any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file … or that has been received in the course of his or its official business …” (Emphasis added.) N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1.

OPRA places the onus on the Custodian to prove that a denial of access is lawful. Specifically, OPRA states:

“…[t]he public agency shall have the burden of proving that the denial of access is authorized by law…” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.

OPRA provides that government records made, maintained, kept on file, or received by a public agency in the course of its official business are subject to public access unless otherwise exempt. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1. A custodian must release all records responsive to an OPRA request “with certain exceptions.” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.
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Additionally, OPRA places the burden on a custodian to prove that a denial of access to records is lawful pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.

The Complainant’s two (2) requests asked a series of questions, sought an “account” of several situations and further sought a non-specific set of records “that no-one (sic) else has.” These two (2) requests ask questions or seek information rather than specific identifiable government records; as such, these requests are invalid under OPRA. The New Jersey Superior Court has held that “[w]hile OPRA provides an alternative means of access to government documents not otherwise exempted from its reach, it is not intended as a research tool litigants may use to force government officials to identify and siphon useful information. Rather, OPRA simply operates to make identifiable government records ‘readily accessible for inspection, copying, or examination.’ N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1." (Emphasis added.) MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534, 546 (App. Div. 2005). The Court further held that "[u]nder OPRA, agencies are required to disclose only ‘identifiable’ government records not otherwise exempt ... In short, OPRA does not countenance open-ended searches of an agency's files." (Emphasis added.) Id. at 549.

Further, in Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30, 37 (App. Div. 2005),10 the Superior Court references MAG in that the Court held that a requestor must specifically describe the document sought because OPRA operates to make identifiable government records “accessible.” “As such, a proper request under OPRA must identify with reasonable clarity those documents that are desired, and a party cannot satisfy this requirement by simply requesting all of an agency's documents.”11

Additionally, in New Jersey Builders Association v. New Jersey Council of Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007), the court cited MAG by stating that “…when a request is ‘complex’ because it fails to specifically identify the documents sought, then that request is not ‘encompassed’ by OPRA…”

Furthermore, in Schuler v. Borough of Bloomsbury, GRC Complaint No. 2007-151 (March 2008), the Council held that “[b]ecause the Complainant’s OPRA requests [No.] 2-5 are not requests for identifiable government records, the requests are invalid and the Custodian has not unlawfully denied access to the requested records pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J.Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005) and Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J.Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005).”

In LaMantia v. Jamesburg Public Library (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2008-140 (February 2009), the complainant requested the number of Jamesburg residents that hold library cards. The GRC deemed that the complainant’s request was a request for information, holding that:

---

10 Affirmed on appeal regarding Bent v. Stafford Police Department, GRC Case No. 2004-78 (October 2004).
11 As stated in Bent, supra.
“because request Item No. 2 of the Complainant’s June 25, 2008 OPRA request seeks information rather than an identifiable government record, the request is invalid pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005) and Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005)…” Id. at pg. 6.

The GRC also decided a similar issue in Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009). Specifically, the complainant submitted an OPRA request to the Borough on September 13, 2007 seeking answers to five (5) questions regarding a property named the Villa Maria. The GRC held that the Complainant’s request was invalid because it failed to identify a specific government record. See also Ohlson v. Township of Edison (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-233 (August 2009).

Therefore, because the Complainant’s two (2) requests ask questions or seek information rather than identifiable government records, the requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG, supra, Bent, supra, New Jersey Builders, supra, LaMantia, supra, and Watt, supra, and the Custodian has not unlawfully denied access to the Complainant’s two (2) requests. See also Ohlson, supra.

Whether the Custodian’s untimely responses rise to the level of a knowing and willful violation of OPRA and unreasonable denial of access under the totality of the circumstances?

OPRA states that:

“[a] public official, officer, employee or custodian who knowingly or willfully violates [OPRA], and is found to have unreasonably denied access under the totality of the circumstances, shall be subject to a civil penalty …” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-11.a.

OPRA allows the Council to determine a knowing and willful violation of the law and unreasonable denial of access under the totality of the circumstances. Specifically OPRA states:

“… If the council determines, by a majority vote of its members, that a custodian has knowingly and willfully violated [OPRA], and is found to have unreasonably denied access under the totality of the circumstances, the council may impose the penalties provided for in [OPRA]…” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-7.e.

Certain legal standards must be considered when making the determination of whether the Custodian’s actions rise to the level of a “knowing and willful” violation of OPRA. The following statements must be true for a determination that the Custodian “knowingly and willfully” violated OPRA: the Custodian’s actions must have been much more than negligent conduct (Alston v. City of Camden, 168 N.J. 170, 185 (2001); the Custodian must have had some knowledge that his actions were wrongful (Fielder v. Stonack, 141 N.J. 101, 124 (1995)); the Custodian’s actions must have had a positive
element of conscious wrongdoing (Berg v. Reaction Motors Div., 37 N.J. 396, 414 (1962)); the Custodian’s actions must have been forbidden with actual, not imputed, knowledge that the actions were forbidden (Berg); the Custodian’s actions must have been intentional and deliberate, with knowledge of their wrongfulness, and not merely negligent, heedless or unintentional (ECES v. Salmon, 295 N.J. Super. 86, 107 (App. Div. 1996).

The Custodian’s failure to respond to the Complainant’s two (2) requests in a timely manner resulted in a “deemed” denial pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g. and N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i. However, the Complainant’s requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG, supra, Bent, supra, New Jersey Builders, supra, LaMantia, supra, and Shain, supra, because they are overly broad, fail to specify identifiable government records and would require the Custodian to research his files to compile information and possibly create news records. Moreover, the Custodian did not unlawfully deny access to said request. See also Watt, supra, and Ohlson, supra. Additionally, the evidence of record does not indicate that the Custodian’s violations of OPRA had a positive element of conscious wrongdoing or was intentional and deliberate. Therefore, it is concluded that the Custodian’s untimely responses do not rise to the level of a knowing and willful violation of OPRA and unreasonable denial of access under the totality of the circumstances.

**Conclusions and Recommendations**

The Executive Director respectfully recommends the Council find that:

1. The Custodian did not timely respond to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests. As such, the Custodian’s failure to respond in writing to the Complainant’s two (2) OPRA requests either granting access, denying access, seeking clarification or requesting an extension of time within the statutorily mandated seven (7) business days results in a “deemed” denial of the Complainant’s OPRA request pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g., N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i., and Kelley v. Township of Rockaway, GRC Complaint No. 2007-11 (Interim Order October 31, 2007).

2. Because the Complainant’s two (2) requests ask questions or seek information rather than identifiable government records, the requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005), Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005), New Jersey Builders Association v. New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007), LaMantia v. Jamesburg Public Library (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2008-140 (February 2009), and Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009), and the Custodian has not unlawfully denied access to the Complainant’s two (2) requests. See also Ohlson v. Township of Edison (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-233 (August 2009).
3. The Custodian’s failure to respond to the Complainant’s two (2) requests in a timely manner resulted in a “deemed” denial pursuant to N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.g. and N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5.i. However, the Complainant’s requests are invalid under OPRA pursuant to MAG Entertainment, LLC v. Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control, 375 N.J. Super. 534 (App. Div. 2005), Bent v. Stafford Police Department, 381 N.J. Super. 30 (App. Div. 2005), New Jersey Builders Association v. New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing, 390 N.J. Super. 166, 180 (App. Div. 2007), LaMantia v. Jamesburg Public Library (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2008-140 (February 2009) and Shain v. Ocean County Board of Taxation, GRC Complaint No. 2007-127 (November 2007), because they are overly broad, fail to specify identifiable government records and would require the Custodian to research his files to compile information and possibly create news records. Moreover, the Custodian did not unlawfully deny access to said request. See also Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009) and Ohlson v. Township of Edison (Middlesex), GRC Complaint No. 2007-233 (August 2009). Additionally, the evidence of record does not indicate that the Custodian’s violations of OPRA had a positive element of conscious wrongdoing or was intentional and deliberate. Therefore, it is concluded that the Custodian’s untimely responses do not rise to the level of a knowing and willful violation of OPRA and unreasonable denial of access under the totality of the circumstances.

Prepared and
Approved By: Karyn Gordon, Esq.
Acting Executive Director

October 23, 2012

---

12 This complaint was prepared and scheduled for adjudication at the Council’s October 30, 2012 meeting; however, said meeting was cancelled due to Hurricane Sandy. Additionally, the Council’s November 27, 2012 meeting was cancelled due to lack of quorum.

Daniel F. Rummel v. Cumberland County Board of Chosen Freeholders, 2011-168 – Findings and Recommendations of the Executive Director
To request access to public records, file this form with Ken Mequach, Cumberland County Interim Custodian of Records. His office is located at the Cumberland County Administration Building, 790 East Commerce Street, Bridgeton, NJ 08302; his phone number is (856) 453-2125, the fax number is (856) 451-8243. The Custodian is generally required (subject to certain restrictions) to fill a records request within seven business days after receiving the request.

