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Introduction

Under a grant funded by the Geraldine R. Dodge Foundation, the Pinelands Commission initiated the Pinelands Excellence Program to take an in-depth look at some of the critical problems facing fast-growing communities and develop targeted strategies to address them. For more than 20 years, development in the Pinelands has been guided by the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP). The CMP protects the region's most sensitive natural resources by strictly limiting development in certain areas while allowing for varying amounts and types of development in other more appropriate locations. The most substantial amount of development is permitted in Pinelands Regional Growth Areas (RGAs), which are located predominantly along the Pinelands eastern and western borders. While the RGAs comprise less than 10% of the overall Pinelands Area, they were zoned in 1980 to accommodate more than 100,000 new homes over the coming decades. Without the RGAs, the rest of the Pinelands cannot be protected. Yet unless the RGAs are desirable places to live, they will not function well.

The long-term success of the CMP depends upon the creation of "livable" growth area communities - communities that are vibrant and attractive, and where important natural values and recreation areas are preserved for the residents' benefit. Yet, the pace of development in some RGAs and the lack of financial resources to comprehensively plan at the local level have made it extremely difficult for towns to stay ahead of the curve in effectively accommodating these housing demands. The result, in some cases, is ordinary suburban sprawl, poor neighborhood design, overburdened transportation systems, little or no open space and other amenities, and conflicts with natural resource protection. Simply reducing the amount of development permitted in these areas will not solve all the problems, since less development does not inherently lead to good community design. Moreover, the accommodation of less development in these growth areas may exert pressure to open up other areas of the Pinelands to satisfy unmet housing demands.

The Pinelands Excellence Program provides an opportunity to examine some of these issues that fall outside of the CMP's scope but nonetheless can have substantial impacts on a community's character and functioning. To implement the Program, the Commission invited the Pinelands communities with the largest RGAs to participate and then selected two based on an evaluation of written requests that they submitted: Hamilton Township in Atlantic County and Winslow Township in Camden County. Next, the Commission, with input from Hamilton and Winslow, hired a team of consultants to lead each municipality in a comprehensive community visioning effort, culminating in the development of innovative zoning and design recommendations. These recommendations are presented in this community action plan.

The information presented in this community action plan is structured similarly to the process followed by the Pinelands Excellence Program, beginning with an analysis of existing conditions (e.g., land uses, street network, environmental constraints, and zoning) in Hamilton Township's RGA. Much of the data used for this analysis was supplied by the Pinelands Commission. The results were used to determine the amount and location of land available for development in Hamilton's RGA.

While the analyses were underway, the consultants began work in the township. First, they conducted a series of interviews with key stakeholders selected by Hamilton to supplement the information provided by the data analyses and to gain additional insight into local concerns and issues. The Township was then asked to form a "visioning team" representative of the community to work with the consultants on the development of a vision statement to guide preparation of the community action plan for the RGA. Members of the visioning team were instructed in a community-based observation technique (CBOT), in which they were provided with cameras and asked to document, in pictures and words, what they liked and did not like in Hamilton Township and surrounding areas. Input received during the CBOT process helped ensure that the resulting visioning statements are grounded in reality and not just abstractions from a more theoretical exercise. Results from the CBOT process are summarized on page 6 and more fully detailed in the Appendix B.

The translation of the issues identified during the stakeholder interviews and CBOT exercise into elements of a vision statement and ultimately, individual strategies, is represented by the diagram shown on page 8. This "big picture" sets the stage for the detailed strategies that follow, beginning on page 9. To the extent possible, these strategies were designed to facilitate implementation. For example, model ordinance language is provided to address community design issues, and land clearing. Other discussions provide the foundation for complementary actions including the mapping of street corridors, and revising of street design guidelines.

The community action plan concludes with implementation recommendations that addresses priorities, responsibilities, pre-requisites, and resources. The intent of this section is to help ensure that the strategies presented in this plan move from the page to reality, thereby improving the lives of current and future residents in Hamilton Township. Actual implementation of the strategies, however, will demand support and effort from the Township's residents and businesses. Only with this broad-based commitment will the key components of the plan advance. Given the geographic focus of this project (i.e., Hamilton's Regional Growth Area) and available resources, not all issues related to growth and development could be addressed within the scope of this plan. Because the visioning team focused on two specific and complex locations from the outset - Mays Landing and the Atlantic City Race Track - the plan does not contemplate major rezonings in other areas of the Township nor does it address in any great detail options to "retrofit" existing development to make it look and function better. These topics, along with the relationship of existing development to Mays Landing and the Race Track (including the identity of the Township as a whole), are prime candidates for further study. Consequently, an overall recommendation for the Township is to use the results of this project as a catalyst to seek funding for additional planning efforts on a community-wide scale.
Opportunities and Challenges

Background

The character of new development in the New Jersey Pinelands designated growth areas disappointing at times. The disappointment is felt from a number of viewpoints. Existing residents of the Pinelands are not seeing much new growth that complements the “town and country” qualities that have kept them in or drawn them to the Pinelands. To the contrary, they see an erosion of these qualities. Instead of development of unusual quality, utterly conventional suburban sprawl has occurred in many locations. Environmentalists, rightfully pleased with the boldness of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and hoping for a development ethic correspondingly advanced, are disturbed with the consumptiveness of development in the growth areas. The vigorous level of investment in the growth areas is, to some viewpoints, the main strength. However, even in this case, the rare advantage of a “captive” market is yielding nothing special or interesting.

There is every reason for Hamilton to intervene in this pattern. The boldness of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and the monumental achievement in designating growth areas deserves better than just a new eruption of conventional suburban sprawl. The residents of the Pinelands, and particularly in the growth areas such as Hamilton, parties to one of the boldest “town and country” visions in the United States, have every right to expect that the growth areas be as remarkable as the preservation areas. Investors in Hamilton should be able to benefit, far more than at present, from the concentration of investment opportunity into growth areas embedded within a remarkable nature preserve.
Aerial

The large amount of wetlands and open water greatly influence the shape of development in the Hamilton growth area. The traditional town pattern of Mays Landing, and the contrasting pattern of the suburban sprawl around the Atlantic City Racetrack are clearly evident.

Existing Street Network

Historically, a number of highways converged in Mays Landing. As longer distance travel increased, this convergence of traffic in Mays Landing has become a constant problem, even with the later addition of express routes such as the Atlantic City Expressway. In the racetrack area, Route 322, Route 40 and the Atlantic City Expressway converge in an area which has much potential for a town center, but which is currently characterized by a suburban sprawl pattern of roads and access.
Developed Lands

The traditional main street retail pattern in Mays Landing is evident. Also evident is the far larger concentration of retail activity around the racetrack, and the “suburban sprawl” pattern of parcels along Route 322. The large triangular block of the industrial park is noteworthy for its size. Although designated an industrial park most of the current tenants are public agency and private office occupants.

Developable Lands

The largely built-out nature of the western part of the Hamilton growth area is evident in this diagram. There is little opportunity for residential, and almost no opportunity for new commercial activity in Mays Landing. Developable land is, however, available in the industrial park where the courthouse has recently relocated from Mays Landing.

The majority of developable land, both residential and commercial, is centered along Route 322, in the areas immediately adjacent to the existing development in the racetrack area.
In principal, the simple zoning structure within the township is intended to focus commercial activity into two places (Mays Landing small scale “main street” and a town center around the racetrack) with all of the industrial uses concentrated in the triangular area south of Route 40. The rest of the growth area is zoned residential.

Despite the simplicity of the zoning scheme and the concentration of activity into clearly defined areas, the net result is gravitating toward a roadside sprawl pattern, as the commercial uses arrange themselves linearly along Route 322 and Route 40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Summary (in Acres)</th>
<th>Residential Land Area</th>
<th>Nonresidential Land Area</th>
<th>Total Development Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total in RGA</td>
<td>7100</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed or Developed</td>
<td>4560</td>
<td>970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available for Development</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Vision

CBOT Results and Conclusions

The community-based observation technique (CBOT) is a key element in eliciting opinion from stakeholders, and translating it into actions to be undertaken by the township. In the CBOT process, the visioning team members (selected by the township) were provided with cameras, and instructed, by the consultant team, to take a series of photographs (20-50 in number) illustrating both desirable and undesirable features of development. Participants were encouraged to focus primarily on scenes from within Hamilton, but could also include scenes from outside the township that were particularly illustrative. Participants were asked to provide a brief commentary describing the photographs taken.

The CBOT results are used to identify common themes from which to develop a vision statement, and ultimately, recommendations for moving toward the vision. The CBOT process is particularly useful in illustrating what the community "wants," and disparities between these wants and what they are now getting with new growth. The CBOT process does not necessarily, in itself, define a consensus of opinion. However, the large areas of agreement about both positive and negative aspects of the township, as expressed in the CBOT process, are extremely valuable at arriving at a consensus at later stages of the project.

The CBOT summary results and the accompanying comments provided by vision team members are incorporated in Appendix B.

Single-Family Residential Growth

Want: homes "tucked into the landscape;" narrow streets with natural (swale) drainage; mature trees, in many cases bordering the street.

Getting instead: overly large street; development that "all looks the same;" devoid of street trees; no replacement of cleared trees; no opportunity in street design, to create a characteristic street tree canopy.

Remedies: smaller streets; more "natural" drainage, extraordinary care for existing trees; extraordinary attention to planting of new trees.

Multi-Family Housing

Stakeholder comments while not always in favor of multi-family housing (townhouses, apartments) focus on making high density development as attractive as possible.

Want: nice townhouse development with well-landscaped entrance and (concealed) parking.

Want: "Condos with nice backgrounds, green buffer, and mature trees."

Townhouses

Another theme regarding multi-family housing, is the desire to soften or largely conceal it from the view from the road, or otherwise manage it to appear as single-family homes.

Want: "A new use that maintained the original architecture, or..."

Commercial Uses In Historic Area

Now getting: apartments on clear-cut and clear-graded sites; large streets, unrelieved monotony in building design; view from street dominated by driveways and garages.

Remedy: architectural guidelines to remove auto servicing (driveway and garage door) as prime architectural features; site clustering to leave large areas of ungraded and uncleared land; design guidelines for smaller streets; extraordinary attention to retaining and replanting trees.

Remedy: Subdivision regulations that encourage the clustering of multi-family units, leaving large parts of the site in a natural or park-like state; subdivision regulations that call for real streets with homes and town homes fronting on these streets; site plan regulation that prevents "single loaded" lots that "back up" to a street.

Remedy: architectural guidelines, with a historic district category, that will produce architectural details in keeping with the district's character; site placement guidelines to site buildings in a historically correct manner.
Major Commercial Concentration

The Hamilton Mall, the Township’s largest concentration of retail space, and its surrounding retail satellites are generally considered a benefit to the town. On the other hand, the associated impact on character is considered a drawback.

Want: “commercial ratables.”

Getting: Traffic congestion and road-side blight.

Remedy: site development regulations to channel new commercial growth into towns and villages, not continuing sprawl.

Draft Long-Range Strategic Vision Statement:

Achieve enhanced community livability that promotes and reinforces local identity through:

• The redevelopment of the Atlantic City Race Track property as a world-class, mixed-use, new town center;

• The revitalization of historic Mays Landing, emphasizing reconnecting the village to its waterfront and conversion of historic buildings to contemporary uses;

• Improved circulation throughout the Township and especially along the Route 40 and Rt. 322 corridors by complementing the existing street network with new roadways and connectivity of new developments; and,

• The development and implementation of township-wide livable street and block design guidelines for intense growth districts in the Regional Growth Area (RGA).
Issues/Strategies Analysis

Key issues and challenges identified by stakeholders are grouped and summarized on the left-hand side of this diagram. Next, the long-range vision statement elements are listed and associated with the issues and opportunities.

The strategies that translate the "vision elements" into town actions are identified in the matrix on the right-hand side of the diagram. These strategies are then discussed in further detail throughout the remainder of this report. Shaded circles denote higher priority strategies, while the open circles indicate complementary actions that will help realize each element of the vision statement.

