EXCELLENCE

and

ACCOUNTABILITY

REPORT

2008 - 2009
Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preface</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Data by Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section A. Institutional Narrative and Accreditation Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Institutional accreditation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Professional accreditation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section B. Number of Students Served</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of undergraduates by attendance status</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Number of graduates and first-professionals by attendance status</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Number of non-credit students served</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Unduplicated number of students for the entire academic year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section C. Characteristics of Undergraduate Students</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Mean math, reading and writing SAT scores</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Enrollment in remediation courses by subject area</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Race/ethnicity, sex, and age (separately)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Numbers of students receiving financial assistance under each federal-, state- and institutional-funded aid program</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Percentage of students who are New Jersey residents</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section D. Degrees Conferred/Characteristics of Graduates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. By race/ethnicity and sex (separately)</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. By general field</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section E. Student Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Graduation rates by race/ethnicity and income category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Four-, five- and six-year graduation rates</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Third-semester retention rates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. By race/ethnicity</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. By income category</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Percentage of entering students who are transfers</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Student research and other successes</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table of Contents

## Section F. Faculty Characteristics
1. Full-time faculty by race/ethnicity, sex, academic rank and tenure status 18
2. Percentage of course sections taught by full-time faculty 19
3. Ratio of full- to part-time faculty 19

## Section G. Characteristics of the Trustees or Governors
1. Race/ethnicity and sex (simultaneously) 21
2. List of Trustees with titles and affiliations 21
3. URL of webpage with information on trustees 21

## Section H. Profile of the Institution
1. Degree and certificate programs 23
2. Other 23

## Section I. Major Research and Public Service Activities 24

## Section J. Major Capital Projects Underway in Fiscal Year 2009 31

## II. Other Institutional Information
- Appendix A  Faculty Publications Bibliography
- Appendix B  Celebrating Student Writing Across the Curriculum (Magazine)
Preface to the 2009 Excellence and Accountability Report
(Institutional Profile)

This past year William Paterson University marked its 154th year of service. The University offers this institutional profile as an introduction to the students we serve, the faculty who teach them, the Trustees who oversee and guide our efforts and to the citizens of New Jersey for whom we exist.

The required figures, when possible, are illustrated with actual examples of the rich educational experiences students participate in and the many contributions faculty make to their disciplines and to the state of NJ through grant-funded and community outreach projects.

Arnold Speert
Introduction

William Paterson University marks its 154th year of service to the citizens of New Jersey in academic year 2008-09. The campus is located on 370 landscaped acres in suburban Wayne, NJ, just 25 miles from New York City. Strong academic programs and daily interactions with our outstanding faculty are at the heart of the William Paterson experience. Students tell us they feel challenged to do their very best here and academic majors are the most important reason they attend WPUNJ. Students have the opportunity to major in 43 undergraduate and 22 graduate programs in the liberal arts and sciences, education, business, health professions, communication and the arts. Graduate students also have the opportunity to pursue certification, certificate and endorsement programs. The University continues to expand its academic offerings and presented its first doctoral offering, the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP), to the NJ Presidents’ Council for approval. For more details about all our programs please visit: http://ww2.wpunj.edu/academics/index_academics.html.

During the 2008-09 academic year over 10,000 William Paterson students took advantage of our suburban campus with convenient access to the cultural and educational activities of New York City. The following pages highlight our students and faculty and what they accomplish in the classroom, on campus and in their communities. But we invite you to an open house because the best way to get to know William Paterson is to come and visit us. For Undergraduate programs please see http://ww2.wpunj.edu/admissions/visiting/ohouse.cfm and for graduate programs, please see http://ww2.wpunj.edu/graduate/.
A. Accreditations

William Paterson University has been continuously accredited by the Middle States Commission, the region’s accrediting body for colleges and universities, since 1958. This past year saw the beginning of preparations for our spring 2011 reaccreditation visit from the Commission. In addition, the high quality of our academic programs is evidenced by the national accreditations held by many of them.

The following is a list of all the accreditations for the University’s programs.

**UNIVERSITY PROGRAM ACCREDITATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS**

- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA)
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB)
- Commission for the Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs (CAAHEP)
- Commission on Accreditation of Athletic Training Education (CAATE)
- Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE)
- Computing Accreditation Commission of the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET)
- Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP)
- Masters in Psychology Accreditation Council (MPAC)
- National Association of Music Merchants Affiliated Music Business Institutions (NAMBI)
- National Association of Schools of Art and Design (NASAD)
- National Association of Schools of Music (NASM) Professional Services Board
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE)
- New Jersey Board of Nursing
- Society for Public Health Education (SOPHE)/American Association for the Advancement of Health Education Baccalaureate Program Approval Committee
B. Number of students served

This past fall William Paterson University served 10,443 students; 8,741 attended as undergraduates and 1,515 attended as graduates. Most undergraduates, 83 percent, attended the University as full-time students. The reverse is true for graduate students since the majority are employed full-time; 81.0 percent attended as part-time students. A small number of undergraduates, 133, attended as non-degree, visiting students. For Fiscal Year 2008, 12,337 students attended William Paterson either in the fall, spring or both semesters yielding an unduplicated headcount of 10,268 undergraduate and 2,069 graduate students with an overall University FTE of 9,051.

**Wm. Paterson**
**Table II.B.1:**
Undergraduate Enrollment by Attendance Status, Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-time</th>
<th>Part-time</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
<td>7,241</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>8,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pct</strong></td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey

**Wm. Paterson**
**Table II.B.2:**
Graduate Enrollment by Attendance Status, Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-time</th>
<th>Part-time</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
<td>289</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>1,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pct</strong></td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey

**Wm. Paterson**
**Table II.B.4:**
Unduplicated Enrollment, FY 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Headcount Enrollment</th>
<th>Credit Hours</th>
<th>FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>10,268</td>
<td>247,778</td>
<td>8,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>2,069</td>
<td>19,015</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>12,337</td>
<td>266,793</td>
<td>9,051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS 12-Month Enrollment Survey
C. Characteristics of undergraduate students

William Paterson University meets the higher education needs of New Jersey high school graduates who are looking for an outstanding college education closer to home. Last fall showed a marked increase in applications to the University: 6,328 undergraduate applications were received, 3,834 students were accepted and 1,204 first-time, full-time, first-year students enrolled. An additional 863 new transfer students, 74 second degree and 141 readmitted students were also welcomed to the campus.

1. Mean math and verbal SAT scores

SAT scores are one of the criteria used in the admission decision process for new undergraduate students. The majority of first-time, full-time, first-year students, 68.1 percent or 839 students, met all admission criteria and were regular admitted students with average verbal SAT scores of 516 and average math SAT scores of 528. A growing honors program enrolled 100 new first-time, full-time, first-year students with combined SAT scores of 1184.

An additional 19.9 percent were admitted as special admit students using additional admission criteria. Some were sponsored students who have special abilities such as art, music or athletic aptitude that complement a number of University programs. An additional 8.6 percent were Educational Opportunity Fund (EOF) first-year students and smaller percents of new students were admitted as International and Nontraditional or older students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Math N</th>
<th>Reading N</th>
<th>Writing N</th>
<th>Math N</th>
<th>Reading N</th>
<th>Writing N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Admits</td>
<td>527.9</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>516.0</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>505.8</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOF Admits</td>
<td>432.6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>420.1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>409.4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Admits</td>
<td>442.0</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>433.0</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>433.4</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Admits</td>
<td>502.3</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>490.9</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>483.8</td>
<td>1,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Scores</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SURE Fall Enrollment file

2. Basic skills testing and remediation

Academic support services offered through the University’s Academic Support Center, Education Enrichment Center, and discipline-based learning centers such as the Science Enrichment Center help students succeed in their choices of academic programs. In addition, for a number of our first-time, full-time, first-year students help comes in the form of a basic skills course. Before starting classes a student’s need for developmental courses is established. Students who have VSAT scores equal to or greater than 550 and an Academic Index (AI) of 215 and above are exempt from reading and writing
placement tests. Those with MSAT scores equal to or better than 600 and an AI of 215 or higher do not take math placement tests. Those who do not meet these criteria are tested using Accuplacer.

This past fall, 968 or 11.1 percent of William Paterson’s undergraduates were enrolled in one or more basic skills courses. For those who were first-time, full-time, first-year students almost half required some help. Computation and Reading are the areas in which students most frequently need assistance. Students requiring these courses are closely monitored to ensure that courses are successfully completed during their first year attending William Paterson. This past fall 92 percent of those enrolled in basic skills reading courses passed the course, 90 percent passed the English course and 56 percent passed basic skills math. Those who do not initially pass their basic skills courses are immediately re-enrolled in the following semester. Students who successfully complete their basic skills requirements are as successful at college level work as other students who did not have any basic skills requirements.
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Table IIC.2 Enrollment In Remediation Courses

1. Name of basic skills placement test administered and criteria (if any) for selecting test takers in fall 2008  Accuplacer

2. Total Number of Undergraduate Students Enrolled in Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Fall 2008 Undergraduate Enrollment</th>
<th>Number of Students Enrolled in One or More Remedial Courses</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8,741</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Enrollment = includes all students, FT, PT, returning, transfer, etc.

3. Total number of First-time, Full-time (FTFT) students enrolled in remediation in fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of FTFT Students</th>
<th>Number of FTFT Students Enrolled in One or More Remedial Courses</th>
<th>Percent of FTFT Enrolled in One or More Remedial Course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. First-time, Full-time students (FTFT) enrolled in remediation in fall 2008 by subject area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Area</th>
<th>Number of FTFT Enrolled In:</th>
<th>Percent of all FTFT Enrolled In:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computation</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages are computed using the total number provided in part 3.
Source: SURE Fall Enrollment file

3. Race/ethnicity, sex and age

The diversity of New Jersey’s population is embraced in the University’s mission and reflected in the University’s enrollment figures. In fall 2008, 53 percent of undergraduates were White; 18.1 percent were Latino/a; 13.9 percent were African American; 5.9 percent were Asian and almost 1 percent were international students.
At the graduate level 72.3 percent were White, 8.1 Hispanic, 4.7 percent African American and 2.9 percent identified themselves as Asian. An additional 2.6 were international or non-resident aliens and 9.4 percent declined to report the information.

Like many public senior degree granting institutions around the country, more than half of William Paterson’s undergraduates, 55.6 percent, were female. The average age of undergraduates was 22.6 years and 55.7 percent of all undergraduates were 21 years or younger and almost 9 percent were 30 years or older.

The diversity of the student body is also reflected in the wide variety of cultural and social activities sponsored by such University offices as the Women’s Center and the many student clubs such as the Muslim Student Association, Italian Club, OLAS (Organization of Latin American Students), SABLE (Sisters for Awareness, Black Leadership, & Equity), Spanish Club as well as many others http://ww2.wpunj.edu/Studentservices/studentactiv/clubs.htm. It is also seen in specific academic majors such as Asian Studies, African, African American, and Caribbean Studies, Latin American and Latino Studies, Women’s Studies and the new critical languages initiative —Arabic, Japanese, Chinese, Hindi,
Korean, Persian, Russian and Turkish—for those majoring in teacher education. A cross cultural perspective is infused in majors such as Spanish, French and Francophile Studies, Anthropology, International Business, Sociology, History and Political Science as well as many more.

4. Numbers of students receiving financial assistance under each state-funded aid program

Several revenue sources support William Paterson’s operations but two make up the bulk of the University’s revenues--funding from the State of New Jersey and tuition and fees-- and the two are intricately tied to each other. As the State’s funding for higher education declines, colleges and universities are ever more dependent upon tuition and fees to support their activities. For many of our students’ families this means financial aid is an extremely important component of how they will pay for their college education. Almost 7 out of 10 undergraduates attending William Paterson last year received some form of financial aid to help pay for college. Still many supplemented their awards with paid employment on- and off-campus and additional loans.

In FY 2008 18,522 awards were made totaling $70,860,308 including some other loans (SMART, ACG, EOF graduate students etc.) not listed in this table. Approximately 18 percent of these awards, $12,467,844, were State of New Jersey funded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wm. Paterson</th>
<th>Table II.C.4:</th>
<th>Financial Aid from State, Federal &amp; Institution-Funded Programs, FY 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipients</strong></td>
<td><strong>Awards</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dollars($)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE PROGRAMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>1,968</td>
<td>3,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloustein Scholars</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Scholars</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJCLASS Loans</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>4,517,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Stars II</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSRP</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL PROGRAMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell Grants</td>
<td>2,218</td>
<td>6,357,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Work Study</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>336,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins Loans</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>147,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEOG</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>506,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford Loans (Subsidized)</td>
<td>3,813</td>
<td>15,510,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford Loans (Unsubsidized)</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>10,118,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Loans</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>4,489,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants/Scholarships</td>
<td>2,876</td>
<td>7,301,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HESAA System Files for state programs, NJIPEDS form #41 for federal & institutional programs
The University helps as well by dispersing more than $7,301,000 in institutional funds. The bulk of these funds are in the form of scholarships. The Office of Scholarships goal “is to provide support and guidance and to serve as an additional resource to student scholars enrolled at William Paterson University. We provide services to more than 800 William Paterson University scholars who are recipients of our various institutional merit-based scholarships for incoming students in addition to administering and monitoring more than 200 Foundation and Academic Departmental Scholarships.” For more information please see [http://ww2.wpunj.edu/scholarships/](http://ww2.wpunj.edu/scholarships/)

5. Percentage of students who are NJ residents

William Paterson University predominantly serves the citizens of New Jersey. The full-time, first-year class is reflective of the entire undergraduate population; nearly all, 96.6 percent, are from New Jersey and more than half of all undergraduates, 54.7 percent, originate from Bergen and Passaic counties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Residents</th>
<th>Non-State Residents</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% State Residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE, FALL 2008
D. Degrees conferred/characteristics of graduates

This past year 1,902 students graduated with the majority of the degrees, 1,597, awarded to undergraduates. At the graduate level 305 master’s degrees were awarded in addition to the hundreds of students completing certification and endorsement programs in the College of Education.

About sixty percent of undergraduates who graduated were White, almost 10 percent were African American, 15 percent Hispanic, and 6 percent were Asian. Nearly 6 out of 10 graduating undergraduates were women.

*Degrees awarded in 2008* by level and type of degree

The most popular majors continue to be: business administration, education, social sciences such as sociology, political science, and psychology, communication, and English. The following table lists the majors and the number of students graduating in each category for undergraduate and graduate students.
E. Student outcomes

1. Graduation rates by race/ethnicity and income:

a. Four-, five- and six-year graduation rates

Since most college students must work while attending college, graduating in five years rather than four
has become the national norm. Employment makes it difficult to carry 16 credits per semester, the
number needed to complete a degree in four years. On average William Paterson full-time students are
enrolled for 13 credits per semester, making five to six years a more realistic time frame for completing
a degree. In addition, college students are mobile often starting at one college, moving onto another and
finally graduating from yet another. The importance of these factors is recognized by such national
initiatives as the Voluntary System of Accountability’s (VSA) Student Profile which incorporates the
realities of today’s college students into its success and progress model.

Following the VSA model, for the most recent graduating full-time, first-year cohort to complete four
years, our fall 2002 cohort, 16.2 percent graduated in four-years, 41.3 percent in five-years and 45.2
percent in six-years. Another 10 percent graduated from other colleges and an additional 6 percent are
still pursuing their degrees at William Paterson University and about another 8 percent are pursuing
degrees at other institutions bringing the undergraduate success and progress rate to almost 70 percent.

| Wm. Paterson |
| Table II.E.1.a |
| Four-, Five- and Six-Year Graduation Rates of Fall 2002 Full-time First-time Freshmen by Race/Ethnicity |

<p>| White | African American | Hispanic | Asian | Non-Resident Alien | Other * | Total |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Pct</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Pct</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Pct</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Pct</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Pct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2002 Cohort</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates after 4 Years</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates after 5 Years</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,531</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates after 6 Years</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other includes American Indian and Unknown Race.

Background characteristics of students also influence retention and graduation rates. Gender, race/ethnicity, and income/social class, are a few of the most influential ones. At William Paterson the
four- and six-year graduation rates for minority students who are part of the fall 2002 cohort are 16.0
percent and 43.2 percent, respectively. These rates, while improving, are a bit lower than the overall
graduation rates for all the students who make up the fall 2002 cohort; 16.2 percent and 45.3 percent,
respectively. The six-year graduation rate for all female students, 52.2 percent, is above the overall
average as is the rate for those who are African American females, 46.3 percent, Asian females, 63.9
percent, and for White female students, 56.6 percent. (These patterns are also reflected at the national
level in the figures from the Consortium for Student Retention Data Exchange, CSRDE which
represents data from over 420 colleges and universities across the country.

The graduation rates for low-income students, using the provided definition of TAG NJEI scores of
2499 and below, are 8.6 percent for those graduating in four years and 34.6 percent for those graduating
in six years. These rates are below our overall four-year graduation rate of 16.2 percent and 45.3 percent, respectively. While these rates are typical of national figures for students with similar economic backgrounds and academic preparation the University continues to seek ways to improve these students’ chances for graduation success.
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Table II.E.1.b
Four-, Five- and Six-Year Graduation Rates of Fall 2002 Full-time First-time Freshmen by Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low Income *</th>
<th>Non-Low Income</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Num</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Num</td>
<td>Pct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2002 Cohort</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduates after 4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Graduates after 5 years</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduates after 6 Years</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Low Income is defined as student with a NJ Eligibility Index between 1 and 2,499.

2. Third-semester retention rates:
   a. By race/ethnicity
   b. For income categories

Just as graduation rates are affected by institutional and student characteristics so are retention rates. The first-year retention rate for all William Paterson Fall 2007 first-time, full-time, first-year students is 76.7 percent. The one-year retention rate for Asian students is 81.2 percent, 79.1 percent for African American students and 76.6 percent for White students. Hispanic students showed the largest increase over last year’s figures, 75.7 percent compared to the one-year retention rate for the fall 2006 cohort of 70.2 percent.

Wm. Paterson
Table II.E.2.a
Third-Semester Retention of Full-time First-time Freshmen by Race/Ethnicity, Fall 2007 to Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retained</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Retained</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low-income students had one-year retention rates slightly above those who are above the low income range: 78.1 percent and 77.5 percent, respectively.
Third-Semester Retention of Full-time First-time Freshmen by Income, Fall 2007 to Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Income *</th>
<th>Non-Low Inc.</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pct</strong></td>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pct</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Retained</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Low Income is defined as student with a NJ Eligibility Index less than 2,499.

3. **Transfer students**

   a. Percentage of entering students who are transfers

Transfer students are an important part of the new student class. In fall 2008, 863 or 35.6 percent of all newly enrolled students entered as transfer students. More than half, 57.6 percent, were female and the average age of entering transfers was 23. The majority came from three NJ counties: Bergen, Passaic and Morris and almost a quarter reside on campus. Transfer students are most interested in pursuing degrees in business administration, psychology, sociology, communication, physical education, biology and English. The 2002 entering transfer cohort had a five-year graduation rate of 56.1 percent and those entering with 60 transfer credits or associate degrees had a graduation rate of 71.4 percent.

Entering Undergraduates by Admission Status and Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Transfer</th>
<th>First-time</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pct</strong></td>
<td><strong>Num</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The University continues to ensure a seamless transition for students wishing to transfer to WPUNJ through articulation agreements and increased transfer services. A new and innovative P-3/K-5 program was designed during the past year and will be offered on-site at Mercer County Community College beginning with the Fall 2009 semester. The University also entered into an articulation agreement with Bergen Community College (BCC) to facilitate the transfer of honors program students at BCC into the University’s Honors College. The agreement will begin with students registered for the fall 2009 semester.
4. Student Successes: research, publications, internships, presentations, programs

Also quite illustrative of student outcomes are the many student accomplishments in research, publications, and presentations. These accomplishments are often the direct result of students working with faculty. A few are included here as illustrations.

An increasing number of students in the College of Science and Health are involved in faculty research projects. Because of the College’s Undergraduate Research Initiative, almost all departments involve students in either individual or group research projects in research or capstone courses. In 2000-2001, 185 students (11 percent of Science and Health majors) were involved in undergraduate research activities; in 2008-2009 there were 383 (21 percent). This past summer 12 faculty received summer research awards from the Center for Research and worked with undergraduate students on research projects.

Internships are required in Public Health and Exercise Physiology. (Other types of practicum are required in Communication Disorders, Environmental Science, Nursing, Physical Education and Athletic Training. The Biology and Computer Science Departments have increased their emphasis on internships, and Biology’s goal is to provide every biology major with the opportunity for either an internship or research experience, or both.

Many students attend professional conferences with faculty. Two Chemistry students accompanied Professor Chauhan to the ACS national meeting in PA and gave poster presentations. Another two Chemistry students accompanied Professor Chauhan to the 42nd Silicon Symposium in Long Branch, NJ and gave poster presentations. Professor Rady in Kinesiology took 30 students to the NJAHPERD conference and five of the students helped her with a presentation on teaching multicultural activities in high schools. An athletic training student accompanied Professor Rehberg to the National Association for Athletic Training’s Capitol Hill Day in Washington, DC. Two new graduates from Communication Disorders presented their thesis data at the ASHA convention. Professors Nacin and VonDohlen in Mathematics worked with six math majors who participated in the 6th Annual Garden State Undergraduate Mathematics Competition. In Public Health, five student poster presentations were given at a state-wide public health conference. Three of these students had their posters accepted for presentation at the national SOPHE conference that will take place in Philadelphia in November 2009.

Last April Professor Menon, Associate Dean Fuller-Stanley, and a faculty committee organized the Third Annual Undergraduate Research Symposium at the University. There were 115 registrants from 11 institutions, including WPUNJ.

The Environmental Science faculty and many participated in the National Teach-in on Climate Change in February. They also participated in the Earth Day event in April.

With support from the National Science Foundation, Professor Linda Kaufman of Computer Science worked with nine students on projects to create an algorithm and to determine the chemical composition of the layers of an optical fiber so that it would exhibit specific physical properties and performance. In efforts at making a 35,000 line computer program more efficient, some of the students have been working on actual fiber optic design while others have been working on the structure of the linear system. Students in other Colleges at the University also experienced similar enriching learning experiences. Cotsakos College of Business students have some unique opportunities. For example,
Professor Tim Werkley of Professional Sales coached Josh Schiller, who won first prize in the Sales Role Play at the 2008-2009 National Sales Challenge that William Paterson hosts. Sales executives from major national companies served as judges for the Challenge, which includes a series of selling competitions and workshops. The hands-on mentorship was crucial in the competition, which was sponsored by the Russ Berrie Institute with participation from 19 universities, including one from Australia.

The director of the growing Honors College, Dr. Susan Dinan, continues to expand course offerings and activities for students in the program. Currently 300 students are part of these exciting and innovative learning experiences. Honors students tackled an ambitious array of research projects this past year. In recognition of these efforts, honors students had a special day where they presented their research projects to the larger University community.

Professors Mulgrew Miller, director of Jazz Studies, David Demsey, coordinator of Jazz Studies, Peyton MacDonald, director of the percussion program, and Karen Demsey, professor and flautist traveled with student jazz and percussion ensembles to perform in several venues in China this past fall. Students were both musicians and cultural ambassadors. The importance of this experience is summed up in David Pollack, an alto sax player, comment, “After the concert it was really wild. I had never had an experience like that before. I signed so many autographs and programs. It was the first time many of the people in the audience had heard jazz. It was great to know that the first time these students heard jazz, it was from us.”

Professor Kathleen Torsney of Psychology conducted research -- assisted by student Amira Badaan -- for a paper, “Analyzing Team Effectiveness in Long-Term-Care: Lessons from the Front Line Workers,” published in the International Journal of Knowledge, Culture and Change Management. Ms Badaan won awards in research, scholarship and service from the Psychology department and plans to pursue a doctoral degree.

Alex W. Collins and Chris Fiduccia, both seniors majoring in sociology at William Paterson, jointly won an award for the best presentation of their research at the 2009 annual meeting of the Eastern Sociological Society (ESS) in Baltimore in March. They placed first in a field of 40 entries in the conference’s undergraduate poster session.

Their research, “Sex Offender Registry Research: Community Place and Characteristics,” combined use of New Jersey data on registered sex offenders with on-site visits to urban and suburban locales to determine if any connection existed between the “broken-windows theory” (areas with higher vandalism and littering have more major crimes) and where these sex offenders lived. They found such a connection did exist.

Other sociology students who presented their research were Meredith J. Peters, “Quality of Police Contact: The Effects of Contact on Citizens’ Attitudes toward Police Officers,” and Tiffany C. Matos, “Minority Violence: The Caged Animal Effect.” All four students based their presentations on research
they did for their Senior Seminar in Criminal Justice under Sociology professor, Sheetal Ranjan during the Fall 2008. Student participation in the ESS was funded by the department’s MOST program.

In addition, three graduate students enrolled in the M.A. program in applied sociology participated in the meeting. Lesleigh Campanale presented her research, “White Racial Identity Formation in a Suburban New Jersey Community,” at a paper session. Michael Prohaska and William Graulich presented at a roundtable session on teaching undergraduate sociological theory courses.

Geography and Urban Studies students who participated in the new EPA internship presented the results of their projects to an audience of more than 200 people from the community, local government, and State government, as well as one representative from Federal government.

History undergraduates were also actively engaged in their studies. Karl Adey, presented “The Decision to Intervene: Wilson and the Liberal Press, March-June 1918,” at the Phi Alpha Theta History Honor Society Regional Conference, at William Paterson University, March 2009.


Douglas Lomonico, a History/Political Science double major, was admitted to Stetson University’s Law School. He graduated in December 2008.


Faculty in the Japanese program encouraged students to enter the International Student Career Competition. Asian Studies and Japanese language students applied for the JET (Japan Exchange and Teaching) Program Competition. Fall 2008 graduate, Ethan Ferraro (double Major in Fine Arts and Asian Studies) is now living in Japan, teaching English language and working with Japanese English teachers in this 22-year old program sponsored by the Japanese government.

Philosophy students attended local conferences, including the New Jersey Regional Philosophy Association conference and various seminars held at the CUNY Graduate Center.

Political Science student Flavio Hickel received the Outstanding Graduate Student Award and has been accepted into the doctoral program at Rutgers University for the fall. Karie Gubbins has been accepted into the doctoral program in Public Policy at Rutgers, Newark for the fall, and is working on a book proposal growing out of the work she did for her master’s thesis.

The Pre-Law program, directed by Professor Principe, had another successful year. Among the 2008/09 WPU Pre-Law Program participants who graduated and have been accepted to law school are Chris Clausi (Rutgers/Newark), Omid Bayati (Rutgers/Newark), Barbara DellaVecchia (Widener), Brian Brenner (Syracuse), and Doug Lomonico (Stetson). The pre-law program hosts approximately eight workshops throughout the year and offered students insight into how to prepare for the application process, the law school experience and the practice of law.

Prof. Michael Principe also directed the 9th annual WPU Summer Program at St. Edmund’s College, Cambridge University with 12 WPU student participants. Our students had the opportunity to interact
with some of the top students from around the world, as well as hear guest lectures from international scholars. Our students were given legal research instruction by the Cambridge Law Librarian and Associate Librarian and traveled to London and Paris as well.

Twenty psychology students completed independent studies for individual research projects this past year. Also in Psychology, undergraduate majors Joseph Mosley, Amy Moors, and Angelica Rojas co-authored with Professors Bruce Diamond and Elizabeth Haines (and Danielle Gemmell) “Relationships between Explicit Measures of Bias, Processing Speed and Mood,” for the Society for Personality and Social Psychology, Tampa, Florida, Feb 4th - 8th, 2009. Mosley, Moors and Rojas also co-authored a paper with Bruce Diamond, Jared Moreines, and Jacqueline Bracco, “Single and Dual Processing Using Accuracy Selectable Programs Impacts Speed Not Accuracy” for presentation at the APS, Chicago, IL, May 2008. The projects examined the cognitive and physiological correlates of information processing, memory, and executive function in healthy and clinical populations. Joe, Amy and Angelica were Undergraduate students who worked with Professor Diamond as research assistants. All of them are currently in Master’s-level Graduate Programs. Amy will begin a Doctoral Program in “Women’s Studies and Psychology” at the University of Michigan in the Fall.