REQUESTER INFORMATION (please print or type)

First Name: Daniel
MI: M
Last Name: Rimmer

Mailing Address: 31 Market Street
City: Lewisburg
State: PA
Zip: 17837

Business Hours Telephone—Area Code 570 Number 524-0853
Fax:

Email:

Preferred Delivery: Pick Up US Mail On Site Inspection

Under penalty of N.J.S.A. 2C:28-3, I certify that I HAVE (HAVE NOT) (circle one) been convicted of any indictable offense under the laws of New Jersey, or any other state, of the United States, and I am not seeking government records containing personal information pertaining to the victim of a crime or the victim's family.

Feb 25, 11

Signature

INFORMATION REQUESTED (PLEASE BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE)

Second request: Mailed 1st 12/10/10-"IT GOT COST"- Sheriff's Office.

The information you requesting will be on added pages numbered.

The information you requesting will be on added pages numbered. Each page will describe something that a Cumberland County Judge (Barry Feehan) stated that I admitted to in a court order now been used by Cumberland County Judges Freeman & Johnson. I have no recollection of it ever happening & I must have me mixed up with one of the hundred of similar cases. These victim live in Cumberland County. I seem to have vivid memory that Vineland Police do not have an account or record of. Please submit the information your Judges have down.

Custodian of Records
790 East Commerce Street
Bridgeton, NJ 08302

Phone: (856) 453-2125 Fax: (856) 451-8243

Date Received: ___________________ By: __________________
INFORMATION (related to questions)

On 9/6/01, Caseworker-expert-professional Sheery Moroz of Northumberland County (Pa.) Children & Youth Services provided a risk assessment with an array of concerns toward me concerning my 8 yr old son that I had custody of since 5/97.

Those concerns were a history of domestic violence & child abuse by my two oldest children Dennis & Denise (teens at the time) ages now in 30's. Moroz stated she had reports of this & took it very seriously.

I asked her where were those reports before. She began screaming in my face. This was after she confronted my son (Everett) in his private school that never knew anything about them & reported NO abuse toward him & his mother. The Nuns at Everett's school told Moroz, straight A student, well adjusted, good father. Normally it stops there.

Moroz now had a concern of emotional abuse, and wanted me to get a psych eval on my son. Under abuse, I left the area and did so. This led to a nationwide man/boy hunt conducted by Cumberland County Sheriff deputies/officers R. Morris & Mihalcz.

They interviewed dozens of people. The stories conveyed to those people were horrific to say the least. They had an agenda. If anyone considers having my 8 yr old son in the care of private licensed doctors the act of a crazy man then I agree. Both doctors found a problem elsewhere. Requested information of record.

1. Want the names of everyone interviewed.
2. Want statements made by them.
3. Want to know how your officers got their names & tel. numbers.

It has to be on record or it was all for nothing, or malicious reporters have gone unpunished! Note: The Merino's are very concerned & pointed the finger to Judy Lanchier of West Palm Beach Florida.
Information (related to questions)

The stories in Northumberland County PA. continue to grow:
I now understand by means of a psychologist my son was taken to that a concern of me being gay & having an unnatural thing for him him his duties going to her office & trying to force to turn him (my son) over to them for foster care). On two occasions she made them leave & gave us appointments on Saturdays when they're off duty. I have no clue to the source of this information nor do I recall me being gay.

The doctor & I talked about my spinal cord injuries & the priority & had of getting my son's life in order; not my life and certainly not his mother's that had all the listed problems or concerns thus far. I simply wrote this off as revised scenarios. Much later I learned about name pasting & computer abilities. She never had one or knew anyone like this or would knowingly commit a crime of that nature.

Requested information & record

1. I want an account of me becoming gay,
2. I want an account of me molesting children,
3. I want an account of me being associated with Old Simpson,
4. I want an account of me being unpatriotic,
5. I want an account of me being anti-semitic & a Nazi.

Note: In May of 1993, Judge Forester gave me joint custody of my daughter Gabrielle & stories like the above didn't exist then.

And in May 1997, again Dec 1997, PA gave me full custody of my son due to his mother's antics, not mine. These stories didn't exist then either.

It has to be on record since the above dates or it was all for nothing and for malicious reporters have gone unpunished. Special Notation: I wasn't in L.A. when those people were butchered alive, and I have NO ties to Bin Laden - I'm very patriotic.
INFORMATION (RELATED TO QUESTIONS)

The stories in Northumberland County Green. Attorney Gregory Stuck & S. Morez now have concerns of my mental health due to me trying to kidnap my daughter Gabrielle from Dr. Mennie's School in Vincetown N.J. 12/99 at Christmas vacation time. As well as being in organized crime rings (Mafia & drug dealing).

NOTE: Their incrimination of me was epic and had no boundaries.

Mr. Stuck is currently being sued by county commissioner Vincent Cusini for stating an investigation is going on about him. Mr. Stuck tried to use Sheriff deputies to support his claim but they bailed. The investigation was on them using county computers to view porn while on duty. Three were fired & are now suing Mr. Stuck for his ethically & morally challenged advice.

There you have it.

REQUESTED INFORMATION OF RECORD

3) I want an account of kidnapping my daughter 12/99;
4) I want an account of my Mafia ties & drug dealing,
5) I want an account of my substance/alcohol abuse,
6) I want an account of my use of weapons,
7) I want an account of my mental inpatient status of Cumberland County Guidance Center's inpatient facility — THE RECORDS USED,

(foot note) They never had one

It has to be on record or it was all for nothing, or malicious reporters have gone unpunished.

We are now at the point of Sheriff officers stating to everyone: Armed, dangerous, crazy, abused his son & he's wanted by his father, fleeing from the law etc. etc. And living in the woods.

And who stated that I wasn't a patriot.

I'm not sure if this is witness tampering or defamation

or both.
Information (related to questions)

Latoya Kizzie of Cumberland County DYFS West stated to me in March of 2002, "If a government agency puts it in writing it has to be true." That says it all. You may find some of these crimes of humanity that I committed with DYFS. She was guarding my son from me during visits of 1 hr each. This is why I stayed in New Jersey (alot) during the time span.

Judge Sue Dale of PA broadcasted court results prior to the results. It was my fair & unbiased hearing. Morez said it was planned out from the start with NJ DYFS & NJ Judge.

* S. Morez of PA DYFS stated my crimes were in N.J. (risk assessment 9:601)
* L. Kizzie of NJ DYFS stated my crimes were in PA - 2002.

I state that someone has the names mixed up.

My ex-wife sat on the witness stand & had tears bursting from her eyes while telling one phenomenal abuse story after the other.

I stated, anyone believes that garbage is worse than she is.

Judge Sue Dale stated, I admitted to it and continued with five more direct lies on his court order. They are as follows:

Judy Wiley is a licensed psychologist. (she has a drivers license).
I did not get a psych eval as ordered, Dr. J. Wood - psychiatrist.
I did not get counseling as ordered, B. O'Brien - Woodruff NJ.
I did not get parenting classes as ordered, M. Woodruff - Cin.Ci. Guidance Center.
I moved to N.J. told him it was temporary for visitations (400 mile round trip).

And I never admitted to anything. I didn't do nor would I ever cut a deal with a corrupt judge. The above was all submitted in court within my exwife's attorney & Morez present. Me stuck (my ex-wife's attorney) then turned his attention to my possessions & home.

Thank you.

Requested Information

14) Did Cumberland County Sheriff's Office take part in a conspiracy to destroy my life, my children's & my Father's? Or do you have something on me that will not a delusion? or a manipulation or a malicious report?
Information (related to questions)

While living in my home purchased in Sept 86, Elaine Marley began living in the upstairs of my home with my good friend Mike. That she worked with [illegible] at [illegible]. She was fired & had "nowhere to go." Then Mike "stole her car." (Police involvement, and she is now a victim of her father Charley Marley, her twin Sherley Marley, and Mike.) I take full responsibility for falling into her convincing victim status. But I made corrections & eliminated the Marley harassment & Mike.

Her brother Nick Marley & his family came back from Oklahoma. They stayed at my home & not Charley Marley's. Same scenario. They separated from due to family problems. Nick remained in my home, not with his brothers. 266 Buena Ave, Vineland 86-87. It was my greatgrandmother's home & built by [illegible].

Requested information.

17) Did Nick Marley make a report to police or county people about me abusing his sister physically & mentally on a regular basis. While not confronting me on her behalf (about a year). And note, Vineland police do not have a record of it. They do have theft & no forced entry, argument & no black eye & removed the Marley family (Char & Sherley). I went to court about child abuse of my 1 yr old daughter Gabrielle by THEM - restraining order.

If he didn't & I know he didn't you have a malicious reporter. That went unpunished & has continued that practice in [illegible], Court or Family Court. Did you know that "New Jersey is all about families" (Superior Court Judge Freeman) once that's stated, dissemination begins.