Note the high degree of interrelationship between issues and the strategies that address them. Most issues are addressed by several vision elements, which in turn translate to multiple strategies. Thus, most issues are ultimately addressed by several of the recommended strategies. Conversely, each recommended strategy accomplishes a number of vision elements, and therefore addresses a number of different issues. The chart gives a graphical idea of the most effective measures; they are the ones that advance multiple vision elements and therefore address the greatest number of issues.
Develop Strategic Plan for Mays Landing

The Village of Mays Landing is the historic center of Hamilton Township, and developed due to its proximity to the Great Egg Harbor River and harnessing its waterpower for industrial uses. Since industrial uses are no longer prominent, Mays Landing and its Main Street have struggled to remain competitive in a suburban-style development market. However, during the visioning phase of this project, there was general agreement that Mays Landing could be revitalized and that those efforts should be complementary to, and not competitive, with Hamilton Mall and the potential redevelopment of the Atlantic City Racetrack. Therefore, Hamilton Township should create a strategic revitalization plan for Mays Landing that focuses on its potential to reconnect with the Great Egg Harbor River and to tap into the eco-tourism niche market in Southern New Jersey. Part of strategic planning process, the Township should evaluate its current zoning regulations to determine whether the township’s vision can be properly achieved. For instance, adopt Build-To Commercial Siting, as described later in this plan is essential for maintaining the historic character of Mays Landing and avoiding the potential for incompatible development. By creating a detailed strategic plan, Hamilton Township could apply for plan endorsement from the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (DCA), which may provide planning and funding assistance to aid development efforts.

In addition to the concerns expressed by the visioning team, the Mays Landing Riverfront Area was identified by the Township as one of three critical areas needing attention in the Local River Management Plan that was prepared by the Township as part of the effort to implement the Recreational river designation conferred by the National Park Service under the national Wild and Scenic Rivers program. This area was identified because of its traffic congestion and the impact that traffic congestion has on water quality in the form of stormwater runoff, air quality, and the full use of the public waterfront area. Furthermore, the Great Egg Harbor River Comprehensive Management Plan prepared by the National Park Service provides detailed information on visitor management and interpretation services for the River, including establishment of a Welcome Center for which Mays Landing is well suited (assuming controls are put in place to protect the River’s resources).

Potential Revitalization Strategies

• Reconnect Mays Landing with Waterfront

Since Mays Landing’s greatest asset is its waterfront, the township should create a safe pedestrian-friendly atmosphere for residents and tourists in downtown, particularly along Main Street and between Main Street, Lake Lenape, and the Great Egg Harbor River. Since Gaskill Park is a beautiful, well-maintained county park, the township should initially focus on new streetscape improvements along River Drive to connect Main Street to the waterfront through Gaskill Park (see "before/after image on next page").

• Improve Access

Efficient access by vehicles, pedestrians, and others (e.g., cyclists), is essential for ensuring the vitality of any town. By virtue of its location at the convergence of several major highways, the heavy flow of thru traffic that currently cuts through the downtown area impedes access by all modes of internal transportation. Since new roads are unlikely given significant costs and environmental and land use constraints in the area, options to reduce vehicular traffic include designating a bypass route for Mays Landing and limiting routes for certain types of vehicles (i.e., trucks). Two potential bypass alternatives are described on page 12. While prohibiting all traffic by all trucks is not realistic, establishing a preferred route for thru truck traffic may be a viable option. Specifically, routing truck traffic from the south along Route 50 north to Route 322 East can alleviate the anti-pedestrian environment created along Route 40/River Road by Gaskill Park and the congestion caused when large trucks are forced to back up in order to negotiate the right turn onto Main Street in order to reach Route 40. The Township should explore these options more fully with residents and business owners in Mays Landing, and if sufficient interest is expressed, work with the County and the New Jersey Department of Transportation to evaluate these options and implement feasible solutions.

In addition to reducing vehicular thru traffic through Mays Landing, access by pedestrians and cyclists needs to be enhanced through other means. Mays Landing, the nearby industrial park, and surrounding residential areas provide a concentration of employers and businesses in close proximity to potential commuters and customers. The historic character of Mays Landing coupled with its scenic setting also make it a natural for attracting visitors. Several trail projects either underway or recently completed converge on Mays Landing, including the cross-County bike path (“Bikeway East”), a paved portion, currently runs from the Shore Mall to the Industrial Park, while “Bikeway West”, an unpaved portion, is envisioned from west of town to Buena Vista), the Highlands to Cape May statewide bike route, and a Pinelands Scenic Byway that stretches from Tuckerton in Ocean County to Port Elizabeth in Cumberland County. Additionally, the Great Egg Harbor River, which has been designated a National Scenic and Recreational River by the National Park Service, attracts boaters and other visitors, as does Lake Lenape, part of the Atlantic County Park system. Mays Landing is well-positioned to provide services and points of interest for these visitors, and the Township should work in close cooperation with the County and others to define logical routes that will promote area attractions/businesses. The blend of transportation, historical, ecological, and economic development issues represented by such projects should be a real asset in attracting the interest of potential funding sources.

• Maintain Office Uses in County Courthouse Building

Since the County Courthouse and corrections facility relocated to the township’s industrial park on Route 40, the township should work with the County to maintain this office employment anchor and take advantage of newly available parking in order to support and increase pedestrian activity and retail business on Main Street. Although it may be easier to build new facilities in the industrial park, Mays Landing will continue to deteriorate unless the township and the County find ways to attract new businesses and residents into this town.

• Redevelop Wheaton Building

The Hamilton Township Master Plan Reexamination Report (1999) already details the redevelopment potential of this historic waterfront resource for the following uses: environmental/historic museum, arts and craft vendors, antique market, artist studio/lofts, restaurant, and offices. Since the historic cotton mill's water dam once provided electric power for Mays Landing, there may be the potential to re-harness this power as a redevelopment funding source. Given its enormous potential to become an anchor for Main Street and to create a ripple effect of complementary businesses, a concerted effort is needed to promote the complex’s redevelopment.
• Redevelop Underutilized Properties
The eventual redevelopment of the Wheaton Building will likely cause greater market demand for developable land in Mays Landing. Certain underutilized properties could be redeveloped in the future, such as the old elementary school. In addition, low-density auto-oriented uses and single-story buildings that do not contribute to the vitality of a pedestrian-oriented village could be redeveloped in time as property values increase (although the Township should work to relocate affected businesses as needed). As part of the strategic revitalization plan, Hamilton Township should determine which additional properties besides the Wheaton Building could be redeveloped.

• Extend Street Grid for More Housing
The new township building at Cape May Avenue and Tanglewood Drive essentially extends the size of downtown Mays Landing. The township should map out and extend the street grid between 11th and 14th Streets, west of Cape May Avenue, to provide more housing opportunities. Ultimately, more housing in Mays Landing will create a larger market demand for basic goods and services and help revitalize Main Street.

• Sensitive Infill Development
Although the neighborhood bordered by 5th and 11th Streets may seem fully developed, there are many opportunities for new infill housing on corner lots and mid-block parcels as well as restoration of older homes. Simply stated, more housing in Mays Landing means more business demand on Main Street.

• New Commercial Infill
New commercial uses are desperately needed on Main Street to create a full service business district. In particular, the southern stretch of Main Street between Farragut Street and River Drive is a "missing link" between downtown and the waterfront area. The available commercial land could become a bookend anchor (with the Wheaton Building) that would link one end of Main Street to Mill Street, and create the critical mass necessary for a lively downtown and waterfront pedestrian environment. Any new development should adhere to the build-to requirements described later in this plan. The recommendation to Revise Site Plan Parking Requirements should also be considered to promote efficient and adequate parking.
Develop Strategic Plan for Mays Landing

Mid-block crossing to connect Gaskill Park and waterfront

New shade trees

New sidewalk and riverfront gateway

New boardwalk among waterfront

Bumpouts narrow road, slow traffic

Special paving or painted asphalt denotes on-street parking

Additional pedestrian lighting

Streetscape improvements along River Drive
The convergence of four principal highways (US 40, New Jersey Route 50, New Jersey Route 559 and New Jersey Route 552) in the center of Mays Landing was repeatedly noted as a major problem. These routes carry a large volume of through traffic (i.e., traffic with neither origin nor destination in Mays Landing or even within Hamilton Township). While a moderate level of through traffic is accepted by Mays Landing residents and vital for local businesses, the frequent peaks in traffic, particularly during holiday seasons, is a serious challenge to the village’s and township’s quality of life. Further, at all times of the year, truck traffic on these routes generates unwelcome impacts.

New bypasses in the immediate vicinity of Mays Landing are not feasible, due to the presence of the Great Egg Harbor River and Lake Lenape, which funnel the four principal routes through the narrow neck of land between the river and Lake Lenape. However, more bypasses more distant from Mays Landing are possible.

The alternate routing for traffic on US 40, which would direct eastbound traffic away from Route 40 at Buena, redirecting it to Route 54 northbound (6.8 miles) to US 322 eastbound, US 322 (15 miles), rejoicing US 40 at McKee City (and vice versa westbound), is a viable alternative to the use of Route 40 through Mays Landing. A further variation would begin with redirection from US 40 to New Jersey Route 54 at Buena, following New Jersey Route 54 for 1.9 miles, then following Weymouth Road for 7.0 miles, connecting with US 322 just to the west of Weymouth. From this point eastward, the routing is the same as noted above, i.e., on Route 322 eastward to its connection with US 40 at McKee City.

Both of these bypass alternatives are reasonable, both in terms of the ability of the routes to accommodate traffic and also with respect to the additional travel time and distance involved. During peak hour travel periods, when congestion is likely in Mays Landing, the bypass routes become "competitive" in terms of travel time, compared to the alternative of remaining on US 40 through Mays Landing.

Further consideration of these alternatives must involve ongoing consultation with the County, the New Jersey Department of Transportation, and the other affected municipalities. Detailed analyses of traffic and travel time impacts will be required before any alternative can be implemented.
Develop Strategic Area Plan for Racetrack District

The Atlantic City Racetrack represents perhaps the most significant redevelopment opportunity in Hamilton Township and possibly even Atlantic County as a whole. Located between the Atlantic City Expressway and Route 322, and just a short distance from the expanding Atlantic City entertainment and tourist economy, the racetrack not only presents a unique economic development opportunity for Hamilton Township but also a chance to create a new civic identity for the state's largest municipality.

During the visioning phase of this project, a significant amount of attention was paid to the potential of the racetrack site and a number of reuse were envisioned. However, there was general agreement that the redevelopment of the track should take the form of a "world class" mixed-use town center. There was also, importantly, general agreement that the redevelopment of the racetrack and the revitalization of Mays Landing should be complementary, not competitive, initiatives.

Perhaps most importantly, the development of a new town center represents an opportunity to create a genuine "smart growth" response to concerns that the remaining open spaces in the Regional Growth Area of the Township are being overbuilt and clear-cut for new residential developments. By concentrating development in the form of a higher density town center, the demand for development density can be lessened elsewhere in the Township, including residential land uses (depending on the final amount of housing to be accommodated in the new town center). As a previously developed site, the redevelopment of the racetrack site will not represent the further loss of green space. Moreover the development that does occur within the town center will also of a much higher quality due to the strict zoning and urban design standards recommended at the end of this chapter.

To achieve the goal of redeveloping the Atlantic City Racetrack as a world-class, mixed-use town center, a master site plan and implementing zoning and land use ordinance must be created. The development of such a master plan will require the input and participation of many community stakeholders and residents and will require the on-going guidance of a highly skilled town planner and designer. The section of the Hamilton Township Action Plan is designed to initiate the process of developing a master plan by offering some preliminary recommendations regarding possible goals, objectives, and content of the plan, as well as indicating the initial steps in the planning process.

In conjunction with the Master Planning process or as an alternative, the Township could use the authority provided by New Jersey's redevelopment statutes to control the design of future development at the racetrack site. The authority conveyed by these statutes are the most powerful tool available for shaping redevelopment at the racetrack, and allow local redevelopment agencies to exert a level of design control unprecedented under New Jersey's Municipal Land Use Law.

Community Vision: Creating an Identity for a new Hamilton Town Center

An extremely important and initial first step in the planning process will be to conduct a community wide public involvement effort to create an overall vision for the Racetrack. Involving key community leaders, stakeholders, and residents, the process could take the form of a series of public workshops, focus group meetings, or design charrette focused on answering the following questions:

- What market forces need to be considered and how do they affect what might be built?
- What kind of identity should be established for the racetrack?
- What kind of land uses should be allowed?
- How should the racetrack be redeveloped?
- What should be built there?
- What kind of community or public amenities need to be included?
- What should the redeveloped racetrack look like?
- What market forces need to be considered and how do they affect what might be built?
- What should be the level of development intensity?
- How can the racetrack be integrated with the existing community?
- How can potential impacts to adjoining areas be managed?

