

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.	<i>The Governor's Drug Enforcement, Education and Awareness Program.</i>	1
1.2.	<i>Nature of the Problem.</i>	1
1.3.	<i>Remedies to Redress Constitutional Violations.</i>	5
	A. The Exclusionary Rule.	5
	B. Civil Liability.	5
	C. School Officials as Role Models.	8
1.4.	<i>Purpose and Approach of this Manual.</i>	9
1.5.	<i>Basic Definitions.</i>	13
	A. Search.	13
	B. Seizure.	14
	C. Public Official.	16
	D. School Official.	16
	E. Law Enforcement Officer.	17
	F. Individualized Search.	17
	G. Suspicionless Search.	17
	H. Drugs.	18
	I. Drug Paraphernalia.	18
	J. Weapons.	18
	K. Firearm.	19
<b>2.</b>	<b>GENERAL PRINCIPLES</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1.	<i>Privacy Rights Versus Property Rights.</i>	21
2.2.	<i>Seize Before Opening.</i>	24
2.3.	<i>Critical Importance of Providing Notice of the Right and Intention to Conduct Searches.</i>	24
2.4.	<i>Providing Advance Notice is not the Same as Obtaining Consent to Search.</i>	26
2.5.	<i>Law Enforcement Searches Require a Higher Standard of Justification Than Searches Undertaken by School Officials.</i>	29
2.6.	<i>Warning Concerning the Use of Private Drug Detection Dogs.</i>	31
2.7.	<i>Err on the Side of Protecting Privacy Rights.</i>	32
2.8.	<i>Using the "Least Intrusive Means."</i>	33
2.9.	<i>The Need to Make Findings.</i>	35
2.10.	<i>Search Policies Must be Reasonable, Not Perfect.</i>	37
2.11.	<i>The Importance of Developing a "Neutral Plan" in Conducting an "Administrative Search."</i>	38
2.12.	<i>Broad Supervisory Authority of Schools.</i>	40
<b>3.</b>	<b>SEARCHES BASED ON INDIVIDUALIZED SUSPICION</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1.	<i>School Searches Entail a Balancing of Competing Interests.</i>	43
3.2.	<i>Applying the Standard of Reasonableness Established by the United States Supreme Court.</i>	44
	A. When Can School Officials Initiate a Search?	45
	(1) <u><i>The "Totality of the Circumstances."</i></u>	46
	(2) <u><i>Direct versus Circumstantial Evidence.</i></u>	46
	(3) <u><i>Relying on Hearsay.</i></u>	47
	(4) <u><i>Information Learned From the Suspect or His/Her Behavior.</i></u>	48
	(5) <u><i>Flight.</i></u>	49
	(6) <u><i>Relying on Sense of Smell.</i></u>	50
	(7) <u><i>Stolen Items.</i></u>	50
	(8) <u><i>Staleness.</i></u>	50
	(9) <u><i>Reasonable Grounds is Less Than Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt.</i></u>	51
	(10) <u><i>Focusing on Particular Suspects.</i></u>	51
	(11) <u><i>Impermissible Criteria for Conducting a Search.</i></u>	54

	(12) <u>Gang Membership.</u>	54
B.	The Manner in Which School Officials May Conduct a Search.	55
	(1) <u>Developing a Search Plan.</u>	55
	(2) <u>Identifying the Object of the Search.</u>	57
	(3) <u>Relationship Between the Object Sought and the Place/Container Searched.</u>	58
	(4) <u>Searches Should be Conducted in Private.</u>	58
	(5) <u>Consider the Psychological Effect of the Search.</u>	60
	(6) <u>Avoid Reading Private Materials.</u>	60
	(7) <u>Avoid Damaging Student Property.</u>	61
	(8) <u>Avoid Using Force.</u>	61
	(9) <u>Searches are Not a Legitimate Form of Punishment.</u>	62
	(10) <u>When to Stop Searching.</u>	62
	(11) <u>Same Rules Apply to Any Property Searched.</u>	63
	(12) <u>Searches of Vehicles.</u>	63
3.3.	Summary.	64