There are over 20,000 complaints about family courts in N.J. (Internet). If 10% are accurate, NJ's problem is more severe than PA's problem. PA judges are going to prison. License the conspirators - CNN, NBC etc. No more living in a bubble for them.
Informatio (related to questions)

In Dec. of 2000, before Judge M. B. Fisher, Medical records were used against me by Sharon Celerino & Elaine Rummel - both before him stopping me from seeing my daughter Gabrielle.

In Sept. of 2001, they were at it again in PA due to it being so effective in NJ. These medical records were the primary cause for S. Moroz of PA DYS to go into hysteria along with the primary plaintiffs or criminals in reality. My son left PA prior to any court involvement.

These records made their way to another Judge (I believe) whom violated Constitutional & State Law along with Moroz whom violated over 30 State Laws. Then of course back to NJ State Police & Sheriff's officers. The St. Police told my dad about them. Imagine how surprised he was, then the stacking of threats of jailing him until his first of last heart attack 9/23/01. So much for Constitutional Law & Oath of offices. My dad new me for some time as you can imagine.

These records then made their way to County preferred doctors for more rubber stamping. Doctors J. Heck & J.E. Threat used them & were both fired. Hempfield offered a recant when C.G.R. got involved but never made or gave me an appointment, shocker.

In 2007, when I tried to view the file & got refused 3 times, and finally viewed it 4/07, I found Medical records that were not my records. There is a complaint on file with the Assignment of Judge Cucino. Judge Timman refused to acknowledge two new evaluations. 07.08. So much for due process.

Requested Information

1. Were police &/or sheriff officers at my dads viewing with rifles or SWAT teams to use me for target practice as they suggested to my dad & others;

2. Does NJ & Cumb, County recognize identity theft/enhancement as a crime?

3. Does Cumb. Co. have a copy of the Constitution, & do they understand it's meaning;

4. Does NJ & C. Co. prosecute anyone for submitting false information, Judge Timman doesn't, he rebuffs them.
Information (related to questions)

All Pennsylvania background checks & subpoena information does not implicate me in any shape - long or short - or form into a domestic preset violent situation.

It had to happen in New Jersey. My current residence issued me a license to carry a concealed weapon. State police cleared all criminal checks. Northumberland County has no record of civil or criminal. Whatever NJ was told is whoever told you was false & lying.

I'm sending the same info given to both courthouses. I hope someone actually reads it. My ex-wife, Elaine Marley (Rummel) Bishop states that "everything is court documented." She said this in PA courts & in NJ with Fireman "everything was "extensively litigated."

I say, corruption prevails, The Guam & Prosecutor's office has a record of me asserting Chas. Stuhman; no case or place given to me.

It seems to me, someone has used my name on occasions. 6th of 2007, I sat in front of Judge Fireman & he asked me twice if "I fixed him something that morning." Police I said no. 1st time I took me from 12:15 AM to 7:40 AM to drive in snow to get to court. Later I said he found my stolen fax machine. My ex-wife - plaintiff-victim had a funny look on her face.

No prosecution; restrictions or me. Also that same day, Fireman threatened me with jail 3 times for protesting my ex-wife - plaintiff-victim reading a stolen doctor report that reflected something is seriously wrong with me because I think in my mind this has been going on for some time. The doctor is one of them gone from PA practice. So far...

It's all adding up. Note: Dr. Wood 1/02, B. O'Brien 02-03 (25 appointments), M. Woodeff 6/02, Dr. Bean 04-05, Dr. Hauck 07-08, don't see the problem in my end. County doctors - hired. Naturally, both Courts use the latter.

Requested Information

22) Why haven't you (Cu. Co.) prosecuted the real criminals that conspired & produced malicious false information being used by the honorable ones making the big bucks that should know the law.

23) I have original records of New Jersey that will expose any record the State & FBI have on record. This is being investigated.

Please produce my Cumberland County record that no one else has. It's "court documented & extensively litigated." Everyone believes her thus far & has supported her cause, and restrictions are on me. Can't be my easier.

* Police reports / incidents
I've been as specific as I can be. You have 2 weeks to answer each question & produce the information.

A federal judge had all the information related to a severe spinal cord injury & doctor reports from Florida indicating the same as well as a PA neurologists testing (EKG). My social security attorney stated that I could sue Dr. Laura Messier for malpractice & wasn't slightly concerned of her S.S.D. evaluation. Dr. Messier no longer has a private practice in PA. It was later discovered that she has a passionate hatred of men & can't keep it separate from her profession. She was also making a good living from Northumberland County Court & DYFS.

Not anymore. The Dept. of State stepped in. I'm sorry NJ went unreported. Unintentional tort. That doesn't excuse NJ from using the reports & false reports as well. They were informed by me.

Note: Two Martha's - Florida, PA. EKGs & MRI. Don't lie County coroner's do.

My ex-wife, Elaine Marley Bishop was not beaten & raped by me; I did not caun or hate guns, my son wasn't abused by me. Those things happened in PA with her fiancée, our neighbor & there's a police report on it. (St. Louis) In N.J., that's what her father did to her mother & her current ex-husbands did to her as well as the child abuse. St. Mary's of Millville school, Maurice River Township elementary school have both reported child abuse on Chucky Bishop - her current husband. Her former husband.

He's done much worse to his sons mother Susan, likewise, alienation & other stuff.

My son reported the same to me, so I told him not to report on me. My ex-wife has moved dozens of times & on two occasions, 1 yr each time, the landlords reported abuse by Bishop, DYFS with their infinite wisdom, & their "little eyes & ears in court" can't quite put the finger on it, both schools called them. They don't know that child abuse continues forever, or until the abused become abusive. Guess what's next.

The child molester is Raymond Brian Zalinski of Vineland. He's laughing out loud about all the handwriting (handwriting), he molested both of my oldest two kids & he's been given access to my youngest two.

Thank you.

The drug dealings are just begin & the stepfather of my oldest kids. My grandchildren say "baggies of white stuff" in his house. Raymond's mother, Phyllis Defeo, is his co-conspirator & his significant other Linda - All child abusers & manipulative. Linda is from Mass. Check her history. The real ones, did you ever hear the saying, one lies and ten more swear to it. Their motivation is simple, money, & I authenticated each one & broke away. I am delighted that they are witnesses for two counties and not mine. They've gone unprosecuted & falsified reports or reversed scenarios. Crime #1, now sit in family court and watch judges make themselves feel like men.
Final Statement

When Superior Court Judges abuse their discretion, show bias, cover wrong doings for a plaintiff or defendant, providing they’re female & condone criminal acts stating best interest of a child; this is beyond ladies-man-court. When they bully fathers around, it makes them feel like a man.

When he says, "Your son has a stepfather, go get another psych eval. he should be removed from family court, and when another judge refuses to use 2 psych evals that were ordered & completed, there’s a problem in court.

I'm not a detective, cop, lawyer or legal clerk, but I see a pattern of crime & cover-up. Do taxpayers know what's going on while these judges make phenomenal salaries in the name of justice. And the promises are strained. The just balanced. They belong on Fox.

I was diagnosed with P.T.S.D. when the vets come home you'll see a lot more of it. Then they get to hear; I don't like him anymore, punish him thru the children.

Have you ever wondered why prisons are filled to the gills? Have you wondered why women flock for restraint orders to gain control of finances? Your system is backwards & working against itself. Your state is out of money, do you get it?

I am particularly interested in the record of me assisting Mr. Chas. Statham, another stepfather for my son, date, time, place, police, and judge, and of course, the outcome. No one checked her phone records. No one checked her employment records, constantly fired.

In PA, 8/2/97, another stepfather wanted to fight. He doesn't anymore. But I'm still concerned about his .357 revolver he told St. Police he had while stacking me to save the victim, (3-97). In New Jersey, only police can use weapons. Do you get it? I'm sorry she didn't inform you.

I would turn this over to the Attorney General's office and F.B.I. due to fraud into going into courts & crossing state lines. The courts do not have an ounce of respect for individuals with a disability, if my information & questions weren't cause any difficulty, I know how it feels.

Thank you

David F. Lemmel 2/26/11
Police Reports - Incidents, Briefly

Bridgeton, NJ, Circa 1990, Sharon Geletka vs. Jeff G. domestic violence reported by S. G. I was denied access to records by Judge Diane Cohen, 3/23/05 in writing. See bottom entry.


Vnld, NJ 10/24/91, S. G. removed from my home. I 199100043696.


Closter, NJ 4/20/92, Elaine Marley removed from boyfriend’s family home. Police involvement. E. M. took abuse stories to neighbors, friends and family.

Vnld, NJ 5/13/92, car theft reported by Elaine Marley. Report #C7538092. A letter surfaced from E. M., admitted lying to police and first assault on me. Her letters are in the file @ domestic violence section.

Vnld, NJ 12/01/92, visitation with S. G., enforced. Report #199100049190.