Development Framework: Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation

Once a vision has been established for the racetrack, a development framework must be established. The development framework organizes the actual physical form of the racetrack and will ensure that the site is developed at a desirable scale. It is the recommendation of the Action Plan that the racetrack be developed as pedestrian-scaled, highly walkable town center. To achieve this, a finely grained network of blocks and streets, with pedestrian-oriented cross sections and amenities, is necessary. A separate section of the Action Plan ("Map Additional Street Network") has suggested a conceptual layout and hierarchy of street and blocks. Additionally, to ensure that the racetrack development is well integrated with the rest of the Township, key street network connections and enhancements are recommended.

Development Framework: Open Space Plan

Another design feature that helps to organize the physical form of a community is public open space. Like the street hierarchy, a variety of public spaces, ranging from landscaped streetscapes and plazas to new parks and open spaces, set the stage for a vital and healthy community life and provide opportunities for community interaction, socialization, and recreation. The open space plan will designate where and what type of open spaces will be provided throughout the racetrack site. The Action Plan recommends that a major new community park be developed at the east side of the race track oriented on the existing infield lake. An important aspect of the Open Space Plan is to ensure that new public spaces provide public recreation opportunities for all residents of the Township and that these spaces and amenities do not function as a private preserve for only the tenants and residents of the racetrack development. This can be assured through proper design, the provision of public rights-of-way and even transferring ownership of parks and open spaces to the Township.

Land Use Plan

The land use plan will designate what types and intensity of land uses are desirable and will be permitted in the Town Center. Since a mixed-use pattern of development is proposed, a variety of land uses will be permitted. Conceptually, the following types of land uses may be appropriate for a new "Hamilton Town Center":

- Office
- Retail
- Hotel and conference center
- Entertainment/recreation
- Apartments, condominiums and town homes.
- Lower density housing, may also be appropriate as a transition to the adjoining existing residential neighborhoods.

Commercial Districts: Creating a Center

Based on the recommendations of the Land Use Plan, the Master Plan will designate the location and character of nonresidential areas within the racetrack. The Action Plan recommends that the area between the Hamilton Mall and the racetrack be redeveloped as a "Main Boulevard", containing primarily office, hotel and condominium developments, that integrates these two separate areas into a single commercial district. Additionally, the Action Plan recommends that a "Main Street - Downtown Commercial District" be developed perpendicular to the "Main Boulevard." The "Downtown" will take the form of a mixed use district with first floor retail space and upper floor office and residential uses. The intersection of these two areas - the "Main Boulevard" and "Main Street" - will provide a very intense, exciting, and dynamic town center. The town center would be the location of the most intense zone of development on the racetrack and will include a mix of land uses, building types, and most likely the tallest structures on the site.
Residential Districts: Making Neighborhoods

Based on the overall recommendations of the land use plan, the master plan will designate a series of residential districts, providing a range of housing types and options. The Action Plan recommends that these districts take the shape of distinct neighborhoods of traditional design and layout organized on a grid of streets. The density of this neighborhood would range from very dense multi-family buildings, such as loft apartments and condominiums, in the town center to single-family detached homes on the edge of the site to match the character of development in adjoining areas. Between these two ranges would be other housing types including, possibly courtyard apartments, twins and town homes. And although each neighborhood is intended to have a distinct identity, they will all be integrated and linked through a pedestrian network. These linkages would also provide pedestrian and bicycle connections to the Town Center and "Finish Line Park".

Institutional/Civic Uses

In addition to private commercial and residential land uses, the Action Plan recommends reserving area both within the town center area and elsewhere on the site for institutional and public buildings and spaces. These uses, which may include churches, school, community centers, and government buildings, provide essential services and activities and when properly designed and oriented can be very important "place making" community features. These institutional uses are not redundant or competitive with those now in (or planned for) Mays Landing. Rather, they are essential to communities of all types, old or new, but are seldom incorporated in new growth.

Hamilton Town Center Zoning and Urban Design Regulations

It is more than likely that multiple parties will be involved in the redevelopment of the racetrack and that the project will take many years to complete. To maintain consistency between many participants over the course of many years and to ensure that the vision established by the Township actually emerges "on the ground" it is essential that very specific town center zoning language and an urban design regulating plan be developed and adopted. The zoning/urban design regulations will specify the location, types, scale, character, and appropriate design of proposed buildings, streets, and public spaces. Following is an outline of the essential components of town center zoning and urban design regulations for the Hamilton Town Center. Complete and detailed ordinance should be created subsequent to the development and adoption of a master plan for the racetrack area.

Prototype Zoning Ordinance and Urban Design Guidelines

Section 1.0 Purpose

The legislative purpose of the ordinance should reflect the goals and objectives that are result as an outcome of the development of the master plan for racetrack area. Conceptually, the legislative intent of the Hamilton Town Center zoning ordinance and urban design guidelines should emphasize the following purposes:

- The redevelopment of the racetrack as a "world class" mixed use town center
- The provision of a higher densities and mix of land uses and building types, including public and civic institutions
- The development of housing accommodating a mix of income levels
- The creation of an overall environment that is walkable and pedestrian in scale
- The provision of public open space and recreation facilities that benefit all residents of the Township
- The development of an identifiable "center" that functions as place of community orientation and interaction

Section 2.0 Permitted Uses

To generate a dynamic and vital town center a variety of land uses should be permitted. Additionally, mixing of land uses, including vertical mixing within buildings, is essential. The types of land uses that are appropriate could be wide ranging and may include public and institutional facilities to meet local needs and desires (e.g., libraries, schools, municipal facilities, hospitals, etc.). In general, the land use that would most likely be appropriate for a town center would include:

2.1 Housing

Conceivably, all types of housing would be desirable in the town center, although higher density in the form of multi-family and attached housing should be the primary building type. Emphasis should also be placed on mixing of income levels.

- Multifamily housing in the form of apartments and condominiums (where designated by the master plan, retail, office, and other nonresidential land uses should occupy the ground floor)
- Attached housing such as townhouses and duplexes
- Single-family detached housing (by definition, a town center is a higher density, compact urban place, therefore detached single family homes should be permitted only very small "town lots" of no more than 5,000 square feet)

2.2 Commercial

A variety of nonresidential and commercial uses would be appropriate in the town center. However, considering the significant amount of retail already existing in the Township along the Route 322 corridor, it would seem that emphasis should be placed on employment-generating land uses such as office and hospitality-related uses. While research and development, laboratory and healthcare activities would also seem to be acceptable; distribution and manufacturing activities would not be appropriate and should be directed toward the Township's industrial park.

- Retail, with upper-story residential or office space
- Office (with ground floor retail where designated by the master plan)
- Hotel, hospitality, and associated uses (i.e., restaurants, retail, etc)
- Entertainment venues (where designated by the master plan)
- Healthcare, daycare

2.3 Public/Institutional Uses

In addition to residential and commercial uses, public or semi-public uses such as governmental and health care uses would be acceptable. These types of "town center" uses would include:

- Future Governmental offices
- Community facilities
- Future Schools and colleges
- Future Libraries - now in Mays Landing
- Churches and places of worship
- Healthcare and daycare facilities
- Parks and public and private recreation facilities
Section 3.0 Accessory Uses

Certain types of support uses will be necessary in a town center, including for example parking garages and other uses, such as swimming pools related to hotel and hospitality uses.

Section 4.0 Urban Design/Density Regulations (Density Bonus)

Section 4.1 Urban Design Regulations

Urban design regulations will establish the actual overall built form of the town center and serve as a framework for individual development projects. As indicated previously, urban design regulations will ensure that whether the town center is developed by one developer or multiple developers (which is more likely), the vision established in the master plan will be executed. The urban design guidelines function as a codification of the master plan and will specify the following:

- The location, size and design of all streets and pedestrian circulation
- The dimensions of blocks and lots
- The location, dimension, and design of all public spaces
- The location and density of all land uses
- Building and structure siting

In addition to their content of the most important component of the urban design regulations is their presentation. To ensure that they are easily comprehensible and that they clearly illustrate the vision of the town center master plan, the urban design regulations must be presented in graphic form, with each element illustrated with images. The urban design guidelines should function as a blueprint or map for the town center and indicate with great specificity the desired location of streets, structures, and other improvements.

Section 4.2 Density Regulations and Bonuses

As indicated earlier, it is anticipated that the town center would accommodate and support higher densities than currently permitted. This is intended both to concentrate development in a "smart growth" compact form and to provide incentives for the provision of amenities and public improvements. To ensure that the redevelopment of the racetrack conforms to the Township's vision as developed through the master planning process and that desired amenities and public improvements are achieved, it may be necessary to award incremental density bonuses linked to public improvements. For example an incremental increase in allowable commercial development density could be permitted for the following improvements:

- Parks, plazas, and open spaces
- Streetscape/public landscape improvements
- Public art
- Public convenience (e.g., rest rooms)
- Community facilities

In addition to these very general improvements, a density bonus could be awarded for a specific improvement or project that may identified during the development of the town center master plan.

Section 5.0 Building Design Regulations

Whereas the urban design regulations provide the overall development framework for the town center, building design regulations would provide design guidance for individual buildings. These regulations would include:

- Building mass and scale
- Roof form
- Ground floor (pedestrian-level) design
- Facade design
- Materials
- Site landscaping and design
- Signage
- Lighting
- Parking location and design (structured - i.e., multi-level parking - should be required to reduce the need for stormwater management and provide more land for development while allowing for future expansion.)

Managing and Expediting the Implementation of the Town Center.

The redevelopment of the racetrack will undoubtedly be a lengthy and complex process. The Township will be required to develop a detailed master plan and implementing ordinances and/or use the redevelopment authority conferred by State statutes as well as devote significant staff resources, time and energy to the review and approval of development site plan applications. Considering the scale of the project, the Township may wish to supplement the local review staff with a redevelopment coordinator to provide ongoing guidance and project management. In addition to coordinating and reviewing development applications, the role of this staff person could also be expanded to include the responsibilities of Pinelands Local Review Officer (LRO). Combining the duties of local and Pinelands review could help to expedite the development review process and achieve greater coordination between the Township and the Pinelands Commission. Presently the LRO program delegates review responsibility for generally straightforward residential applications to municipal staff trained by the Pinelands Commission. In the case of the redevelopment of the racetrack, the LRO program would have to be expanded by the Pinelands Commission to include nonresidential and commercial land uses. The costs for a combined development coordinator/LRO staff position could be recovered through application fees and review escrows provided by developers.

While the master planning process is underway, the Township should initiate some "quick fixes" to their existing zoning ordinance to ensure that any new development that might be proposed prior to completion of the new master plan will not diminish the future potential for the site. Generally, the Township's existing zoning for the Recreation Commercial District is in keeping with the general concepts described earlier for a town center. The list of permitted uses, however, should be revised to add the following two uses: residential and office. Also, the prerequisites for these uses should be revised to specify a balance of mixed uses for the entire tract, such as: 40% of the total acreage is for residential uses, 20% for office uses, 15% for hospitality and entertainment uses, 15% for open space, and 10% for retail uses. The Township should also take this opportunity to incorporate provisions that would require existing stormwater basins to be assessed and improved as needed (existing basins are reportedly failing). The Pinelands Commission is available to work with the Township in making these revisions.
Adopt Build-To Commercial Building Siting

This simple site plan regulation action, which requires that commercial buildings be located on or near the street right-of-way line, is absolutely essential to the character of all levels of center - town center, village center and rural hamlet. Without the build-to requirement, no amount of other amenities - buffering, architectural design reviews, landscaping, etc. - will provide the desired "smart growth" environment. Conversely, the build-to requirement alone, even in the absence of many of the supporting amenities, will in itself provide most of the desired village qualities.

The build-to ordinance assures the presence of the single most important characteristic of towns and villages; namely the arrangement of destinations in a valuable civic pattern, rather than a pattern dictated by the speed and convenience of automobile access.

With buildings placed forward, walking distances between building entrances decreases below the 500-foot threshold for convenient walking. Further, the environment for this walking is along attractive streets fronted by buildings. Under conventional building layout, building entrances are almost always separated by distances greater than the 500-foot threshold. Further, these longer distances are comprised of parking lots and multi-lane arterial streets, two of the most hostile environments imaginable for walking.

Buildings placed forward on the site bring a sense of enclosure to the street, a prime characteristic of towns and villages. Conventional development, with the buildings deeply set back, on the other hand, provides no sense of enclosure. The pattern of driving and parking activity is fundamentally different in the building-forward arrangement, compared to the conventional sprawl arrangement. With buildings forward and parking consolidated toward the rear of buildings, visitors no longer attempt to park on the premises of their final destination and make repeated driving trips between destinations within the same town center. Rather, drivers accept a parking space at any of a number of comparable locations, and make an extended "walking" tour, visiting multiple destinations from a single parking space. The result is a greatly reduced need for parking spaces, fewer vehicle trips and less vehicle miles of travel within the town center.