Graduate student, Krista Dettle, who worked as research assistant to Dr. Bruce Diamond, co-authored with him “Processing speed, age, visual-spatial organization and memory, and verbal fluency in children,” presented at the Eastern Psychological Association in Boston, MA. Krista started her doctoral studies in Counseling Psychology at Seton Hall University this Fall.

Nicole Kwiecien, a Women’s Studies and Asian Studies double major, was selected to participate in the New Leadership New Jersey 2009 Summer Institute for college women at Rutgers University.

College of Education faculty also mentored students in research and scholarly activities. This past year two undergraduate teacher education candidates from Professor Rosenthal’s classes presented their research at the National Association of Professional Development Schools in March 2009. Graduate students in Professor Yildiz class gave presentations at the NJ TESOL/BE conference in May 2008.

Last spring, Professor Alison Dobrick of Educational Leadership and Professional Studies helped her graduate students combine teaching and social-action. Professor Dobrick led her Teaching Strategies in Social Studies and Global Issues class in a social-action project to benefit the Martin Luther King Charter School, which had been devastated by Hurricane Katrina in the Lower Ninth Ward of New Orleans. Working and communicating with the administration of the New Orleans school, our students developed a needs assessment, collected educational materials and organized pen pal relationships between the children in New Orleans and children in the schools where our teacher candidates did their student teaching.

Finally the hard work of the writing across the curriculum initiative is found in Appendix B.
F. Faculty characteristics

Our faculty is at the forefront of ensuring student success and academic excellence through innovative teaching, scholarly research, as well as service to the William Paterson and larger communities.

This past fall 379 full-time professors taught at the University. Forty-seven percent were female and 32 percent identified themselves as African American, Asian American or Hispanic. Twenty-eight percent are new tenure-track faculty. A quick demographic portrait follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wm. Paterson</th>
<th>Table II.F.1: Full-Time Faculty by Race/Ethnicity, Sex, Tenure Status and Academic Rank, Fall 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Resident Alien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professors</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Prof.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Prof.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without Tenure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professors</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Prof.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Prof.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professors</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Prof.</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Prof.</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the number of full-time faculty continues to increase the University also draws upon a number of adjunct faculty who are highly qualified practitioners in their specialties, especially in the arts, music, education and health; professionals in key areas of business; and well prepared teachers and scholars in the liberal arts and sciences. Adjuncts are integrated into the academic life of the university with orientations, adjunct handbooks and compensated faculty development opportunities.

The percent of courses taught by full-time faculty is almost 60 percent. Students are much more likely to have full-time faculty in upper division courses which are predominantly courses in their major areas.
Table II.F.2
Percentage of Course Sections Taught by Full-time Faculty, Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Course Sections</th>
<th>Taught by Full-time Faculty</th>
<th>Taught by Part-time Faculty</th>
<th>Taught by Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2247</td>
<td>1342</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Others includes Full-time Administrators and Teaching Assistants

Table II.F.3:
Ratio of Full- to Part-time Faculty, Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-time</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Num</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Num</td>
<td>Pct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>379</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As well as being accomplished teachers, faculty are productive participants in their academic fields, writing books, articles, and chapters as well as supporting their research through grants and presenting their original research at conferences. For example, this past year William Paterson faculty published 26 books and 216 articles in peer-reviewed journals and our art, music, theatre, and communication faculty were particularly creative, participating in 151 performances, 32 productions, and 82 exhibits and recordings.

Summary of Faculty Scholarly Work for AY 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publications</th>
<th>Arts &amp; Communication</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Humanities &amp; Social Science</th>
<th>Science &amp; Health</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Refereed papers</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Non-refereed papers (includes book reviews)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Edited</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Single author/coauthor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Chapter contribution</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Creative expression (poems, short stories, video)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Other (includes conference proceedings)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artistic Artifacts/Events</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Performances</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Productions</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits &amp; Recordings</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the past year William Paterson University faculty continued their tradition of contributing to their disciplines through the publication of books and articles, numerous presentations at professional meetings, and applying for and receiving research grants. They also invested a good deal of time and energy in community service efforts. But first and foremost they are teachers and several typical comments from the most recent One-Year-Out Alumni Survey give us insight into what faculty do that makes professors memorable. Knowledge in one’s field is certainly part of this, but caring about, and being available for, students seems to be as large a part of what alumni mention as making faculty notable. For example, one English alumnus wrote, “I enjoyed working with the various professors I met in the English department at WPU; they were helpful and informative and I felt that their lessons were very useful to me.”

Again this year, the importance of real-life experiences and internships were mentioned frequently by alumni across all Colleges. As one alumnus put it, “My internship at a fully functional recording studio gave me a job after college and showed us how the music business really works and how to deal with people in a recording studio setting.”

The latest issue of WP Magazine, http://ww2.wpunj.edu/Adminsrv/Pub-info/Wpallmag.htm, illustrates how a number of William Paterson alumni are putting their degrees to work.
G. Characteristics of the Board of Trustees

Reflecting the past year’s composition, for fall 2008 eight of the nine members were male; one was Asian and two were African American. The remaining trustee was a White female. Two student members, one male, who was White, and one female, who was Hispanic, also served on the Board in the past year. Several members of the Board are also alumni of the University. Mr. Pesce, an alumnus, is especially generous with his time and often serves as a speaker to students. It is inspiring for students to know that an alumnus is now President of Wiley Publishing Company as well as a University Trustee and is willing to take the time to come and address them.

William Paterson University Board of Trustees 2008-2009

Mr. Vincent J. Mazzola (Chair)
Mr. William J. Pesce (Vice-Chair)
Mr. Michael L. Jackson (Secretary)
Mr. Stephen Adzima
Dr. Peter Fan
Mr. Frederick L. Gruel
Dr. Henry J. Pruitt, Jr.
Mr. Robert H. Taylor
Ms Carla Temple
Ms. Jennifer Bauer (Student)
Mr. Brad Kotuski (Student)
Dr. Arnold Speert (President) Ex Officio

Wm. Paterson

II. G. GOVERNING BOARD CHARACTERISTICS

1. Race/Ethnicity and Gender of Governing Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>Non-Res Alien</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Members of the Board of Governors and/or Trustees as of Fall 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Stephen Adzima</td>
<td>Owner and President</td>
<td>Universal Electric Motor Service, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Fan, M.D.</td>
<td>Senior Attending Surgeon</td>
<td>Hackensack University Medical Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frederick L. Gruel</td>
<td>President and CEO</td>
<td>AAA New Jersey Auto Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Michael L. Jackson</td>
<td>President and CEO</td>
<td>Info-Tech Systems, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Kotuski</td>
<td>student representative</td>
<td>William Paterson University Student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Vincent J. Mazzola</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>Lucent Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Bauer</td>
<td>student representative</td>
<td>William Paterson University Student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William J. Pesce</td>
<td>President and CEO</td>
<td>John Wiley &amp; Sons, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Henry J. Pruitt, Jr.</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>Board President of the Teaneck Public Schools, Educational Consultant, and Retired Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert H. Taylor</td>
<td>Retired (VP for Engineering, Design and Construction)</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Carla Temple</td>
<td>Vice President</td>
<td>State Farm Insurance Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Arnold Speert</td>
<td>Ex Officio</td>
<td>William Paterson University President</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Over the past year several Board of Trustees members have retired and new members have been appointed. For a current (fall 2009) listing of Board of Trustee members please go to: http://ww2.wpunj.edu/aboutus/trustees.cfm
H. A profile of the institution

1. Degree and certificate programs

This past year a number of majors were added to the University’s academic offerings. Undergraduate students were able to earn degrees in 43 different academic majors, including three education majors, and graduate students in 21 master’s programs and numerous education-related certification and endorsement programs. William Paterson is still the only University in the country granting a degree in Professional Sales. The University also leads in preparing students to attain greater linguistic proficiency and to obtain a teaching license in Asian languages.

A list of Fall 2008 undergraduate degrees follows:

| CURRENTLY ACTIVE UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE PROGRAMS OFFERED AT WILLIAM PATERSON UNIVERSITY |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| **DEGREE PROGRAM**                           | **CIP CODE**                                  | **DEGREE PROGRAM**   |
| African, African American and Caribbean Studies | 050201                                       | Bachelor of Science (B.S.)                  |
| Anthropology                                 | 450201                                       | Accounting         |
| Art                                          | 500701                                       | Biology            |
| Asian Studies                                | 050103                                       | Biotechnology      |
| Communication                               | 090101                                       | Business Administration|
| English                                      | 230101                                       | Chemistry          |
| French and Francophone Studies               | 160901                                       | Community Health/Sch. Health Ed.            |
| Geography                                    | 450701                                       | Computer Science   |
| History                                      | 540101                                       | Economics          |
| Latin American Studies                       | 050107                                       | Environmental Science |
| Mathematics                                  | 270101                                       | Physical Education |
| Music                                        | 500901                                       | Professional Sales |
| Philosophy                                   | 380101                                       | Professional Sales |
| Political Science                           | 451001                                       | Bachelor of Fine Arts (B.F.A.)               |
| Psychology                                   | 420101                                       | Arts, Fine        |
| Sociology                                    | 451101                                       | Bachelor of Music (B.M.)                     |
| Spanish                                      | 160905                                       | Bachelor of Music (B.M.)                     |
| Women’s Studies                              | 050207                                       | Music              |

2. Other

Assessment of student learning outcomes has emerged as the accepted and expected route for colleges and universities to monitor their programs with student success as the ultimate goal. For a number of years William Paterson University has had a large on-going array of assessment activities stemming from the University’s Student Success Plan. Each academic program has learning outcomes – skills, abilities, knowledge – students will have mastery of upon graduating William Paterson University. For a comprehensive review of assessment at William Paterson and to see how faculty are “closing the assessment loop by using research findings” please see http://ww2.wpunj.edu/ira/ASSESSMENT/Assessment/Part1.pdf. Additionally, the Division of Student Development is implementing a comprehensive assessment model based on student learning outcomes. These efforts and successes are documented and posted at http://ww2.wpunj.edu/studentdevelopment/Assessmthome.htm.
I. Major research and public service activities

Research

The University actively pursues outside resources for its activities. In FY 2008 $4,226,359 grant dollars were received through the University’s Office of Sponsored Programs. Many of these grants were for student-related activities and professional development activities in addition to faculty research. This figure also includes grants from the State of New Jersey and private foundations. For example, the Geraldine R. Dodge Foundation helped fund the Summer Jazz program and the New Jersey Council on the Arts generously supports the University’s long- running Jazz Room Series. The University’s Alumni Relations office also awarded nearly $40,000 in grants to faculty and other William Paterson offices. The figures below are a subset of the $4,226,359. They represent only federal funds and only funds for faculty research and public service activities.

II. MAJOR RESEARCH AND PUBLIC SERVICE ACTIVITIES

R&D EXPENDITURES : YEAR 2008

Institution: William Paterson University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federally Financed Academic R&amp;D Expenditures</td>
<td>$240,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionally Financed Academic R&amp;D Expenditures</td>
<td>$1,116,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Academic R&amp;D Expenditures*</td>
<td>$1,357,835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes $468,392 for externally financed expenditures

Note: Dollar amount as reported to the National Science Foundation (NSF) on Form #411 (Survey of Research and Development Expenditures at Colleges and Universities).

This past year two new grants featured unique collaborations. Faculty from the College of Science and Health and the College of Education are partnering in two grant funded projects designed to improve the teaching of math and science in urban schools, particularly the Paterson and Passaic school districts. “As a public university, we feel an obligation to support educational quality in area schools, as well as to prepare teacher candidates in areas of shortage,” Dr. Ana Maria Schuhmann, interim dean of the College of Education remarked at the announcement of the grant’s announcement. “Highly qualified math and science teachers are a critical need, and we are committed to working with these school districts to further strengthen the teaching of math and science in these school systems.” College of Education Professor and Associate Dean, Dorothy Feola in collaboration with the College of Science and Health will head up the project to increase the number of Math and Science Teachers (MAST) in our undergraduate programs and provide scholarships (NOYCE). This is a grant from the US Department of Education.

Another new grant joins faculty from Languages and Cultures with faculty and students in the Nursing program. The nursing department has identified a growing diversity of languages among its students. This past semester, twenty-four different languages were spoken in students’ homes. This is reflected in the demographics of the area. The latest statistics from the U.S. Census Bureau reveal that 25.8 percent of New Jersey residents speak a language other than English at home.
Kim Bargamento, a sophomore nursing student, exemplifies the students this grant will help. Kim moved to the United States from the Philippines with her family when she was in the eighth grade. She speaks Tagalog and Cebuano at home with her family and learned English in school. Although she is fluent in English, she realized that she needed additional help with written work. “I knew that my skills needed to be reinforced. I also need help in organizing my essays and reports that I needed to write for my classes.”

This new grant, “English Language Minority Student Project,” awarded to the University’s department of Languages and Cultures by the New Jersey Commission on Higher Education, provides help for nursing students like Kim who either come from another country or who speak a language other than English at home, and who have academic and language issues that might prevent them from moving through the increasingly difficult nursing courses.

The $110,355 two-year grant provides one-on-one tutoring for students to address several needs that have been identified among students for whom English is not the first language.

“I hope it will strengthen the students’ academic skills in English so they can graduate as nurses, and in this way reach their full potential,” says Gladys Scott, the author of the grant and project director, who is an assistant professor of languages and cultures and director of the Academic English as a Second Language (ESL) Program. “We see a lot of students who are bright and feel the call to be a nurse, but don’t have the language skills to pass the classes and can’t become what they want to be because of their linguistic abilities.”

Scott saw an opportunity to “pull the forces of the ESL program and the needs of the nursing students together” by offering language instruction to challenging nursing courses, which were impeding the progress of some of the students.

“While there may be other reasons for this, such as work or family issues, it became clear to us that the course content is more difficult for these students,” reports Renee Pevour, coordinator of the grant, and coordinator of the University’s Nursing Enrichment and Tutoring (NET) Program. “Some of the students also have trouble when they do clinical work outside the classroom.”

To correct this, the grant offers students tutoring in critical thinking skills, issues with writing, oral language skills, reading and listening skills, and also practical skills such as perfecting injections, and IV drips.

“Our goal is to increase the graduation rate,” Scott states. “We are striving to teach the students to be both bilingual and bi-literate so that they can learn to communicate through the written word and orally so they can be successful in the field once they graduate.”
This past year, the College Education and the College of Science and Health were able to secure funds so that the following grants could continue:

- A second year of a three-year grant was awarded (2007-2010 total: $1,860,000) -- from the NJDOE for the REFORMS grant to improve the teaching of math and science in Paterson schools grades 3 through 8.

- A third year of three year grant (total $780,000 -2006-2009) was awarded to Ms Stephanie Koprowski-McGowan and Professor Djanna Hill for the ON TIME to work with Paterson middle school teachers to improve math, technology and literacy.

- Stephanie Koprowski-McGowan and Dr. Dorothy Feola secured a fifth year of a five year grant (total: $1,100,000) as part of the New Jersey Middle School Consortium (NJCMS) to support improved middle school education in Passaic and Wayne.

- Dr. Dorothy Feola secured $480,000 in partnership with the Passaic Public Schools to support a GearUP grant aimed at increasing the number of middle school students who are prepared to enter college (2005-2011).

- Dr. Holly Seplocha secured continued funding for the Early Learning Improvement Consortium (ELIC) and received a new grant to prepare Head Start teachers in Bergen County.

- Dr. Djanna Hill secured $124,700 from the Taub foundation to support students from Paterson high schools who want to pursue teaching as a profession and attend William Paterson University.

- Dr. Ana Maria Schuhmann obtained a $35,000 grant from School #5 in Paterson to improve the academic achievement of 6-8 grade students and support three Professors in Residence.

*Other new grants included:

- Dr. Ana Maria Schuhmann received a five year Transition to Teaching grant from the US Department of Education. Funds for each year are $669,782.
- Dr. Ana Maria Schuhmann received a $40,000 Sheltered English grant from the NJ Department of Education to prepare teachers to work with English Language Learners.
Community service and outreach efforts

The University and the University community are involved in a variety of community outreach efforts that include artistic and educational programming, free speech and hearing screening, as well as support for community efforts such as Eva's Village health clinic in Paterson, NJ. A full list of activities are found at: http://ww2.wpunj.edu/community/index_community.html. A few highlights follow.

The University presents the works of regionally or nationally known artists working in a variety of mediums at the campus’s Ben Shahn Galleries. This past year Ben Shahn’s South Gallery hosted “Ben Wilson: The Margin as Center”. The retrospective exhibit featured New Jersey artist Ben Wilson, whose career spanned six decades beginning in 1930, with special focus on large abstractions created from the 1970s through the 1990s. “This exhibit spans more than 60 years of Ben Wilson’s life and reveals the artist’s work to be based on thoughtful investigation, discovery, and evolution,” says Nancy Einreinhofer, director of the Ben Shahn Galleries. “At each stage of his career, Wilson’s approach to the art of the moment demonstrates a synthesis between the influences of that particular period in history and the artist’s own intellectual and emotional concerns.”

The University’s well known Distinguished Lecturer Series completed its 29th season last year and featured programs on politics, sports writing, and the 40th anniversary of “Sesame Street.”

The series included:

Peter Sagal, Carol Leifer, and Christopher Buckley--“Are We There Yet? The Lighter Side of Election 2008,” on October 10, 2008
Frank Deford, Mike Lupica, and Selena Roberts--“The Art of Sportswriting” on November 21, 2008
“Sesame Street at 40—A Night of Celebration and Discovery with the Legendary Cast,” featuring Frank Oz, Kevin Clash, Caroll Spinney, Sonia Manzano, Bob McGrath, Marty Robinson, Fran Brill, David Rudman, Tony Geiss, and Michael Davis on January 30, 2009

Launched in 1978, The Jazz Room is one of the largest and most prestigious college-sponsored jazz events in the country. Performers include renowned professionals who encompass the complete spectrum of jazz, from practitioners of traditional jazz to avant-garde to bebop to swing to Afro-Latin jazz, as well as William Paterson’s own student ensembles. The series has won numerous grants from the National Endowment for the Arts and the New Jersey State Council on the Arts for its innovative programming. Pianist Orrin Evans opened the fall 2008 season of The Jazz Room at William Paterson University in Wayne on October 12. The series continues with the Sean Jones Quartet, Roland Vazquez, Roseanna Vitro and the Kenny Werner Quartet, and Phil Woods and the William Paterson Jazz Orchestra. Concerts begin at 4 p.m. on Sundays in the Shea Center for Performing Arts on campus. “Sittin’ In,” informal jazz talks with the afternoon’s artists, are presented prior to the concerts. The talks begin at 3 p.m. in Shea Center 101 and are free to all Jazz Room ticketholders. Each concert begins with a performance by a William Paterson student jazz ensemble.
Once again New Jersey History Day was held at William Paterson University. “Individuals in History: Actions and Legacies” was this year’s theme for students in grades six through 12 from New Jersey public and private schools as they participated in the New Jersey National History Day competition.

The program, which operates out of the University’s College of Education, is the state component of National History Day, an acclaimed educational program that engages 600,000 students and 40,000 teachers each year in rigorous academic study. History Day encourages students to interpret history using primary and secondary sources. By doing the research to create their projects, students acquire valuable thinking and communication skills.

More than 350 students from around the state came to the University on May 2. Students from fourteen counties participated during the 2008-09 school year. Sixty-two New Jersey students advanced to National History Day, which took place at the University of Maryland, College Park, from June 14-18.

College of Education faculty again provided service to schools and the community-at-large by providing staff development, workshops, mentoring and other assistance as requested. Through the Institute for Teaching Learning and Leadership, the College was instrumental in providing services to teachers and schools.

The College of Science and Health continues to foster collaborative ventures with the larger community. Faculty in the Department of Public Health participated in the implementation of the Community Health Improvement Plan for Passaic County. Professor Joslin in Public Health administers the GRACIA initiative in Passaic County. The REFORMS, MAST, and Dual Enrollment grant programs all provide significant community outreach to Paterson and Passaic.

Professor Gelfer, Communication Disorders, and Dr. Meth met with the Director and Coordinator of Special Education of the Paterson Public Schools. The need for speech and language evaluations for Paterson children is acute and the schools do not have sufficient personnel to meet the need, so our clinic supervisors and students provided four days of screenings at Martin Luther King middle school.

The Speech and Hearing Clinic provides valuable service to people from the community. It operates four days per week. From Summer I 2008 through Spring 2009, 47 clients were seen for speech/language evaluation, 33 hearing evaluations were done, and 169 clients received speech therapy. Professor Bhat along with clinic supervisors and graduate students, performed speech, language and hearing screenings on 663 preschool children at five community sites over a period of 17 days.

In the Department of Kinesiology, Professor Schmidt worked with Becton Dickinson and three YMCAs to develop collaborative research projects. He conducted fitness assessments at two local YMCAs and also brought 120 children from the Lakeland Hills, Paterson, and Wyckoff YMCAs to campus for the Unity Olympics. Professor Gazzillo and three athletic training students volunteered as part of the Special Olympics NJ Summer Games Medical Team. Five athletic training majors and Professor Norberg volunteered at the 2009 Boston Marathon in April. The department hosted the national certification exam for the National Strength and Conditioning Association and an American College of Sports Medicine Workshop.
The Nursing Department continues to provide primary health care at Eva’s Village in Paterson. Professors Connolly and Aktan spend at least a day a week there (plus some volunteer time in the evening), and both undergraduate and graduate students rotate through the Clinic. Nursing students also provide a variety of community services to senior centers, churches, schools, and community centers in the spring semester through their capstone projects.

As part of the SIFE program run by the Department of Marketing and Management, our students offered a number of workshops on Business and related topics to high school students in Paterson. As well they provided consulting services to two small start ups in Passaic county.

Another outreach program for the College of Business is run through the Small Business Development Center (SBDC) based in the Economic Enterprise Zone in the city of Paterson. The SBDC provides consulting and training services to start up and emerging small businesses in Passaic and neighboring counties. The Department of Accounting and Law, in conjunction with the SBDC, takes part in the VITA program which provides income tax assistance to needy taxpayers in the area.

Students are involved in a large number of outreach efforts. This particular “all-nighter” had nothing to do with studying for exams. Rather more than 100 William Paterson students participated in a special event called Up ‘til Dawn which raised more than $25,200 for St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital. Funds were donated in the memory of Megan Buske, a former William Paterson student who died in a car accident in August 2008. She was also a cancer survivor.

The event on March 28 was the culmination of a yearlong fundraising program which included a letter-writing campaign. Students, faculty, and staff sent out more than 6,000 letters to family and friends asking them to donate to St. Jude. Numerous other events were held on campus to raise funds. More than 400 students participated during the 2008-2009 academic year.
Finally, many employees and students are active in their home communities serving on library and school boards and volunteering at animal shelters, hospices, Special Olympics, and many, many other civic organizations.
J. Major capital projects underway in FY 2009

This past year the University began the Science Building addition and renovation project; an important aspect of the University’s pursuit of its Student Success Plan and Facilities Master Plan, which recognize the continually growing student demand for modern campus facilities that are conducive to learning. The new building will provide students and faculty with state-of-the-art classrooms and research facilities.

The project includes a 65,000-square-foot addition, as well as an upgrade of the 30-year-old existing facility. Work on the project will be conducted in two phases, with completion of the new addition slated for 2010, to be followed by renovation of the existing Science Building by 2012.

The addition will contain state-of-the-art laboratories designed to support upper-level undergraduate studies and research in areas such as biology, biotechnology, molecular research, physics, tissue culture, physical, analytical and organic chemistry, physiology, environmental science and ecology, and instrumentation. Research and laboratory spaces will be configured to allow for collaboration between faculty and students.

The existing building will be upgraded, and a number of classrooms will be resized to provide much-needed classrooms on campus that accommodate 40 students. In addition, the renovation will include new computer labs and new greenhouses. The expansion and renovation will feature wireless technology. The plan calls for numerous gathering spaces for students, including a large lounge located in a new two-story atrium entrance. The New York office of HOK, one of the world’s leading architectural design firms, developed the programming and created the design for the addition and renovation of the existing building.

The project is guided by a vision statement developed by the Science Building’s current and future occupants, including the departments of biology, chemistry and physics, environmental science, anthropology, computer science, psychology, and mathematics. In addition, Lance Risley, a professor of biology and chair of the biology department, is serving as a faculty liaison, or project “shepherd,” representing the interests of the building’s users.

The current project follow the opening last fall of the University Commons, which includes the expanded and renovated John Victor Machuga Student Center, Wayne Hall, and a new Conference Center, and the opening of two new residence halls, High Mountain East and High Mountain West, in 2006. In 2002, the university opened its state-of-the-art academic building at 1600 Valley Road in Wayne, which houses the Cotsakos College of Business, the College of Education, the Center for Continuing and Professional Education, the Russ Berrie Institute for Professional Sales, and the Global Financial Services Institute. The Power Art Center, the University’s building devoted to the studio arts, opened in spring 2000.
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APPENDIX B:

CELEBRATING STUDENT WRITING ACROSS THE CURRICULUM
Introduction

This is the tenth year in which the Writing Across the Curriculum program has published this magazine in order to recognize both the wonderful writing produced by William Paterson University students in all disciplines and the assignments created by our faculty to nurture this writing. Ten years: a decade of celebrating student writing! The support of our students, faculty, and administration has allowed this little magazine to outlive most literary and scholarly publications in America.

Within these pages you’ll find a selection of the best student writing produced on campus in 2008-2009. Each piece here was selected, first, by a faculty member because in some way it fulfilled the teacher’s highest expectations for that assignment—most gracefully written, most creatively imagined, most meticulously researched, most insightful. And then each piece was selected again, by a faculty jury—this year, Jennifer DiNoia, Sociology; West Moss, English; and Sarah Valentine, Nursing—that had the time-consuming, but very rewarding, task of reading nearly fifty very fine pieces of writing and then choosing these twelve to represent the best work produced on campus this year.

This year the writing selected for inclusion was produced, for the most part, in General Education and in English courses, which reflects the fact that 60% of the submitted work was from English courses, and nearly 70% from GE. Next year, we hope that a broader range of student writing will be submitted. Nevertheless, there is a striking diversity in the writing here. There is, for instance, Nick Dimichino’s riveting oral history, “Unassuming Hero,” which traces the minute-by-minute experience of a NYC fireman who narrowly escaped death in the World Trade Towers. There are two wonderful memoirs, Alexa Baird’s very moving piece about a child’s confrontation with illness, absence, and death, and Julie Minicozzi’s powerful essay about her decision not to bear children. There are a pair of delightful short poems—by Kelsey Romond on the death of Harry Kalas and by Adam Cooper on the Periodic Table—and a pair of fine short stories, by James Budd and Jenn Taylor. And there are, in addition, five academic and research essays, from Brian Saccomanno’s well-researched film script to several wonderful pieces from Anthropology, History, and Macroeconomics. All in all, a wonderful introduction to the best of what is taught and what is written here at William Paterson. Every piece in this collection will reward you for reading it.

Pay attention also to the teachers’ prefaces to each essay. The creativity of these assignments in focusing on the development of student thinking and imagination, as well as the wit, humility, and generosity of the faculty reasons for valuing their students’ work, should make us at William Paterson University proud.

Finally, a thank you to the people who made this publication possible and who made working on it fun. Thanks to the three judges who devoted hours to the thoughtful reading of so much fine student writing. Thanks also to the Provost’s Office for its continued support of the WAC program for more than two decades, and especially to Provost Ed Weil and Associate Provost Stephen Hahn. And thanks as well to Isabel Tirado, Dean of Humanities and Social Sciences, whose belief in all of our WAC programs has helped sustain us for many years: she is retiring as Dean this year, and we will miss her deeply.