Vnld NJ 12/04/92, visitation with S. G., enforced. Sgr. Rizzo came out personally to resolve this “needless waste of time.” This was the official word to S. G. and her alienation/violations of a court order.

Vnld, NJ 12/22/92, S. G.’s brothers harassing E. M. at work. Report#51416-92, E. M. was pregnant, stated, “They were walking into her, purposely”.

S. G. admitted to harassing ex-husband and his pregnant wife at work. 1/93

Vnld, NJ 2/19/91, simple assault by S. G. at her home. Report #6779-93.

(1)

Sharon Geletka - S.G. - her husband Jeff Geletka beat her up & she sued him for it - & it worked so she tried me, child support & raised my daughter like an animal. DVFS finally was forced to do something in 2006. Pathetic.

Elaine Marley - E.M.
Custody evaluation, 4/20/93, S. G. stated “he walked out on us”. She did not report any violence. Joint custody, no restrictions 5/10/93, investigator: Linda Combs. FV06-488-92A. There were no previous stories of abuse of my oldest two children, their mother, or anyone else throughout the evaluation and all court hearings. Reason being, an abusive history did not exist. The stories are fictitious and done out of malice. She testified 4/01/02 in PA, see page 3 public school. Prior to the 5/10/93 hearing and joint custody, Phyllis DeFeo took S. G. to court for visitations due to the alienation process.

VnlD, NJ 5/02/93, police remove Marley family from my home. Family death 4/29/93, George DeFeo. Report #199300017042, E. M. left son behind. A restraining order was placed on them, FV-06-1193-93A. Gun stories from E. M. surfaced. When confronted, she quickly stated, not seeing any.

VnlD, NJ 6/01/93, burglary at my home, no forced entry. Report #21566-93. [In October of '97, E. M. was seen wearing her diamond earrings while at twin sister’s house.]

VnlD, NJ 10/07/93, marriage application. 1st prenuptial agreement advised by attorney. Marriage date, 11/13/93, Chestnut Assembly of God, Pastor Snook. Also family counseling. I have a copy.

VnlD, NJ 11/07/93, argument with E. M. at my home, 13 hour birthday party, sick child, no violence, no black eye. Two (2) page report. Report #47209-93. ear infection

VnlD, NJ 11/08/93, returned home, with witness, statement, black eye. Bertha Nave walked into the house with me, E. M. had swollen left temple. She was taken to a motel in Millville by Mrs. Nave. E. M. did not call police. I later said her father did it. Reality - boyfriend. I was getting phone calls from A. Millville/Bridgeton, app (all in one) telling me how I'm in trouble. A real dilemma, no one came over & answered the phone. The phone calls stopped.

VnlD, NJ 12/03/93, veterinarian visit, poisoned Golden Retriever, family pet. Dr. Sima. poisoned

Millville, NJ 2/08/94, christening of son. Also family counseling at St. John of Bosco, Father Gramm. severe problems with Charley & Sherry Marley.

(2)


No Vineland police accounts of being around the home of S. G. Sept., Oct., Nov. of 2001. S. G. stated, I was looking in through her windows. This never happened.

No Vineland police account of kidnapping attempt, 12/99 from Dr. Mennies Public School. Court order in principal’s possession. This never happened. S. G. testified in a PA court on 4/01/02, very, very, reluctantly that I had a court order to be there. The kidnap story appeared in a county psychologist’s report, Dr. James Heck, 3/02.

Pennsylvania, 8/02/94, harassment by Marley family by telephone, family death, Helen Rummel. Telephone number changed twice in 1994 under direction from police. Under advice. Somehow the Marley’s kept getting our new phone number. This is my mother by adoption.

Pennsylvania Civil Action, CV0000258-94, 8/30/94 sued Grexon family, breach of contract, District Justice Wade Brown. Three letters from E. M. surfaced, one letter to contractors, one to Wade Brown, one to a card company explaining a Grexon child taking money for cards and not turning it in to the company. Grexon antics carried on until I left the area. The girls were seen in my home in 1998 with Joe Carpentier and company. See page 6, 10/08/98.

Pennsylvania State Police, 9/95, missing person complaint by me, E. M. left son behind. No report available.

Elkton, Maryland 11/13/95, marriage application, E. M. and D. F. R.

Millville, NJ 11/23/95, Prenuptial agreement, notarized. A note surfaced from E. M. in 1997 stating she stole the agreement and that I am angry.

(3)
Elkton, Maryland 11/30/95, marriage, same.

PA State Police, 12/06/96, served PFA (restraint) CV96-1679, forced to turn child over at police station 12 midnight. Child was hysterical.

CPS, PA, 4/24/97, stolen food stamps, car repair check of $200.00, car and cash by E. M. Left son behind again. First departure-abandonment was 4/18/97. Phyllis DeFeo present during investigation. Department of Public Welfare and CPS investigated complaint by me on behalf of my son, it was not a general referral as later put. Notes surfaced from E. M. admitting to this. And one stating, I tried to coerce her into suing her father for harassment. Nothing more.

Sunbury, PA, 5/13/97 custody hearing CV96-1745, shared physical custody, no restrictions, no abuse reported.

Trevorton, PA, 5/19/97 and 5/20/97, Constable Glen Masser removed stalker, Ed Hall, from posted property, my residence, 11:15 p.m. Mr. Hall stated, "he's better then state cops because he makes more money then they do."

Trevorton, PA, 5/23/97, Ralph Rebeck raised his shot gun toward two teenaged boys in the backyard as they were leaving a young lady's house when the young lady's mother returned home.

Trevorton, PA, 7/15/97, E. M. attacked son and was restrained by me. A full report was made out to CPS upon their involvement, at my request.

Elysburg, PA veterinarian, 7/19/97, poisoned shepherd, family pet. Phyllis DeFeo present.

Bloomsburg State Hospital Psychiatric Ward, from 7/21/91 to 7/29/97, reluctant to release her (E. M.), Phyllis DeFeo present.

PA State Police, 8/02/97, incident with Ralph Rebeck, physical confrontation. No arrest, self defense.

District Attorneys office, 8/06/97, E. M. reported rape by Mr. Rebeck, also District Judge office. Under advice. They asked, "why did you wait this long?" "My medication is working."

(4)
CPS, PA, 8/08/97, E. M. homicidal-suicidal, caseworker report. Tracey McLeod, CPS, 4 and ½ months of involvement, no domestic violence on my part. She told the caseworker she was going to harm us.

Trevorton, PA, 8/12/97, E. M. attacked son again. The noise woke me. I went upstairs and stopped her from slamming him in the tub, and locked her in her bedroom. CPS was called, arrangements to place the child were made.

PA State Police, 8/16/97, aggravated indecent assault on E. M. by Ralph Rebucket from 4/24/97 to 6/04/97. Report #P8576888, Rebucket sexually assaulted her for 6 and ½ weeks, then he blackmailed her and used weapons to intimidate her. He stated that he did not see any abuse by me. He was in our home every day or night for two (2) years and he stated "they were in love". You prosecuted me for this man & her. Thank you.

9/02/97, son placed for his safety. First attempt was 8/20/97, no escort on plane. U.S. Air Flight #1930. Both parents present.

9/04/97, psychiatrist appointment for E. M., she was told to get her son back now. She replied, "no, I might harm him." My only statement to him after her proclamation was, she has a tendency to get involved with some rather interesting people. He stated, this is an interesting lady. She was required to have cognitive therapy (perceptions of reality-distorted) and increased medication.

9/07/97, E. M. left relationship permanently.

"I argue with my wife in 97, see, I'm sorry."

Cumberland County, NJ, 10/14/97, restraint order by E. M., not served.

Left for Florida, 11/07/97, after constant harassment and threats.

PA State Police, 10/27/97, disturbance by Marley family. Family member near death. Under advise, gave her belongings and told them to leave. Mailed Charley Marley a letter outlining harassment through the years. "I knew what was going on in PA with her, her & guns." Sunbury, PA, 12/10/97, CV97-1397, custody hearing, E. M. failed to appear, note: I noted to my attorney that she had no problem bringing family members to PA to make threats and harass one month earlier. I drove thirteen hundred miles to be at the hearing. The child remained in Florida.

"Another creepy story surfaced - he, he, she, etc. and her fiance got loose." (5)

Somebody switched records & identities.

Elaine is not "all better from prayer therapy & exercise", she is there, only in her element of comfort - courts & cops. Professional victim.
with Phyllis DeFeo, whom knew exactly why I left and the business I attended.

West Palm Beach, Florida. Child was taken to a licensed therapist, Jeanie Rankin Colige, eight appointments, no abuse by me. someone else.

Millville, NJ, family death, 12/29/97, Katherine Zimmerman. Dear death 10/25/97. Family harassment and police harassment from Marley family in NJ and PA. see a pattern yet

Returned to Florida, 1/04/98.

