

TOTAL VOTES CAST FOR PUBLIC QUESTIONS

PUBLIC QUESTION NO. 1

PUBLIC QUESTION NO. 2

GREEN ACRES OPPORTUNITIES BOND ISSUE

SHORE PROTECTION BOND ISSUE

Shall the act entitled "An act authorizing the creation of a debt of the State of New Jersey by the issuance of bonds of the State in the sum of \$135,000,000.00 to provide money for public acquisition and development of lands for recreation and conservation purposes to meet the future needs of the expanding population; to enable the State to acquire and develop lands for recreation and conservation purposes; and to provide grants and loans to assist municipalities and counties and other units of local government to acquire and develop lands for recreation and conservation purposes; providing the ways and means for establishing a revolving fund for such grants and loans; providing the ways and means to pay the interest of said debt and also to pay and discharge the principal thereof; and providing for the submission of this act to the people at a general election," be approved?

Should the "Shore Protection Bond Act of 1983" which authorizes the State to issue bonds in the amount of \$50,000,000.00 for the purpose of State projects and the making of State grants and loans to counties and municipalities for the researching, planning, acquiring, developing, and constructing and maintaining of county and municipal shore protection projects, providing the ways and means to pay that interest of such debt and also to pay and discharge the principal thereof, be approved?

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT

This act, if approved by referendum, would allow the State of New Jersey to continue its Green Acres Local Assistance program by authorizing the State to issue \$135,000,000.00 in general obligation bonds to be used for acquiring and developing land for recreation and conservation purposes. Both the State Government and local government units would acquire and develop this land. Of the \$135,000,000.00, \$83,000,000.00 would be used for grants and loans to local governments, and \$52,000,000.00 would be used for State projects.

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT

Approval of this act would authorize the sale of \$50,000,000.00 in bonds to be used for the State shore protection projects and for State grants and loans to counties and municipalities for the development, construction, and maintenance of county and municipal shore protection projects.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	23,873	14,128
Bergen .....	116,419	75,745
Burlington ..	38,044	23,813
Camden .....	47,063	34,878
Cape May ....	13,630	8,381
Cumberland ..	11,054	10,506
Essex .....	62,493	32,652
Gloucester ..	27,606	21,002
Hudson .....	42,375	21,896
Hunterdon ...	10,192	6,615
Mercer .....	42,788	22,367
Middlesex ...	67,865	43,484
Monmouth ....	72,297	37,046
Morris .....	50,281	29,036
Ocean .....	44,612	32,041
Passaic .....	30,421	27,473
Salem .....	7,368	7,926
Somerset ....	23,849	14,896
Sussex .....	11,212	12,153
Union .....	55,106	35,762
Warren .....	7,973	7,867
<b>TOTAL ....</b>	<b>806,521</b>	<b>519,667</b>

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	25,642	12,513
Bergen .....	115,765	75,774
Burlington ..	34,760	27,174
Camden .....	43,173	38,484
Cape May ....	15,686	6,915
Cumberland ..	11,293	10,231
Essex .....	61,783	36,466
Gloucester ..	25,170	22,326
Hudson .....	39,620	23,547
Hunterdon ...	8,629	7,664
Mercer .....	42,286	22,598
Middlesex ...	65,165	46,805
Monmouth ....	79,377	32,126
Morris .....	46,295	32,578
Ocean .....	50,225	27,892
Passaic .....	30,011	28,361
Salem .....	7,450	7,784
Somerset ....	22,766	16,018
Sussex .....	10,717	12,501
Union .....	54,955	36,252
Warren .....	7,349	8,310
<b>TOTAL ....</b>	<b>798,117</b>	<b>532,319</b>

**ADDITIONAL PROJECTS, PROGRAMS AND STUDIES TO BE FUNDED BY THE WATER SUPPLY BOND ACT OF 1981**

**NEW JERSEY BRIDGE REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT BOND ACT OF 1983**

Shall the amendments to the "Water Supply Bond Act of 1981," which authorize the use of water supply bond funds for certain additional State and local water supply projects, programs and studies relating to ground and surface water resources, water delivery and treatment, water conservation and contamination, be approved?

Shall the following act be approved: "An act authorizing the creation of a debt of the State of New Jersey by issuance of bonds of the State in the sum of \$135 million for the purpose of rehabilitating and improving bridges in the State; providing the ways and means to pay and discharge the principal thereof and interest thereon; providing for the submission of this act to the people at a general election; and making an appropriation?"