And thanks, finally, to the many faculty and students who offered their work to the contest. Nearly fifty wonderful submissions, all of them good enough to publish, including the ten listed at the end as First Runners-Ups and Honorable Mentions: too good to be neglected, but our budget couldn’t support a longer publication. Read the contest rules on the back cover, and next year we hope to see even more fine writing submitted by students and faculty from all departments.

Jim Hauser, English Department
Director, Writing Across the Curriculum, 2008-2009
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Course: Writing Effective Prose (ENG 110)

Professor: Gillian Hettinger, English

Student: Alexa Baird

Memoir: “This Stop Is—”

Assignment:

Memoir Writing (Second Assignment):
1. Memoir writing is a compilation of snapshot memories. These memories are rich in detail and description and so you'll be building on the descriptive skills you've practiced. Write a subjective description of someone you know well—again, use sensory detail to make that person come alive on the page.

2. Then, put the person you wrote about into a setting, using all your descriptive skills and combine it with a memory. You will bring the memory to life by describing it in “real time,” as if you are in the moment. You may focus on a moment, or series of moments. Why are these moments important enough to share? Draft your response.

3. As a final step, polish your memoir; making sure it is rich in detail and description.

Instructor’s Comments:
From the start, Alexa was an outstanding writer. In terms of this particular assignment, she more than fulfilled my expectations, writing with a skill remarkable in a freshman. In this short piece, she includes the sensory details I was looking for and, in addition, her use of dialogue is first-rate. She comes obliquely at the subject of her father’s death, something which had wounded her deeply. The tenderness of the relationship between Alexa and her father is evident. I also like the pacing of this memoir and the way in which she broke it into short, vivid vignettes.

It had been nine o'clock on a Friday; I remember the hour hand on my father’s Rolex and the pale, almost translucent skin of his wrist caught under his watchband.

The part I always remember best about my father are his hands. Large and calloused, with a jagged line on his left that stretched from the base of his thumb to his wrist before ending in a twisted, angry knot of skin; a scar from the night when he put his fist through our kitchen window.

"Is this our stop?"

"No."

I.
My grandmother died long before anyone else, I don’t remember her smile or her smell but instead her fat, edematous legs and the sight of her wheelchair folded and abandoned next to her bed.

The passenger car lurched forward with a great jump.

I had stayed home from school with a fever that morning. My father in those days worked a night shift, seven to four, so time with him was something elusive that you had to work for, wait for. That day I had entertained the notion that our trip was a grand adventure. Wonderful and secretive, in that while other adults worked and other children sat in desks, each of them confined to their daily routine, my father and I were on a train going somewhere. The whole broad world was laid before us and there was a mysterious sense of potential there.

“We get off here.”

He pulled himself up slowly as the conductor repeated, “this stop is—.”

We made our way to the front of the car. Arriving at the door I stuttered to a halt, momentarily taken aback by how far the train was from the platform.

“Come on, Champ.” He grunted as he patted my back roughly.

I took a leap, petrified; the cold, stale morning air whipping around my face.

II.
Working one summer I overheard one costumer tell another how a girl they went to school with had apparently killed herself.

“She just took some pills and yeah.”

“Shit, really?”

“Yeah.”

“I can’t believe it. Weird. I had homeroom with her for like ever.” She flipped closed her issue of Vogue and reached for People.

Mrs. Ellis was an elderly woman who knew our family through a series of connections and acquaintances. A trail of social bread crumbs I had trouble understanding in those days.

I was often left in her company when my parents’ schedules conflicted.
“Can’t we go to the park?” I asked eagerly from my spot behind my father’s legs.

“Not today,” he answered ruefully, “Maybe next time, how’s that sound?”

We were interrupted by the squeal of the screen door on its hinges. Mrs. Ellis wore a high collar white blouse and a grey skirt, her arms folded daintily around herself as she made her way towards us. I ducked my head shy and embarrassed. I constantly suffered under the impression that I was a bother to almost everyone I came into contact with, although in my defense that woman never really did anything to discourage that notion.

“Just till one, right?” She unfolded her arms and glanced at my father looking slightly uncomfortable.

“Yes.” He answered with a sort of wryness as he handed her my coat.

Bending down he hugged me close to his chest, and I could feel the rhythm of his heart beat. Thump, thump, thump.

“I love you, very much.” The whiskers of his beard tickled my cheek, and I felt as if I was missing something important. His hands trembled as he squeezed my shoulder one last time before he stood. He nodded to Mrs. Ellis, then turned and started back across the lawn. I was sad to see him go.

III.

My friend Julian’s mother died during his final exams week. Liver cancer, 8.3 cm was the largest tumor. “The size of your fist,” he explained to me.

He chose not to view her body before they cremated it so he could instead take his Psych exam as it was scheduled, just as the Dean of Student Affairs had suggested to him.

I awoke later to the sound of voices in the kitchen. I had fallen asleep in the living room, the clock on the mantel piece read eight twenty-three.

Someone was playing with my hair. It was my mother, still dressed as she was when she had left our house for work this morning. Her hair done up and mostly hidden under a plain scarf, while her eyes remained unreadable behind a pair of dark teardrop sunglasses. My mother in those days often reminded me of a movie star, she was beautiful, poised, and just as untouchable. In a way I guess my parents were similar in that one aspect, they were both rather fierce and lonely in stature.

As her fingers ghosted over my scalp a warning signal sounded somewhere deep in my subconscious. My mother was not one for physical displays of affection.

“Where’s Dad?”

There was a sigh and then, “Your father has been taken to the hospital,” she stated solemnly.


“When he’s better.” If I had not been seven years old and on the verge of a fit I may have found it funny which question she had selected to answer. I know I do now at least.

“How can we see him? I want to see him now. I want Dad.” My voice breaking as I could feel hot tears beginning to build up. I was working myself up into a panic.

My mother calmly removed her glasses, folding them neatly in her lap before she reached forward and caught my chin between her thumb and index finger. Tilting my head up, she demanded, “Look me in the eye.” Her eyes had a dull reddish hue about them and her lips quivered ever so slightly before thinning into a tight frown.

“I need you to be calm. Are. You. Calm?”

There was a long silence that fell between us, interrupted only by the pathetic little hiccuping noises that continued to bubble out of me. Eventually, light-headed and embarrassed, I wiped my nose with my shirt sleeve and nodded.

“Your father is sick, he needs help.” She tuck a stray hair back behind my ear. “He needs to rest, and when he's done resting he'll come home.”

“Do you understand?”

I nodded again.

IV.

I spent a lot of time waiting it seemed, waiting for my heart to break and for the crying to start. Waiting for it to become real, waiting for the anger you’re supposed to feel: the betrayal, the pain.

The young woman in the mirror stares back dry-eyed then looks at the far wall and waits. Wait.

Nothing comes.

Days later while outside with my godfather Tommy I came across a dead newborn baby bird. Its tiny wings splayed out, bathed in the red and deep golden light of the late afternoon.

Tommy glanced up, one hand cupped to shield his eyes from the sun as he squinted, and then pointed up into the branches, “Must have fallen.”

It was an ugly little thing, mostly featherless, but staring at it I was left with a horrible lonely and unknowable feeling. Uneasy and petrified with the sudden realization of just what I was.

In that moment death made its subtle entrance.
Ode to Harry Kalas

Course:
Introduction to Literature (ENG 150)

Professor:
Doris White, English

Student:
Kelsey Romond

Poem:
“Ode to Harry Kalas”

Assignment & Instructor’s Comments:
As our class studied poetry, we also wrote our own poems. A particular favorite was the ode. We concentrated on the odes of Pablo Neruda and Gary Soto as we explored possible subjects, forms, word choices. My assignment was to pick a person, thing, experience worthy of praise; ode-worthy. Students chose family members, Blackberries, shoes. Kelsey chose Harry Kalas, the announcer for the Philadelphia Phillies. Although I had not heard Kalas announce (being a Met fan), I felt his voice in Kelsey’s ode. In fact, I became emotional as Kalas’s voice faded from Veterans Park and took its place among the stars. Kelsey described that transformation poignantly. “Ode to Harry Kalas” is lovely, and I have urged Kelsey to send it to The Phillies. I’m sure there’s a place for it in one of their publications.

A city
Mourns in your loss,
Harry Kalas,
The man,
No myth,
Legend.
Your voice,
Fresh,
Exciting,
Cuts through
Speakers,
Radios,
And televisions.

In spring,
A reminder,
Baseball is here.
Philadelphia,
The United States,
Baseball country,
Hold your breath,
Because,
It,
Is,
“OUTTA HERE!”
No longer,
Will your voice
Be heard.

Like a harsh,
Unwanted,
Divorce.
A separation,
A void,
That no one
Can fill.
Yet,
Grass will
Still grow.
But not
As green.
And baseballs,
Will be

Hit,
Caught,
And thrown.
And the fans
Will come
To see their
Phillies.
Their
Red,
White,
And blue
Uniforms.
Colors,
Of our

Nation.
We honor
It,
As we,
The fans,
Will forever
Honor you.
You now,
Will announce
Amongst the stars.
Looking up
At the
Clear blue
Summer sky.
We thank you
As you guide:
Our team
To victory.
Harry Kalas.
You,
Are,
The man.
So long
Good friend.
Philadelphia
Says goodnight.
The modern human race is roughly 200,000 years old by our latest estimates. Homo sapiens are the dominant animals on Earth, with a population of over 6.7 billion, and yet not a day goes by when there is no human on human violence. The reasons for human on human killing range from abstract concepts like nationalism, through personal vendettas, to simple, sadistic pleasure. Our world has been shaped by violence. The foundation and preservation of our country, the United States, were only possible through violence—we displaced the aboriginal people here to gain more territory, purchased the Western half from a desperate Napoleon financing his own war in Europe, and fought the Revolutionary War to gain independence from the British Crown and the Civil War to maintain national unity. We gained the status of a superpower after the end of World War II, and then became the only superpower when the Soviet Union fell. Violence is a part of our culture and a cornerstone in our entertainment, where it serves as by far the most popular vehicle to drive the themes and inspirations of our films, books, paintings, music and video games.

Violence seems universal to the human race regardless of culture, but does this necessarily mean that the willingness to resort to violence is our genetic legacy? The ancient philosophical inquiry into whether ethical behavior is a natural knowledge or a nurtured one has now become a...
humans were not hunters, and that weapons were a later addition to the human repertoire" (1997:30). In fact, anthropologist Raymond Dart who declared that australopithecine were savage hunters (and therefore prone to violence against each other) was later debunked by the very fossils of violently killed australopithecines he based his claim upon, determined by C.K. Brain to have met their demise at the fangs of leopards rather than spears. Sussman also suggested that modern hunter-gatherers are peaceful, although he never offers an explanation of this.

We have reached an impasse. Both sides insist that their evidence is credible, but we are ultimately left to our own research to determine an answer. Wrangham and Peterson cited the Kahama incident, where Humphrey's attack on Gobi was obviously violent, but Gobi's death went unconfirmed. No information was revealed on why it happened or how the chimps reacted afterwards. Were the chimps unfazed or horrified? Was any pity given to Gobi or disgust directed towards Humphrey? We are never told. Sussman used Jane Goodall's observations of the Gombe chimps as proof of their docility, but what exactly passed for a violent act or a non-violent act was never discussed, and so it is impossible for us to know unless we were there to decide for ourselves. And if the nature of a hunter-gatherer is supposedly violent, Sussman asks why dancing is present in every human culture. This raises the possibility that dancing itself may be a natural manifestation of a peaceful disposition, but this presupposes that dancing is a gentle ritual, which is far from the case. Is the Cherokee war dance, used to incite and embolden bravery before battle, a celebration of passivity? Or what about Capoeira, a martial art begun by African slaves imported to Brazil, who disguised the fighting discipline as a dance to avoid suspicion from their Portuguese masters? None of these cultural protocols can be determined and judged so simply, and here we find the fatal flaw in both arguments.

It is ironic that anthropologists pride themselves on being part of a holistic science, but Wrangham & Peterson and Sussman have entrenched both of their arguments firmly in the behavior of apes. Looking solely at monkeys to see why we are violent is incredibly short-sighted and doomed to failure. The truth is what is consistent with all the facts. The truth should take into account all the evidence available, and not simply the behavior of apes, which are indeed very similar to us, but both sides seem to forget that apes are very different from us as well. Chimps may form lifelong friendships, morn their deceased, go through menopause, perform simple math, and care for their young like we do. But they do not dance, and they are also incapable of speech, abstract thought, reasoning, music, and going to war. Of the previous similarities between humans and chimps mentioned, we may be the only two mammals to have those characteristics, but the ability to go to war, perhaps the ultimate form of violence, is something we share exclusively with a species utterly alien to us – ants.

Ants have a regimented command structure. All ants serve the
Queen: the workers provide for the colony and the soldiers defend. Ants go to war, like most human societies, over the competition for resources in an area shared by an enemy colony. If the bounty is plentiful, there is peace. When sustenance begins to run dry, they will fight, and when they fight it is in formations and with complex strategies. Platoons of soldier ants will feint attacks to fool enemy soldiers into charging, then a second platoon will strike the enemy in a pincer attack to cut their support and destroy their formations. If a soldier ant gets injured during battle, there are designated ants that will drag them back to the safety of the colony—these are an exact parallel to the combat medics in our own militaries. There is a species of army ant made up entirely of soldiers and no workers, endowed with large bodies and enormous pincers unsuitable for anything but combat, and they survive by attacking other colonies for slaves that rear the army ants’ children and gather food. In Greek antiquity, every Spartan male was a soldier by profession, unlike the other city-states whose soldiers were culled from sons of potters and blacksmiths. The majority of Sparta’s population was made up of helots, or slaves, who worked as farmers, tutors, craftsmen, and laborers for their masters.

No apes display this sort of behavior. No gorillas have been observed routing an enemy formation by drawing them out into a line and then having allies collapse upon their sides in a pincer. No bonobos have been seen enlisting a rival group to gain their labor and service. No chimpanzees organize themselves into strict battlefield roles by designating soldiers and medics, and planning a course of escape to funnel out the injured. In some ways, ants are much more similar to us than apes are, but are we then to assume this similarity extends to the possibility of us having a shared lineage in hive minds, exoskeletons and antennas at some point in the past? We can link ourselves to ants because we both have the unique ability to wage war, but can we look to ant biology and society to answer all of our questions concerning human violence? The conflicting, unverifiable nature of the evidence presented to us by both sides, the simplistic approach and the adamant refusal of either side to find a holistic explanation, has driven me to consider a much more plausible answer.

The ability to perform violence is present in every human being – adult or child, male or female. However, the potential to be non-violent is there as well. Is it so outlandish to propose that if all human beings are capable of being both violent and docile, then it must be our nature to be both? Logically this must be so, or else we would have to be absolutely violent or absolutely docile. It is not in a chimpanzee’s nature to read and write, and no amount of tutoring will enable one to do so. But every human child can learn how to read and write if they are educated early enough, because by their nature they all contain the capabilities of speech and writing. Violence or docility, just like any human behavior, can only be nurtured and manifested because they are also a part of our nature.

If it is our nature to be both violent and docile, this inevitably begs the question of which disposition humans naturally tend towards. That would be violence. There is not a single culture among humans which does not contain a moral code, derived either from formal law or tradition, and its purpose is to control and curtail the use of violence to resolve disputes. Although the pacifistic Semai are often cited as an example arguing against the naturalness of human violence, the Semai maintain their society of pacifism because it is ENFORCED. Pacifism is a naturally occurring phenomenon, because if it was there would be no rules needed to enforce its practice in the first place. There is a concept amongst the Semai called “Bood.” When a Semai child is being coerced into doing something it does not wish to do, it can say “I Bood” to free itself from any further badgering from the parent, and the parent’s demand must be dropped immediately. However, the concept of Bood exists because the natural tendency for a parent is to force a child, usually through violence. As mentioned before, if Bood was naturally occurring, there would be no need to enforce its sanctity. Also, every child in every society (including the Semai) must be tutored against being selfish, against throwing fits and using violence for personal gain.

Violent behavior can also be reactionary. Christianity and Buddhism were both religions that encouraged compassion and non-aggression. Jesus was the humble son of a carpenter born in a manger, far from what the Jews expected, who envisioned the Messiah as a vengeful, noble-blooded warriorking that would free them from the tyranny of the Romans. Not only did this simple carpenter declare himself the Son of God and the promised Messiah, but he told the infuriated Pharisees that they should love their Roman oppressors rather than rise up against them. Gautama Siddhartha, the founder of Buddhism, was a sheltered, carefree prince who renounced his royalty after seeing the suffering of the world and preached that all people must free themselves from desire in order to achieve enlightenment, because desire was the source of all violence and pain. The tenets of these religions were reinterpreted as a self-defense mechanism in hostile societies. The sworn men of the barbarian lords who conquered chunks of the fallen Roman Empire mingled with the native Christian population. Soon these sworn men on horses took vows to both their liege and the Christian God, and were henceforth referred to as knights. Bodhidharma was a former member of the Kshatriya warrior caste in India who came to China to spread Zen Buddhism. When he happened upon a little temple called Shaolin, he noticed the monks there were in low health unsuitable for the rigors of monastic life, so he meditated in a cave for ten years and returned with scrolls filled with the first animal styles of Shaolin Kung fu. The story, of course, is an apocryphal myth, but it does not change the historical fact that Shaolin monks were to become hardened warriors. Both Christian and Buddhist monasteries were large, landed estates constantly in danger of attack from bandits and warlords during the tumultuous times of medieval Europe and the Three Kingdoms period in China. In both
cases, the monks had to reinterpret their religions to justify the use of violence in order to protect themselves from hostile invaders, or face extinction.

Primatology can give us some insight into our origins, but as anthropologists it is a mistake to believe it can provide a full answer. If the spirit of this science is the discovery of truth by ensuring all the available facts are consistent, then it is disconcerting that the very human-centric fields of sociology, psychology, child development and history were left out in this quest to discover our nature. If anthropology is the study of humanity, why are we seeking our answers in monkeys? As I have said, though primatology provides a part of the picture, ultimately apes are not humans. Can it account for our species being the only one to produce serial killers, who perform consistent, brutal acts of violence for a wide range of reasons? Some serial killers come from broken homes, but the vast majority of people raised with unforgiving lives do not turn into serial killers. Some serial killers come from fantastic homes and seem to live completely normal lives until they are caught, and the majority of children raised in happy homes go on to make happy children of their own. What all serial killers share is the very human, complex thrill of taking the life of someone in his or her species with a total lack of empathy, which allows them to kill and torture their victims without any guilt or remorse. However, we also care for our elderly, infirm and injured. Skeletons of our prehistoric ancestors found in caves with missing limbs, have features that indicate extensive healing which could only be possible if they were cared and provided for. This compassion towards the weak is virtually non-existent in the rest of the animal kingdom. Sacrificing one’s own life for the good of the many, like a soldier jumping over a grenade to protect his unit or a firefighter saving a family of complete strangers from a burning building, is an act of heroism restricted solely to our own race due to our ability to create and understand abstract ideals like deep camaraderie and self-sacrifice.

The biggest pieces of the puzzle concerning our nature will be found not in distant relatives, but within ourselves, who, by our unique nature, are capable of both great kindness and great horror.

---
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**Assignment:**
This assignment asked students to look at three pieces of writing across the literary genres of poetry and fiction, and then to write a creative piece of their own in which the characters discussed or grappled with the political, social, or cultural issues that linked the pieces they chose to work with.

**Instructor’s Comments:**
After examining the greater meaning of the pieces he chose, James crafted this well-written short story that clearly illustrates the issues the pieces confronted. Through his creativity and the careful use of humor and fantasy, James produced an excellent literary work, and one that provides a unique approach to literary interpretation.

---

We stepped out of the bar on the Spokane Indian Reservation and ran right into the sun. It hit our eyes straight on and would not stop. J.C. was prepared for this like she always was, one step ahead with her Ray-Bans on. I fumbled around for my shades, blinded by both the sun and the fog from playing cards for fifteen hours. I could have sworn it was dark when we went in, was it really fifteen hours? I was still fumbling when a car pulled up. It was a '65 Malibu, a little run down with no windshield, but definitely a classic.

“You call for a cab?” the Reservation Cab Driver said as he leaned over the seat.

“That’s us,” I replied, still looking for my glasses. Did I leave them inside?

I really was not sure what was going on at this point. I had asked the bartender to call me a cab. He said, “You’re a cab” and reached for the phone. Indian humor I guess, or just bartender humor. I really wasn’t sure, and at this point wasn’t sure of much. I just went along for the ride.

J.C. climbed in the back and slid across the seat. I got in, closed the door, and looked at the driver. “We left our car down the road at the mechanic yesterday. How far is that?”

“About a six-pack and a half dozen smokes. Be there in no time.” The Reservation Cab Driver put the car in gear. We proceeded out of the parking lot when a young girl, who looked to be around seventeen, jumped in front of the car and began waving her arms. She had long black hair down to the
middle of her back and could not have been more than five feet tall. At her size she was lucky the Reservation Cab Driver had even seen her, but he slammed on the brakes.

"You saw me, don't try to deny it! I need a ride." She held a twelve pack of Coors in front of her and a pack of Marlboro's. "I can pay the fare! Stop running away!" She jumped in the front seat and turned to look at us. "Sorry for holding you up, I just needed a ride and this son-of-a-bitch was going to take off."

"Not a problem," I said, leaning against the window. "No need for name calling though, it's been a long night."

"Sorry, I just get frustrated. I can't get these people to stop. My name is Leni Ann. My Incan name is too hard to pronounce and I don't like to use it so just call me Leni Ann." She flipped the cigarettes up onto the dashboard and looked at the Reservation Cab Driver. "Drive."

J.C. had her head back into her latest Clive Cussler thriller and was pretending she wasn't paying attention. She had been reading it most of the night while I was playing and was getting near the end. The girl had caught her eye, however, and wasn't about to let her go unnoticed. I was still looking for my sunglasses. Had I bet them and lost them in the game? I was playing in a strange land with what seemed like strange rules but I did not remember that.

"My name is Matt and this is J.C. We're just passing through. Always good to meet new people." I was about to let the glasses go. This day was just starting to be too bizarre. The girl had turned around and was looking at us with a smile. I smiled back. "Why don't you use your Indian name? I wasn't even sure you used Indian names anymore around here."

The Reservation Cab Driver gave a snort. Leni Ann smacked him in the arm and kept looking at us. "My father gave it to me to keep the boys away from me. He said I could change it when I turned eighteen. Three months and ten days to go. Until then I just tell everyone to call me Leni Ann."

I looked out the window and could have sworn I saw a fish on the side of the road trying to get the attention of the Reservation Cab Driver. This was getting beyond weird. It was not too weird for him, however, since he pulled over. Leni Ann climbed in the back between us to make room for the fish. He appeared to be a salmon. She looked at both of us and smiled. "It is bad luck to not give a ride to him. I need all the luck I can get."

"She does," the Reservation Cab Driver said as he looked in the mirror. "Her father named her Three to Five with Good Behavior."

Leni Ann frowned. "And no one wants to say they got Three to Five with Good Behavior. It's not good karma."

The car slowed to a halt. I looked at the fish and figured, why not? "I can see why the boys leave you alone," I grinned at Leni Ann and looked back at the salmon. Turns out that was his name.

Salmon got into the front seat. I am not really sure how he opened the door. Probably used my sunglasses to do it. He placed four Lucky Strikes in the Reservation Cab Driver's cup and leaned back. "I will give you the beers when we get to the river. I wasn't able to carry them." He turned and looked at us, then at Leni Ann. "Hello folks, young lady. Sorry for the intrusion but I need to get back to the river."

"No problema," Leni Ann said with a schoolgirl smile. I was figuring out quickly why her father gave her that name, J.C. thought the same thing. She turned her head and shot me a dirty look from behind her book. I tried to send back my innocent face but she wasn't buying it. I gave up and turned my attention to Salmon.
My mind was already shot so I had to ask. “So what are you doing out here? My basic biology class always told me you have to be in the water. What gives?” Curiosity had the best of me and probably even J.C., but she wasn’t giving anyone the satisfaction of looking up from her book. I was stuck with the talking fish and the little girl.

“I was at a campfire last night. I had three stories to tell everyone. One to teach them how to pray, one to make them laugh for hours, and one to give people a reason to dance.” Salmon leaned back in his seat. “It was a long night. Now I am headed back to the Columbia.”

Leni Ann chirped up. “I love to dance. What did you tell them to make them dance?” She leaned forward into the front seat. Her name was more and more fitting. I could see her dancing all night. Problem was, Dad made sure she was dancing by herself.

“I told them of the stories of our ancestors. This used to be a great land. Before the white man came, before there was a Bureau of Indian Affairs, before diseases and everything else took the hope away from us, this was a great land. Close your eyes and imagine dreaming of this land. Imagine the sky a very dark blue during the day. No haze of smog from cars and factories spreading from the cities and the people coming out here to ‘get away from it all.’ The stars lit up the night sky as bright as the sun on some nights, not dimmed by the light pollution coming from people watching television. This was the land our ancestors hunted. We were not a poor people back then, we were a tribe that owned this land. There was no ‘reservation,’ there were just people living. Our people today have settled on what we have been given, but back then we enjoyed what we had. Green trees in a beautiful forest and a mighty blue river flowing with no dams in it and no pollution. Just a beautiful country. We are off the land and prospered from it. And we celebrated all that we had, not settled for what we were given.”

“Wow.” Leni Ann leaned back in her seat. “I wish I had been there to see that. That would make me want to dance. Of course just about anything makes me want to dance so I am a bad judge.”

Salmon turned back towards the front and sighed. “That’s how far down we have come since those days.” He looked out the front window and hung his head. “We have gone from a great people to a Don Henley song.”

It took a lot for me to keep a straight face. This was definitely different from anything I had ever seen. Did J.C. have my sunglasses? Where the hell were they? I didn’t know what to do so I stared out the window. Curiosity was eating at me though. I turned back towards Salmon. “How did you teach them to pray?”

Salmon turned towards us. “I told them the story of Jesus Christ’s half-brother James. James spent the first several years of his life not talking to anyone. An Indian man who did nothing but drink and play basketball raised him. He spent the first few years just staring into space. No matter what the man did, James said nothing. People thought that James had been through so much as a child, with his mother dying in a fire, having his head smashed in, and being raised by a drunken Indian, that he was never going to talk. After several years he did speak, but he only talked to the man. Everyone thought the man was crazy because no one can ever remember James talking or saying anything when anyone was around, but the man insisted. Finally, when James spoke, he told of what the Indians should be. He spoke of how we should take care of the land and that the land should take care of the people. Technology hated the land and the land hated technology, but they needed to work together. We need to take care of the earth because it is the only one we have and we shouldn’t ruin it. The boy was a prophet and the people looked to him searching for wisdom.”

There was not much more you could say to that so I kept my mouth shut. The Reservation Cab Driver had been facing straight ahead the whole time as if he was not paying attention. It seemed we were all waiting for Leni Ann to speak. Again she did not disappoint.

“I know James. He is such a cute little thing. I heard he was a prophet but I thought that was just a new way of saying he had a lot of money.” She kept that schoolgirl grin on her face. “I will have to see this prophet thing for myself.”

The Reservation Cab Driver pulled up to the garage. J.C. had heard his prices and tossed a new pack of Pall Mall’s on the front seat. I gave him another ten to get some good beer and stepped out of the car. As J.C. was getting out she leaned back into the car and looked at Leni Ann. “It was definitely an experience getting to know you. This is ten minutes of my life I may never get back.”

Leni Ann just smiled. I stepped out of the car and faced the sun. J.C. came around the car and took my shades off of my shirt collar. I slipped them on and began to see everything. The girl, Salmon, the Reservation Cab Driver, they all became clearer. I saw what Salmon was talking about. All of the Indians of past ages had gone and we were left on this barren land forgotten by everyone except the gamblers. The beauty was gone; all that was left was a run down garage and my car sitting in the shade.

I turned back to the car and looked at Salmon. “Just for my own curiosity, what is the story that made them laugh for hours?”

He looked at me as the Reservation Cab Driver put the car in gear. “This one, of course.” He put on his own shades as the car pulled away.