The building-forward design is inherently favorable to business, particularly small retailers. Typically, the most burdensome requirement, for businesses (new, expanding, relocating, etc.) in a town center is providing parking. In conventional suburban layouts, with no possibility of walking between destinations, local codes typically require that all of the parking for a given destination be contained on the premises of that destination. Further, the parking requirements are generally sized to not only accommodate the daily peak or parking demand for that individual destination, but also the annual peak. The result, therefore, is typically a demand, by developments of mixed-use commercial areas, for 5-6 spaces per 1,000 square feet. Not only is this quantity of parking burdensome for the businesses involved, but it becomes almost impossible to provide in an arrangement that preserves the qualities of the town. Inevitably, providing 5-6 spaces per 1,000 square feet results in parking lots becoming the dominant feature of the site, as seen from the road or any other viewpoint.

The retail exposure to passing traffic (a primary measure of effectiveness of retail areas) is vastly better if buildings are arranged forward, rather than rearward. With buildings forward, the entire building frontage is within the 20 degree vision cone of the passing driver; with buildings rearward, the building is not visible within this vision cone. With buildings arranged forward in a solid street front or road front, the "impression" on the passing motorist is continuous and first-hand; motorists see and even look into the final destination. With buildings rearward, buildings are not only removed from the 20 degree vision cone, but also become sporadic, rather than a continuous building front. Further, since the interior of the building (and usually the building itself) is no longer visible from the street, the site must rely on "secondary" ways of communicating, such as signs, logos, streamers, bizarre building shape, and so forth.

Examples of Build-To Siting

Crossroads Hamlet Retail

Grocery Store

Gas Station/Mini Mart
Concerns and Solutions

Site developers frequently argue (sometimes strenuously) that "modern retailing" such as chain drugstores and banks, does not lend itself to a build-to building sit- ing because of the need for parking, drive-thru service windows and so forth. However, the build-to site configuration does not diminish, in the least, any of these "modern" requirements of site design. To the contrary, meeting two of the most pressing auto related needs - parking and access from the street - is general- ly enhanced, not diminished by the build-to requirement.

Legal action, on the part of developers or their would-be tenants, is occasionally threatened, typically on the argument that the build-to requirement is "depriving" the owner of the ability to use the land to its maximum value. This threat is rarely pursued, due to the likelihood of it being dismissed since the build-to requirement cannot be shown to produce any detriment to business advantage. To the contrary, consistent application of the build-to requirement will produce a superior, and therefore more valuable, business environment for all owners involved. Further, the large number of build-to requirements now successfully in effect throughout and the U.S. is daunting to a protesting site owner considering legal action.

Another threat often made in response to build-to requirements - that the business will abandon the proposed site and locate outside the town - is seldom credible. More often than not, protesting businesses are committed to developing on the originally intended site, and will comply, perhaps grudgingly, with the build-to requirement. Township wide build-to requirements (rather than "special" district ones) will further answer the threat to simply relocate an uncooperative business.

Proximity to the street, and therefore to noise, vibration, fumes, salt spray and so forth, is sometimes cited as an argument against the build-to requirement. However, this concern is addressed by a proper street design with on-street park- ing, thereby buffering the building and its sidewalk by parked vehicles. Also, cur- rent building code requirements for soundproofing, insulation and so forth great- ly mitigate the noise, fume and vibration impacts of passing traffic. Finally, some variation in setback is possible (up to twenty feet), giving businesses some option in removing themselves from the street. This ability to have a larger setback is par- ticularly helpful on some state highways where on-street parking may not be pos- sible. Even where large setbacks are granted, however, it is essential that parking not be located between the street and the building front.

Recommended Actions

Adopt the simple requirement that the maximum setback for commercial build- ings be no greater than five to fifteen (5-15) feet from the front property line. Specifically exclude any vehicular accommodation (driveway, drive-in window, parking, etc.) from the space between building and sidewalk. Require doorways onto the street or, if on the side of building, within a short distance (less than 30 feet, for example) of sidewalk. Make the build-to requirement applicable to com- mercial and retail sites, whether in designated town/village centers or outside of them. Apply the regulation to all types of commercial and retail activity, includ- ing "big box" sites.

Adopt Build-To Commercial Building Siting

Typical crossroads commercial; view dominated by parking lots

Streetscape, by itself does little to change character

Character starts to change when next generation of building fronts the street

Opportunities for important public space become self-evident
Revise Site Plan Parking Requirements

Current site plan regulations for Hamilton Township require that new commercial development provide a designated number of parking spaces (the parking "ratio") for each thousand feet of building floor area. While intended to assure an adequate supply of off-street parking in support of new growth, these regulations, in actual practice, are producing unintended consequences. Foremost among these are the large number of spaces required, since the parking ratios reflect the maximum number of spaces needed during peak period for that particular use, leaving the user with many unused spaces throughout the year. Another unintended consequence of the current regulations is the inability for neighboring destinations to share their parking. Rather than promoting shared parking, and consequently a reduction in total number of spaces, the regulations tend to foster parking that is dedicated exclusively to the retail destination. Any sharing of this parking is out of the question, because of the excessive walking distances between destinations (partly as a result of the parking itself) and further by the design of the parking that signals, to the driver, that sharing is not welcome and in some instances prohibited outright.

With all parking self-contained on the premise of the final destination, the parking need is typically 5-6 spaces per thousand square feet of building area, as each individual site attempts to provide not only for its daily peak need, but even its annual peak need. At this level of parking supply (i.e., 5-6 spaces per thousand square feet), the parking areas become the dominant feature of the view from the road, and it is practically impossible to design sites otherwise.

With shared parking, in a town center or village center, the combined need for parking reduces to 3-4 spaces per thousand square feet of commercial building floor area. At this level of parking demand, parking can be easily concealed behind buildings fronting the street, or contained in small "vest pocket" parking lots, occupying only small amounts of street frontage and therefore largely concealed from the view from the street.

For all town and village districts, it is recommended that the township reduce the minimum parking requirements. Further, it is recommended that the township, at the subdivision site plan approval process, require full cross-access easements across all commercial parking. It is recommended that, as part of the site plan approval process for commercial land uses, the applicant prepare an analysis of the potential for shared parking with other existing or committed projects within reasonable walking distance. Finally, the use of structured (i.e., multi-level) parking for any redevelopment that takes place at the Race Track site.

Two other proposed actions are highly interrelated with the revision to the parking requirement. The "build-to" building siting requirement is a key factor in properly locating parking for smart growth, and is a key prerequisite for successful joint use of parking. Forming new blocks, bounded by real streets, as part of the subdivision approval process is also a key factor in creating the street network essential to shared parking.

Shared parking can be completely hidden from view in typical town center. Retail buildings fronting on street and bordering higher-density housing surround the parking area.
Obtain Street Connectivity Through Subdivision Regulation

Connectivity, both external and internal, makes it possible for most travel for daily needs to be made on the local street system, without being channeled onto major roads. The resulting smaller street size, lower driving speed, decreased impact on fronting properties, improved driving experience, multiplicity of driving routes, reduction of travel on arterial highways, and variety of pedestrian and bicycle routes directly advance the vision goals of "redevelop racetrack into mixed-use town center" and "town-wide character standards."

Challenges and Solutions

Better connectivity requires more route-miles of street. On the other hand, well-connected streets should be smaller and simpler in design than those called for by current guidelines (see "Revise Street Guidelines" Action).

Isolated subdivisions may not have adjacent streets to join, thereby creating "stubs" that prove to be politically impossible to connect in the distant future. The answer is the mapped system of framework streets (see "Map Additional Street Network" Action).

Subdivision applicants may claim that connectivity requirements are "depriving" them of the ability to use the property to the best advantage. However, connectivity produces public benefits that overwhelm whatever private advantages are claimed. Further, connectivity is, in many respects, a device for minimizing the "exporting" of costs of development from a particular subdivision to the public at large. This "exporting" of development costs is a major cause of residents' dissatisfaction with new growth.

A simple, fair and very effective device for assuring a desired level of street connectivity is the connectivity index. This is the simple ratio of the number of street links (road sections between intersections and cul-de-sacs) divided by the number of street nodes (intersections and cul-de-sac heads). The illustration provides an example of how to calculate the index. Street links on existing adjacent streets that are not part of the proposed subdivision are not included in the connectivity index calculation.

Any residential development shall be required to achieve a connectivity index of 1.2 or greater unless the town determines that this requirement is impractical due to topography and/or natural features. In the event that this requirement is waived, a six (6) foot pedestrian trail shall be provided to link any dead-end streets within a residential development in which the required connectivity index has been waived.
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Connectivity, both external and internal, makes it possible for most travel for daily needs to be made on the local street system, without being channeled onto major roads. The resulting smaller street size, lower driving speed, decreased impact on fronting properties, improved driving experience, multiplicity of driving routes, reduction of travel on arterial highways, and variety of pedestrian and bicycle routes directly advance the vision goals of "redevelop racetrack into mixed-use town center" and "town-wide character standards."
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Any residential development shall be required to achieve a connectivity index of 1.2 or greater unless the town determines that this requirement is impractical due to topography and/or natural features. In the event that this requirement is waived, a six (6) foot pedestrian trail shall be provided to link any dead-end streets within a residential development in which the required connectivity index has been waived.

All non-residential development shall be designed to allow for cross access (both vehicular and pedestrian) to compatible adjacent properties to encourage shared access points on public or private streets. This requirement may be waived if the town determines that cross access is impractical.

At least one connection in all compass points
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Create Greenway Plan

During the public involvement and visioning component of this project, community stakeholders and residents expressed a desire to preserve remaining open space in Hamilton Township. The plan described below was previously developed by Commission staff to guide the Township’s efforts to preserve open space in the Regional Growth area. This Community Action Plan is an opportune time to re-visit this topic.

Greenbelts, unbroken rings of open space surrounding areas of development, have been shown to be an effective way to focus future growth pressures without adding unwanted sprawl to the fringes of our growth communities. The greenbelt can span a mix of management areas and provide a range of recreational opportunities, as well as crucial habitat for native wildlife including threatened and endangered species.

Hamilton Township's Regional Growth Area, located just north of the Great Egg Harbor River along Routes 40, 50 and 322 in southern Hamilton Township, offers an excellent opportunity to link existing and proposed protected environmentally restricted sites into an effective open space network consisting of multiple greenways, greenbelts, or wildlife corridors in this area by coupling land protection efforts with existing State and municipally owned land. Despite the considerable level of development in the RGA, a good deal of the land in and around this area remains largely undisturbed wetlands and forested upland. In addition, numerous threatened and endangered species, from pine snakes to tree frogs to plant life, utilize this area as habitat. Establishing a greenway system would not only serve to enhance the quality of life for residents of Hamilton Township, but would protect crucial environmental resources as well.

Potentially more than 3,800 acres in Hamilton Township's Regional Growth (670 ac. uplands, 849 ac. wetlands), Rural Development (890 uplands, 934 wetlands), and Forest Areas (219 uplands, 305 wetlands) could be incorporated into a greenbelt/greenway system. The map and accompanying charts document how preservation of most or all of this land would, together with existing public land, create at least two significant "loops" of open space or greenways. These loops, starting and ending along the scenic Great Egg Harbor River, would provide excellent opportunities for recreation, ranging from hiking/biking trails to more intensive uses, and would augment other planned recreational projects such as the Atlantic County "rails to trails" bike path. Considerable, more sensitive areas, such as those housing threatened or endangered species, might be best preserved by limiting intense public usage.

In some cases the greenway loops are not shown to traverse particular properties, yet these lots are still included in the plan. There are a number of reasons for this. These lots may serve as a "fall back" for the route in case adjacent properties prove to be unavailable for permanent protection. Also, they help to widen and buffer the route, an important function, and may have desirable qualities in their own right such as wetlands or threatened/endangered species.

Due to the singular nature of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and the fact that most of the properties discussed here are within the Regional Growth and Rural Development Areas, certain principals should be adhered to when devising a system of greenways for Hamilton Township. Although land acquisition by the State or some other agency/organization can ensure permanent protection, limited funds necessitate consideration of other alternatives. In addition, while uplands provide opportunities for growth, wetlands are already largely off limits to developers and therefore should be prioritized as protection targets. When choices are available between open space preservation in Regional Growth Area or Rural Development Area, the more sparsely zoned RDA should be chosen. And finally, linkages are key to the greenbelt concept, so existing preserved lands should be used to guide the targeting of parcels for inclusion in the plan.

