

State of New Jersey

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF LAW
25 MARKET STREET
PO Box 112
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0112

Paula T. Dow Attorney General

ROBERT M. HANNA Director

Kim Guadagno Lt. Governor

CHRIS CHRISTIE

Governor

September 29, 2011

Via Electronic Mail

Shawn Slaughter Council on Local Mandates 135 W. Hanover Street PO Box 627 Trenton, NJ 08625-0627

Re: In the Matter of Complaint filed by the Allamuchy

Township Board of Education

Docket No:

Dear Mr. Slaughter:

Enclosed for filing, please find an Answer to be filed in regards to the above mentioned matter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

PAULA T. DOW

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

Bv:

Daniel

Tr

Denuty \alpha

0 ...

/sb

Enclosures



PAULA T. DOW
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Attorney for State Respondents
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street
P.O. Box 112
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

By: Daniel F. Dryzga, Jr.
Deputy Attorney General
(609) 633-1972

BEFORE THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL MANDATES Docket No.

IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINT FILED BY THE ALLAMUCHY TOWNSHIP BOARD OF EDUCATION

ANSWER

Respondent, Acting Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Education, by way of Answer to the Complaint filed by Claimant, Allamuchy Township Board of Education, states:

- 1. The allegations in paragraph II(1) constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. Moreover, it is specifically denied that N.J.S.A. 18A:37-17 et seq. is unconstitutional.
- 2. To the extent the allegations in paragraph II(2) contain only the effective dates of the statutes at issue in this case, no response is required. The statute is a legislative record and speaks for itself.
- 3. The allegations in paragraph III(3) constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent paragraph III(3) contains factual allegations, same are denied. Moreover, it

is specifically denied that <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 18A:37-17 et seq. is unconstitutional.

4. The allegations in paragraph IV(4) are denied. Moreover, it is specifically denied that N.J.S.A. 18A:37-17 et seq. is unconstitutional.

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests that the Council on Local Mandates dismiss Claimant's Complaint.

Pleading Summary

Respondent denies that the provisions of N.J.S.A. 18A:37-17 et seq. constitute an unfunded mandate. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:37-17, each school district "shall provide training on the school district's harassment, intimidation, or bullying policies to school employees and volunteers who have significant contact with students." This training "shall be incorporated into a school's employee training program and shall be provided to full-time and part-time staff, volunteers who have significant contact with students, and those persons contracted by the district to provide services to students." The training is meant to supplement the existing training given to employees and will not require additional expenditures. Further, State aid to local district is meant to fund at least a portion of the cost of training employees of local school districts.

In addition, while Claimant alleges that N.J.S.A. 18A:37-20

requires new job titles and therefore new expenditures, the plain language of the statute indicates that someone currently on the school's staff shall be designated as an "anti bullying" specialist. Moreover, to the extent that additional costs are incurred those costs will be reimbursed by the Department of Education. N.J.S.A. 18A:37-19 provides that "[a] school district that incurs additional costs due to the implementation of the provisions of this act shall apply to the Commissioner of Education for reimbursement."

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests that the Council on Local Mandates dismiss Claimant's Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

PAULA T. DOW,

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

Daniel F. Dryzga/Jr.

Deputy Attorney General

Dated: September 29, 2011.