J.C. looked at me and shrugged. “No wonder there is nothing left here.”
Assignment:
The requirement was to write a poem of at least four stanzas, or an allegory of at least half a page. The poem or allegory could depict any of the concepts covered during this semester of General Chemistry.

An allegory was defined as a pictorial device in which each literal character, object or event serves as a symbol illustrating an idea or principle (i.e., The Pilgrim's Progress by John Bunyan). Students were offered the opportunity to present their work to the class, for everyone's enjoyment.

Instructor's Comments:
What can I say about Adam's little allegory when, I believe, it speaks for itself? I really appreciated his creative use of people and human emotions to depict the elements of the Periodic Table and to illustrate the principle of chemical bonding, through the elements' "desire" for stability and "nobility" (specifically, their desire to be like the noble gases of the last group of the Table). I read the piece several times and, with each additional reading, it became more fascinating. It was technically sound, yet highly imaginative. I found it equally haunting and brilliant! I trust the readers will, too.

Amidst a quieted hall of monoliths, Florent breathes and steadies himself. In this Periodic Hall, he is not alone in his pilgrimage. Acolytes from all regions of the table have sought the comfort of the five. In a single hushed word, he whispers "noble" and continues toward the great ones. So much has been said of these heroes. Out of the chaos of beginnings, they and only they achieved an eternal octet, giving them stability, strength, and invincibility.

Like so many before him, Florent has come to request a bonding. He is fortunate and doomed to be born of the Seventh Group. His tribe has violent ways. As rumored cousins of the Five, the Seventh Group nearly reached octet. In their affinity for it, they went down an evil road and resorted to stealing.

So Florent comes in prayer to the Five. He is the strongest warrior of his clan and, yes, even the strong pray. For many have been consumed in the bloodlust for an ionic bond. Lost in his feverish desires, he files past the prostrated supplicants.

In the early morning dawn, Lith kneels low in humility and meekness. She scarcely notices the warrior. All of her life was spent to the Five. As a poor daughter of the First Group, she was taught sacrifice at an early age. She gave of herself, for according to the teachings, octet could only be reached through such offerings.

The statues silently watch.

As Florent and Lith pray, the warmth of the rising sun lends them its light and heat. In a moment, her restraints loosen and a union between the two species is formed. They overlap, succumbing completely to the transformation. Their identities are lost to the entwining of their energies.

If it were even possible in such a place, quiet envelopes the room. To the astonishment of all, a sixth statue has appeared.
Good Morning, my European Friends. I stand before you today with the intention of educating you about the economic activity currently taking place in the United States of America. I am sure that, through the news networks, you have all heard about the stimulus package that has been implemented in the American economy recently. We shall discuss this in full detail, but first we shall address the macroeconomic school of thought upon which it is based. I will teach you about the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, as well as various related economic concepts. Indeed, we are about to have a very economical discussion on a variety of topics. So let us begin.

The strategy of injecting money into the economy by way of a stimulus package is based on the economic theories of John Maynard Keynes. Prior to Keynes, the classical economists believed that supply would create its own demand and that recessions would naturally work themselves out because the price system would restore itself to full employment. This theory received a devastating blow with the advent of the Great Depression in the 1930's. The markets were not curing themselves and supply was no longer creating demand. Thus, John Maynard Keynes proposed that the government needed to play an active role in the economy.

The essential concept of Keynesian economics centers on his theory of spending multiplication, which results from an increase in government expenditures. Before I lecture you about the spending multiplier, I feel that it is appropriate to go over Keynes's ideas regarding consumption, which he saw as the largest single component of a country's aggregate expenditures. The consumption function is an equation which states that disposable income is equal to consumption plus savings. If households choose to put a large proportion of their disposable income towards consumption, the spending multiplier effect within an economy will be more significant. If they choose to save the majority of their disposable income, then the effect of a stimulus package will be limited or perhaps non-existent. This rate of consumption within a country's economy is known as "the marginal
propensity to consume" or MPC. In terms of a graphical representation, it is defined as the change in consumption divided by the change in real disposable income. As I stated earlier, the marginal propensity to consume or MPC plays a crucial role in the effectiveness of a stimulus package.

Now let us get to the topic of the spending multiplier effect. If an economy is operating below full employment and real GDP is low, the government can choose to stimulate the economy by injecting money into it. For example, if government spending increased by one-trillion dollars per year, this would result in a vertical shift upward of the aggregate expenditures line. Reacting to the new one-trillion dollars of money within the economy, firms respond by increasing their inventories and increasing their output to adapt to the rise in demand. Then, based on the marginal propensity to consume, the new income accumulated by firms and workers is again redistributed throughout the economy. This spending-output-spending process will occur an infinite number of times until the economy reaches a new equilibrium point, with the desired result being an increase in GDP and a decrease in the unemployment levels.

Let’s now evaluate the impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, which includes an increase in government expenditures of 499 billion dollars and reductions in taxes on households and businesses of 288 billion dollars. We will evaluate this under the assumption that the MPC is .54, because currently there is consumption within the economy but it is not at a high rate. To calculate the effect of a 499 billion dollar increase in government spending we multiply 499 by the spending multiplier which is 1/mps or 1/.46. After completing the math we come to find that a 499 billion dollar increase in government expenditures will result in a 1.085 trillion dollar increase in real GDP. To calculate the effect of the 288 billion dollar tax reduction we multiply the tax reduction (-288 billion) by the tax multiplier which is equivalent to one minus the spending multiplier. After working this out, we discover that a 288 billion dollar tax reduction will create a 338 billion dollar increase in real GDP. Thus, the result of both the government expenditures and the tax reductions will create a 1.423 trillion dollar increase in real GDP.

Are these government expenditures and tax reductions appropriate actions given that they were financed by borrowing money? Let’s find out. When one adds the 499 billion dollars of government spending to the 288 billion dollars of tax reductions, they find that the total amount of borrowed money is 787 billion dollars. When comparing this amount to the 1.423 trillion dollar increase in real GDP, it is obvious that the tax reductions and government expenditures were appropriate actions. They managed to repay the borrowed debt and also create an additional 636 billion dollar increase in real GDP. But let us not forget that these results are based on the marginal propensity to consume. Had the MPC been much lower than the proposed .54 which we have used, perhaps we would be regarding these governmental actions as inappropriate.

Another aspect of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act is the “Buy American” provision that is included in the document. This provision focuses mainly on the purchasing of construction material manufactured within the United States. While the exact scope of the “Buy American” provision is unclear, it has garnered mixed opinions from businessmen and economists in terms of whether it will be beneficial to the American economy. Supporters of the “Buy American” provision feel that it will help the American economy by creating a circulation of money among American businesses. This practice of purchasing goods and materials that are only produced within one’s home country is known as protectionism. Not surprisingly, many economists and business leaders feel that the “Buy American” provision is a poor strategy to boost the economy of the United States. Those opposing the provision feel that it will create major problems for the United States in the global economy. Economists feel that if the United States begins to limit its international trade, other countries will do the same and the result will be a global trade war. They view the provision as an example of short-term thinking, feeling that in the long run strong global trade alliances will benefit the United States more.

Thus far our discussion has focused primarily on the fiscal policies of the United States, fiscal policies of course being the economic policies carried out by both the President and
Congress. We now turn our attention to monetary policy within the United States, which is conducted by the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve is comprised of twelve central banks that service banks and financial institutions within their twelve specific Federal Reserve districts. As with fiscal policy, monetary policy can have a substantial impact on the economy. The Federal Reserve has the ability to manipulate the money supply and in turn manipulate interest rates. If they choose to increase the money supply, interest rates will decrease. If they choose to decrease the money supply, interest rates will increase. The general rule in macroeconomics states that if there is a decrease in an interest rate, there will be an increase in the quantity of money demanded. Thus, if the Federal Reserve lowers the Federal Funds rate, which is the interest rate used between banks when loaning reserves, to 0-0.25% it will create an atmosphere of higher demand. Keynes illustrated how significant monetary policy is by way of a chain reaction. A change in monetary policy would result in a change in the money supply. This change in the money supply would in turn affect the interest rates which would then determine how strong business investment would be. The amount of investment would then affect aggregate demand which would finally result in changes in real GDP, prices and employment.

A major partner of the Federal Reserve System is the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the FDIC. This government agency was established in 1933 by Congress to insure bank deposits up to a certain limit. For a significant time period, the FDIC insured customers’ deposits up to 100,000 dollars per account. Recently, in response to the economic recession and the fear of bank failures, the FDIC is now insuring customers’ deposits up to 250,000 dollars per bank account. This increase by the FDIC will expire on December 31, 2009. This action by the FDIC was done to restore confidence in the public by helping to reassure individual depositors that their funds were safe. One could say that the raising of the FDIC coverage limit was done to stop a potential self-fulfilling prophesy where depositors withdrew their funds out of fear and actually caused the bank to fail.

I am sad to say, my European friends, that our discussion has reached its conclusion. What a journey it has been. We began with the fundamentals of Keynesian economics, progressed into fiscal policy and the “Buy American” provision, and wrapped up the lecture with the Federal Reserve System. I hope you will all retain the knowledge that I have imparted this fine day. Let the light guide you my young European friends, the light...of economics. Thank you.
The Triangle Factory Fire: March 25, 1911
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Course:
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Research Power-Point:
“The Triangle Factory Fire: March 25, 1911”

Assignment:
For this assignment, students took a “virtual field trip” to a scholarly website covering the 1911 Triangle Factory Fire. After visiting this website, students were asked to prepare power-point “exhibits” that included at least 3-5 key images and 3-5 quotations from the various primary source materials available at this website. If students didn’t have access to powerpoint, they could complete a word file using the required source materials. “Flashy presentation” was less important than content and skillful historical analysis. Details follow:

1) The assignment is designed to assess students’ ability to analyze the significance of a specific theme/topic in history; to locate research materials using a scholarly website; and to present and interpret a variety of historical sources in an organized, effective

2) The presentation had to include a 1-2 page introduction/overview, a “tour guide” that would allow viewers to understand the historical significance of the event described, along with an analysis of the source materials you used to create your exhibit, as well as proper citations for all reference sources.

3) An assignment checklist had to be completed: items included
   __ I read the instructions carefully and made note of the requirements
   __ My exhibit contains the following required primary sources:
     __ 3-5 primary source images
     __ 3-5 quotations from a variety of primary source documents
       (including both testimonials and newspaper/media coverage)
     __ I have clearly identified all sources and provided all references
   __ I have submitted a printout of my powerpoint exhibit.
   __ I have submitted an introduction/overview of my exhibit.
   __ All quoted materials appear in quotation marks and are cited properly.
   __ All of my quotations are indentified and introduced properly.
   __ I have properly cited ALL referenced materials (quotations AND paraphrases).
   __ I have proofread my work for spelling, grammar, formatting, margins, etc.
   __ The work I have submitted is my own. I am aware of the Academic Integrity Policy
     at WPU and understand the penalties for plagiarism.

4) Self Assessment:
   What do you feel is the strongest part(s) of your assignment? Why?
   What do you feel is the weakest part(s)? If you had more time, what would you have worked on more? Why?

Instructor’s Comments:
The power-point presentation that Alyssa prepared was a model of excellence—detailed, effective, and insightful. Alyssa’s exhibit demonstrated a high level of historical interpretation and analysis. In addition to her well-chosen selection of images and quotations, Alyssa provided detailed comments that explained the significance of each of her source materials. The result was a compelling account that explained how individual lives were forever altered on account of this devastating fire.

The Triangle Factory Fire was one of the most devastating events to happen to New York City in 1911. More than 145 immigrant men women and children were killed because of poor working conditions. Industrialism was sweeping the nation and with that came the rise of sweatshops that made people work long hours 7 days a week for very little pay. They basically treated human beings like dogs. The conditions of the establishments were no better. They were poorly lit, overcrowded, filth infested, and had maybe one or two exits. The fire broke out one night before closing and the workers on the 8th-10th floors were trapped. Many on the 8th floor fled down fire escapes and elevators, while the people on the 10th floor fled to the roof where people held ladders for them to escape to other rooftops. The people on the 9th floor were the unlucky ones: they were trapped in a locked room with fire all around them. They resorted to jumping from windows and fleeing down empty elevator shafts. It is hard to hear now that adding one more fire escape could have saved lives or that this could have all been avoided. As I was reading through this exhibit I thought about how people could have such a disregard for human life. This whole tragedy reminds me of a smaller scale 9/11 where people were
so desperate to get out they were jumping from windows so they would not burn to death. This was a horrible tragedy that will live within the survivors and anyone involved, and it could have been avoided. Greed and money drove these people to death because someone wanted to cut corners and not ensure their safety.

**The Sweatshop: An unsafe workplace**

In the early 1900's women were now in the workforce, but the conditions were less than ideal. You can see in the attached picture of the Triangle Factory that conditions in these small rooms were messy and unkempt. Women and children would sit at machines for 11 hours a day, with one half an hour break, for a dollar a day. Age was not a factor. The doors were locked and windows were their primary source of light. These horrendous conditions are the reason why so many men, women, and children lost their lives in the Triangle Factory Fire. (Cornell Exhibit, Sweatshops and Strikes 1911)

---

**My First Job**  
by Rose Cohen

Rose was just a child when her father made her begin to work at the sweatshop. She worked for half of what adult women were paid and was worked harder than they were. She worked long hours and was harassed daily by her boss to work more efficiently. Attached is a quote showing her mentality; this portrays the experience of many women of their generation. Most immigrant women took jobs to gain money and start a life for themselves in the land of opportunity, but didn’t expect to be run down to the bone. So they went to work and worked hard in fear of losing their jobs, and some like Rose wanted nothing more than to bring the rest of her family where she was. (Cornell Exhibit: The sweatshops)

---

"I myself did not want to leave the shop for fear of losing a day or even more perhaps in finding other work. To lose half a dollar meant that it would take so much longer before mother and the children would come. And now I wanted them more than ever before. I longed for my mother and a home where it would be light and warm and she would be waiting when we came from work."

---

**The Fire: Pt 1**

**Top Photo:**

Fire control was not capable of controlling the flames to save the people stuck on the 8th and 9th floors. Ladders only reached to the 6th, and the hoses took thirty minutes to reach the fire. There was one accessible fire escape, but with one of the exits locked and one blocked by fire women took drastic measures.

**Lower Photo:**

The destruction from the fire (lower photo) can show the struggle and fear that these employees must have felt trying to escape their fate. Exits were blocked by bulky furniture and equipment, which today would be considered a fire hazard.

(Cornell Exhibit: The Fire)
The Fire: Pt 2, The Locked Door
I felt that this image was very powerful. The artist's name is assumed to be Bert Utler. You can tell by his powerful drawing he was trying to reach the public and the owners of the Triangle Factory to inflict some kind of fear and shame for what they had allowed to happen. You can see in the drawing that the people are running and trying to break down the locked door in sheer terror. They had to have expected their fate with the fire blocking their other exits. You can see the flames engulfing their bodies as they try to save others and get out themselves. (Cornell Exhibit: The Fire)

The aftermath of the fire left people in shock and terror. Survivors of the accident showed and would possess symptoms of what we call today, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. The attached quote was spoken by Rose Cohen, who after the fire made her way home sobbing, not comprehending the incident that just occurred. She would have nightmares of dying in that fire and was depressed and upset for the following days in light of her own life and the loss of her coworkers. I cannot even imagine going through an ordeal like that and just barely surviving. (Stein, Nightmare of Survival)

“I couldn't stop crying for hours, for days,” says Rose. “Afterwards, I used to dream I was falling from a window, screaming. I remember I would holler to my mother in the dark, waking everybody up, ‘Mama! I just jumped out of a window!” Then I would start crying and I couldn't stop.”

The Casualties: Pictures at the Morgue
People caught in the fire jumped out of 9th floor windows for lack of any other escape. Some tried to scale down the elevator cords, but plummeted to their demise. 140-150 immigrant workers died and many more were seriously injured. This picture is at the morgue the following day, where parents and relatives needed to go to claim their dead loved ones. The sight must have been so gruesome. You can see in this picture that many of these people look like children, so young of age. (Cornell Exhibit, Mourning and Protest)
Protests

Top Photo:
In the following weeks people banded together regardless of their backgrounds. They wanted to see justice for their lost loved ones. Together, labor unions, religious communities, political groups and social reform organizations assembled to mourn those who lost their lives and demand real progress in worker protection.

Lower Photo:
The deceased were carried to their final resting place on flower filled carriages to the City's Evergreen Cemetery plot. Jewish, Catholic, and Episcopalian faiths were represented at the burials. The unidentified victims were buried as well with the tears of their whole community sending them off. You can see the support by how filled the streets were. (Cornell Exhibit: Mourning and Protests)

The Triangle Shirtwaist Factory Fire Trial
by Doug Linder (2002)
Linder captured the mentality of a community when he wrote this piece on the trial. Not only was the factory at fault, but the community felt they had let the deceased down by not taking a stand sooner. No matter what race, gender, religious preference, or social standing the people banded together. They decided to turn things around and take a stand for the working class. They demanded to be treated like people and not workhorses. The Triangle fire set off a catalyst that started a time for change and that change was now. (Linder2)

"We all felt that we had been wrong, that something was wrong with that building which we had accepted or the tragedy never would have happened. Moved by this sense of stricken guilt, we banded ourselves together to find a way by law to prevent this kind of disaster...It was the beginning of a new and important drive to bring the humanities to the life of the brothers and sisters we all had in the working groups of these United States."

Literature Cited
The Triangle Shirtwaist Factory Fire Trial
by Doug Linder (2003)
Linder captured the mentality of a community when he wrote this piece on the trial. Not only was the factory at fault, but the community felt they had let the deceased down by not taking a stand sooner. No matter what race, gender, religious preference, or social standing the people banded together. They decided to turn things around and take a stand for the working class. They demanded to be treated like people and not workhorses. The Triangle fire set off a catalyst that started a time for change and that change was now. (Linder2)

The Triangle Shirtwaist Factory Fire Trial
by Doug Linder (2003)
Linder captured the mentality of a community when he wrote this piece on the trial. Not only was the factory at fault, but the community felt they had let the deceased down by not taking a stand sooner. No matter what race, gender, religious preference, or social standing the people banded together. They decided to turn things around and take a stand for the working class. They demanded to be treated like people and not workhorses. The Triangle fire set off a catalyst that started a time for change and that change was now. (Linder2)

Have You Been to Uncle's House?
Jenn Taylor

Have you been to Uncle’s house? Tall and imposing, it dominates the beautiful landscape with its stone authority, looking oddly out of place. I always told Julie that the land was too afraid to tell the house it didn’t belong, forcing its will on the grounds, and Julie would throw her head back and laugh like Auntie May did when she told us stories. She liked the idea that the land was alive and lovely and that the house was alive, but it was a stern, natty sort of character. Well, anyhow, I’m sorry that you have to hear me tell you this story instead of Julie. She would have been much better at telling it. But you’ll just have to make do with me because Julie won’t tell it anymore. Julie can’t tell it anymore.

Well, every summer Julie and I used to play all day at Uncle’s house. If you asked me where his house was, I could not tell you very well, but Julie said Canada and Auntie May once said Nova Scotia or something. Oh, well, all I remember was it was a really long drive and Lonren was always silent and Julie was always sleeping and I was nearly always cranky from sitting so long. But then the car would leave the highways and drive over the dirt roads in the early morning mist. I would always have just fallen asleep when the job of driving was Uncle’s road that Lonren always forgot about would wake me and Julie up to see the early sun creeping through the trees. Then suddenly the trees would part and we would see Auntie May waving her apron at us, looking like a little speck next to Uncle’s big house. Outside the car windows, the fields opened and there were flowers, flowers, flowers! Everywhere! Green fields and trees and bees would lure us from Lonren’s car onto Uncle’s land to play for endless hours and when we were tired, we would sit in the big oak tree. I would read Anne of Green Gables aloud and Julie would brush my hair until it shone. Oh! How I wished my hair were fiery red like my adventurous, feisty idol, Anne.

When the darkness crept up on our fun, we would curl up by the fire in Uncle’s big library and listen to Auntie May tell wonderful stories full of mischief, her sea-green eyes sparkling with laughter. Everyone always said that Julie and I looked so much like her that they thought we were her daughters. Julie especially loved this idea because she always wanted to look just like her when she grew up. Auntie May was beautiful—long, raven-black hair cascaded freely down her back and over her willowy body, framing her high cheekbones and sharply contouring her pale, glowing skin and those bright, green eyes. But the most beautiful thing about her was her melodious, Tinkerbell laughter and a constant, luminous smile that could take your breath away. I could never understand how she married Uncle, a silent, brooding man who was prone to violent outbursts.

But all that was before something terrible happened. The summer that Julie turned thirteen, I was nearly fifteen and, being ill-suited to raise children, Lonren was in no mood to put up with our growing mischief. With each passing year, I could see more and more how much Lonren despised and resented us, simply for being alive. After all, we weren’t really her children anyways. She was only our stepmother who was forced to become our legal guardian when Father died. She shouldn’t have minded too terribly much, since she got all of Father’s money for taking care of us. Besides that, she made sure that she rarely had to see us during the school year since we were shipped off to boarding school every fall. As soon as school ended though, they had to send us back to her for the summer. From the first second we arrived, she was in a particular hurry to get rid of the two of us and prepared to drive us to Uncle’s house that very same day. Julie and I didn’t mind. Each summer, we were glad to be going to Uncle’s house, and we realized that Auntie May was the single person who truly cared enough about us that she could see us as something more than a burden. So we eagerly climbed into the car.
for that long, silent ride until Loren dropped us off at Uncle’s and drove away as soon as we were out of the car without bothering to make sure we got in safely.

I notice right away that something is wrong. Auntie May doesn’t come to greet us. Julie keeps insisting that she mustn’t have heard the car coming and that we should look in the kitchen where she is most certainly baking bread for our arrival, wearing her favorite apron and listening to the radio, as she often did. But even now as we walk towards the house, I know that she won’t be there. The spring colors seem duller and there is a terrifying stillness surrounding the house and even the birds know it and obey with their silence. All of the window shades are drawn, as they never have been before. As Julie pushes open the door to the house, I faintly hear a low, strangled cry, but Julie says it’s only my imagination getting the better of me again. We search and search and search the house for Auntie May, but she is nowhere to be found.

Finally, Julie knocks softly on the door to Uncle’s library where he is usually at his desk, spectacled and hunched, pouring over some book or another, the furrows in his forehead ever deepening. For some reason, I don’t know why, I find myself asking Uncle where Auntie May is in a shaky whisper, lips pressed to the locked door. In a low, dreamy voice, he replies that she is on vacation for awhile and the housekeeper will take care of us and in the meanwhile to please not disturb him anymore.

Although I still feel a sense of dread, Julie seems perfectly content with Uncle’s answer and manages to convince me to run about the house with her and play our childish games once more, even though our teachers say that we must be ladies now, and ladies don’t play these silly games. Julie says bah! Forget them! School is over now, and it’s time to have fun again, for one last time, at the very least. I reluctantly agree with her and we spend the next few days returning to the blissful naivety of childhood. That is, until...

Oh, dear! You must excuse me. My mind wanders sometimes. Forgive me. This part is especially difficult for me to tell and sometimes I just ramble and sometimes I go back in time and tell it and sometimes... sometimes I can’t tell it at all.

Now where was I? Ah, yes. Uncle. Four days after our arrival, he finally comes out of his study. Julie and I are playing in the basement. Where Uncle has just had a wine cellar built. Have you been to Uncle’s house?

Building, building, building... stone and wood and stairs. Old door. They say France, antique. Dark, dark cellar, for windows—light—would spoil the wine.

When the workmen leave...me and Julie, running down the stairs. Cold, through the old, creaky door. Julie says she likes it. Julie thinks it smells like grapes. Julie likes grapes. Julie laughs.

Cold, so cold! Julie wouldn’t like it, this cold, this darkness holding her down. The fermented stench of decay increases through the heavy old door. Locked tight now. No windows. Cold stone. No escaping. Just cold.

Julie looked just like her. I look just like her. She who lies rotting in this cellar. What did she do to anger him so?

Uncle’s sneering voice in the wine, his leering face in the bottles. Where are you, Julie? Julie? NO!

Cold. No laughing now. Julie won’t tell the story. Julie can’t anymore.

Have you been? To Uncle’s house?

Oh! Gracious me! Would you look at the time! The nurse will be in any minute with my medicine. It was so good to finally have a visitor. No one comes to visit a doddering, senile, old lady like me who rambles about silly things in the past. Well, thank you, my dear. You’ve been a wonderful listener, but I’m feeling rather tired at the moment. If you don’t mind, I think I’ll rest now. Julie wants to thank you for hearing her story. She is sad she couldn’t tell you herself, but she can’t anymore.

In my story, the two main characters, the narrator and her sister Julie, grapple with the realizations of the loss of blissful naivety upon growing up. The narrator also is faced with death and the reality of aging alone. The characters in “Ex-Basketball Player,” “Do Not Go Gentle Into That Good Night,” and “The Family of Little Feet” also face many of these same issues.

In “Ex-Basketball Player,” the main character, Flick, used to be a star basketball player, but after high school he is stuck working at a gas station; only a hint of his former self remains: “Once in a while, as a gag, he dribbles an inner tube, but most of us remember anyway” (Updike 167). While on the high school basketball team, Flick is important and shows great promise for his future: “His hands were like wild birds” (Updike 167). However, “He never learned a trade” (Updike 167), so he is forced to adapt to the harsh reality of being an adult, supporting himself with a meager job. Like Flick, in my story the narrator and Julie begin to realize that their futures will not be nearly as glamorous as those of their storybook heroines.

Also, in “The Family of Little Feet” the three girls get a sobering glimpse of their future adult lives. At first, in a hurry to grow up, they try on their adult roles, portrayed by the shoes. However, they realize that in this strange new grown up world, their roles change drastically and they must face many new responsibilities. Frightened by their discovery, they ultimately decide that they are not ready for such roles, and discard the shoes. Likewise, in my story Julie and the narrator face rude awakenings to adult life in school, and they learn that the only person left who cares for them is dead.

In addition, the narrator in my story must face aging alone, since everyone she cared about is killed by her wicked uncle. This fact alone drives her to senility, as she seeks comfort in the recesses of her mind. In the same manner, people in “Do Not Go Gentle Into That Good Night” must also face aging and death, and they are petrified: “Wild men who caught and sang the sun in flight / And learn, too late, they grieved it on its way, / Do not go gentle into that good night” (Thomas 194). Aging and death drive people to terror and madness, as they hide from the last beauty that life has to offer.
In my story, the two main characters, the narrator and her sister Julie, grapple with the realizations of the loss of blissful naivety upon growing up. The narrator also is faced with death and the reality of aging alone. The characters in “In Basketball Player,” “Do Not Go Gente Into That Good Night,” and “The Family of Little Feet” also face many of these same issues.

In “Ex Basketball Player,” the main character, Flick, used to be a star basketball player, but after high school he is stuck working at a gas station; only a hint of his former self remains: “Once in a while, as a gag, he dribbles an inner tube, but most of us remember anyway” (Updike 167). While on the high school basketball team, Flick is important and shows great promise for his future: “His hands were like wild birds” (Updike 167). However, “He never learned a trade” (Updike 167), so he is forced to adapt to the harsh reality of being an adult, supporting himself with a menager job. Like Flick, in my story the narrator and Julie begin to realize that their future will not be nearly as glamorous as those of their storybook英雄es.

Also, in “The Family of Little Feet” the three girls get a sobering glimpse of their future adult lives. As adults, in a hurry to grow up, they try on their adult roles, portrayed by the shoes. However, they realize that in this strange new grown-up world, their roles change drastically and they must face many new responsibilities. Frightened by their discovery, they ultimately decide that they are not ready for such roles, and discard the shoes. Likewise, in my story Julie and the narrator face rude awakenings to adult life in school, and they learn that the only person left who cares for them is dead.