In addition to the potential greenbelt mapped by the Pinelands Commission, other factors to be considered in determining priorities for creating greenways have been identified as part of the effort to implement the Recreational river designation conferred by the National Park Service under the national Wild and Scenic Rivers program. The Township's Local River Management Plan notes that "the entire length of the Gravelly Run tributary flows through" the RGA and that concentrated development "increases the possibility of unsupervised casual use of the wetlands areas in a manner that may result in damage to known habitats of rare and endangered species." Consequently the Plan suggests targeting the Gravelly Run wetlands as a priority area for establishment of a greenway system. The Township may also want to consider building off of existing municipal easements along the tidal portion of the Great Egg Harbor River. Finally, this concept can be broadened to include the Great Egg Harbor River corridor to capitalize on the River's eco-tourism potential while protecting resources. Such a corridor could connect exiting county- and state-owned public lands to assure the protection of wildlife corridors.

Possible Implementation Strategies

Land Acquisition

The sale of land to the State's Green Acres Program or to the Township is obviously an effective way to ensure permanent protection. However, maintenance of growth opportunities is also an important consideration. In some cases, a small portion of a large lot can be subdivided for development with the remainder acquired by the State. This seems to be occurring in more than one place along the greenway route. Should acquisition efforts fail to be fruitful, however, there are a number of other protection tools that can be employed.

Downzoning

In areas where lot sizes are protective and comparable to or smaller than current densities, downzoning or existing zoning can be utilized as a means of reducing the threat of future development. The majority of the proposed greenway properties, however, are large lots in the growth area where densities are less than 1 du/ac; downzoning is not proposed here.

Wetlands

The presence of significant wetlands throughout the study area helps to ensure that some areas remain free of development. Because of the restrictions associated with them, wetlands and wetlands buffers make post-development sale, donation, or easement of land an attractive alternative to some landowners.

On-site Clustering

Mandatory clustering used in tandem with deed restriction can be an effective way to protect a high percentage of a large lot when the owner wishes to proceed with development. The conservation easement can specify certain recreational uses permitted on the property, such as nature trails or recreational fields should public ownership become desirable.

Off-site Clustering and Density Transfer

The Township's current Density Transfer Program is designed to protect land within the Forest and Rural Development Areas by designating environmentally sensitive sending areas from which density is transferred to other properties in receiving areas owned by that same landowner. This tool has already been widely used in Hamilton Township and can possibly be extended to place easements of some of the properties within the proposed greenways. Off-site clustering or density transfer may also be applicable to a large group of smaller lots with development potential, as can be found in Hamilton's Rural Development Area.

The Laureldale section of Hamilton's RDA presents a special challenge and opportunity for open space planning. This area, dominated by 5-acre lots with single-family home potential, is thought to be home to a wide range of threatened and endangered species, based on preliminary surveys conducted by Commission staff. Development in this area is somewhat curtailed by the presence of wetlands, and by hydric soils that limit the use of septic systems. Many homes already dot the area, however, and additional development applications are coming in at a steady rate.

Documentation of threatened or endangered species on a site is sufficient to circumscribe development, but resources for a comprehensive survey of the area are unavailable and the site surveys accompanying applications are often non-comprehensive. Clustering or density transfer may be viable options; conversely direct acquisition of many of these small parcels would be the surest way to protect this critical habitat, but would probably need to be pursued by non-profit organizations.

Official Map

Another way to facilitate the preservation of open space is for the Township to designate certain properties on an "official map," making it impossible for the land to be acquired and built upon by developers before the Township has time to act. Commission staff can provide more details on this and other land protection tools if the Township so desires. It is suggested that the key parcels that comprise the greenway be placed on such a map, should the Township decide to pursue this greenway plan.

Proposed Greenway/Greenbelt Parcels

Following is a listing of parcels that could comprise the proposed greenway/greenbelt system. Unless otherwise noted, all parcels are located in the Regional Growth Area.
To avoid clear cutting of individual house sites, the Township can adopt a tree preservation ordinance as part of its subdivision and land development ordinance. Tree preservation ordinances typically establish "tree preservation zones" that are essentially the territory contained in the required setback areas of residential lots. However, considering the larger lot sizes required by lower allowable densities in the Pinelands areas of the Township and being mindful that the Pinelands are a fire prone environment, it may be more effective to create a tree preservation zone that is related to the actual house site. For example, limit clearing to within 30' of all sides of the dwelling. Limiting the clearing along lot street frontages is critical to preserving the rural appearance of the community, and therefore, only an approximately 10 foot wide clearing should be allowed for driveway access. In addition to community aesthetics, tree preservation is critical to limiting storm water runoff (and thus reducing the need to rely on "engineered" storm water management facilities) and soil erosion and, therefore, plays an important role in conserving the local environment. (Note that the ordinance could be expanded to address tree cutting on private waterfront property and include the establishment of 25-50 foot vegetative buffers at water’s edge.)
The foregoing represents a coordinated approach to open space planning in Hamilton Township. Individually, the permanent protection of any one of the proposed properties is an important step toward preserving open space in our community. Taken together, however, they form an integrated greenway system that would greatly enhance the aesthetic, ecological, and recreational characteristics of the community while helping to ensure a balanced, environmentally sound approach to development.

Implementing the Hamilton Greenway/Greenbelt Plan would require several steps, including the following:

1. Township consideration/agreement: The township will have to develop and adopt a greenway/greenbelt master plan that illustrates the location of all components of the network.

2. Work/meet with landowners: The township will have to meet with local landowners to explore the extent to which voluntary participation may be possible. Any parcels along state highways should be reviewed with the New Jersey Department of Transportation.

3. Secure funding for acquisition, capital improvement: In the event that property owners are unwilling to donate lands or provide conservation easements, the township will have to explore potential funding sources to purchase land, such as the County (which levies an open space tax for such purposes) and the Great Egg Harbor Watershed Association.

Summary

1. Township consideration/agreement: The township will have to develop and adopt a greenway/greenbelt master plan that illustrates the location of all components of the network.

2. Work/meet with landowners: The township will have to meet with local landowners to explore the extent to which voluntary participation may be possible. Any parcels along state highways should be reviewed with the New Jersey Department of Transportation.

3. Secure funding for acquisition, capital improvement: In the event that property owners are unwilling to donate lands or provide conservation easements, the township will have to explore potential funding sources to purchase land, such as the County (which levies an open space tax for such purposes) and the Great Egg Harbor Watershed Association.
Mapping Guidelines
Using their existing authority to create an official map (pursuant to NJS40:55D-32), map the initial corridor (1,000 feet in width) for a system of collector streets throughout those areas of the town containing or anticipating growth. As an expedient first step, the system of collector streets can be mapped as part of the Township’s Master Plan circulation element. This system of mapped streets serves the following functions:

- Traffic Function - The mapped streets are “collectors,” serving as the framework that joins the most local of residential streets (“local” streets) with the major streets serving longer distance travel (“arterial” streets) such as US 40. A well-connected system of collector roads is critical to the function of the entire street system. For much of routine travel to community destinations (e.g., school, grocery shopping), a well-connected collector system can accommodate the entire trip. A full collector system offers parallel reliever routes to existing arterial system. Further, they provide a means for routing traffic to preferred junctions (for example, signalized intersections) on the arterial system. A full collector network postpones or, more frequently, eliminates entirely the need for widening of the arterial system.

- Property Fronting Function - Collector streets are small, low speed and harmonious with the environment. They are therefore fully suitable for residential frontage. At selected locations, such as crossroads and hamlets, collector streets can also serve as the armature for retail development and can feature on-street parking.

- Internal Subdivision Connectivity - A full web of collector streets provides the framework for individual subdivisions to connect in multiple directions, thereby avoiding reliance on single-entry subdivisions, a disastrous pattern for traffic flow.

Challenges and Remedies
The official map, as envisioned in New Jersey planning law, calls for precise location of street right-of-ways, with little deviation permitted without strong cause. However, designation of a large mileage of collector streets necessarily calls for an approximate early location, to be refined later, with deviations in route freely considered as property development plans unfold. A remedy to this need for precision would be for the town to designate, on their official map, a corridor at an accuracy adequate to identify traversed or adjacent properties, and to thereby permit the proper notification of property owners and their inclusion in the route alignment process. More precise designation of the roadway alignment, fully in compliance with NJS40:55D-32, can then occur at any number of future “benchmark” points; for example, as development activity increases in an area, as utilities are extended, as the subdivision process is initiated, and so forth.

New Jersey planning law confers large advantages to a town producing a map with a full network of connecting streets. Property owners have a clear indication, far in advance of property development, of the intention of the town, and are able to shape their plans to both conform to this intention and to benefit from it. The town’s ability to steer growth toward “smart growth” objectives during all stages of the planning process (subdivision approval as well as site plan approval) is greatly enhanced with an adopted map giving a full web of collector streets. Traffic from new growth can be dispersed to numerous routes, arterial widening can be eliminated, the quality of life for existing residents improved, and property values increased.
A major part of a strategic plan for the racetrack district is the reorganization of the road system in the district, through the realignment of the existing major arterials and the addition of new framework streets.

A major principle of the street reorganization is the unraveling of the "confluence" areas, in which traffic from major arterial highways is combined for short segments onto a single route, creating major problems of congestion and access. In approaching this solution, the Black Horse Pike (Route 322) is given a direct connection to Wrangleboro Road, and therefore to the Atlantic City Expressway to/from the north. Continuing traffic on Route 322 will use three new network links to make a short north-south movement: an extension of Cologne Avenue, an extension of Leipzig Avenue and a new north-south connector through the heart of the rebuilt racetrack district.

Route 40 becomes the continuous route through the area, no longer joining Route 322 in the problematical "confluence" area. Connection to Route 322 is through the three new or extended north-south arterials noted above (i.e., Cologne Avenue, Leipzig Avenue and the new north-south main street through the race-track area). Connection to all three of the Atlantic City Expressway interchanges is gained through these north-south connections.

The Atlantic City Expressway ramps to/from the south are connected directly to a new east-west major collector street, which, in parallel with Route 40 and Route 322 (relocated) forms a third east-west connection across the area.

The network of local streets needed to form the appropriate sized blocks are also shown. This network of local streets connects, at numerous points, to the framework streets as discussed above.
Revise Street Design Guidelines

Revise the existing street design guidelines, as contained within the subdivision ordinance. The actions decrease the size of street, and provide for street types with swale drainage.

Challenges and Solutions

There may be concern, from public officials, that the swale and drainage street, with its lack of "discipline" will be degraded by frequent parking in the swale, leading to erosion of the swale as well as an unsightly appearance. This concern is addressed through site plan regulations that permit convenient off-street parking (circle drives, hammerhead turnarounds) in addition to driveways, a driveway design that permits easy turnaround, and a detailing that permits occasional segments of designated parking on paved or otherwise treated segments of pavement.

The pavement width of 24 feet for a minor residential street allows for parking on either side of the street, and a single lane of traffic in the center of the street. Typically, the parking will require 7 feet on either side, leaving a 10-foot travel lane in the center of the street. As carefully explained in the AASHTO Greenbook, "opposing conflicting traffic will yield and pause on the parking lane area" until the driving lane is clear of opposing traffic. This "yield" operation, with only a single moving lane for traffic in both directions, is appropriate for all single family residential development in which off-street parking (driveway, garage, alley or combination thereof) is provided.

With a 24-foot pavement and a 50-foot right-of-way, a five-foot sidewalk can be provided on both sides of the street. With sidewalks located at the edge of the right-of-way line, the 50-foot right-of-way allows for an 8-foot planting strip between curb and sidewalk, a width adequate for proper planting of major street trees.

New roads and other infrastructure (e.g., parking, sidewalks, and sewers) within strictly residential developments (as opposed to mixed use developments) must adhere to the residential site improvement standards (RSIS) developed by New Jersey's Department of Community Affairs (NJ DCA). Smaller streets and related design considerations as shown opposite may not always be permitted under NJ DCA's regulations. Because this concept provides several advantages (e.g., slower vehicular speeds, less impervious surface, more aesthetically pleasing) while still safely accommodating traffic needs, the Township should pursue long-term, comprehensive strategies to enable its application. Options for the Township and the Pinelands Commission to consider include:

1. Explore creation of a generic approval process by the Pinelands Commission. NJ DCA's RSIS are not allowed to modify or otherwise affect regulations adopted by the Pinelands Commission. The Commission could therefore adopt requirements that would apply to all regional growth areas in the Pinelands.
2. Work with NJ DCA's Office of Smart Growth to craft new requirements that would be implemented under the State Development and Redevelopment Plan.
3. Apply to NJ DCA's RSIS program for Special Area Standards. The RSIS provide a procedure whereby a municipality can work with NJ DCA to develop supplementary or alternative standards for areas such as those addressed by this community action plan.
Revise Stormwater Regulations

Comprehensive Stormwater Management - Problems, Options, and Regulations

The Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan has served to protect many thousands of acres from the impacts of development through re-direction of new development into growth areas. But the good news of conservation also has been accompanied by the bad news of a variety of environmental impacts occurring as the result of this growth area development. Although both the Pinelands Commission itself and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) have enacted regulatory programs (discussed below) to help minimize these adverse effects of development, growth area municipalities such as Winslow Township have both suffered from problems associated with unsightly and unsuccessful stormwater management systems and other elements of growth infrastructure such as massive wastewater management collection and treatment systems that drain critical aquifers and discharge polluted effluents.