Millville, NJ, 2/08/98, violation of restraint order against me, son’s birthday, charges dropped by D.A. Report #W1998000226, 98004840, Cu. Co. D.A., Domestic Violence Division, Dori Bryant. Note: If I do not contact her, it’s alienation, if I do, it’s a violation. They called Florida to inform me of a warrant. Phyllis DeFeo changed her phone number as to avoid more manipulations by E. M. and later stated that I did this. Bell South has accurate records.

Injury, Florida 2/16/98. Filed for SSD, 4/98 in Florida. I took myself to the emergency room on 3/03/98. Dr. Matase did an immediate MRI. They called Phyllis DeFeo and she came to the hospital with my son to bring me home. Someone was sent for my car. M.R.J.

Trevorton, PA 9/98, E. M. returned to residence.


10/08/98, returned home to Trevorton, removed people living in my home. Home was completely destroyed and filled with substance and empty booze bottles by the cases. She was there—drug city how did you miss it.

Cumberland Co., NJ Domestic Relations, 10/09/98, support application by E. M., Docket # FD0666798, ID# 50133380A. She states, she has been a stay at home mother, she is too mentally ill to keep a job regularly, she was forced out of her home, which is paid for, I stole her car and physically abused her, and took off with our son to Florida.

You need to view your own record's.
CPS, PA 1/03/99, child abuse, unfounded. Mary Golden

PA State Police, 4/99, Quarantined residential block for the inspection of explosive (hand grenade) left behind by E. M.’s friend that occupied the house while I was in Florida. No report available.

10/06/99, meeting with public school 1st grade teacher, C. Straub, in ref. to a bully problem.

10/24/99, son diagnosed to be legally blind in his right eye due to optical nerve damage. Dr. Maria Barbe, second opinion by Dr, Marley Moon of State College, PA, 5/30/01.

11/08/99, Trevorton, meeting with C. Straub with my son’s doctor report, legally blind. Note: He started 1st grade with normal vision.

Sunbury, PA, 10/31/99, S. S. D. approved.

12/16/99, meeting with school counselor about continued bully problem.

1/05/00, told Cheryl Marciniak to stop calling my home and asking me to baby sit her son Michael, the bully, and keep him away from my house. This harassment carried into the private school via their best friends.

1/19/00, public school meeting with principal, teacher, counselor about bully problem. They denied everything. They told me if I video taped it happening, I would be arrested, the tape would be confiscated, and not used as evidence. Written report.

2/12/00, birthday party at McDonald’s, roughly 20 kids and parents, E. M. did not attend.

2/14/00, changed son to private school in which he again excelled academically in complete harmony with others.

3/00, public school bus driver, Sue Wilkinson, was seen by students screaming in my son’s face on the bus and wanted him off the bus. Principal Sister Anne said no.

(7)

We were known as the "own spics". This area of PA does not have any minorities (1%) or less. pop. 90,000 - county. It was my ex wife that started the Italian deal, I have a Hispanic granddaughter.
Millville, NJ 4/00, E. M. fired from daycare center, an employee warned me of her boyfriend, Chuck Bishop, when I stopped to bring her son to visit. E.M. lived and worked there, police involvement.

Trevorton, PA, 4/00, removed neighbor, Bob Lebo, from my front porch after a public disturbance. Mr. Lebo was outraged over the fact that a contractor parked his vehicle three feet on Lebo’s side of an imaginary line on a state highway. The next day, his son told the contractor, he doesn’t know what’s wrong with his dad, Dan doesn’t bother anyone. Note: Lebo’s behavior has been consistent with E. M. and her stories.

Millville, NJ, 6/00, E. M. separated from Chuck Bishop for his substance abuse and his not working, and was living with her brother when I brought her son to visit. The visits stopped when her boyfriend showed up.

> Find Cindy Marley - E M's sister in law, you prosecuted me for them.

PA State Police, 7/04/00, stolen narcotic medication, E. M. visited her son. Report #F80685256. A letter from E. M. to state police, “I took off with her son and harassed her with letters, she has them.” NOTE: I simply responded to her messenger’s statements, and to her writing/notes to me.

NJ, 12/14/00, hearing for visitation enforcement with S. G. and my daughter. Partial custody changed to supervised visitations, one day per month. E. M. present. A letter surfaced from E. M. quoting my daughter Gabrielle talking about me trying to kidnap her and nothing about her brother Everett.

PA State Police, 12/22/00, hang up calls. Report #F8701256

1/12/01, told Susan Pires to stop calling me and defending Ralph Rebuck who was caught neglecting his elderly father, again.

PA State Police, 1/18/01, stolen journals and medical records. Report #F8703592, this is when I noticed it.

Bloomsburg, PA, 2/01 to 5/01, licensed therapist, Cynthia Thomas, for son. He was having nightmares of monsters trying to take him and I couldn’t help him. After visiting his mother & Mr. Chuck Bishop
2/10/01, son's birthday party, bully came to crash party and struck a 6 year old little girl and another boy. E. M. did not attend. About 12 kids with parents were in our home and Everet's 1st grade teacher attended with her grandchildren.

PA State Police, 4/01, minor with weapons, death threats, juvenile, criminal, no copy. Nick Pires, neighbor and friend to Rebuck, likewise Susan Pires, his mother. I did not know S. P. was building a case on me for E. M. and company.

5/06/01, First Holy Communion, E. M. did not attend, Margaret Shoup and son did.

6/01, eight days visiting his mother, E. M., in NJ, video taped. Both E. M. and C. Bishop denied seeing the child at this time when they testified in a PA courtroom, when E. M. was claiming emotional abuse of my son by alienation and control by me. The child and I both stated that he visited his mother and indicated a problem with C. Bishop. The child also visited his mother Easter vacation and Mothers Day. The mother made no attempt to see him. AND EVERY ATTEMPT TO HARASS ME. Thank you does she really fear me?

7/04/01, Shamokin firework display at Margaret Shoup's home. Video taped.

Avalon, NJ, 7/08/01, summer camp for son, seven days. State licensed social worker, Margaret Taddy, of OVR made these arrangements. Her and a staff member came to our home and interviewed my son.

PA State Police, 8/02/01, hang up calls. Report #F080722591

9/01/01, picnic at park with Margaret Shoup and family, photos. M. S. stated, I isolated my son from society to S. Moroz of Children and Youth, it also appears on a petition of placement.

CPS, PA, 9/06/01, child abuse, unfounded. Sherry Moroz, reinstated 12/04/01, unfounded 1/28/02. Child kept in foster care by Judge Fuedale due to the mother's custody suit filed by E. M. The child was released 4/01/02 into E. M.'s care. Neither E. M. or her fiancé were employed.

(9)
In PA, kids are being abused by the system that is then placing the rap on the parent(s). This is done for reimbursement funds for the county (state & fed).

CPS and PA State Police, 9/08/01 at 10:18 PM came to my home to check on my son, they left a message on the answering machine and did not mention a court order. Tape in my possession. Found Margaret Shoup abusing my son and removed him from her care. M. S. left a 4 to 5 minute message apologizing, saved.

At this point, it’s his mother, mordz, Shoup, and me. Bishop that wanted to shoot...in 2007, he showed up on 9/11 stations and was not stopped by firefighters.

Northumberland County Sheriff Department searched my home in September 2001, with no warrant, probable cause and refused to give me information when subpoenaed, 4/28/05. E. M. and her boyfriend were there September 2001. They also refused to return calls for this information. Denied in writing by their attorney. They also went to one of the private doctors to apprehend the child prior to the evaluation. The doctor did not protect an abused child.

Millville, NJ, family death, 9/23/01, Dan Zalinskis. State police in NJ were told that I was armed and dangerous and abusing my son. They were sent to my father, D. Z. E. M. has gone from boyfriends with weapons to actually using police. Unlike PA, NJ is a gun state. Wrongful death from harassment. All parties were informed of the man’s health status. Called from Vinal and Long Distant—Record.

Sunbury, PA, 9/27/01, I called CPS supervisor, Diane Stine, and asked for a one week postponement for court which was 9/28/01. She was told it was my father’s day for his last rites, she knew of it. And the child saw two private psychologists and checked out fine. She escalated with a bench warrant. NJ State Police were sent to his widow’s home in the am hours and used forced entry after someone told them we were there. We weren’t! His widow went to the emergency room twice for a mild heart attack.

Trenton, NJ, 11/01, DYFS worker, Patricia Holbrig, contacted NCCYS in PA for transfer of jurisdiction of the child. She was told the fictitious stories and police in area responded. She was mention in a psychological evaluation as ‘someone named Trish said this is a witch hunt.’