In addition, the narrator in my story must face aging alone, since everyone she cared about is killed by her wicked uncle. This fact alone drives her to senility, as she seeks comfort in the recesses of her mind. In the same way, in “Do Not Go Gente Into That Good Night” must also face aging and death, and they are petrified: “Wild men who caught and sang in the sun free / And learn, too late, they grieved it on its way / Do not go gentle into that good night” (Thomas 194). Aging and death drive people to terror and madness, as they hide from the last beauty that life has to offer.

Do you have any children?

This question is often used as a conversation starter and seems innocent enough, yet it is loaded with implications and the interrogator is rarely satisfied with a simple “yes” or “no” response. An affirmative response typically encourages further probing as to the number, ages, and sex of the children. A negative response often fosters a zealous probe into the future plans of the captive audience, a Guavian-style torquor inquisitio as to motives and desires, and a stern rebuke if the answers presented are not satisfactory to the mackrake. As a woman who has chosen to marry and not have children of my own, this anything-but-simple question is the ultimate test of my capacity for grace under pressure.

I remember being a teenager having many friends who baby-sit to make money or were forced by their parents to watch younger siblings. I was the third of four children in a relatively stable home, with non-divorced parents who never made us babysit each other. My parents rarely socialized so there really was no need for a sitter. We had a very small family and there were no child-age cousins. In fact, there were no children of any kind whom I was in contact with, other than seeing them in the supermarket.

I remember watching The Miracle of Birth video in health class and swearing that I'd never ever go through that experience. I couldn't figure out why any woman would! Any time I'd see babies, there was no reaction: no "awww," no desire to hold them, or worse, change their diapers, ugh. My sister was quite the opposite. She loved babies. She loved everything about them — the drool, the wailing, the crying, all of it. I didn't. I didn't want the pain of delivery, the constant care, the daily overwhelming responsibility, the eternal worry, and the inevitable departure of the child from my life (they do eventually leave). There was nothing about being a mother that appealed to me. I was pretty sure I'd never change my mind and that I should prepare whomever I was with romantically for the inevitability that I would remain childless forever.

I announced my feelings about procreation to my family toward the end of my teen years. My sister said I was crazy and that something must be wrong with me. My brothers and father just kind of shrugged — they didn't really think much about it. My mother, well, she was actually pretty understanding and kind. She said that when she was a teenager she felt the same way, but then, in her mid-twenties, she met my father and couldn't wait to have children. She said that a "biological switch" turned her in, which accounted for the four children in eight years they had together. She said that someday, probably in my mid-twenties, it would happen to me too, and that being a mother is the single best, most important experience of a woman's life. She insisted that one day I'd change my mind and that motherhood was the most significant contribution a woman can make; it's an exclusive right to women which should not be neglected, an opportunity not to be passed up.

Instructor's Comments:

The paper that Julie turned in is beautifully crafted. Her use of language is witty and intelligent, Julie takes the word intrinsically to a level many students fall short of. She takes an issue that is used to define herself (and others in society), and examines it in great detail. The paper allows the reader to connect with the writer because of the way Julie draws the reader in from the initial mundane question of “Do you have any children?” I think this paper succeeds in explaining to many who may not understand that there is an astronomical difference between being childless and being childfree by choice. It also opens the up the idea of being able to define one's life by self standards rather than the standards of others.
As I entered my twenties, my desire for children still didn't manifest. In fact, I began to swing toward actually not liking children and disliking those who did. I can remember professing that there should be "no more children" in the mall — a private shopping time for child-free adults who prefer their ankles unscathed by inconsiderate parents who carelessly maraud around with strollers. I wished for "adult-only" flights — I always seemed to be the passenger stuck with an obnoxious child seated behind me on its mother's lap, kicking my chair and crying for the duration of the flight. At the time, I had a steady boyfriend who had a very large family, with LOTS of children. The children's mothers were always trying to make me hold the children for them, and watch them so they could eat. It was absolute torture to me. I wished for non-child holidays, as if that was going to happen. I had no conversations with people who couldn't believe that I was against having children. I was branded a freak, a crazy person.

Then the most amazing thing happened — my sister, my confidant, and best friend in the world announced that she and her husband were going to have a baby! My mother was devastated. I knew that sounds like an odd reaction, but she felt like my sister was sacrificing her dream of becoming a concert violinist. My mother had put her sister through Juilliard and Mannes music conservatories (bachelors & masters in music). With the baby on board, my mother feared that my sister would have to give up her career. My mother didn't want my sister to marry somebody who was incapable of advancing his career (that didn't happen by the way — my sister is now an extremely successful violinist). Not to mention, my mother said that she wasn't ready to be a grandmother. The funny thing was that I, who had been so very anti-children, was anything but, but I was devastated — I was so happy for my sister, and to be in absolute awe of the miracle nine-month process.

Before my sister was pregnant we used to joke that, for me, holding a baby was like holding a purse. When she got pregnant, I'd say it'd be like holding a Fendi bag; still a purse but way more special. When the baby was born, I went to the hospital and was at the hospital all night waiting the arrival (my father had passed away a few years before). I saw the baby come out of the delivery room and I was instantly in love — she was absolutely precious. When I held her for the first time, I cried like a baby — she was the most beautiful little person I'd ever seen and we had an ineffable connection. My sister said, "A purse huh — I didn't think so." I saw beautiful niece Cassandra nearly every day thereafter. I baby-sat her every chance I could, I changed diapers, fed her, changed her nappies, and I nursed her. I was the luckiest one ever, and we had every ounce of her being. My family gave me the nickname "Mommy-Two," and I formed a bond that will never separate, with the most precious angel on the planet. The downside, which should have been an upside, was that within a year of Cassandra's birth, my boyfriend and I got engaged. I was almost twenty-five years old and both of our families swiftly began to drop hints that I should consider having a Cassandra of my own once we were married. Two weeks before the wedding, I told my fiancé that I just couldn't marry him. I told him that the pressure people put on me, that he wanted kids, and that I also knew I never would. With tears in his eyes, he attempted to assuage my fears. He first tried my mother's strategy and said that I'd change my mind when the time came. He said he could wait, and that there was no rush — we were still young. That didn't work for me; I cried back, "What if I don't change my mind? I'll ruin your chances of becoming a father!" He paused in thought, and then told me the sweetest words; the words which really convinced me to marry him: "It's ok if we never have kids. As long as I have you, that's all I need." I married him two weeks later. The second the ink was dry on the marriage license, the pressure intensified.

Both of our families knew my position on having kids, and they were not happy about it. His father and step-mother said that we should give it a year and then try for a baby, and that I shouldn't deny my husband the opportunity of fatherhood. I sarcastically told them we'd give it thirty years and then try (post-menopausal). My mother was much less obvious, but dropped hints at times such as, "Oh, it will be so nice when I have another granddaughter to love, wink, wink." I curtly told her to look to my broth and his wife, and that I was not going to become a baby-factory just to give her more pictures for her wall at work.

After a couple of years of marriage, the tension with my husband over the issue of having children, coupled with many other factors involving his family, put us firmly at odds. We finally called it quits and decided to move on and find people better suited to our needs, hopes and desires. We parted as friends, and he is now re-married and a proud father of a daughter.

I managed to meet someone who I absolutely adore and love dearly. I married a man who I consider my perfect match. We are business associates and work together in the same office. We are also intellectual equals and partners in every way. We share a love for music, travel, cooking, and more. He has four, yes four, children of his own — all girls, all wonderful, sweet young ladies. I've been with him for over ten years and has helped him raise his beautiful girls. He was divorced long before I entered the picture and we share the parenting of his eighty-one year old and three sixteen year olds — yes, they're triplets. Oh yeah, he's also nearly sixty years older than I, has no desire for more children, and is cognizant of and comfortable with his child-free decision.

When I told my mother and sister that he and I would not be having children together, they were both very upset. My mother said that I was making a monumental mistake, that I was robbing myself of a method, a method of motherhood, and that I'd regret not being able to connect with my own children. My sister said that she'd witnessed the love I'd shown Cassandra all of these years and could tell that I have the capacity to love a child. She felt more disappointed that she wouldn't have the opportunity to love a niece or nephew of mine in the same way that I'd loved her daughter. Both asked how I could throw away my one precious gift of womanhood.

I took their comments to heart and asked myself several questions: Was I cheating myself? Was I cheating society? Was I throwing away my special right as a woman to change the world through my own child? Would I regret my decision? Would I ever be able to make a contribution to society without having my own children? The answers are not hard and fast, and not what most want to hear; I don't think so, probably not, maybe, and I think so. I realized that at the age of thirty-four, I don't have all of the answers, but I do know that I've made my decision, which was always the same decision and hasn't changed thus far.

What I have learned over the years is that I'm a great Aunt. I unconditionally love and care for my nieces and nephews (yes, my mother was blessed with other grandchildren), without having to discipline or parent them. I am a fantastic step-mother. I love theECHOFACE is a good, caring, understanding, and I nurture them maternally. I cook for them, hold their hands, walk arm in arm through the mall, hug them when they're hurt or broken-hearted, kiss their boo-boos, and lend my car when they need to talk. I am a guiding, concerned, loving friend to them, I support and enforce both of their parents, that I knew he wanted love for it, I contribute to society by being a conscientious person, a loving spouse and step-mother, aunt, sister, sister-in-law, daughter-in-law and daughter. I donate my time and money to charities, and I help others through my church and Rotary Club.

I no longer wish for stroller-free shopping days, although I do still secretly wish for child-free flights. I'm sorry, I just hate when that kid kicks my chair for six hours! People never cease to try into my life and ask me the inevitable children question, but because I'm now very comfortable in my relationship with my husband, I'm no longer as sensitive when confronted with the inquisition.

I avoid asking people if they have children because I recognize that it could open up a Pandora's box of hurt (such as if they had a miscarriage or are reproductively challenged), it could incite an hour-long dissertation on how the world is over-populated, or it could encourage a litany of stories and pictures about people diapers, which is something I wish to avoid. I believe that the very personal question, "Do you have children?" deserves a re-write. I think that if people really want to get to know someone, they should ask, "Do you want to talk about your current belief issues, politics, fears, religion, familial status, or social status? Or can we just chat about the weather and call it a night?" Now that's a real conversation starter!
As I entered my twenties, my desire for children still didn't manifest. In fact, I began to swing toward actually not liking children or disliking those who did. I can remember professing that there should be a "do not shop here" sticker on the mall—a private shopping time for child-free adults who prefer their ankles unlaced by inconsiderate parents who carelessly maraud around with strollers. I wished for "adult-only" flights—I always seemed to be the passenger much with an obnoxious child seated behind me on its mother's lap, kicking my chair and crying for the duration of the flight. At the time, I had a steady boyfriend with a very large family, with LOTS of children. The children were always trying to take hold of my hands, touch me, and watch them so they could eat. It was absolute torture to me. I wished for non-children holidays, as if that was going to happen. I had countless conversations with people who couldn't believe that I was against having children. I was branded a freak, a crazy person.

Then the most amazing thing happened—my sister, my confidant, and best friend in the world announced that she and her husband were going to have a baby! My mother was devastated. I knew that sounds like an odd reaction, but she felt like my sister was sacrificing her dreams of becoming a concert violinist. My mother had put my sister through Julliard and Mannes music conservatories (bachelors & masters in music). With the baby on board, my mother feared that my sister would have to choose between her career and motherhood. I couldn't support her decision. I knew that she shouldn't deny her husband the opportunity of fatherhood. She earnestly told them we'd give it thirty years and then try (post-menopausal). My mother was much more eloquent, but dropped him at times such as, "Oh, it will be so nice when I have another grandchild to love, wink, wink." I curiously told her to look to my brother and his wife, and that I was not going to become a baby-factory just to give her more pictures for her wall at work.

Our friends were all itching to have kids and constantly asked when we'd "begin" our family. I scoffed, told them that we had begun our family (him and me) and didn't need more. The women we knew that were new mothers were relieved with their pressure and assumptions. They'd say things like, "You're probably worried about the pain of delivery, right? It's so wonderful—the pain is only for a day, then it's all joy!" As if physical pain was my only qualm. They'd say, "The best part is that you have this wonderful little person who looks just like you and your husband—your bond is forever!" As if I couldn't possibly bond with my husband without a permanent token of flesh to cement the marriage. Family and friends were bad enough, but the worst were the strangers who insisted on knowing every detail of this very personal decision. People I met at parties, by chance acquaintances, even strangers I happened to chat with, would all ask the children question, and then force a world of judgment on me that felt eerily similar to that of my family. The worst was when I interviewed for a job and the very intimidating manager sitting across from the desk from me asked, "Do you have any kids?"

My mind raced as to why he was asking—was it horrified that I had children? I'd call in sick often? Was he concerned that I'd get pregnant and usurp company resources on maternity leave? Was he just being nosy and had no need for that kind of validation. As the words escaped my mouth, I scanned the room behind him just in time to see the various pictures of his wife and, gasp, four kids smiling mockingly at me. I could bear her mouthed the words, "too bad loser, you're toast." He gave me an odd, uncomfortable smirk and shot back, "Well, you're young, I'm sure you'll change your mind." I managed to get the job anyway, but always encountered a tense reception from him and his wife at company functions.

After a couple of years of marriage, the tension with my husband over the issue of having children, coupled with many other factors involving his family, put us firmly at odds. We finally called it quits and decided to move on and find people better suited to our needs, hopes and desires. We parted as friends, and he is now re-married and a proud father of a daughter. I managed to meet someone who I absolutely adore and love dearly. I married a man who I consider my perfect match. We are business associates and work together in the same office. We are also intellectual equals and partners in every way. We share a love for music, travel, cooking, and more. He has four, yes four, children of his own— all girls, all wonderful, sweet young ladies. I've been with him for over eight years and had helped raise him his beautiful girls. He was divorced long before I entered the picture and was friendly terms with his ex-wife. She lives a mile from us, and we share the parenting of his eighteen-year old and three sixteen year olds—yes, they're triplets. Oh yeah, he's also nearly sixty years older than I, has no desire for more children, and is cognizant of and comfortable with my child-free decision.

When I told my mother and sister that he and I would not be having children together, they were both very upset. My mother said that I was making a monumental mistake, that I was robbing myself of a method, a reason to have grandchildren, and that I regret that I'd never be able to come close to love a niece or nephew of mine in the same way that I'd loved her daughter. Both asked how I could throw away my one precious gift of womanhood. I took their comments to heart and asked myself several questions: Was I cheating myself? Was I cheating society? Was I throwing away my special right as a woman to change the world through my own children? Would I regret my decision? Would I ever be able to make a contribution to society without having my own children? The answers are not hard and fast, and not what most want to hear. I don't think so, probably not, maybe, and I think so. I realized that at the age of thirty-four, I don't have all of the answers, but do know that I've made my decision, which was always the same decision and hasn't changed thus far.

What I have learned over the years is that I'm a great Aunt. I unconditionally love and care for my nieces and nephews (yes, my mother was blessed with other grandchildren), without having to discipline or parent them. I am a fantastic step-mother. I love my children-in-law and cherish them. But we nurtured them maternally. I took for them, held their hands, walk arm in arm through the mall, hug them when they're hurt or broken-hearted, kiss their boo-boo's, and lend my ear when they need to talk. I am a guiding, concerned, loving friend to them, I support and enforce both of their parents, that I knew he wanted, and I love you for it. I contribute to society by being a conscientious person, a loving spouse and step-mother, aunt, sister, sister-in-law, daughter-in-law and daughter. I donate my time and money to charities, and I help others through my church and Rotary Club.

I no longer wish for stroller-free shopping days, although I do still secretly wish for child-free flights. I'm sorry, I just hate when that kid kicks my chair for six hours! People never cease to try into my life and ask me the inevitable children question, but because I'm now very comfortable in my relationship with my husband, I'm no longer as sensitive when confronted with the inquisition.

I avoid asking people if they have children because I recognize that it could open up a Pandora's box of hurt (such as if they had a miscarriage or are recreationally challenged), it could incite an hour-long dissertation on how the world is over-populated, or it could encourage a litany of stories and questions about people's diapers, marriages, and other wish to endure. I believe that the very personal question, "Do you have children?" deserves a re-write. I think that if people really want to get to know someone, they should ask, "Do you want to talk about your current beliefs, issues, morals, fears, politics, religion, familial status, or social status? Or can we just chat about the weather and call it a night?" Now that's a real conversation starter!
It was 5:30 a.m. The sun had yet to rise on what would be a beautiful, cloudless September day in the Northeast. Dennis Tardio, FDNY Captain, Engine 7, was just beginning his day, as he climbed into his car and started on his way to the firehouse.

Arriving around 7 a.m., Tardio truly christened the morning as he settled down with his customary cup of coffee and Daily News. It was his life as a firefighter, something he loved more than anything else in the world. Then 48, he had served for the FDNY for 22 years.

"It's the greatest job in the world," said Tardio. "You know—it's got everything you could ever want. It's rewarding in so many ways. What else could you ask for in life?"

By 8:15 a.m., although their shift didn't begin until 9, most of the firefighters that would be on for the day had already arrived. As the morning guys cooked breakfast, most of the firemen that worked the night before were heading home.

It was just another day at the firehouse.

Said Tardio, "You have to go to work, right? Do you want to go to work and do something you don't enjoy doing for your whole life? I never felt like I went to work. I'd say to my wife "Okay, I'm leaving—I'm going to the firehouse. It never felt like work.""

As he finished up his coffee and read about the Yankees' 13-game division lead and sweep of the Red Sox, everything seemed perfect. Everything was perfect.

Little did he know, his morning—and his life—were going to change in perhaps the most traumatic way imaginable.

7 years old, living there until he met and married his wife, Lorraine, in 1978. He joined the FDNY one year later.

Lorraine grew up in Queens and admits early anxiety about her new husband joining the fire department.

"In the beginning, I was nervous," said Lorraine. "But then you get to the point where you're like 'Okay, this is what he does—this is who he is.'"

Shortly after 8:30 a.m., a call came in to the firehouse.

"The call was at Lepineur and Church, an odor of gas," said Tardio. "So we responded, arrived in minutes. We had nobody extra riding with us. It was just the chauffeur, myself, our nozzle man, our backup man, and our control man. Five guys, that's it."

There were 25 guys that made up his company. Thirteen of them were on duty the morning of the gas leak.

Tardio and his men boarded their rig, and headed out, reporting to the site around 8:43 a.m. Nothing out of the ordinary.

"We had our normal complement of firefighters," said Tardio. "We went out the door, and went to the gas leak. Routine."

Chief Joseph Pfeifer, of Battalion One, followed Tardio and his men to the corner of Lepineur and Church to assist with the reported leak. Pfeifer had been the Battalion Chief in Lower Manhattan for five years. He was leading the proceedings, using a gas meter to help him detect any odor.

The gas meter is a small device with a hose-like neck that buzzes, indicating the presence of gas. He used this around the area, trying to determine if there was gas coming from a sewer grate in the street.

The gas meter began to buzz slightly, but it was mere background noise to a more thunderous, blaring sound.

Neither Tardio nor anyone else knew that by the time they got the call at the firehouse for a smell of odor at Lepineur and Church, two commercial airplanes had already been hijacked, and each was heading for Manhattan.

As Tardio and the other members of the FDNY stopped what they were doing for a second to investigate the booming sound coming from the sky, they looked up and couldn't believe what they saw: A plane flying lower Manhattan.

Said Tardio, "I was actually standing there, and you saw the plane flying low. For like three seconds, I'm saying 'Turn, turn, turn! Where's this guy going? Then boom! He hit.'"

American Airlines Flight 11 flew over Manhattan and slammed into the northern façade of the World Trade Center's North Tower.

The sound echoed through the city as a massive explosion shot out from the Tower, spraying fire and debris to the ground below.

Engine 7 up until this point was investigating a gas leak. It now knew its assignment of a measly gas leak had just drastically changed.

Chief Pfeifer and the rest of Engine 7, Ladder 1, left the gas leak and immediately headed for the World Trade Center.

On their way, Pfeifer reported the incident, as they were among a small group of people who had actually seen the plane hit.

In the truck on the way to the Trade Center, the men were buzzing about what had just happened.

Said Tardio, "You basically looked at it and said 'Okay, we've got 10, 20 stories of fire. We'll deal with it, we'll get up there. You know, we'll—we'll get it.'"

Lepineur and Church, the site of the gas leak, was only 13 blocks from the Towers, with a clear line of sight. It only took a minute or two for Engine 7 to respond.

On the way to the Towers, Chief Pfeifer called in and set up the staging area at Vesey and West Street, outside the lobby of the North Tower. The Chief also called for a third alarm before the men even got to the building.

"This is the 'big one,' as we say in the fire department," said Tardio. "The big one, this is the big fire. Fifth alarm, sixth alarm, that's a big fire. It wasn't a one-room apartment, or a private dwelling—this is the big one. But you know, we responded and upon arrival, we're looking up trying to figure out how high it is."

When Engine 7 arrived, they gathered at the staging area in the front of the building and collected their gear. The men tried to prepare themselves best they could to fight what was already one of the most challenging fires any of them had ever seen, just from the sheer height of it—over 80 stories up.

Tardio and his men knew what had to be done.

"We pulled around in front of the building, we took our position," said Tardio. "We had to feed the standpipe system, which would give us water. So I told the chauffeur to hook it up, and I grabbed an extra length of hose to carry—which wasn't my assignment, but knowing that every floor was an acre—and a length of hose is only 50 feet—I figured! All right, let's take another length of hose, just in case."

Tardio and the rest of his crew readied themselves with tools and supplies, and entered the building.

"On the way in, there's a vestibule between the front doors and the interior doors," said Tardio. "There were two people right there on fire. There was a man and a woman. I think the man—he was gone. The woman was actually moaning—her clothes were on fire.

"The [probationary firefighter] I was with asked 'Should I put her out?' I thought that was a very weird question, but I said 'Yeah, put her out.' I don't think she lived, but we—we put her out.'"

Tardio immediately thought to himself, "This is something no one should ever have to see."

As the men entered the lobby of the North Tower, Chief Pfeifer was immediately met with all the information known at the time.

"Whenever we go into a high-rise like that, we report to a command post," said Tardio. "Every building over 10 stories has a fire safety director. And it's his job to meet us and let us know what's going on."

The fire safety director met the Chief, and told him the fire was somewhere above the 80th floor. The firefighters found it hard to believe him, as someone mentioned that it looked as if the plane hit the lobby.

"All the glass was blown out of the windows," said Tardio. All the thick marble on the walls was off. It looked like the plane hit the lobby.

The firefighters did all they could when they first got there, trying to get the elevators to come down so they could make their way to the fire. Nothing was working like it was supposed to.

Said Tardio, "One of the first things I did was try to find an elevator. We have what's called a 16/20 key that we use, and it will recall all the elevators; it will make them automatically come down. Nothing was responding, nothing was coming
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It was 5:30 a.m. The sun had yet to rise on what would be a beautiful, cloudless September day in the Northeast. Dennis Tardio, FDNY Captain, Engine 7, was just beginning his day, as he climbed into his car and started on his way to the firehouse.

Arriving around 7 a.m., Tardio truly christened the morning as he settled down with his customary cup of coffee and Daily News. It was his life as a firefighter, something he loved more than anything else in the world. Then 48, he had served for the FDNY for 22 years.

“It’s the greatest job in the world,” said Tardio. “You know—it’s got everything you could ever want. It’s rewarding in so many ways. What else could you ask for in life?”

By 8:15 a.m., although their shift didn’t begin until 9, most of the firefighters that would be on for the day had already arrived. As the morning guys cooked breakfast, most of the firemen that worked the night before were heading home.

It was just another day at the firehouse.

Said Tardio, “You have to go to work, right? Do you want to go to work and do something you don’t enjoy doing for your whole life? I never felt like I went to work. I’d say to my wife ‘Okay, I’m leaving—I’m going to the firehouse. It never felt like work.”

As he finished up his coffee and read about the Yankees’ 13-game division lead and sweep of the Red Sox, everything seemed perfect. Everything was perfect.

Little did he know, his morning—and his life—were going to change in perhaps the most traumatic way imaginable.

Tardio, a good-natured, unassuming man, was born Dec. 5, 1952, in Manhattan. He moved to Staten Island when he was 7 years old, living there until he met and married his wife, Lorraine, in 1978. He joined the FDNY one year later.

Lorraine grew up in Queens and admits early anxiety about her new husband joining the fire department.

“In the beginning, I was nervous,” said Lorraine. “But then you get to the point where you’re like ‘Okay, this is what he does—this is who he is.”

Shortly after 8:30 a.m., a call came in to the firehouse.

“The call was at Lispensard and Church, an odor of gas,” said Tardio. “So we responded, arrived in minutes. We had nobody extra riding with us. It was just the chauffeur, myself, our nozzle man, our backup man, and our control man. Five guys, that’s it.”

There were 25 guys that made up his company. Thirteen of them were on duty the morning of the gas leak.

Tardio and his men boarded their rig, and headed out, reporting to the site around 8:43 a.m. Nothing out of the ordinary.

“We had our normal complement of firefighters,” said Tardio. “We went out the door, and went to the gas leak. Routine.”

Chief Joseph Pfeifer, of Battalion One, followed Tardio and his men to the corner of Lispensard and Church to assist with the reported leak. Pfeifer had been the Battalion Chief in Lower Manhattan for five years. He was leading the proceedings, using a gas meter to help him detect any odor.

The gas meter is a small device with a hose-like neck that buzzes, indicating the presence of gas. He used this around the area, trying to determine if there was gas coming from a sewer grate in the street.

The gas meter began to buzz slightly, but it was mere background noise to a more thunderous, blaring sound.

Neither Tardio nor anyone else knew that by the time they got the call at the firehouse for a smell of odor at Lispensard and Church, two commercial airplanes had already been hijacked, and were each heading for Manhattan.

As Tardio and the other members of the FDNY stopped what they were doing for a second to investigate the booming sound coming from the sky, they looked up and couldn’t believe what they saw: A plane flying over Lower Manhattan.

Said Tardio, “I was actually standing there, and you saw the plane flying low. For like three seconds, I’m saying ‘Turn, turn! Where’s this guy going? Then boom! He hit.”

American Airlines Flight 11 flew over Manhattan and slammed into the northern façade of the World Trade Center’s North Tower.

The sound echoed through the city as a massive explosion shot out from the Tower, spraying fire and debris to the ground below.

Engine 7 up until this point was investigating a gas leak. It now knew its assignment of a measly gas leak had just drastically changed.

Chief Pfeifer and the rest of Engine 7, Ladder 1, left the gas leak and immediately headed for the World Trade Center.

On their way, Pfeifer reported the incident, as they were among a small group of people who had actually seen the plane hit.

In the truck on the way to the Trade Center, the men were buzzing about what had just happened.

Said Tardio, “You basically looked at it and said ‘Okay, we’ve got 10, 20 stories of fire. We’ll deal with it, we’ll get up there. You know, ‘we’ll—we’ll get it.”

Lispensard and Church, the site of the gas leak, was only 13 blocks from the Towers, with a clear line of sight. It only took a minute or two for Engine 7 to respond.

On the way to the Towers, Chief Pfeifer called in and set up the staging area at Vesey and West Street, outside the lobby of the North Tower. The Chief also called for a third alarm before the men even got to the building.

This is the ‘big one, as we say in the fire department,” said Tardio. “The big one, this is the big fire. Fifth alarm, sixth alarm, that’s a big fire. Even if it wasn’t a one-room apartment, or a private dwelling—this is the big one. But you know, we responded and upon arrival, we’re locking up trying to figure out how high it is.”

When Engine 7 arrived, they gathered at the staging area in the front of the building and collected their gear. The men tried to prepare themselves best they could to fight what was already one of the most challenging fires any of them had ever seen, just from the sheer height of it—over 80 stories up.

Tardio and his men knew what had to be done.

“We pulled around in front of the building, we took our position,” said Tardio. “We had to feed the standpipe system, which would give us water. So I told the chauffeur to hook it up, and I grabbed an extra length of hose to carry—which wasn’t my assignment, but knowing that every floor was an acre—and a length of hose is only 50 feet—I figured! All right let’s take another length of hose, just in case.”

Tardio and the rest of his crew elaborated with tools and supplies, and entered the building.