Advances in technologies and development of an evolving array of Best Management Practices or BMPs are dramatically improving stormwater management, as part of "smart growth" planning. The "D" words of Development and Density no longer need to be equated with Disaster in terms of stormwater and other infrastructure. Smart growth means smarter stormwater management technologies which maintain hydrologic balance and minimize pollutant loadings. Smart growth means smarter wastewater management which recycles wastewater effluents, utilizing nutrients such as phosphorus and nitrogen in the process. Smart growth means conservation-oriented water supply which can be sustained and balanced over the long term. In short, with the application of new techniques in all of these areas, more land development can occur with significantly less impact.

Curiously, although innovations in technology have revolutionized so much of the world in the last 30 to 40 years, the building industry has lagged in its approach to land development infrastructure. Stormwater and wastewater practices in so many New Jersey municipalities in 2003 remain remarkably similar to practices 40 or more years ago. A major impetus for change has certainly been the emergence of requirements as set forth by the Pinelands Commission, and more recently by NJDEP (see discussion below), in response to the new NPDES Phase II program requirements pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act. Though these programs are not without their bureaucratic flaws, both NJDEP and the Pinelands Commission have been leaders in stormwater management nationwide and deserve credit for innovation.

Reasons for the Problem

Special regulations and management notwithstanding, many stormwater management systems have become problem-prone eyesores, even with the extra scrutiny imposed by the municipalities themselves as well as the State and Pinelands Commission. A common complaint registered against so many stormwater management systems is an aesthetic one: they quickly deteriorate and become ugly blights on the landscape. To some extent, the problem can be related to the fact that stormwater management typically takes the form of some sort of highly centralized basin squeezed into whatever site area is leftover after the building program has been fully planned out and accommodated on the site. As such, locations of the conventional stormwater basins are often less than ideal and something of an afterthought, emerging in visually prominent positions such as major entrances or open space. Rarely are the stormwater systems carefully integrated into overall site design, reflective of optimal locations from either a functional or aesthetic perspective. Rarely is stormwater management even taken into consideration up front in the site design process.

As a consequence, many stormwater management systems do not work as intended. Managers now recognize that stormwater management systems not only control of peak rates of runoff, but also control of total runoff volumes, with control of groundwater (and aquifer) recharge as well. In so many cases, we've seriously imbalanced the water cycle and significantly increased runoff and downstream flooding through peak rate-focused detention basin systems. The corollary losses in infiltration and recharge then translate into depleted wells, streams, wetlands, and other critical water features when the rain stops.

Closely linked to the water quantity management issues is water quality, best served by preventive approaches as well as mitigative practices which are optimized through soil- and vegetation-based infiltration practices. So often these comprehensive objectives of groundwater recharge and water quality are not being achieved, either by design or by accident. Systems malfunction, which in turn creates eyesores such as clogged outlets, reduced infiltration, eutrophic standing water that quickly degenerates into potential mosquito breeding pools. Because of their unsavory character which has emerged over time, added security measures are typically taken to prevent or at least minimize human interaction and contact with stormwater basins. Unsightly chain-linked fences are installed around basin perimeters, making them even more foreboding. Stormwater grows even uglier.

Maintenance requirements, whether imposed by homeowners, homeowners associations, or the municipalities themselves, mount. Even under the best of conditions, the natural tendency is to cut maintenance short. As various malfunctions increase, maintenance requirements increase rapidly, and problems (and their costs) snowball.

Ineffective stormwater management

Excessive land disturbance

Advances in technologies and development of an evolving array of Best Management Practices or BMPs are dramatically improving stormwater management systems and other elements of growth infrastructure such as massive wastewater management collection and treatment systems that drain critical aquifers and discharge polluted effluents.

Ineffective stormwater management

Curiously, although innovations in technology have revolutionized so much of the world in the last 30 to 40 years, the building industry has lagged in its approach to land development infrastructure. Stormwater and wastewater practices in so many New Jersey municipalities in 2003 remain remarkably similar to practices 40 or more years ago. A major impetus for change has certainly been the emergence of requirements as set forth by the Pinelands Commission, and more recently by NJDEP (see discussion below), in response to the new NPDES Phase II program requirements pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act. Though these programs are not without their bureaucratic flaws, both NJDEP and the Pinelands Commission have been leaders in stormwater management nationwide and deserve credit for innovation.

Reasons for the Problem

Special regulations and management notwithstanding, many stormwater management systems have become problem-prone eyesores, even with the extra scrutiny imposed by the municipalities themselves as well as the State and Pinelands Commission. A common complaint registered against so many stormwater management systems is an aesthetic one: they quickly deteriorate and become ugly blights on the landscape. To some extent, the problem can be related to the fact that stormwater management typically takes the form of some sort of highly centralized basin squeezed into whatever site area is leftover after the building program has been fully planned out and accommodated on the site. As such, locations of the conventional stormwater basins are often less than ideal and something of an afterthought, emerging in visually prominent positions such as major entrances or open space. Rarely are the stormwater systems carefully integrated into overall site design, reflective of optimal locations from either a functional or aesthetic perspective. Rarely is stormwater management even taken into consideration up front in the site design process.

As a consequence, many stormwater management systems do not work as intended. Managers now recognize that stormwater management systems not only control of peak rates of runoff, but also control of total runoff volumes, with control of groundwater (and aquifer) recharge as well. In so many cases, we've seriously imbalanced the water cycle and significantly increased runoff and downstream flooding through peak rate-focused detention basin systems. The corollary losses in infiltration and recharge then translate into depleted wells, streams, wetlands, and other critical water features when the rain stops.

Closely linked to the water quantity management issues is water quality, best served by preventive approaches as well as mitigative practices which are optimized through soil- and vegetation-based infiltration practices. So often these comprehensive objectives of groundwater recharge and water quality are not being achieved, either by design or by accident. Systems malfunction, which in turn creates eyesores such as clogged outlets, reduced infiltration, eutrophic standing water that quickly degenerates into potential mosquito breeding pools. Because of their unsavory character which has emerged over time, added security measures are typically taken to prevent or at least minimize human interaction and contact with stormwater basins. Unsightly chain-linked fences are installed around basin perimeters, making them even more foreboding. Stormwater grows even uglier.

Maintenance requirements, whether imposed by homeowners, homeowners associations, or the municipalities themselves, mount. Even under the best of conditions, the natural tendency is to cut maintenance short. As various malfunctions increase, maintenance requirements increase rapidly, and problems (and their costs) snowball.

Ineffective stormwater management
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Comprehensive Stormwater Management Options

Option Set 1: Preventive Nonstructural Conservation Design and Low Impact Development

Through optimal blends of preventive nonstructural site design approaches and mitigation structural practices, higher density development can be accommodated with substantially reduced stormwater and other impacts, provided that basic water quantity and quality principles are respected. The rapidly emerging Smart Growth subfields of Conservation Design and Low Impact Development (concepts sometimes used interchangeably) are showing us that through applying a number of conservation-oriented low impact site design approaches, significant stormwater generation can be prevented.

First, minimize total site disturbance; maximize preservation of undisturbed site soils and site vegetation, even as the building program (such as number of dwelling units or commercial square footage or other building activity) is held constant. Typically, minimizing disturbance can be achieved through any number of clustering techniques and, more broadly, through Neo-Traditional (or New Urbanist) site development. These approaches to site design usually entail considerably reduced setbacks which allow for reduction in lot size and total amount of land area "consumed" by any particular building program, though not a reduction in the building program itself. Municipal ordinances should define Minimum Disturbance/Minimum Maintenance provisions compatible with the physical characteristics of the municipality together with the type of development being accommodated. When maximized, Minimum Disturbance/Minimum Maintenance also translates into a reduction in the artificially maintained landscape with application of fertilizers and pesticides, significantly reducing nonpoint source water quality loadings year after year. Areas of undisturbed vegetation can then be used as part of the active stormwater management plan itself. A model tree removal ordinance (Appendix A) has been provided by the Pinelands Commission to address this issue.

Stormwater generation can be reduced by minimizing the creation of impervious cover, as well as site disturbance. Consider vertical development, compatible with new-traditional multi-story town themes, featuring retail shops and office and apartment units located in upper floors, where feasible, in contrast to one-floor big boxes. The same building programs can be accommodated with less impervious cover, less stormwater generated. Downsize and "skinny" the street system, where appropriate, avoiding oversized subdivision road system with 30-foot wide streets on small residential cul-de-sacs (e.g., the "green" street systems as advocated by the Center for Watershed Protection). Use vegetated circles that reduce impervious area and incorporate vegetated stormwater management treatment at the same time, as well as downsized turnarounds. Use shared parking with the correct blend of uses; consider use of maximum parking ratios, not minimums. Structured parking, though costly, means less disturbance, less impervious areas, less stormwater generation.

Another nonstructural method of preventative stormwater management is to protect areas that provide water quality benefits, including vegetated areas near waterbodies that are particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss. Finally, engineers should design to minimize the decrease in pre-construction time of concentration. Reduction in the time of concentration will result in stormwater leaving the site faster and in greater quantity resulting in higher peak flows in the receiving waterbody. Any decrease in the time of concentration must therefore be minimized to control the negative impacts downstream.

Revise Stormwater Regulations

Preserve existing site vegetation...

Reduce paved and other impervious surfaces...

...through minimum disturbance/maximum maintenance...

...at both residential and commercial sites...

For aesthetic and functional stormwater management.
Comprehensive Stormwater Management Options
Option Set 2: Mitigative and Structural

Stormwater cannot be totally avoided; some disturbance, some impervious surface is going to result as development occurs, even if preventive approaches are embraced thoroughly. The More with Less philosophy also translates into a broad array of innovative practices which mitigate both stormwater quantity and quality impacts through reliance on structured soil- and vegetation-based systems.

To make this work, the first critical step is a thorough site analysis which identifies areas of site stormwater management opportunity and areas of site constraint. Site soils and vegetation play an important role in this analysis and indicate where opportunities for infiltration, the optimal management approach for both water quantity and quality, can occur. All else being equal, this infiltration should be integrated into the overall site plan in a way that is as decentralized and distributed as possible, occurring as close to the source or point of generation as possible.

In lower density residential subdivisions, stormwater may be directed into landscaped recharge gardens (also called rain gardens); these vegetated recharge gardens can be integrated into higher density applications and provide attractive landscape features which accomplish stormwater objectives as well. Stormwater can be directed along vegetated swales - vegetated open channel conveyance systems - avoiding curbs and gutters, and diverted into level spreading berms on contour, carefully threaded through wooded areas.

In higher intensity commercial areas, stormwater management may take the form of infiltration chambers located beneath porous-paved parking lots; parking areas not only accommodate their own stormwater but also can receive runoff from building roof areas and other paved surfaces. Where more centralized management cannot be avoided, subtle and shallow basins planted with appropriate wildflower mix can be integrated into infiltration-conducive areas in the site. If natural conditions prohibit infiltration, wet ponds, properly designed and engineered and enhanced with wetland vegetation (i.e., constructed wetlands), offer water quality treatment; these wet pond features can add significant aesthetic value to the overall development, though must be large enough to sustain a successful aquatic community.

Use both soil and vegetative-based “structures,” such as these recharge or rain gardens.

Use vegetated swales and filter strips for quantity and quality control.

Porous pavement over recharge beds optimize site use with excellent environmental performance.
Comprehensive Stormwater Management

New Jersey Regulatory Issues

Poor stormwater management has increased pollution in surface waters and groundwater and made droughts and flooding more severe. This has been recognized as a significant problem at the state level. Accordingly, NJDEP has proposed new regulations - that NJ townships can adopt at minimum - designed to better protect water quality and quantity. These Stormwater Management Rules (N.J.A.C. 7:8 available online at http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watershedmgmt/DOCS/BMP_DOCS/stormwater_management_plan.pdf) recommend and require both structural (preventative) and nonstructural (mitigative) stormwater management strategies as discussed in Option Set 1 and Option Set 2, above. The guiding principles for comprehensive stormwater management outlined and discussed above are reflected in the new state regulations.