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT**

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT**

Approval to this amendment would permit the State to issue loans for local projects to plan, design and construct water supply facilities to resolve contamination problems and would permit the Department of Environmental Protection or the New Jersey Water Supply Authority to utilize Water Supply Bond funds for planning and feasibility studies for ground and surface water programs, water delivery and treatment programs, the analysis and implementation of water conservation practices, the updating of the New Jersey Statewide Water Supply Plan, and for the planning, design and construction of State water supply facilities, all as recommended by the New Jersey Statewide Water Supply Plan, thereby facilitating the planning, management and protection of the State's water resources and supplies.

Approval of this act would provide \$135 million for the rehabilitation and improvement of State, county and municipal bridges, with \$37.5 million reserved for county and municipal bridge projects, and \$97.5 million reserved for State bridge projects. These funds are essential to make necessary repairs and improvements the cost of which far exceeds funding which can be provided by State appropriations and moneys generated through the "New Jersey Transportation Rehabilitation and Improvement Bond Act of 1979". The full cost of rehabilitation and improvement of State bridges would be defrayed by the bond proceeds, while 80% of the cost would be defrayed on county or municipal bridges, with the county or municipality defraying 20% of the cost. Costs of the rehabilitation and improvement of railroad overhead bridges carrying State, county, or municipal roads are also to be defrayed by the bond proceeds in varying amounts.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	25,536	12,048
Bergen.....	125,086	64,006
Burlington...	38,747	22,214
Camden.....	50,627	29,901
Cape May.....	14,716	7,320
Cumberland...	11,526	9,752
Essex.....	71,011	26,062
Gloucester...	25,731	21,445
Hudson.....	42,993	19,366
Hunterdon....	9,903	7,050
Mercer.....	44,958	18,693
Middlesex....	73,244	36,697
Monmouth.....	74,030	32,921
Morris.....	53,801	23,951
Ocean.....	49,062	26,874
Passaic.....	34,960	22,970
Salem.....	7,350	6,969
Somerset.....	24,082	13,661
Sussex.....	12,796	10,347
Union.....	61,873	28,155
Warren.....	8,865	7,110
<b>TOTAL ....</b>	<b>860,897</b>	<b>447,512</b>

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	28,244	9,994
Bergen .....	140,320	52,777
Burlington ..	42,120	19,485
Camden .....	53,395	27,815
Cape May ....	16,257	5,914
Cumberland ..	12,927	8,323
Essex .....	77,271	22,836
Gloucester ..	31,772	16,752
Hudson .....	47,259	17,175
Hunterdon ...	11,541	5,636
Mercer .....	47,905	16,257
Middlesex ...	79,089	34,273
Monmouth ....	82,854	27,190
Morris .....	57,158	21,729
Ocean .....	53,818	24,188
Passaic .....	38,869	22,225
Salem .....	8,116	6,125
Somerset ....	27,387	11,519
Sussex .....	15,529	8,045
Union .....	70,015	23,228
Warren .....	10,316	5,901
<b>TOTAL ....</b>	<b>952,162</b>	<b>387,387</b>

**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT CONCERNING TRANSFER OF  
JUDGES OF THE JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURTS  
OR FAMILY COURT AND COUNTY DISTRICT COURTS TO THE  
SUPERIOR COURT**

Shall the amendment to Article VI, Section III, paragraph 3 and Section VI, paragraphs 1 and 3 of the Constitution creating a family part of the Chancery Division, transferring judges of the juvenile and domestic relations courts or family court and county district courts to the Superior Court upon the abolition of those courts and permitting judges of those former courts who have acquired tenure or Judges of the Superior Court who acquired tenure prior to their appointment to the Superior Court to have tenure as Superior Court Judges and judges of those former courts who have not acquired tenure to acquire tenure upon reappointment to the Superior Court, be approved?