“On the way in, there’s a vestibule between the front doors and the interior doors,” said Tardio. “There were two people right there on fire. There was a man and a woman. I think the man—he was gone. The woman was actually moaning—her clothes were on fire.

“The [probationary firefighter] I was with asked ‘Should I put her out? I thought that was a very weird question, but I said ‘Yeah, put her out: I don’t think she lived, but, we—we put her out.”

Tardio immediately thought to himself, “This is something no one should ever have to see.”

As the men entered the lobby of the North Tower, Chief Pfeifer was immediately met with all the information known at the time.

“Whenever we go into a high-rise like that, we report to a command post,” said Tardio. “Every building over 10 stories has a fire safety director. And it’s his job to meet us and let us know what’s going on.”

The fire safety director met the Chief, and told him the fire was somewhere above the 80th floor. The firefighters found it hard to believe him, as someone mentioned that it looked as if the plane hit the lobby.

“All the glass was blown out of the windows,” said Tardio. All the thick marble on the walls was off. It looked like the place hit the lobby.

The firefighters did all they could when they first got there, trying to get the elevators to come down so they could make their way to the fire. Nothing was working like it was supposed to.

Said Tardio, “One of the first things I did was try to find an elevator. We have what’s called a 16/20 key that we use, and it will recall all the elevators; it will make them automatically come down. Nothing was responding, nothing was coming
down. I checked another bank of elevators and the doors were actually blown off.

"It figured the plane hit, the fire came down, blew off the elevator doors, and that's how the two people got burned. So it must have been when it hit, the fuel came right down, blew off the doors and torched those two people."

The scene was chaotic at best; people were running and screaming, trying to escape. But the firemen kept their cool, knowing they had to get the fire under control.

"Just another fire," they told themselves.

* * * * *

Tardio, "When we couldn't get any of the elevators, I reported back to the Chief and I said 'Chief, we're going to start up.' And he said to team up. We teamed up with Ladder 8." The fire fighters of Engine 7 got to the site first because they were already out on a call, reporting to the gas leak a mere dozen or so blocks away. Once they got there, Tardio knew what had to be done; it was just a matter of getting to the fire and doing it.

"Chief Pfeifer immediately gave the report back; we knew what we had."

Eleven men from Engine 7 and Ladder 8 teamed up and headed for the stairs, knowing they had to go up roughly 80 flights to get there. This wasn't going to be an easy task, but the men never stopped to think about that. They were trained heroes, trained heroes carrying nearly 100 pounds of equipment up 80 flights of stairs.

"When we started up, I was carrying a length of hose. I'm not a big person; I think at the time I weighed 170 pounds. The length of hose is 50 pounds, the mask is 24 pounds, with everything else, maybe I'm carrying 100 pounds."

"I knew we had to get up to help people; we had to get up there. I knew we'd get there—but it was just going to take awhile."

Some men carried hose; some carried other tools like an ax, pry bar or a ceiling hook; some carried a fire extinguisher and a ceiling hook. Everyone had tanks on their back.

Tardio didn't make it far up the stairs before he got an advanced viewing of what was 80 floors higher.

"As we're going up, we're asking people what floors they were coming from, if there's fire on any of the floors, because you don't want to pass fire. So if there was fire on the tenth floor, we would stop and put out the fire."

Tardio and his men put out small fires as they went up the stairs of the North Tower, through a stairway that was not made for heavy traffic.

"There was room enough for us to go up and them to come down, single-file, that's it."

With all the equipment each man had to carry and all the stairs they had to go up just to get to the fire, let alone fight it, it was hot, very cramped, and an absolute recipe for disaster.

"I knew we were going to be in trouble because as we're going up, we're already hearing maydays for firemen down. Guys were starting to experience chest pains already and we had a 70-story climb still ahead of us. So I thought right away 'Okay, this isn't going to be a good day for us.'"

After awhile, they needed to take a break from the climb, as they were already drenched in sweat and absolutely exhausted.

"I think we got maybe to the elevators or twelfth floor the first time before we said we had to take a break. You know, your heart is going BOOM! BOOM! BOOM! The adrenaline is pumping, it was tiring."

As they were taking a quick break, Tardio had a thought come to his mind that very well may have saved the lives of many of the men he was with.

"I said to the Lieutenant from Ladder 8 'We have to stay together, because if the shit hits the fan, and we have to leave, I don't want you to have to look for anybody. We might not have that kind of time. If we have to get out, we better all be together, so we can get out."

"We started up again, and people were still coming down. And as we're going up, we're looking in doors, to see if anyone is burned and may need help getting out. It's not a straight climb for us, we're not just going straight up."

A little while later, around the 25th floor, the time was creeping up on 9:59 a.m. At this point, the men inside the North Tower had no idea the South Tower had already been hit by a plane.

"About the 25th floor, the building starts to shake. This is a 110-story high-rise building, and it's starting to shake. We all said let's get into the stairwell for some reason. We all felt that would be a safer place to be. Not knowing at the time that the shaking was the South Tower coming down."

The South Tower of the World Trade Center collapsed at 9:59 a.m. into nothing but a huge pile of steel and dust, covering everything for blocks. Thousands were already dead, and everyone knew September 11 was a day that no one would ever forget.

The entire world watched the South Tower come crashing to the ground live on television. It was the kind of thing you could only imagine seeing in the movies, with some special effects. This was the most awesome sight anyone had ever seen.

When Flight 175 hit the South Tower at 9:03 a.m., it hit much lower than when Flight 11 hit the North Tower. Many credit this as the reason it collapsed first, despite being hit second.

At 9:03, Tardio was already beginning to go up the stairs of One World Trade Center, not knowing anything that was going on outside those walls until the tenth floor, when one of his men ran to a window while they were taking a break and reported back that they hit the South Tower too.

"The stairwell doesn't have windows," said Tardio. "So we didn't know until that point. At first, I said 'All right, a plane hit the Trade Center,' you know, terrorram never really entered my mind. shut happens. But when the South Tower was hit, I said 'This is an attack, this is no accident.' As much as you don't want to believe it—yeah—this is a terrorist attack."

"Now things start going through your mind, like 'Okay, is there another plane coming? Is this just the start? Are there explosives in the basement like in '93?"

I don't know if maybe you want to call it stupidity on our part, or just blind dedication, but after the building shook, we regrouped, and kept going up."

Amid all of the chaos, the men still weren't anywhere near the fire. They knew they had to get up to help people. They had to get up to help people.

They heard over the radio that there was a secondary collapse around the 60th floor. Tardio assumed at the time that was what caused the building to shake. The radio call about the collapse also told them that someone got as high as the 60th floor.

"Firemen made it higher than we did, definitely," said Tardio. "Others were passing us as we stopped in on each floor to help people, they may have even found an elevator that worked as they went up."

It is next to impossible to communicate via radio in high-rise buildings. After the South Tower collapsed, the evacuation order went out over the airwaves for the FDNY was not heard by Tardio and his crew, so they kept going up, making it about five floors higher. They were taking another break, and were met by another Chief.

Said Tardio, "We made it to the 31st floor, and we had to take another blow. It's a tough climb—and I thought I was in shape. Still carrying 100 pounds, now I'm dripping wet, nothing to dry, and we're taking another blow. The Chief is coming down and he sees us. Every floor he's going down, he's making sure he tells everyone."

"He says 'All right, guys, we're getting out.' After 22 years on the job, I know enough that when the Chief says we're getting out, I don't ask why, I said 'All right, the Chief says we're getting out we're getting out.' He obviously sees something that we don't."

"So I dropped the hose, left the hose, said screw it—we're getting out, I won't need it. So we start going down and it was an orderly descent. Nobody was pushing, at that point, the floors below us were evacuated, nobody was left."

Tardio started down the stairs from their high point on the 31st floor, making a quick, orderly egress. As the men of Engine 7 and Ladder 8 were getting down the stairs as quickly as possible, Tardio credits faith for what happened next.

"For whatever reason, I don't know why—faith, luck. At the ninth or tenth floor, I stuck my head out the stairwell door, and I see Kevin Pfeifer, the Chief's brother. And he calls me over, I go over to the window and everything is falling outside. All debris is falling and he says 'You can't go out that stairway because it's going to lead you out into the mezzanine area.' And he says 'You're not going to get out that way.' So I say 'All right, we'll change stairwells,' which led us to the lobby."

So they changed stairwells. As they were going down the new set of stairs, the one that assured they would get out onto the street without resistance, they came across a few fellow members of the FDNY stuck in the stairwell, trying to help a woman get out of the building.

Said Tardio, "Myky Meldrum and Jay Jonas of Ladder 6 were in the stairwell at the fourth floor, and I said to them 'Guys, we have to get out, we have to go.' And they had this woman that they were helping to get out. She was a heavy-set woman, and she couldn't go anymore. They were looking for a chair or something to carry her. The guys knew we had to get out, but they had this woman with them and they were going to get out with that woman. They were willing to sacrifice themselves for her. They could have left her there, but that's just not what we do."

The firemen continued down in their orderly, prompt manner, making it to the ground floor to find an eerie scene.

"We made it down," Tardio said. "We hit the lobby, and everything was just covered in white. We have a command
down. I checked another bank of elevators and the doors were actually blown off.

"It figured the plane hit, the fire came down, blew off the elevator doors, and that's how the two people got burned. So it must have been when it hit, the fuel came right down, blew off the doors and torched those two people."

The scene was chaotic at best; people were running and screaming, trying to escape. But the firemen kept their cool, knowing they had to go fight a fire.

"Just another fire," they told themselves.

"* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Said Tardio, "When we couldn't get any of the elevators, I reported back to the Chief and I said 'Chief, we're going to start up.' And he said to start up. We teamed up with Ladder 8."

The firefighters of Engine 7 got to the site first because they were already out on a call, reporting to the gas leak a mere dozen or so blocks away. Once they got there, Tardio knew what had to be done; it was just a matter of getting to the fire and doing it.

"Chief Pfeifer immediately gave the report back; we knew what we had."

Eleven men from Engine 7 and Ladder 8 teamed up and headed for the stairs, knowing they had to go up roughly 80 flights to get there. This wasn't going to be an easy task, but the men never stopped to think about that. They were trained heroes, trained heroes carrying nearly 100 pounds of equipment up 80 flights of stairs.

"When we started up, I was carrying a length of hose. I'm not a big person; I think at the time I weighed 170 pounds. The length of hose is 50 feet, the mask is 24 pounds, so with everything else, maybe I'm carrying 100 pounds.

"I knew we had to get up to help people; we had to get up there. I knew we'd get there—but it was just going to take awhile."

Some men carried hose; some carried other tools like an ax, pry bar or a ceiling hook; some carried a fire extinguisher and a ceiling hook. Everyone had tanks on their back.

Tardio didn't make it far up the stairs before he got an advanced viewing of what was 80 floors higher.

"As we're going up, we're asking people what floors they were coming from, if there's fire on any of the floors, because you don't want to pass fire. So if there was fire on the tenth floor, we would stop and put out the fire."

Tardio and his men put out small fires as they went up the stairs of the North Tower, through a stairway that was not made for heavy traffic.

"There was room enough for us to go up and them to come down, single-file, that's it."

With all the equipment each man had to carry and all the stairs they had to go up just to get to the fire, let alone fight it, it was hot, very cramped, and an absolute recipe for disaster.

"I knew we were going to be in trouble because as we're going up, we're already hearing maydays for firemen down. Guys were starting to experience chest pains already and we had a 70-story climb still ahead of us. So I thought right away 'Okay, this isn't going to be a good day for us.'"

After awhile, they needed to take a break from the climb, as they were already drenched in sweat and absolutely exhausted.

"I think we got maybe to the elevators or twelfth floor the first time before we said we had to take a break. You know, your heart is going BOOM! BOOM! BOOM! The adrenaline is pumping, it was tiring."

As they were taking a quick break, Tardio had a thought come to his mind that very well may have saved the lives of many of the men he was with.

"I said to the Lieutenant from Ladder 8 'We have to stay together, because if the shit hits the fan, and we have to leave, I don't want to have to look for anybody. We might not have that kind of time. If we have to get out, we better all be together, so we can get out."

"We started up again, and people were still coming down. And as we're going up, we're looking in floors, to see if anyone is burned and may need help getting out. It's not a straight climb for us, we're not just going straight up."

A little while later, around the 25th floor, the time was creeping up on 9:59 a.m. At this point, the men inside the North Tower had no idea the South Tower had already been hit by a plane.

"About the 25th floor, the building starts to shake. This is a 110-story high-rise building, and it's starting to shake. We all said let's get into the stairwell for some reason. We all felt that would be a safer place to be. Not knowing at the time that the shaking was the South Tower coming down."

The South Tower of the World Trade Center collapsed at 9:59 a.m. into nothing but a huge pile of steel and dust, covering everything for blocks. Thousands were already dead, and everyone knew September 11 was a day that no one would ever forget.

The entire world watched the South Tower come crashing to the ground on live television. It was the kind of thing you could only imagine seeing in the movies, with some special effects. This was the most awesome sight anyone had ever seen.

When Flight 175 hit the South Tower at 9:03 a.m., it hit much lower than when Flight 11 hit the North Tower. Many credit this as the reason it collapsed first, despite being hit second.

At 9:03, Tardio was already beginning to go up the stairs of One World Trade Center, not knowing anything that was going on outside those walls until the tenth floor, when one of his men ran to a window while they were taking a break and reported back that they hit the South Tower too.

"The stairwell doesn't have windows," said Tardio. "So we didn't know until that point. At first, I said 'All right, a plane hit the Trade Center, you know, terrorism never really entered my mind. Shit happens. But when the South Tower was hit, I said 'This is an attack, this is no accident.' As much as you don't want to believe it—yeah—this is a terrorist attack.

"Now things start going through your mind, like 'Okay, is there another plane coming? Is this just the start? Are there explosives in the basement like in 93?""

"I don't know if maybe you want to call it stupidity on our part, or just blind dedication, but after the building shook, we regrouped, and kept going up.""

Amid all of the chaos, the men still weren't anywhere near the fire. They knew they had to get up to help people. They had to get up there.

They heard over the radio that there was a secondary collapse around the 60th floor. Tardio assumed at the time that what caused the building to shake. The radio call about the collapse also told them that someone got as high as the 60th floor.

"Firemen made it higher than we did, definitely," said Tardio. "Others were passing us as we stopped in on each floor to help people, they may have even found an elevator that worked as they went up."

It is next to impossible to communicate via radio in high-rise buildings. After the South Tower collapsed, the evacuation order was sent out over the airwaves for the FDNY was not heard by Tardio and his crew, so they kept going up, making it about five floors higher. They were taking another break, and were met by another Chief.

Said Tardio, "We made it to the 31st floor, and we had to take another blow. It's a tough climb—and I thought I was in shape. Still carrying 100 pounds, now I'm dripping wet, nothing you can dry, and we're taking another blow. The Chief is coming down and he sees us. Every floor he's going down, he's making sure he tells everyone.

"He says 'All right, guys, we're getting out.' After 22 years on the job, I know enough that when the Chief says we're getting out, I don't ask why. I said 'All right, the Chief says we're getting out we're getting out.' He obviously sees something that we don't.

"So I dropped the hose, left the hose, said screw it—we're getting out, I won't need it. So we start going down and it was an orderly descent. Nobody was pushing, at that point, the floors below us were evacuated, nobody was left."

Tardio started down the stairs from their high point on the 31st floor, making a quick, orderly egress.

As the men of Engine 7 and Ladder 8 were getting down the stairs as quickly as possible, Tardio credits faith for what happened next.

"For whatever reason, I don't know why—faith, luck. At the ninth or tenth floor, I stuck my head out the stairwell door, and I see Kevin Pfeifer, the Chief's brother. And he calls me over, I go over to the window and everything is falling outside. All debris is falling and he says 'You can't go out that stairway because it's going to lead you out into the mezzanine area.' And he says 'You're not going to get out that way.' So I say 'All right, we'll change stairwells,' which led us to the lobby.

So they changed stairwells.

As they were going down the new set of stairs, the one that assured they would get out onto the street without resistance, they came across a few fellow members of the FDNY stuck in the stairwell, trying to help a woman get out of the building.

Said Tardio, "Mikey Meldrum and Jay Jonas of Ladder 6 were in the stairwell at the fourth floor, and I said to them 'Guys, we have to get out, we have to go.' And they had this woman that they were helping to get out. She was a heavy-set woman, and she couldn't go anymore. They were looking for a chair or something to carry her. The guys knew we had to get out, but they had this woman with them and they were going to get out with that woman. They were willing to sacrifice themselves for her. They could have left her there, but that's just what we do."

The firemen continued down in their orderly, prompt manner, making it to the ground floor to find an eerie scene.

"We made it down," Tardio said. "We hit the lobby, and everything was just covered in white. We have a command"
post; it's just a magnetic board, something you'd use back in the 50s in grade school with magnets that say Engine 7, Engine 8. You put them on there and say 'Here's where they are', helps the Chief keep track of everyone.

"The command post was abandoned and I joked about it. I said 'Wow, they abandoned the command post; that's not a good sign. Where the hell is everybody?'

Tardio still had no idea the South Tower had collapsed as he made his way along one of the walls in the North Tower lobby out to the street. Said Tardio, "Knowing that debris was coming down, we didn't bother looking up, we just put our heads down, plugged the wall and made it to Vesey Street.

"When I came out and I hit Vesey Street, I turned around and looked at the Marriott Hotel. It had like a V in it, and I said 'Oh man, they blew that up too.'"

The South Tower was in a pile behind the North Tower, which was still blocking his view of where Two World Trade would have been.

"After we made it out," said Tardio, "I turned around, looked up, and the building starting collapsing on top, started to pancake down. So we went north, we started running.

"We got out a block in the 12 seconds it took to collapse, when we all took cover. You couldn't outrun that cloud. We tried to run, but you can't run in all that crap. I turned around, saw the mushroom [cloud] and thought 'I can't outrun this.' So I dove on the floor and covered up.

Tardio hit the ground, taking cover as best he could under his fire jacket, hoping that he would be able to cheat death again and make it through the collapse.

"Initially, I was hit with a blast of hot air. I said 'All right, I'm getting incinerated.' I figured that having seen it explode the way I did, with all the fire, that was what happening.

Luckily, the heat from the collapse was not enough to kill the men, and the debris that was flying at this point was somewhat minimal, as Tardio and the rest of his company were a little over a block away from what was left of the World Trade Center.

"After the initial blast from the cloud," said Tardio, "I was getting pelted by small pieces of debris—nothing major."

The North Tower of the World Trade Center collapsed at 10:28 a.m., after burning from the explosion of a commercial jetliner for 102 minutes. All that was left of the two tallest towers in New York City—once the tallest in the world—was nothing more than an enormous pile of steel, dust, and other debris.

Looking back on getting out of the building in the fashion they did, without a minute to spare, Tardio credits faith, luck, and of course, Kevin Pfeifer, who directed him to the correct stairway.

Kevin Pfeifer was directing people out of the building around the tenth floor, helping every person he could by pointing them towards the stairway that would lead them out. Unfortunately, for all the lives Kevin Pfeifer saved that day, one he could not save was his own. The Chief's brother did not make it out of the building.

Said Tardio, "If you zigged when you should have zagged, you were gone—it was that simple. Chief Pfeifer's brother saved my life... he saved a lot of lives."

"The cloud didn't last very long," said Tardio. As I walked out, as I got further north, there were people there—reporters were in our face. And there was this woman and she said 'Would you like me to call someone?' And I said yeah, and gave her my phone number; she was taking the rest of the guys' numbers.

"There were reports of the Sears Tower being hit, the Eiffel Tower being hit, and I was just thinking 'What the hell is going on?' People were saying more planes were on the way, it was just so much misinformation.

"It's important to keep your head when everyone around you is losing theirs. After the tower collapsed, the first thing I had to do was find my guys, because once the building started to come down, we ran north.

"I figured my chauffer was dead because his post was in the front of the building. Took about a half hour to find everyone, re-group, and we headed back down to Vesey Street."

When the men returned to the site, there was a call on the radio from firefighters that said they were trapped in the North Tower. It was Mike Mullardum and Jay Jonas from Ladder 6, the two firefighters that were in the stairwell on the fourth floor trying to save the heavy-set woman.

Said Tardio, "When they gave the report over the radio to be rescued, they said 'we're in the North Tower, come and rescue us.' The Chief that took the call turned to us and said 'Where's the North Tower?'

It turns out that the firefighters found a way to get down into the mall of the building. The place was essentially intact, and Mullardum and Jonas, and the women they were with, were found and saved. They survived the collapse of the building in the stairwell at the fourth floor.

"They never moved from that spot," said Tardio. "The building came down, they all lived, just fell around them. They all lived.

"After the dust settled and I looked for my guys, I realized there was nothing there. It was just a pile of steel."

Tardio and the men he was with from Engine 7 finally returned back to their firehouse around 5 p.m.

"When we were on our way back," said Tardio. "That's when World Trade 7 came down."

Seven World Trade finally collapsed to the ground at 5:20 p.m. due to falling debris structurally damaging the building. Several calls for internal fires on numerous floors were also reported.

Back at the firehouse, the news was very emotional. Tardio walked in and immediately received hugs from everyone there. He began asking a question that seemed like it could only have one, inevitably nightmarish answer.

"Is everybody accounted for? Is everyone okay?"

Somehow, whether fate, luck or some other act of a higher being, every man from the house on Duane Street in Lower Manhattan, the one that housed Engine 7, Ladder 1, made it out alive.

"I can't believe we all made it out," said Tardio. "How did we make it out of that building? Thirty-seCONDS, another two flights higher... why am I alive when so many others are dead?"

The immediate reaction from a firefighter who realizes that kind of loss is instant hypothetical thinking. One story higher, a left instead of a right. There were thoughts going through the minds of all of the men who made it out. They wondered what could have happened.

Said Tardio, "How do you explain it? We were the first ones on the scene and we all made it out. Had we been in quarters, we would have had more guys on the rig and I definitely wouldn't have grabbed the extra length of hose. I would have been much higher without that length of hose. Another flight higher and I would not have made it out of that building.

The fact that everyone from the firehouse was accounted for is something that not only can't be explained, it almost can't be fathomed. The second closest fire company to the World Trade Center, the first ones to respond, the first ones in the building, the first ones up the stairs, all made it out alive.

"Once we had everybody," said Tardio, "it was a big relief to know that everybody was safe. It's all luck, everything in life is luck. Shit happens. How the hell else could we explain anything? If you try to sit here and make sense of anything, you'd go insane. It's just luck, and we were lucky."

Tardio and the rest of the men of Engine 7, Ladder 1 spent the night back at what was already being called "Ground Zero," digging and searching, trying to rescue anyone who could have been alive. He did not leave Manhattan until the following week, September 12.

When Tardio finally returned to his home in New Jersey, it was one of the most emotional moments of his life. His wife and daughter were waiting outside, along with people from the neighborhood. Everyone seemed to pull together in the time following the attacks.

Said Lorraine, "We were waiting outside for him; even the kids next door were running around yelling 'Dennis is home! Dennis is home!'"

I hugged his wife and daughter. Dennis was finally home.

Looking back nearly a decade later, Tardio reflects on September 11, 2001, crediting intangibles, among other things, for making it out alive.

"I guess it was just fate, destiny," said Tardio. "If I didn't poke my head out the door and see Kevin [Pfeifer], I probably would have continued down those stairs, not been able to get out of there because of the debris and maybe had to have gone up and over to another stairwell, and go down... it just wouldn't have given us enough time."

Tardio made it out of the North Tower of the World Trade Center with less than a minute to spare before the building came crashing down onto the streets of Lower Manhattan. There are many small factors that could have changed the outcome of the morning, factors that could have trapped him in the tower, or simply not given him enough time to get out.

"As fate would have it," said Tardio. "On September 10, 2001, myself and my group of firefighters had a company medical. They take you out of service, you go to headquarters and they give you an x-ray, an EKG, take blood. So the tenth and eleventh of September, I didn't work a normal 24-hour shift. I worked a night tour and then a day tour. If I didn't have that medical, I may not have even been working on September 11."

"If I had someone extra, I would not have carried that extra hose, and I would have definitely gotten at least one more floor higher without that extra weight. That's all I would have taken, one more floor. That's how quick it all happened."

Tardio's original game plan of sticking together paid off in more ways than one. Engine 7, Ladder 1 did not lose one man on 9/11, and everyone he was with in the stairwell made it out. Everyone except one.

"The thing is that we all made it out, except for the
post; it's just a magnetic board, something you'd use back in the '50s in grade school with magnets that say "Engine 7, Engine 8." You put them on there and say 'Here's where they are,' helps the Chiefs keep track of everyone.

"The command post was abandoned and I joked about it. I said 'Wow, they abandoned the command post;' that's not a good sign. Where the hell is everybody?"

Tardio still had no idea the South Tower had collapsed as he made his way along one of the walls in the North Tower lobby out to the street.

Said Tardio, "Knowing that debris was coming down, we didn't bother looking up, we just put our heads down, hugging the wall and made it to Vesey Street.

"When I came out and I hit Vesey Street, I turned around and looked at the Marriott Hotel. It had like a "V" in it, and I said 'Oh man, they blew that up too.'"

The South Tower was in a pile behind the North Tower, which was still blocking his view of where Two World Trade would have been.

"After we made it out," said Tardio, "I turned around, looked up, and the building starting collapsing on top, started to pancake down. So we went north, we started running.

"We got out a block in the 12 seconds it took to collapse, when we all took cover. You couldn't outrun that cloud. We tried to run, but you can't run in all that crap. I turned around, saw the mushroom [cloud] and thought 'I can't outrun this.' So I dove on the floor and covered up.

Tardio hit the ground, taking cover as best he could under his fire jacket, hoping that he would be able to cheat death again and make it through the collapse.

"Initially, I was hit with a blast of hot air. I said 'All right, I'm getting incinerated.' I figured that having seen it explode the way I did, with all the fire, that's what was happening.

Luckily, the heat from the collapse was not enough to kill the men, and the debris that was flying at this point was somewhat minimal, as Tardio and the rest of his company were a little over a block away from what was left of the World Trade Center.

"After the initial blast from the cloud," said Tardio, "I was getting pelted by small pieces of debris—nothing major."

The North Tower of the World Trade Center collapsed at 10:28 a.m., after burning from the explosion of a commercial jetliner for 102 minutes. All that was left of the two tallest towers in New York City—once the tallest in the world—was nothing more than an enormous pile of steel, dust, and other debris.

Looking back on getting out of the building in the fashion they did, without a minute to spare, Tardio credits faith, luck, and of course, Kevin Pfeifer, who directed him to the correct stairway.

Kevin Pfeifer was directing people out of the building around the tenth floor, helping every person he could by pointing them towards the stairway that would lead them out. Unfortunately, for all the lives Kevin Pfeifer saved that day, one he could not save was his own. The Chief's brother did not make it out of the building.

Said Tardio, "If you zigged when you should have zagged, you were gone—it was that simple. Chief Pfeifer's brother saved my life... he saved a lot of lives.

"The cloud didn't last very long," said Tardio. As I walked out, as I got further north, there were people there—reporters were in our face. And there was this woman and she said 'Would you like me to call someone?' And I said yeah, and gave her my phone number; she was taking the rest of the guys' numbers.

"There were reports of the Sears Tower being hit, the Empire Tower being hit, and I was just thinking, 'What the hell is going on?' People were saying more planes were on the way; it was just so much misinformation.

"It's important to keep your head when everyone around you is losing theirs. After the tower collapsed, the first thing I had to do was find my guys, because once the building started to come down, we ran north.

"I figured my chauffeur was dead because his post was in the front of the building. Took about a half hour to find everyone, regroup, and we headed back down to Vesey Street."

When the men returned to the site, there was a call on the radio from firefighters that said they were trapped in the North Tower. It was Mike Madden and J.J. Jonas from Ladder 6, the two firefighters that were in the stairwell on the fourth floor trying to save the heavy-set woman.