The new rules aim to improve performance objectives and methods of mitigating the negative impacts of post-construction stormwater runoff with the intent to require implementation of better site design techniques that prevent disturbances through use of nonstructural stormwater strategies or Low Impact Development. In addition, the new regulations include design and performance standards developed for groundwater recharge and stormwater runoff quantity (volume) control. For example, N.J.A.C. 7:5.4(a2) provides two options for satisfying the recharge performance standard. First, maintain 100% of the average annual pre-construction groundwater recharge volume for the site. Second, infiltrate the net increase of the 2-year stormwater runoff volume from pre-construction to post-construction. (Note: not all of DEP’s proposed requirements are more stringent than the Pineland’s CMP; in such instances, the CMP’s requirements will still need to be met.)

Pursuant to the New Jersey Stormwater Management Act N.J.S.A 40:55d - 93 to 99, every municipality in the State is required to prepare a stormwater management plan and a stormwater management ordinance to implement that plan. Details and general requirements for Stormwater Management Planning are provided in Subchapters 2, 3, and 4 of the new state regulations N.J.A.C. 7:8. Regional Stormwater Management Plans on a watershed or drainage area basis are acceptable, provided that the municipalities in the study area adopt the plan and ordinance.

Current stormwater regulations for Hamilton Township focus on stormwater rate control and on stormwater volume control, like most New Jersey municipalities. The new State regulations are refocusing stormwater engineering on volume control and water quality. When the stormwater volume is mitigated, i.e. infiltrated and/or retained, stormwater management facilities can be designed that mitigate peak rates of runoff for even the larger storms. By designing facilities for runoff volume control, stormwater runoff rates are subsequently managed. The State’s Residential Site Improvement Standards will be modeled to reflect the New Jersey Stormwater Management Rule.

Other techniques that the Township may want to consider to improve stormwater management include:

- Adding a provision to the Township’s ordinance to require measures to minimize soil compaction during construction that can contribute to basin failure, including:
  - Cordon off the area where the basin is to be sited to prevent heavy equipment from compacting the underlying soils.
  - Excavate the basin with light earthmoving equipment, preferably with tracks or over-sized tires located outside of the basin bottom.
  - Deeply till the floor of the basin with a rotary tiller or disc harrow and smooth over with a leveling drag or equivalent grading equipment.
  - Cordon off the finished basin to prevent heavy equipment from compacting soils for the remainder of the construction period.

- Strengthen maintenance requirements by adopting the procedures for infiltration basins described in the New Jersey Stormwater BMP Manual; i.e.:
  - All infiltration basin components expected to receive and/or trap debris and sediment must be inspected for clogging and excessive debris and sediment accumulation at least four times annually as well as after every storm exceeding one inch of rainfall. Such components may include bottoms, riprap or gabion aprons, and inflow points.
  - Sediment removal should take place when the basin is thoroughly dry. Disposal of debris and trash should be done at suitable disposal/recycling sites and in compliance with all applicable local, state and federal waste regulations.
  - Mowing and/or trimming of vegetation must be performed on a regular schedule based on specific site conditions. Grass should be mowed at least once a month during the growing season. Vegetated areas must also be inspected at least annually for erosion and scour. The structure must be inspected for unwanted tree growth at least once a year.
  - When establishing or restoring vegetation, biweekly inspections of vegetation health should be performed during the first growing season or until the vegetation is established.
  - Once established, inspections of vegetation health, density and diversity should be performed at least twice annually during both the growing and non-growing season. If vegetation has greater than 50 percent damage, the area should be reestablished in accordance with the original specifications and the inspection requirements presented above.

- All structural components must be inspected for cracking, subsidence, spalling, erosion, and deterioration at least annually.
- Require existing stormwater basins at the Atlantic City Racetrack to be assessed and improved as needed as part of the redevelopment strategy described earlier (existing basins are reportedly not working properly).
- Require the use of best management practices to reduce nonpoint source pollution from private waterfront property such as limiting tree clearing and establishing 25-50 foot vegetative buffers at the water’s edge.
- Consider other techniques to encourage good practices such as providing credit for the nonstructural methods described earlier in this section and alternatives management strategies for potential problem areas or “hot spots” such as locations where petroleum products are loaded and unloaded.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Implementation Tasks</th>
<th>Responsible Parties</th>
<th>Estimated Timeframe</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.       | Develop Strategic Area Plan for Mays Landing Bypass Plan | 1. These two recommended actions may be implemented simultaneously; create a detailed strategic plan with a bypass component using guidelines provided in this report  
2. Request review comments/approval from Pinelands Commission  
3. Adopt plan and related regulations | 1. Hamilton’s Economic Development Director and Planning Commission; qualified consultant  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. nine months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing: $50,000 for consultant | Township; Atlantic County; N.J. Department of Community Affairs (Office of Smart Growth)  
NJ Department of Transportation |
| 2.       | Develop Strategic Area Plan for Race Track District | 1. Develop Strategic Area Plan using guidelines provided in this report  
2. Request review comments/approval from Pinelands Commission  
3. Adopt plan and related regulations | 1. Hamilton’s Economic Development Director and Planning Commission; qualified consultant  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. nine months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing: $50,000 for consultant | Township; Atlantic County; N.J. Department of Community Affairs (Office of Smart Growth) |
| 3.       | Adopt Build-To-Commercial Building Siting Revise Site Plan Parking Requirements Obtain Street Connectivity through Subdivision Regulations | 1. All three recommended actions may be implemented simultaneously by revising current regulations using guidelines provided in this report  
2. Request review comments/approval from Pinelands Commission  
3. Adopt revised regulations | 1. Hamilton’s Planning Commission; Township Planner and Solicitor  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. three to six months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing | Township |
| 4.       | Adopt Greenway Plan | 1. Create Greenway Plan using guidelines provided in this report  
2. Request review comments/approval from Pinelands Commission  
3. Adopt plan | 1. Hamilton’s Planning Commission; qualified consultant  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. three to six months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing: $25,000 for consultant (or by Township planner) | Township; Atlantic County; State Green Acres Program |
| 5.       | Map Framework Street Corridors Revise Street Design Guidelines | 1. These two recommended actions may be implemented simultaneously; create detailed street network plan and design guidelines. As a first step, revise Circulation Element of Master Plan.  
2. Request review comments/approval from Pinelands Commission  
3. Amend Official Map | 1. Hamilton’s Planning Commission; Township Engineer and Planner, or qualified consultant  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. six months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing: $25,000 - $50,000 for consultant | Township; N.J. Department of Community Affairs (Office of Smart Growth) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Implementation Tasks</th>
<th>Responsible Parties</th>
<th>Estimated Timeframe</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6.       | Revise Stormwater Regulations | 1. Adopt open space requirements that credit shallow stormwater basins.  
2. Strengthen provisions for stormwater basin construction and maintenance.  
3. Encourage use of best management practices. | 1. Hamilton’s Planning Commission and Land Use Land Use Subcommittee; Township Engineer; County Engineer  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | 1. six months  
2. two months  
3. one month | Township staffing | Township; Camden County |
| 7.       | Revise Master Plan        | 1. Revise to support/achieve consistency with above recommendations.                  | 1. Hamilton’s Planning Commission; Township Engineer and Planner, or qualified consultant  
2. Pinelands Commission  
3. Hamilton’s Governing Body | Township staffing and Planner | Township |
Livable Community Action Plan - Hamilton, NJ

SECTION 1. Purpose. It is recognized that there is a strong relationship between a Township's quality of life and the integrity of the region's water quality, air quality, natural Pinelands landscape and aesthetic amenities. Destruction of the Township's existing trees, woodlands and vegetation contributes to increased soil erosion and sedimentation, increased stormwater runoff and costs to control runoff, degradation of water resources, decreased groundwater recharge, increased buildup of atmospheric carbon, decreased wildlife habitat, increased dust and decreased property values, all of which negatively affect the character of the Township. The appropriate management of existing vegetation resources are an important health, safety and welfare concern.

Therefore, it is the intent of this ordinance to protect and preserve the air, water, natural Pinelands landscape and aesthetic quality of the Township by preserving the maximum possible number of trees and in the course of development of a site, ensuring that the health of trees and vegetation used for landscaping on a site is maintained throughout the development process and protecting larger, older specimens of trees.

SECTION 2. Chapter ___ of the Code of the Township of ___, Section ___, Definitions, is hereby amended to add and modify the following definitions:

COMPATIBLE TREE OR SHRUB: Trees and shrubs authorized by N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.25 to be used for revegetation or landscaping purposes, or other trees and shrubs not listed under N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.25 but adapted to the droughty, nutrient-poor conditions found in the New Jersey Pinelands.

CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ): The minimum area surrounding an established tree which must be left undisturbed in order to preserve sufficient root mass to provide the tree with a reasonable chance of survival. Defined as a circular area and one-half (1.5) inches DBH and compatible shrubs.

PRESERVED TREE: A tree of 6" DBH or larger which is not removed from a lot on which development is occurring.

PROTECTION MEASURE: A practice or combination of practices (e.g., construction barriers, protective fencing, tree wells, etc.) used to control construction or development impacts to vegetation.

REMOVE, REMOVAL: The direct or indirect removal of a tree(s) or vegetation through actions including, but not limited to: clearing, cutting, causing irreversible damage to roots or trunks; poisoning; destroying the structural integrity of trees or vegetation; filling, excavating, grading or trenching in the Critical Root Zone; relocating an existing tree to a new planting location; or the removal through any of these processes of greater than thirty percent of the height, size or bulk of an established tree.

UNDERSTORY VEGETATION: Small trees, shrubs, and groundcover plants.

A.1. Residential development shall comply with the following schedule of lot disturbance limitations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot Size</th>
<th>Max. Lot Disturbance Permitted (to be measured in SF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;= 10,000 SF</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10,000 SF</td>
<td>no lot disturbance permitted outside of a 10 foot buffer of any existing or pro posed structure on the lot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A.2. In addition to the maximum lot disturbance requirements described in A1., no lot disturbance shall be permitted within 10 feet of the rear lot line of any lot over 10,000 SF.

A.3. In addition to the requirements above, if the applicant proposes to remove any "New Jersey Big Trees" from the lot, regardless of their location, the applicant is required to apply to the Planning Board for a permit to do so. The permit application must include a site plan clearly marking the location of each New Jersey Big Tree.

A.4. The applicant may remove any hazardous trees on the lot provided they have been verified by a Certified Arborist and approval has been given for the removal by the Planning Board.

B. Minimum Non-Turf Landscaping Requirement for front yards.

B.1. Applicant is required to ensure that the amount of non-turf vegetation in the front yard meets the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot Size</th>
<th>Min. Non-Turf Vegetation in the Front Yard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;= 7,000 SF</td>
<td>3.0% of lot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,001 - 10,000 SF</td>
<td>7.0% of lot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,001 - 20,000 SF</td>
<td>9.0% of lot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,001 - 40,000 SF</td>
<td>12.0% of lot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 40,000 SF</td>
<td>16.0% of lot size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.2. The non-turf landscaping requirement shall be met according to the following specifications:

B.2.1. This requirement may be met with existing vegetation; or

B.2.2. Where a shortfall exists, replacement plantings must be used according to both of the following two schedules:

Schedule A: Mix by Size: per 400 sq. ft. = 40 points (with at least 1 tree)

1. Understory deciduous shrub = 2 points
2. Understory evergreen shrub = 3 points
3. 1.5" DBH tree = 2 points
4. 2.5" DBH tree = 6 points
5. 4" DBH tree = 12 points
6. 6" DBH tree = 18 points

Schedule B: Mix by Point Type

1. Maximum 90% deciduous
2. Minimum 10% evergreen
3. Maximum 50% shrubs
4. Maximum 25% any one species

B.2.3. If the shortfall(s) is less than a multiple of 400 SF, applicant must round up to the next multiple of 400 SF (e.g., 500 SF shortfall = 800 SF requirement).

Appendix A - Tree Removal Ordinance
B.2.4. The replacement trees and understory vegetation shall be composed of compatible trees and shrubs as authorized by N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.25. Other trees and shrubs may be used in the following circumstances, with the approval of the Planning Board:

B.2.4 (i) When the parcel to be developed or its environs contain a predominance of shrubs and tree species not authorized by N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.25, or
B.2.4 (g) For limited ornamental purposes around buildings and other structures; or
B.2.4 (iii) When limited use of other shrubs or tree species is required for proper screening or buffering.