4.	<b>GENERALIZED OR SUSPICIONLESS SEARCHES</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1.	Introduction and Overview.	67
4.2.	Legal Standards and History.	68
4.3.	Announced Versus Unannounced Inspections.	77
4.4.	Model Locker Inspection Program.	78
	A. Findings.	79
	B. Advance Notice of Program.	80
	C. Neutral Plan.	81
	D. Execution.	84
	E. Training.	85
	F. Referrals to Law Enforcement.	86
	G. No Pre-emption of Individualized Searches.	86
	H. Limitations.	86
4.5.	Drug-Detection Canines.	87
	A. Overview.	87
	B. An Examination by a Scent Dog is Not a “Search.”	91
	C. Does a Scent Dog Alert Constitute Probable Cause or Reasonable Grounds to Conduct a Search?	96
	D. What To Do When a Scent Dog “Alerts.”	100
	(1) <u>Opening a Locker Pursuant to a Search Warrant.</u>	101
	(2) <u>Obtaining Consent to Search From Students and Parents.</u>	103
	(3) <u>Exigent Circumstances.</u>	104
	(4) <u>Using a Canine Alert to Justify a Search Conducted by School Officials.</u>	105
	(a) <u>The “Silver Platter” Problem.</u>	110
	(b) <u>The Problem of “Parallel” Criminal and Non-Criminal Investigations.</u>	114
	(c) <u>Determining the “Purpose” of the Search — The Immunity Problem.</u>	116
	E. Using Canines to Examine Student Property Other Than Lockers or Desks.	123
	(1) <u>Using Canines to Search Persons and Clothing.</u>	124
	(2) <u>Using Canines to Examine Backpacks, Handbags, and Other Portable Containers.</u>	127
	(3) <u>Using Canines to Examine Vehicles Parked on School Property.</u>	130
	F. Summary: Special Rules And Procedures Governing The Use of Law Enforcement Canines to Conduct Suspicionless Examinations.	132
	(1) <u>Advance Notice.</u>	132
	(2) <u>Soliciting Parental Input.</u>	133
	(3) <u>Careful Planning.</u>	134
	(4) <u>Findings.</u>	135
	(5) <u>Subterfuge.</u>	135
	(6) <u>County Prosecutor Approval.</u>	136
	(7) <u>Approval and Veto Authority of School Officials.</u>	136

	(8)	<u>Notice to Local Police.</u>	137
	(9)	<u>No Contact Between Canines and Students.</u>	137
	(10)	<u>Procedures to Expedite Approval of Search Warrant Applications.</u>	138
	(11)	<u>Minimizing Disruption.</u>	138
	(12)	<u>Alerting News Media.</u>	139
	(13)	<u>Public Awareness Follow-Up.</u>	141
4.6.		<i>Metal Detectors.</i>	142
	A.	General Considerations.	142
	B.	The Role of Police at Security Stations.	144
	C.	Advance Notice.	145
	D.	Neutral Plan in Selecting Students for Metal Detector Inspection.	146
	E.	What To Do When a Device Alerts.	147
4.7.		<i>Point of Entry/Exit Inspections.</i>	150
<b>5.</b>		<b>INFORMANTS AND CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION</b>	<b>155</b>
	5.1.	<i>Information Reported by Persons Involved in Criminal Activities.</i>	155
	5.2.	<i>Information Provided by Innocent Victims and Witnesses.</i>	158
	5.3.	<i>Anonymous Tips.</i>	159
	5.4.	<i>Protecting the Identity of Sources of Information.</i>	160
	5.5.	<i>Handling Confidential Informants.</i>	163
<b>6.</b>		<b>INTERVIEWS AND INTERROGATIONS</b>	<b>165</b>
	6.1.	<i>Interrogations Conducted by Law Enforcement Officials.</i>	165
	6.2.	<i>Interviews Conducted by School Officials.</i>	168
	6.3.	<i>Interview Principles That Apply to Both School and Law Enforcement Officials: The Requirement of Voluntariness.</i>	172