Frederick, Maryland Police, 11/29/01, arrested for refusal to turn son over to CPS, no weapons. Report #01139549, son was placed in the care of two psychologists and tested to be unremarkable by one, upset by the turmoil by the other, and very bitter about the 9/11 attack. Note: We left PA prior to any verbal or written court order as per all petitions by case worker Sherry (10)

I will not allow you to get out of this. You cannot derail a conspired effort. PA did not order this. They didn’t know where he lived or where he wasn’t located. This came from Judge in N.J. working to finally harm someone — anyone — but particularly me over alleged emotional abuse of my son. This is f—in phenomenal.
Moroz of CPS. **THIS PARENTAL RIGHT IS LISTED IN THE JUVINILE ACT, PA TITLE #42, 6357, RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF CUSTODIAN PARENT.**

PA State Police, 12/08/01, burglary, no forced entry, follow-up 12/11/01, witnesses identified E. M. Report #F080735466. The report states she was there Sept., Oct. and Nov. of 2001. She testified in court of only being there in Dec. and taking nothing. Approximately $7,000.00 in unmarital items taken. She and her boyfriend also stole two family cats. They are now dead.

TOTAL TO DATE IS OVER 20,000.

12/10/01, told Nick Pires to get off my property and stay off.

Cumberland County, NJ, 4/04/02, restraint order application by E. M. as per instructions from Sheri Moroz. FV-06-0011-3202. Police case #0610. Denied. E. M. stated her witnesses were S. Moroz and Judy Wiley to an assault on me by Charles Bishop concerning robbing my home. And here he is —

Sunbury, PA, 11/01/02, Divorced. Pushed around by Chuck Bishop in front of a sheriff deputy and called disgusting names as well. It was later stated, I was to be arrested and E. M. was to take my car to NJ, a non marital item. You prosecuted me for this guy, thank you.

Trevorton, PA, 3/03 to 5/03, a friend visiting had her car blocked in by neighbor, Bob Lebo, a note left on it at another time and followed home by Susan Piers, and told not to park there by Ralph Rebuck due to Lebo having a car cleaning business. Written statement 4/24/03.

NJ State Police, 4/27/03, E. M. victim, criminal, no copy. Headquarters specifically stated, nothing on me. Likewise PA State Police criminal back round check, nothing on me. You prosecuted me for them, thank you.

10/28/03, sale of home, settlement, E. M. not present. Her attorney, Greg Stuck and settlement attorney Joe Machitti, refused to acknowledge pre nuptial agreement.

Trevorton, PA, 10/30/03, CV-03-1651, sued by the buyers for refusal to split proceeds. E. M.’s attorney refused to escrow proceeds of sale as he previously stated in writing prior to the settlement on the divorce decree.

(11)

I'm assaulted in PA by NOT CASE BISHOP & THE PLAIN'TH - VICTIM - CONSPIRATORS WANT MORE INJUSTICE BY THE JUSTICE SYSTEM THAT FAILED TO PROSECUTE THE CONSPIRATORS. Fisher is a former prosecutor?? How many innocent people in N.J. prisons?
11/03, foreclosure by mortgage company, CV-03-1885. Greg Stuck actually told the realtor that E. M. owes him a great deal of money and he wanted the mortgage company to foreclose on me, he would buy the house from them, and sell it to the same people.

2/19/04, settlement #2, E. M.’s attorney agreed to release money to be placed in escrow. There was a $5,000.00 loss to me for costs.

No contact with E. M. since 11/02/02, Divorce.

1/24/06, my son called sounding completely dejected. Could not or was not allowed to answer questions about his mother not making visitation arrangements or allowing him to call me. He also stated he was confused about the legal actions that I am going to initiate. Then E. M. took the phone from the child and started on me with a guilt trip, (audience). She did not know where I lived or my phone number or my address. Note: it was the house we sold and same phone number, the realtor listed, the buyers purchased, her attorney tried to extort for payment, and E. M. was in contact with all parties. I must say, this caused me to be sarcastic and laugh out loud when I said, her lawyer had all that information and it was the same house she and her fiance robbed. She refused to allow the child back on the phone so I terminated the call. E. M. has resorted to harassing me through children, my son and daughter as well as through the police, government agencies, sheriff departments, domestic violence intake, and the courts in both states (NJ and PA). She has conspired with countless people on a regular basis. AND IT CONTINUES

On 9/15/06, before Honorable Judge Johnson in Cumberland County Family Court, I reestablished joint custody of my 15 year old daughter, Gabrielle. Gabrielle stated through out the summer that she has been abused by E. M. through out her life, physically and mentally. She stated that she has seen her brother, Everet being physically abused by Chas. Bishop and held his head down and simply allowed the abuse to continue. She has stated that she went after Bishop and was physically assaulted by him for trying to stop the abuse on her brother.

my daughter has been living outside like an animal. How do your judges sleep at night. Only 500000ths would look the other way.

(12)

In 2008 Hon. J. Johnson stopped me from seeing my son granting a PA Court order. The PA Court order, again, one we after the other and reflects perfect lives of my kids in perfect care. OR it’s all in my mind. This confirmed everything; it’s New Jersey
On 9/15/06, Gabrielle's mother stated that E. M. put her up to making up stories about me and taking them to PA DYFS (CPS) and Sheri Moroz in order to gain control of the child, Everet. The ladies created an abuse scenario on me. It was conveyed to me by both Everet and Gabrielle that all the abuse was carried out by Elaine and Charles Bishop. This was also confirmed by private psychologists that my son had seen in 2001, that got ignored by the PA caseworker, Sherry Moroz, and family court. The doctor testified to his findings.

The two ladies kissed & made up & bombarded my son with more garbage.

(13)
Women Shelter Visits:

1. July 1993, Linwood, NJ, two weeks, quit job at JCPenney, harassment from Marley family, from 4/29 family death. Called to return home. A letter surfaced from E. M. addressing a lifetime of family abuse and harassment and abandonment by her mother. E.M. quoted her twin sister as stating my one (1) year old daughter Gabrielle as being mentally retarded and autistic, and stated the same treatment to other in-laws, one of which has an impaired child. She, Barbara, took both boys back to Oklahoma after break up with husband, Nick Marley. Cindy, wife to Bill Marley, experienced harassment before and after her father died.

2. October 1994, Bloomsburg, PA, three weeks, quit job at Ames, harassment from Marley family, from 8/2 family death. Called to return home. A letter surfaced from E. M. to Margaret Shoup addressing E. M. running away from herself. Another letter to me addressing her mental status and no abuse.

3. September 1995, Salem, NJ, two weeks, quit job at JCPenney, family problems, I filed a missing person complaint, she left son behind, no argument. Missing persons complaint by PA State Police, called to return home. Two letters surfaced from E. M. re-addressing harassment by her family, one specifically, stating the number of people each sister slept with.

4. January 1996, Sunbury, PA, two weeks, quit job at Weis Market involved with Anderson family, argument over Missy, Joe and guns. Called to return home. A letter was written and destroyed by E. M. addressing her thoughts and friends.

5. December 1996, Lewisburg, PA, three weeks, quit job at Dollar Tree, left for NJ, returned to PA due to family problems. Called to return home, asked to return (all of us) or relocate to NJ, a letter surfaced from E. M. to her family, she wanted us to get along.

6. May 1997, Lewisburg, PA, one week, recently quit job at Sheetz Gas station, living with Ralph Rebuck, age 58, since 4/18/97. Her son was
brought over to her house next door for a visit and she absconded to a shelter. Custody hearing 5/13/97, equal shared custody, no abuse reports. Returned home 6/04/97. Two letters surfaced from E. M., one to Dr. Rak of Bloomsburg Hospital, addressing rape, one to her family addressing the way she has made her son and I live.

7. September 1997, no job, left for NJ and twin sister, her element. A letter surfaced to Mr. Rebuck addressing sexual assault, weapons, isolation, child abuse and local harassment employed by Ralph Rebuck.

8. Second custody hearing 12/10/97, father full physical custody, restrictions on mother. Son (Everet) placed for his safety 9/02/97 by both parents. Sent to Florida via airline from Philadelphia with written consent by both parents.

ALL LETTERS, NOTES, COURT RECORDS, POLICE REPORTS, WITNESS STATEMENTS, AFFIDAVITS CONCERNING PREAMPTUARY, CPS RECORDS/REPORTS, SON'S TREATING CLINICIANS, MY 4 CLINICIANS, ON FILE. 

---

Oldest two children and 1st marriage; Cumberland County, NJ Family Court D-468-76, initial separation. Reconciliation, 5/78, final separation, 10/16/78, Gloucester County, NJ Family Court M-164157, divorce 12/11/79, no spousal and/or child abuse on my part. Newfield police involvement, stepfather, custody change. 5/14/84, oldest child again came to me through an attorney, battered by the stepfather. 1986 and 88 my daughter came to me through the courts stating all forms of abuse by the stepfather. The children's grandmother, Phyllis DeFeo, was very aware of the situation as well as the aunt (Judy). All records on file.

The abuse stops when children become abusers. Psych 101
Women Shelter visits continued:

No police involvement with any women shelter visits. (ie) Domestic Violence.

1. 7/93, Linwood, NJ, Reason: no more visits from twin sister and father at my home!