Said Tardio, "When they gave the report over the radio to be rescued, they said 'we're in the North Tower, come and rescue us.' The Chief that took the call turned to us and said 'Where's the North Tower?'

It turns out that the firefighters found a way to get down into the mall of the building. The place was essentially intact, and Madden and Jonas, and the woman they were with, were found and saved. They survived the collapse of the building in the stairwell at the fourth floor.

"They never moved from that spot," said Tardio. "The building came down, they all lived, just fell around them. They all lived.

"After the dust settled and I looked for my guys, I realized there was nothing there. It was just a pile of steel."

Tardio and the men he was with from Engine 7 finally returned back to their firehouse around 5 p.m.

"When we were on our way back," said Tardio. "That's when 'World Trade 7 came down.'"

Seven World Trade finally collapsed to the ground at 5:20 p.m. due to falling debris structurally damaging the building. Several calls for internal fires on numerous floors were also reported.

Back at the firehouse, the news was very emotional. Tardio walked in and immediately received hugs from everyone there. He began asking a question that seemed like it could only have one, inevitably nightmarish answer.

"Is everybody accounted for? Is everyone okay?"

Somehow, whether fate, luck or some other act of a higher being, every man from the house on Duane Street in Lower Manhattan, the one that housed Engine 7, Ladder 1, made it out alive.

"I can't believe we all made it out," said Tardio. "How did we make it out of that building? Thirty-seCONDS, another two flights higher... why am I alive when so many others are dead?"

The immediate reaction from a firefighter who realizes that kind of loss is instant hypothetical thinking.

One story higher, a left instead of a right. These were thoughts going through the minds of all of the men who made it out. They wondered what could have happened.

Said Tardio, "How do you explain it? We were the first ones on the scene and we all made it out. Had we been in quarters, we would have had more guys on the rig and I definitely wouldn't have grabbed the extra length of hose. I would have been much higher without that length of hose. Another flight higher and I would not have made it out of that building.

The fact that everyone from the firehouse was accounted for is something that not only can't be explained, it almost can't be fathomed. The second closest fire company to the World Trade Center, the first ones to respond, the first ones in the building, the first ones up the stairs, all made it out alive.

"Once we had everybody," said Tardio, "It was a big relief to know that everybody was safe. It's all luck; everything in life is luck. Shit happens. How the hell else could we explain anything? If you try to sit here and make sense of anything, you'd go insane. It's just luck, and we were lucky.

Tardio and the rest of the men of Engine 7, Ladder 1 spent the night back at what was already being called "Ground Zero," digging and searching, trying to rescue anyone who could have still been alive. He did not leave Manhattan until the following night, September 12.

When Tardio finally returned to his home in New Jersey, it was one of the most emotional moments of his life. His wife and daughter were waiting outside, along with people from the neighborhood. Everyone seemed to pull together in the time following the attacks.

Said Lorraine, "We were waiting outside for him; even the kids next door were running around yelling 'Dennis is home!' Dennis is home!"

I hugged his wife and daughter. Dennis was finally home.

Looking back nearly a decade later, Tardio reflects on September 11, 2001, crediting intangibles, among other things, for making it out alive.

"I guess it was just fate, destiny," said Tardio. "If I didn't poke my head out the door and see Kevin [Pfeifer], I probably would have continued down those stairs, not being able to get out of them because of the debris and maybe had to have gone up and over to another stairwell, and go down... it just wouldn't have given us enough time.

Tardio made it out of the North Tower of the World Trade Center with less than a minute to spare before the building came crashing down onto the streets of Lower Manhattan. There are many small factors that could have changed the outcome of the morning, factors that could have trapped him in the tower, or simply not given him enough time to get out.

"As faith would have it," said Tardio. "On September 10, 2001, myself and my group of firefighters had a company medical. They take you out of service, you go to headquarters and they give you an x-ray, an EKG, take blood. So the tenth and eleventh of September, I didn't work a normal 24-hour shift. I worked a night tour and then a day tour. If I didn't have that medical, I may not have even been working on September 11.

"If I had someone extra, I would not have carried that extra hose, and I would have definitely gotten to at least one more floor higher without that extra weight. That's all it would have taken, one more floor. That's how quick it all happened.

Tardio's original game plan of sticking together paid off in more ways than one. Engine 7, Ladder 1 did not lose one man on 9/11, and everyone he was with in the stairwell made it out. Everyone except one.

"The thing is that we all made it out, except for the
John Fitzgerald Kennedy's Presidency was marked with devastating failures and great triumphs. Almost immediately he was tested by the turbulent times around him. All the events that would shape his administration were tied into the titanic struggle of American democracy and Soviet communism. None were more crucial than the events of October 1962, known to history as the Cuban Missile Crisis. An event that began as a gamble by Soviet Chairman Nikita Sergeyevich Khrushchev to test the resolve of the United States turned into a maelstrom that threatened to sweep all of mankind in its path.

A significant portrait of American leadership emerged from the Crisis. Having learned the lessons of his predecessor at the Bay of Pigs, President Kennedy was determined to have all possible options explored before he would ever commit to another military action. To do this he called on all his top advisors to counsel him on the path to his final decision. These "best and brightest" of the Kennedy administration would come to be known as the Executive Committee of the National Security Council: the ExComm. Of all these key figures one stood out as the perfect example of what made these deliberations so crucial; none other than the President's brother, Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy. The closest advisor to the President, RFK also became emblematic of the almost daily changing of opinions amongst the men who would split into two main camps: the "hawks" and the "doves." RFK himself would start out as the former but by the end of the Crisis he favored a more diplomatic resolution. This is in contradiction to the generally perceived idea asserted by such Kennedy historians as Arthur M. Schlesinger Jr. that "...Robert Kennedy was a dove from the start" (Schlesinger 507). The atmosphere of the meetings was one of intense back and forth debating. The men who comprised this group came to the Crisis with a firm belief in their opinions. But as the meetings went on, errors in planning were revealed and minds changed. This was the greatest mark of the ExComm and its members. The problem presented by the Cuban Missile Crisis was complex and it would take equally complex minds to prevent it from engulfing the world in a nuclear holocaust.

The Cuban Missile Crisis was one of the central events in the long struggle between two ideologies: the United States of America and Western Capitalism against the Soviet Union and Eastern European Communism. The two superpowers faced each other down over the issue of nuclear missiles that were furnished to Cuba by the Soviet Union. This was a violation of the United States' foreign policy, and the US took action both to prevent further missiles from going to Cuba and to remove the missiles that were already there. Instead of direct military action, President Kennedy decided to use a blockade around Cuba to exert pressure on the Soviet Union and Chairman Khrushchev to prevent them from delivering any more missiles. The President also used diplomatic measures to have those missiles already in Cuba removed. At the conclusion of the Crisis a deal was arranged that would see the removal of US missiles in Turkey in exchange for the Soviet missiles in Cuba. There were moments of great tension for both sides over these thirteen days in October 1962.
His attitude changed completely after that, and Dennis Tardio retired from the New York City Fire Department on March 31, 2002, after nearly 23 years on the job.

"It was just the right time; it wasn't fun anymore," said Tardio. "I didn't enjoy it anymore. It was depressing. Maybe if I got transferred to Staten Island or something I would have been OK. I couldn't be in that firehouse anymore after that.

Tardio spends his retired days at home with his family. Although he will never forget that morning, and how it changed his life and the lives of every American, life does go on.

Said Tardio, "You go down there now, and the sun shines on blocks it had never shone on before. In the beginning, it was like 'Something's wrong with this picture at 4 o'clock in the afternoon.' Then you realize... the trees aren't there... so the light is actually shining on the block."

Although he lived through the most devastating terrorist attack on American soil, serving as a face of the heroes of the fateful day, Tardio still cannot fathom that the two tallest buildings in New York aren't distinctly defining the skyline of the greatest city in the world anymore.

"It's hard to believe they're not there—they're gone," said Tardio. "It did happen, right? It's not something that I'm going to close my eyes and open them again and I'm going to see the towers, right? They're not there."

That was before 9/11.

---

John Fitzgerald Kennedy’s Presidency was marked with devastating failures and great triumphs. Almost immediately he was tested by the turbulent times around him. All the events that would shape his administration were tied into the titanic struggle of American democracy and Soviet communism. None were more crucial than the events of October 1962, now known to history as the Cuban Missile Crisis. An event that began as a gamble by Soviet Chairman Nikita Sergeyevich Khrushchev to test the resolve of the United States turned into a malevolent tide that threatened to sweep all of mankind in its path.

A significant portrait of American leadership emerged from the Cuban Missile Crisis. Having learned the lessons of his predecessor as the Bay of Pigs, President Kennedy was determined to have all possible options explored before he would ever commit to another military action. To do this he called on all his top advisors to counsel him on the path to his final decision. These “best and brightest” of the Kennedy administration would come to be known as the Executive Committee of the National Security Council; the ExComm. Of all these key figures one stood out as the perfect example of what made these deliberations so crucial; none other than the President’s brother, Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy. The closest advisor to the President, RFK also became emblematic of the almost daily changing of opinions amongst the men who would split into two main camps: the “hawks” and the “doves.” RFK himself would start out as the former but by the end of the crisis he favored a more diplomatic resolution. This is in contradiction to the generally perceived idea asserted by such

Kennedy historians as Arthur M. Schlesinger Jr. that "...Robert Kennedy was a dove from the start" (Schlesinger 507). The atmosphere of the meetings was one of intense back and forth debating. The men who comprised this group came to the Crisis with a firm belief in their opinions. But as the meetings went on, errors in planning were revealed and minds changed. This was the greatest mark of the ExComm and its members. The problem presented by the Cuban Missile Crisis was complex and it would take equally complex minds to prevent it from engulfing the world in a nuclear holocaust.

The Cuban Missile Crisis was one of the central events in the long struggle between two ideologies: the United States of America and Western Capitalism against the Soviet Union and Eastern European Communism. The two superpowers faced each other down over the issue of nuclear missiles that were furnished to Cuba by the Soviet Union. This was a violation of the United States’ foreign policy, and the US took action both to prevent further missiles from going to Cuba and to remove the missiles that were already there. Instead of direct military action, President Kennedy decided to use a blockade around Cuba to exert pressure on the Soviet Union and Chairman Khrushchev to prevent them from delivering any more missiles. The President also used diplomatic measures to have those missiles already in Cuba removed. At the conclusion of the Crisis a deal was arranged that would see the removal of US missiles in Turkey in exchange for the Soviet missiles in Cuba. There were moments of great tension for both sides over these thirteen days in October 1962.
Understanding the depth of these thirteen days becomes easier thanks to the President’s secret taping of almost all ExComm meetings. In an unprecedented move, JFK secretly taped these conversations using microphones hidden around the White House in places such as the Cabinet Room, the Situation Room and the Oval Office itself. These tapes illuminate the decision making process of all key members including Robert Kennedy.

Robert Kennedy’s advice underwent a gradual shift in the various responses the ExComm overview during its deliberations. They ranged from an immediate military response to a diplomatic approach involving the US and its allies. Robert Kennedy was not associated with the diplomacy doves from the beginning as some historians have asserted. In fact, his aims at the outset of these meetings reveal a very hawkish Attorney General who wanted to go a step further than an air strike and actually invade Cuba. Though at the conclusion he became of the same mind as his brother, the examination must begin as always at the beginning.

The first meeting of the ExComm took place on Tuesday, October 16th at 11:50AM. The photos of the missile bases in Cuba were presented to President Kennedy and his advisors. After digesting the evidence the President’s cabinet members outlined what they believed to be appropriate responses. Secretary of State Dean Rusk opened with two options: an air strike against the missile sites or a more diplomatic approach that included the Organization of American States and a conciliatory message to Cuba. Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara outlined in more detail what a potential air strike would entail. He deferred to Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General Maxwell Taylor to define the exact strategy that would be employed. At this point Gen. Taylor brought forth the third option of an air strike followed by an invasion of Cuba. As discussed moved forward on the options Attorney General Robert Kennedy continued as a proponent of military intervention appeared. He returned to the idea of an air strike followed by an immediate invasion. The President asked what a timeline of an invasion might look like and McNamara responded that “It’s a bare 7 days after the air strike...” (May 66). RFK asked “Is it absolutely essential that you wait 7 days after you have an air strike?” (May 67). Gen. Taylor responded that an airdrop of the Marines could be set up to go in five days and “That might do the trick if this is really a national upheaval” (May 68). By this he meant if the situation in Cuba got completely out of control and order had to be restored, RFK’s comments in response to Taylor shed light on his thinking:

I just think that 5 days, even a 5-day period—the United States is going to be under such pressure by everybody not to do anything. And there’s going to be also pressure on the Russians to do something against us. If you could get it in, get it started so that there wasn’t any turning back, they couldn’t...(May 68)

World opinion would be against an invasion of Cuba by the US, and he believed that waiting too long would let this opportunity escape. He later also inquired, “How long would it take to take over the island?” (May 74). Kennedy determined that if the ExComm was based around an invasion of Cuba. He was determined to keep that as a viable option and his speech regarding the idea was very blunt and direct. Whereas the other members deliberated on the issue in a stately manner, Robert Kennedy got to the point and fast.

That first meeting of October 16th sheds further light on this opinion of RFK. As the President talks about a “general strike,” Robert Kennedy inquires if this too would “encompass an invasion?” (May 94). The President corrects his position by saying “that’s the third course” (May 94). Here again the invasion is brought up by Robert Kennedy and not for the last time. Later in the same meeting Bobby conjured about the Cuban position:

“Mr. President, while we’re considering this problem tonight, I think that we should also consider what Cuba’s going to be a year from now, or 2 years from now. Assume that we go in and knock these sites out. I don’t know what’s gonna stop them from saying: ‘We’re gonna build the sites 6 months from now, bring them in’ [again]. Where are we 6 months from now? That we’re in any better position? Or aren’t we in

[a] worse position if we go in and knock them out, and say: “Don’t do it.” I mean, obviously, they’ve got have to do it then” (May 99).

Here he questioned the effectiveness of an air strike without an invasion. If the United States destroyed these missiles then Castro would just have them replaced with new ones. An air strike would not prevent further threats from Cuba as a missile base for the Russians. RFK further tied this into the Soviet position and this illustrates his argument in favor of the invasion of Cuba:

Then we’re gonna have to sink Russian ships. Then we’re gonna have to sink Russian submarines. Now, [think] whether it wouldn’t be the argument, if you’re going to get into it all, whether we should just get it over with, and take our losses. And if we have to get into a war over this... Hell, if it’s war that’s gonna come on this thing, or if he sticks those kinds of missiles after the warning, then he’s gonna get into a war 6 months from now, or a year from now... So...(May 99).

RFK’s argument for an air strike followed by an invasion is seen clearly here. If Khruzhchev was determined to make Cuba a missile base, then an air strike simply would not be enough. He would replace his lost missiles with new ones. If the Soviet’s decided to invade Cuba with more missiles, they would be running the blockade and this would mean direct engagement with Russian ships. Wouldn’t it behoove the United States to simply invade and negate Cuba completely as a threat? That key point is the one RFK is made here is regarding escalation. If the United States intervened at this point in Cuba, then in fact they would have killed some Russian nationals that were working on the missile sites. They would also have lost some of their own ground forces in the process. As bad as that might have made the situation, it could potentially have worsened down the road if President Kennedy had to order an attack on Russian ships and submarines, a decision that surely would cause the outbreak of another world war.

This was Robert Kennedy’s stance, and he quite adamantly stuck to it. When then did the shift occur? He was not a member of the group that started, but he did find himself ultimately supporting their position. This change took place during meetings to which we have no transcripts. However, there are notes taken by Deputy Director of the CIA Ray Clary that shed light on this matter. The meetings when this took place were from the 20th to the 22nd of October. It was here that the members of the ExComm split into their respective camps to hammer out their positions. These would emerge in four main proposals to be presented to the President. The first was an immediate Air Strike on the nuclear missiles in Cuba. This was supported by the hawks General Maxwell Taylor and National Security Adviser to the President McGeorge Bundy. The second was a blockade of Cuba followed by an Air Strike, argued by Robert Kennedy, Treasury
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Secretary Douglas Dillon and Director of the CIA John McCone. The third proposal was a blockade of Cuba to halt the Soviet reaction to the decision made by the United States, following further actions: this was brought to the meeting by Secretary of State Dean Rusk. The fourth and final was a blockade of Cuba followed by negotiations to get rid of the missiles. The main proponents of this were Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara and Special Counsel and Adviser to the President Ted Sorensen. Ultimately President John F. Kennedy decided upon the fourth as the key to resolving the Crisis. It is during these presentations that you see once again RFK’s initial position but also exactly where he turns to the other camp.

During the course of the meeting of the 20th General Maxwell Taylor once again advised the President “that now was the time to act because this would be the last chance we would have to destroy these missiles” (May 194). Secretary Rusk responded that a blockade would effectively curtail further missile deployments to Cuba. The arguments continued about the blockade and whether it would be productive in the long run. At this point Robert Kennedy agreed with Gen. Taylor “that now is the last chance we will have to destroy Castro and the Soviet missiles deployed in Cuba” (May 195). At this juncture the two main doves, McNamara and Sorensen, made compelling arguments that brought about a change in Bobby’s opinion. Sorensen said that he disagreed with Gen. Taylor that this would be the last chance because “a missile buildup would end if, as everyone seemed to agree, the Russians would not use force to penetrate the United States blockade...” (May 195). McNamara also interjected that an air strike against the missile sites would never be a hundred percent effective in destroying the missiles. “...[A]t best, we could knock out two-thirds of these missiles. Those missiles not destroyed could be fired from mobile launchers not destroyed” (May 196). This led to a
Understanding the depth of these thirteen days becomes easier thanks to the President's secret taping of almost all ExComm meetings. In an unprecedented move JFK secretly taped these conversations using microphones hidden around the White House in places such as the Cabinet Room, the Situation Room and the Oval Office itself. These tapes illuminate the decision-making process of all key members including Robert Kennedy.

Robert Kennedy's advice underwent a gradual shift in the various responses the ExComm overview during its deliberations. They ranged from an immediate military response to a diplomatic approach involving the US and its allies. Robert Kennedy was not associated with the diplomacy doves from the beginning as some historians have asserted. In fact, his remarks at the outset of these meetings reveal a very hawkish Attorney General who wanted to go no further than an air strike and actually invade Cuba. Though at the conclusion he became of the same mind as his brother, his examination must begin as always at the beginning.

The first meeting of the ExComm took place on Tuesday, October 16th at 11:50AM. The photos of the missile bases in Cuba were presented to President Kennedy and his advisors. After digesting the evidence the President's cabinet members outlined what they believed to be appropriate responses. Secretary of State Dean Rusk opened with two options: an air strike against the missile sites or a more diplomatic approach that included the Organization of American States and a conciliatory message to Cuba. Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara outlined in more detail what a potential air strike would entail. He deferred to Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General Maxwell Taylor to define the exact strategy that would be employed. At this point Gen. Taylor brought forth the third option of an air strike followed by an invasion of Cuba. As discussion moved forward on the options Attorney General Robert Kennedy opposed this as a prelude to military intervention appeared. He returned to the idea of an air strike followed by an immediate invasion. The President asked what a timeline of an invasion might look like and McNamara responded that "It's a bear 7 days after the air strike..." (May 66). RFK asked "Is it absolutely essential that you wait 7 days after you have an air strike?" (May 67). Gen. Taylor responded that an airdrop of the Marines could be set up to go in five days and "That might do the trick if this is really a national upheaval" (May 68). By this he meant if the situation in Cuba got completely out of control and order had to be restored. RFK's comments in response to Taylor shed light on his thinking:

I just think that 5 days, even a 5-day period—the United States is going to be under such pressure by everybody not to do anything. And there's going to be also pressure on the Russians to do something against us. If you could get it in, get it started so that there wasn't any turning back, they couldn't...! (May 68)

World opinion would be against an invasion of Cuba by the US, and he believed that waiting too long would let this opportunity escape. He later also inquired, "How long would it take to take over the island?" (May 74). Robert Kennedy's entire contribution to the formation of the ExComm was based around an invasion of Cuba. He was determined to keep that as a viable option and his speech regarding the idea was very blunt and direct. Whereas the other members deliberated on the issue in a stately manner, Robert Kennedy got to the point and fast.

That first meeting of October 16th sheds further light on this opinion of RFK. As the President talks about a "general strike," Robert Kennedy inquires if this too would "ensnare an invasion?" (May 94). The President corrects his position by saying "that's the third course" (May 94). Here again the invasion is brought up by Robert Kennedy and not for the last time. Later in the same meeting Bobby confronted about the Cuban position:

"Mr. President, while we're considering this problem tonight, I think that we should also consider what Cuba's going to be a year from now, or 2 years from now. Assume that we go in and knock these sites out. I don't know what's gonna stop them from saying: "We're gonna build the sites 6 months from now, bring them in. [again] Where are we 6 months from now? Or that we're in any better position? Or aren't we in


[3] a worse position if we go in and knock them out, and say: "Don't do it." I mean, obviously, they've gonna have to do it then?" (May 99).

Here he questioned the effectiveness of an air strike without an invasion. If the United States destroyed these missiles then Castro would just have them replaced with new ones. An air strike would not prevent further threats from Cuba as a missile base for the Russians. RFK further tied this into the Soviet position and this illustrates his argument in favor of the invasion of Cuba:

Then we're gonna have to sink Russian ships. Then we're gonna have to sink Russian submarines. Now, [think] whether it wouldn't be the argument, if you're going to get into it all, whether we should just get it over with, and take our losses. And if he wants to get into a war over this... Hell, if it's war that's gonna come on this thing, or if he sticks those kinds of missiles after the warning, then he's gonna get into a war 6 months from now, or a year from now. So..." (May 99).

RFK's argument for an air strike followed by an invasion is seen clearly here. If Khrušchev was determined to make Cuba a missile base, then an air strike simply would not be enough. He would replace his lost missiles with new ones. If the Soviet Union decided to go with Cuba with more missiles, they would be running the blockade and this would mean direct engagement with Russian ships. Wouldn't it behoove the United States to simply invade and regate Cuba completely as a threat on the major key point of the Grenn Line? This made here is regarding escalations. If the United States intervened at this point in Cuba, then they would have killed some Russian nationals that were working on the missile sites. They would also have lost some of their own ground forces in the process. As bad as that might have made the situation, it could potentially have worsened down the road if President Kennedy had to order an attack on Russian ships and submarines, a decision that surely would cause the outbreak of another world war.

This was Robert Kennedy's stance, and he quite adamantly stuck to it. When then did the shift occur? He was not a member of the doves from the start, but he did find himself ultimately supporting their position. This change took place during meetings to which we have no transcripts. However, there are notes taken by Deputy Director of the CIA Ray Cline that shed light on this matter. The meetings when this took place were from the 20th to the 22nd of October. It was here that the members of the ExComm split into their respective camps to hammer out their positions. These would emerge in four main proposals to be presented to the President. The first was an immediate Air Strike on the nuclear missiles in Cuba. This was supported by the hawks General Maxwell Taylor and National Security Adviser to the President McGeorge Bundy. The second was a blockade of Cuba followed by an Air Strike, argued by Robert Kennedy, Treasury Secretary Douglas Dillon and Director of the CIA John McCone. The third proposal was a blockade of Cuba to halt the Soviet reaction to the decision made by the United States, followed by further actions: this was brought to the meeting by Secretary of State Dean Rusk. The fourth and final was a blockade of Cuba followed by negotiations to get rid of the missiles. The main proponents of this were Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara and Special Counsel and Advisor to the President Ted Sorensen. Ultimately President John F. Kennedy decided upon the fourth as the key to resolving the Crisis. It is during these presentations that you see once again RFK's initial position but also exactly where he turns to the other camp.

During the course of the meeting of the 20th General Maxwell Taylor once again advised the President "that now was the time to act because this would be the last chance we would have to destroy these missiles" (May 194). Secretary Rusk responded that a blockade would effectively curtail further missile deployments to Cuba. The arguments continued about the blockade and whether it would be productive in the long run. At this point Robert Kennedy agreed with Gen. Taylor "that now is the last chance we will have to destroy Castro and the Soviet missiles deployed in Cuba" (May 195). At this juncture the two main doves, McNamara and Sorensen, made compelling arguments that brought about a change in Bobby's opinion. Sorensen said that he disagreed with Gen. Taylor that this would be the last chance because "a missile buildup would end if, as everyone seemed to agree, the Russians would not use force to penetrate the United States blockade..." (May 195). McNamara also interjected that an air strike against the missile sites would never be a hundred percent effective in destroying the missiles. "[A]t best, we could knock out two-thirds of these missiles. Those missiles not destroyed could be fired from mobile launchers not destroyed" (May 196). This led to a
gradual change in RFK's position. Though not switching outright to the blockade and negotiation plan proposed by McNamara and Sorensen, he did save this to say:

The Attorney General said that in his opinion a combination of the blockade route and the air strike was very attractive to us because we should first institute the blockade. In the event that the Soviets continued to build up missile capability in Cuba, then we should inform the Russians that we would destroy the missiles, the launchers, and the missile sites. He said he favored a shorter wait duration than which the Russians could react to the blockade. If the Russians did not halt the development of the missile capability, then we would proceed to make an air strike. The advantage of proceeding in this way, he added, was that from the Miami Harbor surprise aspect of the air strike route, (May 196). The change here was more towards Dean Rusk's initial proposal of a blockade to freeze Soviet reaction and then a waiting game to see what they would do and what the United States would do in turn. The mention of Pearl Harbor was a part of the American leadership's way of thinking and something that all members of the meetings wanted to avoid. The Japanese surprise attack had been condemned by the United States as cowardly and they considered any such action taken by them to be opposed to their ideals as a country. The meeting concluded with a general consensus among those that an air strike would be a point of no return. It would force Khrushchev into a corner from which he would have to respond with comparable military action. Another incident to note during this meeting was the appearance of a representative of the Soviet Union to the meeting. He was later called in due to the serious condition in which the Soviets found themselves. Khrushchev suggested that we offer the Russians a settlement involving the withdrawal of our missiles from Turkey and our evacuation of Guantamano base (May 199). Although the idea of giving up Guantanamo was "sharply rejected" (May 199) by the President, he would not accept the missile trade of Turkey for Cuba became something that all parties would be willing to consider at a further date. The primary concern was having the missiles in Cuba removed and/or destroyed. In future discussions it was generally accepted that any dealings with the Russians would exclude the direct missile-trade negotiations. Khrushchev would have to remove his missiles, and if at some point the United States decided to remove theirs from Turkey, it would not be so overly a part of this specific moment.

The meeting of the 22nd saw another turn in Robert Kennedy's proposal for an air strike followed by an invasion or a blockade and negotiations. An account of this meeting was given by Robert McNamara. The meeting opened with a detailed outline of what a potential air strike would look like. Gen. Taylor: "The most we can offer you is to destroy 90% of the known missiles" (May 206). Thus this strike would have to be followed by more, and those would "inevitably lead to an invasion" (May 206). The President then asked RFK what he thought of the air strike:

[Robert Kennedy] stated he was opposed to such a strike because:

(1) "It would be a Pearl Harbor type of attack."

(2) It would lead to unpredictable military responses by the Soviet Union which could be so serious as to lead to general nuclear war.

He stated we should start with the initiation of the blockade and thereafter "play for the breaks" (May 207).

It becomes clear at this point that Robert Kennedy no longer viewed his initial idea as a viable option. The consequences of an air strike would be dire. Inevitably they would lead to an invasion regardless if one would be planned for or not. A strict blockade at least allowed Khrushchev some room to maneuver. In the same meeting Ambassador Stevenson suggested that a meeting be arranged between President Kennedy and Chairman Khrushchev. The President and some of his advisors responded that any such engagement would make the United States' position appear weak. If we did not first resolve the matter of the nuclear threat from Cuba, a meeting would "appear to outsiders that our only response to Khrushchev's challenge was to negotiate" (May 210). RFK also replied to Stevenson, "... we should anticipate a Soviet reaction involving a movement in Berlin" (May 210).