<b>7.</b>		<b>SEARCHES CONDUCTED PRIOR TO OR DURING SCHOOL FIELD TRIPS AND SCHOOL-SPONSORED EVENTS</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>8.</b>		<b>CONSENT SEARCHES</b>	<b>179</b>
	8.1.	<i>The Burden of Proving a Valid Consent.</i>	179
	8.2.	<i>When Can Consent to Search Be Sought?</i>	180
	8.3.	<i>Awareness of the Right to Refuse.</i>	180
	8.4.	<i>Implied Versus Express Consent.</i>	182
	8.5.	<i>Determining the Voluntariness of the Consent.</i>	183
	8.6.	<i>The Role of Parents in Obtaining Consent.</i>	184
	8.7.	<i>Who Has "Apparent Authority" to Give Consent.</i>	186
	8.8.	<i>Places or Objects Under Joint Student Control.</i>	187
	8.9.	<i>Can a Juvenile Overrule a Parent's Consent?</i>	188
	8.10.	<i>Denial of Ownership.</i>	189
	8.11.	<i>Terminating Consent.</i>	190
	8.12.	<i>Limitations in Executing the Consent Search.</i>	191
<b>9.</b>		<b>SURVEILLANCE AND PATROLLING SCHOOLS</b>	<b>193</b>
	9.1.	<i>Human Surveillance.</i>	193
	9.2.	<i>Cameras and Electronic Monitoring.</i>	196
<b>10.</b>		<b>SEARCHES OF PERSONS AND "STRIP" SEARCHES</b>	<b>199</b>
	10.1.	<i>General Considerations — Following a Step-by-Step Plan of Action to Minimize the Risks and Degree of Intrusion.</i>	199
	10.2.	<i>Search of Person and the "Wingspan."</i>	201

10.3.	“Strip” Searches.	204
<b>11.</b>	<b>PLAIN VIEW</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>12.</b>	<b>EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES</b>	<b>217</b>
12.1.	General Considerations.	217
12.2.	Protection of Evidence.	219
12.3.	Explosives and Bomb Threats.	220
<b>13.</b>	<b>URINALYSIS DRUG TESTING</b>	<b>223</b>
13.1.	General Considerations.	223
13.2.	Drug/Alcohol Testing Based on Suspicion of Intoxication.	225
13.3.	Suspicionless or Random Drug Testing.	227
A.	Introduction.	227
B.	Fourth Amendment Issues.	228
C.	State Constitutional Analysis.	232
D.	Factual Basis Justifying a Random Drug Testing Program.	235
E.	Scope of the Student Population Subject to Drug Testing.	240
F.	Special Rules and Procedures Governing Random Drug Testing Programs.	242
(1)	<u>Soliciting Parental Input.</u>	243
(2)	<u>Findings.</u>	243
(3)	<u>Limited Purpose.</u>	243
(4)	<u>Minimize the Invasiveness of the Intrusion.</u>	243
G.	Preserving the Chain of Custody and Ensuring the Accuracy of Drug Test Results.	244
H.	Preserving Confidentiality.	244
I.	Prescription Medication.	244
<b>14.</b>	<b>COOPERATION BETWEEN EDUCATION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS</b>	<b>247</b>
14.1.	Referrals to Law Enforcement Agencies.	248
A.	Firearms.	248
B.	Knives and Other Deadly Weapons.	249
C.	Illicit Drugs.	251
D.	Child Abuse and Neglect.	253
E.	Hate Crimes.	254
F.	Gambling.	256
G.	Other Crimes.	257
14.2.	Confidentiality of Substance Abuse, Diagnosis, and Treatment Information.	258
14.3.	Reciprocal Sharing of Information.	261
A.	Permissive Disclosure During an Investigation.	261
B.	Disclosure Following Charge at Principal’s Request.	262
C.	Required Disclosure Following Charge.	262
D.	Specificity of Shared Information.	262
14.4.	Using Information Provided by Law Enforcement Agencies.	263
14.5.	Resolving Controversies and Disputes.	264
14.6.	The Role of Prosecutors in Answering Search and Seizure Questions.	265
	<b>TABLE OF AUTHORITIES</b>	<b>267</b>
	<b>TABLE OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>273</b>
	<b>INDEX</b>	<b>275</b>