2. 10/94, Bloomsburg, PA. Three weeks prior to the shelter, the Stonington State Police questioned me concerning an argument. My response was, she became violent towards me and basically punched me out. This happened during my first back injury in PA. I was under doctor’s care. When she went to the shelter, she left a letter behind addressing her mental status, no violence. State Police also interviewed family members present. No violence on my part was reported. Reason: no more calls from twin sister and father to my home!

3. 9/95, Salem, NJ, same as above, restraint order, temp., Salem County, NJ. The only relevant information were her statements concerning her twin sister, Sherry, coercing her to return to NJ and prosecute me for separating them both.

4. 1/96, Sunbury, PA—Mrs. Anderson became involved due to her daughter, Missy and Elaine being friends. Mrs. Anderson once lived in the attic of her home for over two (2) years completely isolated from society and her family. Mr. Anderson (pastor) allegedly had affairs with the women of his church. Missy left home at an early age due to having to raise her siblings. Argument about Missy, Joe and guns.

5. 12/96, Lewisburg, PA—More involvement with Missy and Joe. PFA CV-96-1679(restraint order) surfaced and stated, “an act of sex almost forced on her, but she kicked herself free”. Also stated, “financial control”. Also stated, “I kept her from her family in NJ”.

Note: We spent the entire summer of “96 in NJ at which time she applied for employment. She later stated one (1) rape to a family evaluator, Judy Wiley of Northumberland County, PA on 1/10/02, and produced a picture of herself with a black eye taken while living in NJ (1993) and stated in court that I did this.

\[ RIRLINO S \]

\[ TRAILER \]
I outright denied this nonsense! No one asked me about weapons or rape in court. I only admitted to giving her ONE (1) slap on the face after she attacked her son and had him hysterical.

6. 5/97, Lewisburg, PA—While living with Ralph Rebucket, I brought her son to visit, she absconded to the shelter, crying abuse on 5/08/97 to 5/13/97. On the thirteenth she was present for a custody hearing filed by me, and reported no abuse, CV-96-1745, shared physical custody, no restrictions. On 6/04/97, she left Mr. Rebucket and returned home, she stated, “he isolated her and her son from having any friends and contact with me and stated he abused her physically”. She resided with Rebucket from 4/18/97 to 6/04/97.

7. 9/07/97, she left the relationship permanently to live with her twin sister. Our son was placed 9/02/97 for his safety, on 9/04/97 she told her psychiatrist that she might harm him and asked for a higher dose of medication. Dr. Shiffenbaugh, Northumberland County PA Mental Health.

8. 12/10/97, father full physical custody, restriction on mother. CV-97-1397.

The death threats continued. Boyfriend in PA (Rebucket) weapons, boyfriend and family in NJ (Marley and Stidham) physical threats and police, court, harassment. E. M. was obsessed with weapons and anyone with a weapon, even police. Her abuse stories are phenomenal and compelling. I have not had a weapon since 1972.

Abandoned son. She filed a PFA (prevention for abuse) restraint, 12/96, to regain custody. A total of five (5) documented cases of child abandonment. He was eventually placed 9/02/97, until custody was established. Elaine Marley is currently playing the abuse card and is stating to officials that I abused our son, court record, 7/03/03, Judge Testa, Cumberland County, NJ. She appeared on behalf on Sharon Geletka. She stated, “I only visited my son once or twice in April 2002 and stopped

in 1997, she left him behind twice for drugs & M.A. Then cried rape. If I was so erzy & dangerous, she would of gone to court/po for to get him (court documented stuff).
seeing him. I also abused my son. My visitation rights for my daughter were terminated”. Court tape in my possession.

**Doctors list:** Dr. Laura Messier, Psychologist. 8/99, evaluation for Social Security purposes, stated all events on previous pages were in my mind. She specifically stated, police, government agencies, and judges, would not allow that to happen. My statement to her was that’s who is doing it. E. M. and case worker Sherry Moroz testified in court of taking this evaluation in 12/01. NJ official reported having this information in 9/01. This report was used against me and allowed by Judge Feudale. Sherry Moroz influenced county preferred doctors with the stolen evaluation. It’s in their reports. She first tried to schedule a family evaluation with Dr. Messier. She later scheduled the family evaluation with pseudo psychologist, county employee Judy Wiley. Wiley questioned me about my childhood with Phyllis DeFeo and childhood abuse in front of my eight year old son that Moroz placed in foster care after stating I did this. All this was missed by Honorable Feudale that referred to Judy Wiley as a licensed psychologist in a court order, and ignored completely all private doctors used by myself and my son, one of which stated, “the child’s mild disposition was a result of his grandfather dying.” In one hand Moroz had a stolen doctor report with it’s colorful portrayal. In the other hand she had physical proof in the form of referrals, same names, making my statements to Dr. Messier to be truthful.

Dr. Juanita Wood, Psychiatrist, Bloomsburg, PA. 1/14/02, evaluation for custody. Doctors findings: mentally sound and stable. Produced at final hearing. Ignored by Feudale. **Lied on his court order.**

Barbara O’Brien, Licensed Therapist, Woodbury, NJ. From 4/02 to 4/03, twenty five (25) appointments, diagnostic impression 309.28. Fourteen receipts produced at final hearing. Ignored by Feudale. **Lied on his court order.** Note: her office is across the street from the Woodbury Court House where my 1st divorce took place. There is no spousal or child abuse on record.

Merry Woodrup, Licensed Therapist, Millville, NJ. 5/02 to 6/02, six appointments, parenting classes, Cumberland County Guidance Center. Records were not produced at final hearing by Sherry Moroz. This
clinician is directly associated with Cumberland County courts, there is no
abuse of my 1st wife and our initial separation in 1976, or with S. G. and our
custody matters in 1991 on court record.

Dr. Nicholas Brink, Psychologist, Lewisburg, PA. 8/04
to 12/04, six (6) appointments, tested positive for post traumatic stress
disorder due to past injuries and very upset due to previous court
proceedings concerning my children.

I was referred to Dr. Brink by Eugene Brosius, a
counselor I took my wife to in November and December 1994 after her
Bloomsburg Shelter visit. Our discussions consisted of family harassment
from E. M.'s family in NJ. It was later discovered that Marlene Shipe of
Northumberland County Mental Health, case manager, falsified records
concerning my statements to her about the 1994 family problem.

Dr. Wm. Hauck, Psychologist, Lewisburg, PA
4 & 5 2007, ordered by Fineman (Cu. C. Sup. Court Judge)
Then ignored
Testing - not a problem with me

2008 Dr. Hauck ordered again by Fineman, ignored
again. Retested - not a problem with me

Judge Johnson ordered another one - no more
Ignored by Fineman & Johnson

Judges no longer have immunity when they conspire
against someone.

Bottom line: Judges kept my son away from me in the event he
might say who is behind it. Here's the kicker; that's alienation, morez called
alienation emotional abuse & went into a crazed state of hysteria over it.
Can't be born. If you can explain Judge Johnson & Fineman falling back
on PA findings then you can't continue the abuse.

I am very prepared to introduce "extensively litigated" to a number of
people and I don't give a damn who it is. Look into the hearing with Fineman
& my son in chambers with the "eyes & ears" of EYES present. I'm certain you want
to do something about ladies-man-court very soon.
Cumberland County, Board of Chosen Freeholders, Mr. Ken McCracken, 

RE: TO COUNTY RECORDS

I received the response from your attorney concerning the matter of the records or information I requested, and I'm resubmitting my request with the definition from the attorney.

Frankly, I found the response to have an appearance of conscience. With the understanding that I am not an attorney, I will try to be as clear as I can. It is a given fact that Sheriff's officers carried out an investigation in 2001. They had in fact information they used while questioning dozens of individuals about me, most of whom were appalled by this information or manner in which it was carried out.

I am very specifically requesting the information used by County officers and the questions listed will help clarify specifically the information I'm guaranteed by law to have access to. Your attorney's know I understand the 1980 & have promised that fact to me.

Please comply. I'm including the cover page sent to the Sheriff's Office on 12-10-2010 after speaking to them by telephone. There's a long distant record of that as well as a postal receipt. I assure all concerned the request was mailed to them. It was not a greeting card. Plenty of time has transpired as to comply. Again, the appearance of evasiveness.

As we've previously stated, it was a major concern when I exercised my constitutional rights in Sept of 91, as well as comply to doctors orders concerning my minor child of tender years and a requested psych eval of PA DYS. As we stated, he was taken to two licensed psychologist, and the problem was not me. It's my intention to clarify the entire matter for the future of both minor children that were severely effected by this ordeal. Reality & truth is in their best interest over and above the findings of any court or gov. agency that was involved. Surely you would realize this, and please assist me with it.