B.2.5. To the extent practicable, replacement plantings shall be done in clusters, consistent with what occurs naturally. In any case, the shortfall square footage should be covered with a mulch of hard wood chips at least two (2) inches deep and no more than four (4) inches deep.

B.2.6. Replacement trees, shrubs and understory vegetation shall be nursery-grown and comply to American Association of Nurserymen (AAN) standards. Replacement trees must be planted according to the following standards:

(i) Planting hole must be two (2) times wider than root ball of tree; and
(ii) Native soil must be used for backfill and tamped lightly to avoid soil compaction; and
(iii) Where appropriate, trees must be staked for trunk support and root anchorage.

B.2.7. For lots equal to or smaller than 10,000 SF, credits for shortfalls may be taken off lot for clusters of plantings on islands in streets in front of homes, perimeters around the subdivision, plantings between homes in front of the minimum building setback line, and percentages exceeding the minimum on corner lots and other appropriate areas. Off-lot plantings must follow both schedules in B.2.2.

B.2.8. Any replacement plantings that die within 2 years from the time of planting must be replaced by the applicant.

SECTION ___ Chapter ___ of the Code of the Township of ___, Section ___, is hereby amended to add a new subsection C to read as follows:

C.1. The location of each tree of 6" DBH or larger
C.2. The location of any hazardous trees on the lot which the applicant proposes to remove; and
C.3. How the required schedules of non-turf vegetation will be met in the front yard.

D. Critical Root Zone Protection

D.1. Most trees can tolerate only a small amount of root damage. Prior to any land disturbance, all trees of six (6) inches DBH and larger to be preserved shall be protected from damage during construction using protection measures that protect the Critical Root Zones. These protection measures shall be done according to the following standards:

D.1.1. Protective tree fencing shall be installed along the outer edge of and completely surrounding the Critical Root Zones of all preserved trees. These fences shall be self-supporting wooden snow fences or orange plastic construction fences a minimum of four (4) feet high and shall be accompanied by "Tree Preservation Area" signage; and
D.1.2. Clearing within the Critical Root Zone shall be done only by hand-operated equipment; and
D.1.3. Excavation or storage of materials or equipment, including soil, shall not be conducted within the Critical Root Zone; and
D.1.4. The grade of the land located within the Critical Root Zone of all preserved trees shall not be raised or lowered more than six (6) inches unless compensated by welling or retaining wall methods; and in no event shall welling or retaining wall methods occur within the Critical Root Zone; and
D.1.5. Where utilities must be installed though a Critical Root Zone, such installation shall be done using tunneling rather than trenching; and
D.1.6. Physical damage to trunks, branches, foliage and roots of preserved plant material must be avoided; and
D.1.7. Nothing shall be nailed or tied to preserved trees or understory vegetation; and
D.1.8. The removal of trees adjacent to preserved trees can cause inadvertent damage to the roots of preserved trees. Whenever possible, trenches of a minimum width of two (2) feet shall be cut along the limits of land disturbance so that roots are cut rather than torn. Tunneling may be required for the protection of New Jersey Big Trees.

D.2. Appropriate protection measures shall be implemented to protect understory vegetation and other plant material to be preserved.

D.3. Where the Planning Board has determined that irreparable damage has occurred to preserved trees and/or understory vegetation, the damaged plant materials must be removed and replaced.

SECTION ___ Chapter ___ of the Code of the Township of ___, Section ___, is hereby amended to add a new subsection E to read as follows:

E. Non-Residential Lot Disturbance and Landscaping Plan Requirements

E.1. On non-residential lots, no disturbance beyond the following areas of the lot shall be permitted:

E.1.1. The building envelope; and
E.1.2. Required parking, driveways, loading areas and utility access; and
E.1.3. A ten (10) foot construction zone beyond the building and any other existing or proposed structures on the lot.

E.2. A landscaping plan for all non-residential lots shall be submitted to the Planning Board for approval prior to any vegetation removal or land disturbance. The landscaping plan shall demonstrate compliance with all non-turf landscaping requirements described in subsection B; however, those requirements may be met on any portion(s) of the lot.

[OPTIONAL: SECTION ?. TREE REMOVAL PERMIT PROGRAM. This would basically require homeowners who wish to remove a tree from their property to apply for a permit, and the number of trees they are allowed to remove per year would be limited to some number. Exceptions could include removal of "hazardous trees," which as defined above would need to be verified by a Certified Arborist. There are many examples of municipal tree removal permit programs (Princeton Twp. has a good one), and in fact most tree preservation ordinances seem to follow this model.]

SECTION __. Nothing in this ordinance or within the shall be deemed to impose any liability for damages or a duty of care and maintenance upon the Township or upon any of its officers or employees. The owner of any private property shall have a duty to keep the trees upon the property and under their control in a safe, healthy condition. Any person who feels a tree located on property possessed, owned or controlled by them is a danger to the safety of themselves, others or structural improvements on-site or off-site shall have an obligation to secure the area around the tree, support the tree, or to verify with the Planning Board that the tree is hazardous and remove it to safeguard both persons and improvements from harm.

Appendix A - Tree Removal Ordinance
SECTION __. All Ordinances and parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION __. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by a Court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision, and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof.

SECTION 16. This Ordinance shall take effect upon final passage, adoption and publication in the manner prescribed by law.

Dated: April 1, 2003
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Positive Housing Types:

- Five Corners - five small streets with nice homes and a nice scale
- Nice townhouse development with well-landscaped entrance and relocated parking
- Timber Glen - condos with nice back yards, green buffer, and mature trees
- House tucked into the landscape
- House tucked into the landscape
- Tanglewood development is an example of appropriate lot size and density

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Negative Housing Types:

- House destroyed landscape
- Triplex - ordinance should be created to protect old, single-family homes
- Woodlands - condos with back yards too close to street, backs of houses facing the street
- Evergreen Apartments - too crowded
- New development - it all looks the same; cut down all the trees and pack in the houses
- Cologne Garden Apartments - old, ugly design; no landscaping (3 photos)

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township’s Vision Team members*
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Positive Commercial Types:

- Fleet Bank, Cape May Avenue - a new use that maintained the original architecture (4 photos)
- Abbott House B&B, Main Street - nice renovation of historic building (2 photos)
- Main Street office building across from Courthouse - nice new construction in historic district
- Inn at Sugar Hill - beautiful historic preservation / commercial use that capitalizes on waterfront
- Kneble's Auto Center - new and most attractive business
- Hamilton Mall - commercial ratables help to offset cost of forced housing

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members.
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Negative Commercial Types:

Verizon, Main Street - Inappropriate architecture for historic district (4 photos)

New construction on 322 & 40 - if you jam 10 pounds of mud into a 5 pound sack, you will have a problem

Hott Spot - several adult entertainment establishments in Hamilton Township detract from community

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Positive Institutional Types:

- Presbyterian Church, Main Street - nice preservation of building and grounds (5 photos)
- County Court House (with Lenni Lenape Statue) - Nice historic preservation, Hamilton Township is steeped in history (4 photos)
- Municipal Building - attractive building, good location
- MUA Building - exceptional and positive, representative of historic district

Negative Institutional Types:

- St. Vincent de Paul School, Main Street - Inappropriate architecture for historic district

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members

Appendix B - Community Based Observation Technique (CBOT) Summary Results
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Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Positive Streetscape Types:

- Main Street, Mays Landing - a nice place to walk; a mix of historic homes, businesses, and restaurants
- Main Street, Mays Landing - nice brick sidewalk
- Scenic streetscape
- Consumer Square - parking can be attractive

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township’s Vision Team members*
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Negative Streetscape Types:

- Main Street & U.S. 40 at Egg Harbor River - choke point
- Traffic at center of town - traffic will kill a town if it is not managed
- Bulkhead at riverfront - overcongestion; dangerous intersection; detracts from scenic beauty
- 2nd & Farragut Streets, Mays Landing - deplorable maintenance for historic district
- Cologne Avenue traffic signal - the signal that was supposed to solve a problem, and then created a traffic nightmare (Note: DOT plans to address underway)
- Rt. 40 & Babcock - lack of infrastructure improvements by DOT causing traffic and safety problems (Note: DOT plans to address underway)

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Positive Park & Open Space Types:

- **Lake Lenape**: Beautiful scenic and recreational area; valuable natural resource (5 photos)
- **Gaskill Park**: County-owned open space; well-maintained, site of summer concerts (4 photos)
- **Memorial Park, Main & Capo May Avenue**: Nice, small town "Main Street" park; great feature (3 photos)
- **Atlantic County Bikeway**: New positive addition to Hamilton Township; safe recreational activity; encourages family exercise activities (3 photos)
- **Blueberry Farm**: Agriculture helps maintain open space and should be promoted (3 photos)
- **Great Egg Harbor River**: Federal-recognized wild and scenic river (2 photos)

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township's Vision Team members*
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Negative Park & Open Space Types:

- Improve waterfront amenities with walkways, lighting, access, landscaping, activities, and parking (2 photos)
- Integrate waterfront into Mays Landing
- Integrate Main Street into Gaskill Park
- Great Egg Harbor River - wasted potential

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township’s Vision Team members*
Hamilton Township's Development Characteristics

Redevelopment Opportunities:

- Wheaton Cotton Mill: Ideal for creating mixed-use facility anchoring historic district, ripe for positive, creative development (5 photos)
- Main Street: Nice architecture and potential renovation
- Atlantic City Race Track: Integration of race track town center into Hamilton Mall is a natural extension and progression in the township's evolution
- Empty storefronts: Waste of potential
- Welcome signs: Unwelcoming, unattractive entrance to Mays Landing on Route 50

*Note: Photos and captions provided by Hamilton Township’s Vision Team members*
The Pinelands Excellence Program - Creating Livable Communities

(What's it all about?)

The Pinelands Excellence Program is about Achieving Excellence in Community Design and Livable Places

Instead of building places . . . we've been building no-places . . .

We are developing open space in excess of our population growth.

The Symptoms of Sprawl:

Segregation of land uses

You've got to drive everywhere even to accomplish the simplest task

Segregation of people by limited housing choices

Congestion

Because you can't walk anywhere everyone is driving everywhere

Environmental Degradation

Loss of community and social interaction

Loss of local character and defining features

Very cost inefficient

How do we fix it?

By insisting on excellence in community design

Are we building the kind of places that are grandchildren will want to live in?

“Places are spaces you can remember, that you can care about, and make a part of your life.”

Charles W. Moore

Its about legacy . . .

“Places are spaces you can remember, that you can care about, and make a part of your life.”

Charles W. Moore

Its about legacy . . .

Are we building the kind of places that are grandchildren will want to live in?

“Places are spaces you can remember, that you can care about, and make a part of your life.”

Charles W. Moore

Its about legacy . . .

Are we building the kind of places that are grandchildren will want to live in?

“How do we fix it?”

By insisting on excellence in community design

Appendix C - Pinelands Excellence Program - Creating Livable Communities
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The Pinelands Excellence Program - Creating Livable Communities

(What's it all about?)

Livable communities are . . .

Walkable

Livable communities possess . . .

Mix of Land Uses

Livable communities possess . . .

Diversity of Housing Types

Livable communities support . . .

Transit, Walking and Biking

Livable communities preserve . . .

Open Space

Livable communities respect . . .

Local Heritage

Livable communities reinforce . . .

Community Identity

Livable communities maximize . . .

Existing Resources

How do we get livable places?

By focusing on the fundamentals of place making

The four fundamental building block of community:

- Streets that are designed for pedestrians and cars
- Pedestrian scale blocks
- Buildings that relate to the street

Streets should accommodate pedestrians and cars

Blocks should be pedestrian in scale

Appendix C - Pinelands Excellence Program - Creating Livable Communities
The Pinelands Excellence Program - Creating Livable Communities

(What's it all about?)

Streets need to become public “outdoor rooms”

Land uses should be mixed within building and district

Can it happen in New Jersey?

it already is . . .

Livable Communities Case Study: New Town Center

Washington Township, Mercer County

Livable Communities Case Study: Redevelopment

Garden State Race Track, Camden County, NJ

Livable Communities Case Study: Village Extension

Marlton Town Center, Burlington County, NJ

Create a vision and codify it

Emphasize the four fundamental building blocks of community.

- Streets that are designed for pedestrians and
cars
- Pedestrian scale blocks
- Buildings that relate to the street

How do we make it work?

its all about the code. . .

Livable Community Action Plan - Hamilton, NJ
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