The Berlin factor is crucial to understanding any and all American dealings with the Soviet Union. It was in many ways the central front of the Cold War. Almost all strategic planning in this period was done around the question: What would happen to Berlin? President Kennedy and many others firmly believed that if the United States stood on Cuba then Khrushchev would move on Berlin. While Kennedy at times admitted that Berlin might eventually be lost, his response to this would be a nuclear missile strike on Russian sites that would eventually lead to a general missile exchange. Why was the United States so adamant on keeping the Soviets from capturing Berlin? Vitors of the Second World War believed that appeasement to Adolf Hitler at Munich had been a direct cause of the outbreak. Had British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain not acquiesced to the Fuehrer's hegemony, further escalation could have been prevented. Two decades later, the United States would not give anything to the Soviets in fear that they would march into all of Western Europe. Although in hindsight this might seem slightly difficult to comprehend, this was a fact that American leadership with on a day to day basis (May 2).

October 27th was possibly the grimiest day of the entire crisis. Known amongst the Kennedy cabal as Black Saturday, it would prove to be the most trying time for the entire administration. The key moment was spread over two days, from the 26th to the 27th. The administration received two letters from Khrushchev. The first arrived on the 26th some time between 6 and 9PM. Given the content and the emotion of the letter, the ExComm concluded that it was written by Khrushchev himself. In it Khrushchev went to great lengths to recover some of the territorial concessions that had been made. The Chairman proposed that no Soviet ships carrying arms would be sent to Cuba. In return the United States should not invade and would not support any force that would disrupt Caribbean Governments. The conciliatory letter was generally well received by the President and his cabinet. However, the following day a second letter would further exacerbate an already tenuous situation. More political in its demeanor, this letter instead proposed, quid pro quo, that the Russian missiles in Cuba would be removed if the United States would remove their missiles from Turkey. Confused by this complication, the ExComm concluded that this missive was the official line of the Polburo. RFK's response to this was in line with what was discussed earlier when Ambassador Stevenson had urged a missile trade:

The bases in Cuba involve the security of the Western Hemisphere. This is not just a question of the United States. This is a question of all Latin American countries. All have joined together in this effort. Time is running out on us. This must be brought to fruition. The question of the Turkish bases – which is excellent that you brought that up – in that there should be disarmament of the Turkish bases. But that has nothing to do with the security of the Western Hemisphere (May 511).

The question of the missile trade was not open for negotiation as it is pertained to the missiles in Cuba. If there was ever going to be a negotiation it would occur after the Crisis in Cuba had been resolved.

October 28th marked the beginning of the end of the Cuban Missile Crisis. Chairman Khrushchev delivered a radio broadcast that stated he would dismantle the Soviet missiles if he were guaranteed the United States would not interfere in communist Cuba. President Kennedy replied in a letter that the United States agreed with the president's actions to destabilize Castro's government. He also hinted at future disarmament of nuclear weapons, most likely referring to the U.S. missiles in Turkey. Robert Kennedy was central in the discussions that led to this as it was he who delivered the message to Ambassador to the United States Anatoly Dobrynin. In their talks he refused to give...
gradual change in RFK's position. Though not switching outright to the blockade and negotiation plan proposed by McNamara and Sorensen, he did save this to say:

The Attorney General said that in his opinion a combination of the blockade route and the air strike was very attractive to us that we should first institute the blockade. In the event the Soviets continued to build up missile capability in Cuba, then we should inform the Russians that we would destroy the missiles, the launches, and the missile sites. He said he favored a short wait during which time the Russians could react to the blockade. If the Russians did not halt the development of the missile capability, then we would proceed to make an air strike. The advantage of proceeding in this way, he added, was to save the surprise aspect of the air strike route. (May 19).

The change here was more towards Dean Rusk's initial proposal of a blockade to freeze Soviet reaction and then a waiting game to see what they would do and what the United States would do to turn. The mention of Pearl Harbor was a part of the American leadership's way of thinking and something that all members of the meetings wanted to avoid. The Japanese surprise attack had been condemned by the United States as cowardly and they considered any such action taken by them to be opposed to their ideals as a country. The meeting concluded with a general consensus amongst those present that an air strike would be a point of no return. It would force Khrushchev into a corner from which he would have to respond with comparable military action. Another incident to note during this meeting was the appearance of a propagandist that would later become crucial in resolving this crisis. Ambassador to the United Nations Adlai Stevenson suggested "...that we offer the Russians a settlement involving the withdrawal of our missiles from Turkey and our evacuation of Guantánamo base" (May 19). Although the idea of giving up Guantánamo was "sharply rejected" (May 19) by the President, the missile trade of Turkey for Cuba became something that all parties would be willing to consider at a further date. The primary concern was having the missiles in Cuba removed and/or destroyed. In further discussions it was widely accepted that any dealings with the Russians would exclude the direct missile-trade negotiations. Khrushchev would have to remove his missiles, and if at some point the United States decided to remove theirs from Turkey, it would not be so overly a part of this specific moment.

The meeting of the 22nd saw another turn in Robert Kennedy's proposal for an air strike followed by an invasion or a blockade and negotiations. An account of this meeting was given by Robert McNamara. The meeting opened with a detailed outline of what a potential air strike would look like. Gen. Taylor said: "The President will offer you to destroy 90% of the known missiles" (May 20). Thus this strike would have to be followed by more, and those would inevitably lead to an invasion" (May 20). The President then asked RFK what he thought of the air strike:

[Robert Kennedy] stated he was opposed to such a strike because:

(1) "It would be a Pearl Harbor type of attack."

(2) It would lead to unpredictable military responses by the Soviet Union which could be so serious as to lead generally to nuclear war.

He stated we should start with the initiation of the blockade and thereafter "play for the breaks." (May 20).

It becomes clear at this point that Robert Kennedy no longer viewed his initial idea as a viable option. The consequences of an air strike would be dire. Inevitably they would lead to an invasion regardless if one would be planned for or not. A strict blockade at least allowed Khrushchev some room to maneuver. In the same meeting Ambassador Stevenson suggested that a meeting be arranged between President Kennedy and Chairman Khrushchev. The President and some of his advisors responded that any such engagement would make the United States' position appear weak. If we did not first resolve the matter of the nuclear threat from Cuba, a meeting would "...appear to outsiders that our only response to Khrushchev's challenge was to negotiate." (May 21). RFK also replied to Stevenson, "...we should anticipate a Soviet reaction involving a movement in Berlin." (May 21).

The Berlin factor is crucial to understanding any and all American dealings with the Soviet Union. It was in many ways the central front of the Cold War. Almost all strategic planning in this period was done around the question: What would happen to Berlin? President Kennedy and many others firmly believed that if the United States did not have control over Berlin, Khrushchev would move on Berlin. While Kennedy at times admitted that Berlin might eventually be lost, his response to this would be a nuclear missile strike on Russian sites that would eventually lead to a general missile exchange. Why was this the U.S. goal in its commitment to the missile trade? Kennedy's government. He also hinted at future disarmament of nuclear weapons, most likely referring to the U.S. missiles in Turkey. Robert Kennedy was central in the discussions that led up to this as it was he who delivered the message to Ambassador to the United States Anany Dobrynin. In their talks he refused to give Dobrynin guarantees on the Turkey issue. As he had said before, the U.S. would not do anything until the Cuban missile issue was resolved. Ultimately the Soviets agreed. The missiles in Cuba were removed and in April of 1963 the U.S., through NATO, disarmed the missiles in Turkey.

That a nuclear exchange between the two supreme powers of the day had been prevented was a testament to the leadership of President Kennedy. He had held off the militaristic hawks within his own administration by including every opinion he could get his hands on into the ExComm. These meetings were able to discuss and examine all the options before the President. Robert Kennedy was a shining example of these various opinions and the virtue of such a committee. He had been a masterful political and military action but upon examining further evidence presented by his colleagues, he saw the threats involved if that course were to be taken. The "might is right" doctrine had been put aside, and the President and his cabinet gracefully maneuvered through the rough waters of the Crisis.

The lessons of these deliberations are more important now than ever, even the greatest soldiers. Had President George W. Bush convened his cabinet in a similar manner instead of being determined to invade Iraq, it is possible that the great debacle of our time could have been prevented. Clearly there were voices in opposition, but were they heard? Were they given the opportunity to air out their grievances with the plan that set this war in motion? The evidence seems otherwise. The unilateral course taken by Bush and his neo-conservatives stands in stark contrast to that taken by President Kennedy and the ExComm, who made sure to safeguard the prestige of the United States in the world by engaging both allies and enemies in the ideological Cold War with the Soviet Union. John F. Kennedy had seen first hand the cruelty of war when he served in the Navy during the Second World War and he was determined not to let such a disaster fall upon his country and the people of the earth during his Presidency.

Robert Kennedy's loyalty to his brother can never be questioned. That he was in opposition to him at the outset is outweighed by the fact that the President valued his counsel above all others. The work of the ExComm shows that the President had been right in including the young Attorney General in the meetings. Robert Kennedy was headstrong and absolutely firm in his convictions. That a man like him could see the error of his judgment was the virtue of the Executive Committee of the National Security Council.
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Overview
I would like to write from the perspectives of two brothers from Ireland in the early part of the 20th century, and their ideas on revolution, specifically the Irish Revolution against British rule, which took place between 1916 and 1921. I was inspired by a film called ‘The Wind That Shakes the Barley’ produced in 2006 about two brothers who choose different sides in the conflict concerning the Irish Revolution, British rule, and the Anglo-Irish treaty of 1921. The movie title also reflects the ideas of a traditional Irish song about Irish soldiers going off to war against Great Britain. I would like to propose an argument between these brothers concerning the revolution and the subsequent treaty. The first brother, Damien O’Donovan, adopts the view of Thomas Paine and the common man. He is a member of the IRA concerned that Ireland is still broken up into two factions, and that Ireland has not completely gained its independence from Great Britain. The second brother, Teddy O’Donovan, is a member of the National Army who adopts the perspective of Edmund Burke and his conservative traditional view of revolution. Teddy believes that the treaty is the law, and that his brother is upsetting the natural order of society. I chose to write the conversation in the form of a script from a screenplay. What follows is my interpretation of a conversation that could have taken place during this time period concerning the revolution, adopting the views of Thomas Paine and Edmund Burke.

Synopsis of the Irish Revolution 1916-1921 and the Irish Republican Army
The IRA, otherwise known as the Irish Republican Army, was formed in 1913 and staged a rebellion known as The Easter Rising in the same year. This rebellion was staged as a direct retaliation against British rule. The IRA continued to wage guerrilla warfare against England throughout the Irish Revolution. They were very successful and were largely responsible for bringing England to the negotiating table and to discuss a new Ireland known as the Irish Free State. In 1921 the Anglo-Irish treaty was signed bringing freedom to Southern Ireland (which became known as the Irish Free State), but leaving Northern Ireland under British rule. After the signing of the Anglo-Irish Treaty, the IRA split into factions. The majority of the IRA was opposed to the treaty because they believed Ireland should become its own republic independent of Great Britain. The IRA members who disagreed broke off and became part of the National Army of Ireland, including its leader and founder Michael Collins. What came now was the Irish Civil War, and the IRA continued to fight in order to unite Ireland as one independent free country.

The Wind That Shakes the Barley
This Irish folk song was written to honor the men who had been fighting against English rule in the 18th century. They often used barley as provisions while they traveled in the field. The barley symbolizes the unmarked graves of these men because it is believed that when these men fell in battle the barley fell out of their pockets and the seed was planted in the ground. The song still remains important to Irish culture and history and was recently popularized by singer Lisa Gerrard and her band Dead Can Dance.

Song Lyrics (Celtic-Lyrics.com)
I saw within the valley green, I saw with my true eye My sad heart stout the two between, the old love and the new love The old for her, the new that made me think on Ireland dearly While self she wind blew down the hill and shook the golden barley There hard the useful words to frame to break the ties that bound so But harder still to bear the shame of foreign chains around us And so I said, “The mountain girll’ll seek at morning early And join the bold exiled men, while soft winds shake the barley” While self I kissed away her tears, my fond arms round her clinging The farmers’ shot burst on our ears out the wildwood ringing A bullet pierced my true love’s side in life’s young spring so early And on my breast in blood she died while soft winds shook the barley But for blood for blood without remorse I’ve taken at Oulart Hollow And laid my true boy’s clay cold corpse where I full soon may follow As round her grav I wander drear, noon, night and morning early With breaking heart when ever I hear the wind that shakes the barley

Cast of Characters
Damien O’Donovan: brother to Teddy O’Donovan. He is a current member of the IRA and believes in the revolution and separation from England. He adopts the views of Thomas Paine.
Teddy O’Donovan: brother to Damien O’Donovan. He is a current member of the National Army who supports the Anglo-Irish Treaty. He adopts the views of Edmund Burke.

ACT I
SENE
Afternoon at an IRA safe house March 15, 1922. Sounds of gunfire can be heard, followed by the raised voices of men from the National Army.
Enter Damien running into an empty room.
Damien: I give up my arms.
Damien drops his handgund, drops to his knees, and puts his hands behind his head. Several men from the National Army rush into the room with guns out. One soldier roughly seizes Damien’s arms behind his back and handcuffs him.
Enter Teddy.
Teddy: Damien O’Donovan, I place you under arrest for crimes against Ireland and Great Britain. You will be brought to prison and summarily executed at dawn unless you cooperate with us and give up the names of your co-conspirators and give us the locations of the remaining safe houses of the Irish Republican Army.
The soldiers of the National Army drag Damien to his feet and show him out of the room.

SCENE 2
National Army Station, Ireland, the night of March 15, 1922. Damien O’Donovan sits in a small wooden chair leaning over an empty wooden table with a small candle that is lit. His hands are cuffed and folded in front of him on the table. He is looking down when the door is unlocked. Teddy slowly walks into the room. The door is closed and locked by a guard outside the room.
Teddy: Mr. O’Donovan, I am here to talk to you and gather information about other members of the IRA. Your cooperation is expected in this matter, or I will be forced to execute you under the jurisdiction of the law. Unlike you and your co-conspirators, we do not take life unjustly or without cause.
Teddy sits down in the chair directly opposite of Damien.
Damien: No do I or my brothers-in-arms take life unjustly, brother!
Damien raises his head and stares into Teddy’s eyes.
Damien (continued): Why do you call me by your proper name? Are we not brothers of the same blood? Are we not citizens of Ireland, a country who cries for her full independence from the oppressors of England? I do not take the life of anyone unless they suppress my freedom, and the freedom of all the children of Ireland.
Teddy: Mr. O’Donovan, that is not the answer for civilized and rational men. This conflict has been solved by pen and paper. What you do is senseless violence. You even murder the men of Ireland who you claim to be your brothers.
Two Countries, Two Brothers, One Revolution
Brian Saccomanno

Assignment:
Option 1: Write a literary historical “dialogue” in the form of a play, a short story, or a narrative poem, featuring any two authors we’ve read this semester. The dialogue should consist of a conversation that allows you to compare and contrast the authors’ literary and aesthetic beliefs and, where appropriate, social and political beliefs. For instance, William Blake and William Butler Yeats could discuss the value of revolutionary thinking and action; H. Rider Haggard and Mary Kingsley might talk about how one should represent the ethnic or national “other” in literature; or William Wordsworth and John Keats could evaluate the role of nature and of the first-person narrator in their respective works.

While you are free to use any of these suggestions, they are just suggestions. So, as the Romans advocate, use your imagination! Be sure that your dialogue makes direct reference to (at least) one of each author’s works. Also, develop your “characters” in your dialogue in such a way that their actions, speeches, and points of view provide some insight into the authors’ personalities, to the extent that you can infer these factors through their writing and through biographical and literary/critical research.

Your paper should be 6 to 8 pages long if you write a play, a short story, or a poem. Given that you’d need to attend more closely to issues of meter, line length, figurative language, etc. in a narrative poem, it can be shorter.

Use MLA-style parenthetical citations for quotations and provide a Works Cited page using MLA guidelines. (Or if you prefer, use Chicago Style. Just be consistent.)

Instructor’s Comments:
Brian’s screenplay was written in response to an assignment in which I encourage students to develop an argument about a selection of British, Irish, and postcolonial literature of the Romantic, Victorian, and/or Modernist period in ways that allow them to step outside the bounds of the traditional essay format. I was impressed by the way Brian went the extra step to make this project personal by building his argument using The Wind That Shakes the Barley, a film he saw outside of class and that stirred him. Developing the fictional characters, Damien and Teddy O’Donovan, within this tightly constructed and emotionally potent scene, Brian has crafted a well-researched paper that articulates the deep political divides that led to the Irish Civil War. Moreover, he clearly uses texts we read for class—excerpts from Edmund Burke’s Reflections on the Revolution in France and Thomas Paine’s The Rights of Man—in order to intellectually ground this creative and inspired paper.

Overview
I would like to write from the perspectives of two brothers from Ireland in the early part of the 20th century, and their ideas on revolution, specifically the Irish Revolution against British rule, which took place between 1916 and 1921. I was inspired by a film called The Wind That Shakes the Barley produced in 2006 about two brothers who choose different sides in the conflict concerning the Irish Revolution, British Rule, and the Anglo-Irish treaty of 1921. The movie title also reflects the ideas of a traditional Irish song about Irish soldiers going off to war against Great Britain. I would like to propose an argument between these brothers concerning the revolution and the subsequent treaty. The first brother, Damien O’Donovan, adopts the view of Thomas Paine and the common man. He is a member of the IRA concerned that Ireland is still broken up into two factions, and that Ireland has not completely gained its independence from Great Britain. The second brother, Teddy O’Donovan, is a member of the National Army who adopts the perspective of Edmund Burke and his conservative traditional view of revolution. Teddy believes that the treaty is the law, and that his brother is upsetting the natural order of society. I chose to write the conversation in the form of a play from a screenplay. What follows is my interpretation of a conversation that could have taken place during this time period concerning the revolution, adopting the views of Thomas Paine and Edmund Burke.

Synopsis of the Irish Revolution 1916-1921 and the Irish Republican Army
The IRA, otherwise known as the Irish Republican Army, was formed in 1913 and staged a rebellion known as The Easter Rising in the same year. This rebellion was staged as a direct retaliation against British rule. The IRA continued to wage guerrilla warfare against England throughout the Irish Revolution. They were very successful and were largely responsible for bringing England to the negotiating table to discuss a ceasefire known as the Treaty of 1921. In 1921 the Anglo-Irish treaty was signed bringing freedom to Southern Ireland (which became known as the Irish Free State), but leaving Northern Ireland under British rule. After the signing of the Anglo-Irish Treaty, the IRA split into factions. The majority of the IRA was opposed to the treaty because they believed Ireland should become its own republican independent of Great Britain. The IRA members who disagreed broke off and became part of the National Army of Ireland, including its leader and founder Michael Collins. What came next was the Irish Civil War, and the IRA continued to fight in order to unite Ireland as one independent free country.

The Wind That Shakes the Barley
This Irish folk song was written to honor the men who had been fighting against English rule in the 18th century. They often used Barley as provisions while they traveled in the field. The barley symbolizes the unmarked graves of these men because it is believed that when these men fell in battle the barley fell out of their pockets and the seed was planted in the ground. The song still remains important to Irish culture and history and was recently popularized by singer Lisa Gerrard and her band Dead Can Dance.

Song Lyrics (Celtic-Lyrics.com)
I sat within the valley green, I sat with my true love My sad heart strew the two between, the old love and the new love The old for her, the new that made me think on Ireland clearly While lost she went down along the glen and shook the golden barley Two hard the useful words to frame to break the ties that bound so But harder still to bear the shame of foreign chains around us And so I said, "The mountain glen I'll seek at morning early And join the bold exiled men, while soft winds shake the barley" While said I kissed away her tears, my fair arms round her forking The farmer's shot busts on our ears as we wild and raging Abullet pierced my true love's side in life's young spring so early And on my breast he died she died while soft winds shook the barley But blood for blood without remorse I've taken at Oulart Hollow And laid my true love's cold corpse where I fall won may follow As round her grave I wander drear, noon, night and morning early With breaking heart when ever I hear the wind that shakes the barley

Cast of Characters
Damien O'Donovan: brother to Teddy O'Donovan. He is a current member of the IRA and believes in the revolution and separation from England. He adores the views of Thomas Paine.
Teddy O'Donovan: brother to Damien O'Donovan. He is a current member of the National Army who supports the Anglo-Irish Treaty. He adores the views of Edmund Burke.

ACT I
SCENE 1
Afternoon at an IRA safe house March 15, 1922. Sounds of gunfire can be heard, followed by the raised voices of men from the National Army.
Enter Damien running into an empty room.
Damien: I give up my arms.
Damien drops his handbag, drops to his knees, and asia his hands behind his head. Several men from the National Army rush into the room with guns out. One soldier roughly snags Damien's arms behind his back and handcuffs him.
Enter Teddy.
Teddy: Damien O'Donova, I place you under arrest for crimes against Ireland and Great Britain. You will be brought to prison and summarily executed at dawn unless you cooperate with us and give up the names of your co-conspirators and give us the locations of the remaining safe houses of the Irish Republican Army.
The soldiers of the National Army drag Damien to his feet and show him out of the room.

SCENE 2
National Army Station, Ireland, the night of March 15, 1922. Damien O'Donovan sits in a small wooden chair leaning over an empty wooden table with a small candle that is lit. His hands are cuffed and folded in front of him on the table. He is looking down when the door is unlocked. Teddy walks into the room. The door is closed and locked by a guard outside the room.
Teddy: Mr. O'Donovan, I am here to talk to you and gather information about other members of the IRA. Your cooperation is expected in this matter, or I will be forced to execute you under the jurisdiction of the law. Unlike you and your co-conspirators, we do not take life unjustly or without cause.
Teddy sits down in the chair directly opposite of Damien.
Damien: Nor do I or my brothers-in-arms take life unjustly, brother!
Damien raises his head and stares into Teddy's eye.
Damien (continued): Why do you call me by your proper name? Are we not brothers of the same blood? Are we not citizens of Ireland, a country who cries for her full independence from the oppressors of England? I do not take the life of anyone unless they suppress my freedom, and the freedom of all the children of Ireland.
Teddy: Mr. O'Donovan, that is not the answer for civilized and rational men. This conflict has been solved by pen and paper. What you do is senseless violence. You even murder the men of Ireland whom you claim to be your brothers.
When the people take things into their own hands, only folly and disruption of society will ensue. As Mr. Edmund Burke so eloquently phrased this doctrine in *Reflections on the Revolution in France*, "The institutions of policy, the goods of fortune, the gifts of providence, are handed down to us, and from us, in the same course and order. Our political system is placed in a just correspondence and symmetry with the order of the world, and with the mode of existence decreed to a permanent body composed of transitory parts" (Burke 105). What you fail to understand is that Ireland is a small part of the whole. That whole is the British Empire that Ireland is now part of. We have a part to play as a nation, and that is to fall in line and be under the rule of Great Britain as dictated by the law.

Damien. No, brother. The natural order is when men stand up for what they believe when they have been failed by their government, and to abolish any government that has thus failed the people it is meant to govern. Teddy. I will return in the morning, Mr. O'Donovan, when you have had a good night's rest to think upon these matters and see the error of your ways. Good night and may God keep you, and give you insight. Teddy stands up and exits the room. The cell door is locked. Damien puts the cigarette out on the ground. He puts his head in his hands in quiet contemplation. The candle goes out.

**ACT II**

**SCENE I**

Dawn at the station of the National Army. Keys arrive, and Damien awakes from his crude bed in the corner of his prison cell. Teddy enters holding some parchment and ink. He sits down at the table and places the ink and parchment on it. Damien sits down opposite of Teddy, his hands still cuffed. Teddy. Mr. Damien O'Donovan, with God as my witness, I give you one last chance to redeem yourself. By signing this document, you will be agreeing to pleading guilty to crimes against the government. You will give us the names of prominent IRA members and the locations of all the IRA safe houses and arms storage facilities. In return, your life will be spared, and you will be given life in prison. If you refuse to sign this document, I am ordered by the law of the land to execute you for your crimes. What say you? Damien. I cannot in good conscience give up my comrades, for theirs is a just cause. You say the law is the final word. I say a law only remains unchanged because it was not willing to be changed. England does not have the right to claim sovereignty over Ireland, and I will not stand idly by because of tradition. I will not fall in line and accept the status quo. I accept death over injustice. Teddy. So be it. I have done my best to talk sense into you. Guards, come take Mr. O'Donovan to the yard for execution.

**SCENE 2**

The guards walk Damien over to a pole and tie him to it and exit. A line of soldiers stand at the ready with rifles at their sides. Next to them stands Teddy dressed in full uniform.

Damien (to the audience): My brothers and sisters who fight for freedom, hear me. Do not give up the principles of our fight. Do not allow yourselves to fall into tradition and accept the way things are until they are set right. Revolutions are about the principles of change. My brother, Teddy, who believes in his precious law and his order does not realize that we seek what is right for Ireland and its children. But what we now see in the world, from the Revolutions of America and France, are a renovation of the natural order of things, a system of principles as universal truth and the existence of man, and combining moral with political happiness and national prosperity (Paine 125). May the life I give up today and the lives of those who died before me help bring about a free Ireland.

Gun shots are fired. Damien slumps. The lights fade.

**End**.

---
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When the people take things into their own hands, only folly and disruption of society will ensue. As Mr. Edmund Burke so eloquently phrased this doctrine in Reflections on the Revolution in France, "The institutions of policy, the goods of fortune, the gifts of providence, are handed down to us, and from us, in the same course and order. Our political system is placed in a just correspondence and symmetry with the order of the world, and with the mode of existence decreed to a permanent body composed of transitory parts" (Burke 105). What you fail to understand is that Ireland is a small part of the whole. That whole is the British Empire that Ireland is now part of. We have a part to play as a nation, and that is to fall in line and be under the rule of Great Britain as dictated by the law.

Damien. No, brother. The natural order is what men stand up for what they believe when they have been failed by their government, and to abolish any government that has thus failed the people it is meant to govern.

Teddy. I will return in the morning, Mr. O’Donovan, when you have had a good night’s rest to think upon these matters and see the error of your ways. Good night and may God keep you, and give you insight.

Teddy stands up and exits the room. The cell door is locked. Damien puts the cigarette out on the ground. He puts his head in his hands in quiet contemplation. The candle goes out.

The cell guard stands on either side of Damien as he is ushered out of the room. The document remains unsigned on the table.

**ACT II**

**SCENE 1**

Damien stands at the station of the National Army. Keys rattle, and Damien awakes from his crude bed in the corner of his prison cell. Teddy enters holding some parchments and ink. He sits down at the table and places the ink and parchments on it. Damien sits down opposite of Teddy, his hands still cuffed.

Teddy. Mr. Damien O’Donovan, with God as my witness, I give you one last chance to redeem yourself. By signing this document, you will be agreeing to pleading guilty to crimes against the government. You will give us the names of prominent IRA members and the locations of all the IRA safe houses and weapon storage facilities. In return, your life will be spared, and you will be given life in prison. If you refuse to sign this document, I am ordered by the law of the land to execute you for your crimes. What say you?

Damien. I cannot in good conscience give up my comrades, for theirs is a just cause. You say the law is the final word. I say a law only remains unchanged because it was not willing to be changed. England does not have the right to claim sovereignty over Ireland, and I will not stand idly because of tradition. I will not fall in line and accept the status quo. I accept death over injustice.

Teddy. So be it. I have done my best to talk sense into you. Guards, come take Mr. O’Donovan to the yard for execution.

Teddy stands up and faces the audience.

Teddy (to the audience): If only Mr. O’Donovan would accept the laws that are in place he would be spared. The constituent parts of a state are obliged to hold their public faith with each other, and with all those who derive any serious interest under their engagements, as much as the whole state is bound to keep its faiths with separate communities (Burke 104). Otherwise competence and power would soon be confounded, and no law be left but the will of a prevailing force (Burke 104). And the people would do well to remember their place in society and keep in order, lest the law and its caretakers are forced to bring action upon those who would seek to destroy that order. May God keep them and bring them insight to understand the traditional values and laws of society.

End Teddy.
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