Daniel J. Surmion
COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND
PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST FORM

To request access to public records, file this form with Ken Meeouch, Cumberland County Interim Custodian of Records. His office is located at the Cumberland County Administration Building, 790 East Commerce Street, Bridgeton, NJ 08302, his phone number is (856) 453-2125, the fax number is (856) 451-8243. The Custodian is generally required (subject to certain restrictions) to fill a records request within seven business days after receiving the request.

REQUESTER INFORMATION (please print or type)

First Name: Daniel MI Last Name: Rimmel
Mailing Address: 31 Market Street
City: Lewisburg State: PA Zip: 17837
Business Hours Telephone—Area Code 570 Number 524-0853
Fax:
Email:

Preferred Delivery: Pick Up US Mail On Site Inspection

Under penalty of N.J.S.A. 2C:28-3, I certify that I HAVE NOT (circle one) been convicted of any indictable offense under the laws of New Jersey, or any other state, of the United States, and I am not seeking government records containing personal information pertaining to the victim of a crime or the victim’s family.

Date: March 25, 11
Signature: Daniel F. Rimmel

INFORMATION REQUESTED (PLEASE BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE)

Second Request. March 15 2011: 12/10/10—“IT GOT LOST”—Sheriff's Office

The information you requested will be on xxxx pages numbered 1 through 6. Each page will describe something that a Cumberland County Judge (Barry Forance) stated that I admitted to in a court order now being used by Cumberland County Judges Freeman & Johnson. I have no recollection of it ever happening. I must have been mixed up with one of the hundreds of similar cases. The victims live in Cumberland County, N.J. and seem to have vivid memories that Virginia Police do not have an account or record of. Please submit the information your Judges are holding.

Custodian of Records
790 East Commerce Street
Bridgeton, NJ 08302

Phone: 856-453-2125 Fax 856-451-8243

Date Received: By:
I checked with the Sheriff's department and they have no record of receiving this request in December or at any other time.

To be produced, the requested material requested has to be a government record:

"Government record" or "record" means any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file in the course of his or its official business by any officer, commission, agency or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate boards thereof, or that has been received in the course of his or its official business by any such officer, commission, agency, or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate boards thereof. The terms shall not include inter-agency or intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material. N.J. Stat. § 47:1A-1.1

In addition, GRC issued the following guidance today:

“Valid OPRA request seeks specific, identifiable government records. Valid OPRA requests do not ask questions, do not seek information and do not require a custodian to conduct any research or create a new record.”

The OPRA Alert, Volume 3, Issue 3, issued by the Government Records Council

I am asking for the records used by a custodian during an investigation of sorts as well as court proceedings as well as the information sent to the above.

If I knew the specific identity of these records and or the origin of them, I would request the same. Therefore, I'm requesting all information to be produced.
CUMBERLAND COUNTY
PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
GOVERNMENT RECORDS REQUEST FORM

Important Notice
The reverse side of this form contains important information related to your rights concerning government records. Please read it carefully.

Requestor Information - Please Print

First Name: Daniel
Middle Initial: D
Last Name: Rummel

Company:

Mailing Address: 31 Market Street

City: Lewisburg
State: PA
Zip: 17837

Business Hours Telephone: Area Code - 570
Number: 524-0853

Preferred Delivery: Pick Up
US Mail
On Site Inspection

Circle One: Under penalty of N.J.S.A. 2C:28-3, I certify that I HAVE NOT been convicted of any indictable offense under the laws of New Jersey, any other state, or the United States.

Signature: Daniel Rummel
Date: Dec. 8, 2010

Payment Information

Maximum Authorization Cost: $100

Select Payment Method
Cash
Check ✓ Money Order

CONTACT ME FOR AMENDMENTS

Fees: Pages 1-10 @ $0.75
Pages 11-20 @ $0.50
Pages 21- @ $0.25

Delivery: Delivery/postage fees additional depending upon delivery type.

Extras: Extraordinary service fees dependent upon request.

Record Request Information: To expedite the request, be as specific as possible in describing the records being requested. Also, please include the type of access requested (copying or inspection).

See attached papers for specific information, 1-5

Previous New Jersey Addresses (Cumberland County):
1986-1994, 266 Burns Avenue, Vineland - res/home
1985-1986, 515 Birch Street, Vineland - res/home
1973-1978, 1602 W. Walnut Road, Vineland, res/home
1971-1973, 1105 Elmer Road, Vineland, Apt

I am particularly interested in the information records of Sept-Dec of 2001, all of it. Your office was very involved.

MAILED (2/10/10)

These addresses could help in finding a record of my crimes to humanity.

Records Provided:

Office Use Only

Disposition Notes
Custodian: If any part of request cannot be delivered in seven business days, detail reasons here.

In Progress: Open
Denied: Closed
Filled: Closed
Partial: Closed

Tracking Information

Tracking #: 
Record Date: 
Ready Date: 
Total Pages: 

Office Use Only

Final Cost:

Records Provided:

Office Use Only

Estimated Balance:

Deposit Date:

Estimated Balance:

Deposit Date:

Estimated Balance:

Deposit Date:

Estimated Balance:

Deposit Date:
Please submit a record of the following questions. Sheriff Officers had a record of and questioned dozens of people with.

1. I want the names of the people interviewed by Morris & Naives. These names were not drawn from a hat or bingo basket. They were on a list/record.

2. I want the statements made by them. Again, recorded fact/statements.

3. I want to know how your officers got their names and addresses and telephone numbers.
   The record of it.

4. I want an account of my domestic violence toward women and children in Cumberland County N.J. from 1955-1994. The record being used against me in a Pa. Court proceeding & Court order (being used by Cumberland Co. Sup. Court).

5. I want an account of me kidnapping my daughter 12/99. This really should be on record. Judge Fisher was involved 12/00.

6. I want an account of my mafia ties and drug dealing. This should be on record.

7. I want an account of my substance abuse/Alcohol abuse.
   This was talked about as a matter of fact by officers.

8. I want an account of my use of weapons.
   Likewise; "armed and dangerous."

9. I want an account of my mental impatient status of Cumberland County Guidance Center.
   Officers made this statement repeatedly. (Note: no such facility) (impatient)

10. I want an account of me being gay.
    And having a thing for my son, also - dressed him like a girl.
11- I WANT AN ACCOUNT OF ME Molesting CHILDREN.

12- I WANT AN ACCOUNT OF ME BEING ASSOCIATED WITH O. J. SIMPSON.

   STATEMENT WAS MADE AND NOTE, I WASN'T THERE EITHER.

13- I WANT AN ACCOUNT OF ME BEING UNPATRIOTIC.

   DOES ANYONE IN Cu. Co. WORK For Fox News?

14- I WANT AN ACCOUNT OF ME BEING ANTI SEMETIC AND A NAZI.

   FIRST WORDS TO ME FROM MY DAUGHTER IN 2006.

15- Did Cumberland County take part in a CONSPIRACY TO DESTRUCT MY LIFE, MY CHILDREN, AND MY FATHERS?

   YES OR NO

16- Did Nick Marley MAKE A REPORT TO POLICE, OR COUNTY PEOPLE, ABOUT ME ABUSING HIS SISTER PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY ON A REGULAR BASIS WHILE NOT CONFRONTING ME ON HER BEHALF.

   (HE LIVED WITH US) YES OR NO.

17- WERE POLICE AND/or SHERIFF OFFICERS AT MY DADS VILLAGE WITH RIFLES OR SWAT TEAMS TO USE ME FOR TARGET PRACTICE AS THEY SUGGESTED TO MY DAD AND OTHERS.

   When officers GET AN ASSIGNMENT, THERE IS A RECORD OF IT.

18- The full Account of ME ASSAULTING Charles Statham OR ANYTHING RELATING TO THE INCIDENT INCLUDING A COURT HEARING AND/OR ARREST ETC., AS PER A COUNTY DETECTIVE AND THE PROSECUTORS OFFICE.

   (SEE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE)

   Thank you

   David J. Rummel
IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW:

19 - Does New Jersey recognize Identity Theft/enhancement as a crime?

20 - Does Cumberland County have a copy of the Constitution and do they understand it's meaning?

21 - Does New Jersey and Cumberland County prosecute anyone for submitting false information? (Judge Fimeman doesn't, he believes then)

22 - Why haven't you prosecuted (Cumberland County) the real criminals that that conspired and produced malicious/false information being used by the Honorable ones making the big bucks that should know the law?

23 - I have original records of New Jersey that will expunge anything the State & FBI has on record (1988) Please produce my Cumberland County record that no one else has.

In all honesty, I would not answer these questions either at this time.

I've been as clear as I can possibly be, without seeing or knowing what was said or used against me by most honorable Judges in both States/Counties.

Part of due process is knowing of or seeing the evidence. In civil cases, there is no hearsay, it must be factual & records kept.

Again, Thank You

Daniel F. Pimmel

also;

I would like a copy of Cumberland County's Report submitted to Northumberland County, PA. All information acquired by Officers Morris & Naves during their investigation in Sept. 01 thru it's end and to today's date.