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT**

This proposed constitutional amendment creates a separate family part of the Superior Court Chancery Division. The judges of the juvenile and domestic relations courts or family court which presently have jurisdiction over most family matters and the judges

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT — continued**

the Superior Court. Whereas, under the Constitution, Judges of the Superior Court must be nominated by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate before taking office, this amendment proposes that upon the abolition of the juvenile and domestic relations courts or family court and county district courts, judges of those former courts would be Judges of the Superior Court without being renominated and reconfirmed. In addition, judges of those former courts who have tenure and untenured Judges of the Superior Court who had previously acquired tenure as judges of the juvenile and domestic relations or family court or county district courts would have tenure as Judges of the Superior Court. Judges who have not acquired tenure on the juvenile and domestic relations courts or family court or county district courts would continue to serve for their unexpired terms and become tenured as Superior Court Judges upon reappointment.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	21,989	14,575
Bergen .....	103,155	74,636
Burlington ..	30,283	28,486
Camden .....	39,656	37,928
Cape May ....	12,645	8,464
Cumberland ..	9,899	10,638
Essex .....	59,053	34,205
Gloucester ..	23,819	23,241
Hudson .....	35,018	23,405
Hunterdon ...	8,367	7,568
Mercer .....	34,693	24,616
Middlesex ...	59,385	46,178
Monmouth ....	59,366	43,484
Morris .....	43,267	30,594
Ocean .....	38,768	34,370
Passaic .....	29,327	25,098
Salem .....	6,161	8,560
Somerset ....	20,963	16,153
Sussex .....	11,282	11,444
Union .....	48,647	37,981
Warren .....	7,155	8,440
<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>702,898</b>	<b>550,064</b>

**BOND REFINANCING**

Do you approve the amendment to Article VIII, Section II, paragraph 3 of the Constitution, which authorizes the Legislature to enact laws, without further approval by the voters, authorizing the creation of a debt or debts for the refinancing of all or a portion of any current or future outstanding debt or liability of the State previously approved by the voters, so long as such laws shall require that the refinancing provide a debt service savings?

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT**

The approval of this amendment would allow the Legislature to authorize the refinancing of State indebtedness without further voter approval in those cases where a debt service savings results. The amendment would allow the Legislature to take advantage of refinancing possibilities in those cases in which the State would realize a savings from the refinancing of a bond issue previously authorized by the voters.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	19,958	16,182
Bergen .....	98,888	77,812
Burlington ..	29,199	29,401
Camden .....	37,239	38,247
Cape May ....	11,830	9,273
Cumberland ..	9,452	11,085
Essex .....	56,334	35,723
Gloucester ..	21,082	24,801
Hudson .....	31,755	24,994
Hunterdon ...	7,628	7,719
Mercer .....	34,058	23,524
Middlesex ...	55,353	49,531
Monmouth ....	58,295	43,337
Morris .....	43,510	30,841
Ocean .....	38,136	35,841
Passaic .....	30,983	26,575
Salem .....	5,755	9,043
Somerset ....	21,218	15,716
Sussex .....	9,640	13,351
Union .....	47,366	38,682
Warren .....	6,611	8,980
<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>674,290</b>	<b>570,658</b>

**ALLOWS A SURVIVING SPOUSE TO RECEIVE THE VETERANS REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX DEDUCTION**

Do you approve of the amendment to the Constitution of the State of New Jersey which entitles a surviving spouse of a veteran to receive the veterans real and personal property tax deduction?

**INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT**

The Constitution presently provides that a widow of a qualifying veteran is entitled to a \$50 deduction from local property taxes. The Constitution also provides that a widow of a qualifying disabled veteran and the widow of an individual who died while on active duty in time of war are entitled to additional local property tax deductions. This proposed constitutional amendment would extend to widowers the same property tax deductions presently granted under the Constitution to qualifying widows.

Counties	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	31,955	6,831
Bergen .....	154,516	34,279
Burlington ..	50,161	12,005
Camden .....	63,041	16,566
Cape May ....	18,694	3,980
Cumberland ..	17,384	4,780
Essex .....	83,078	16,131
Gloucester ..	42,851	6,791
Hudson .....	51,392	11,107
Hunterdon ...	13,520	3,336
Mercer .....	45,867	11,928
Middlesex ...	95,345	18,597
Monmouth ....	93,010	16,561
Morris .....	63,757	13,986
Ocean .....	63,715	14,954
Passaic .....	44,878	14,825
Salem .....	12,233	3,049
Somerset ....	31,632	6,979
Sussex .....	20,509	3,525
Union .....	77,966	15,501
Warren .....	13,574	2,829
<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>1,089,078</b>	<b>238,540</b>

PUBLIC QUESTION NO. 8

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT: REVISION OF PROVISIONS  
ON GOVERNOR'S VETO

Shall the amendment to Article V, Section I, paragraph 14, of the Constitution, agreed to by the Legislature, and extending by 7 days the time that the Governor has to sign any bill passed by the Legislature within 10 days preceding the expiration of the second legislative year be approved?

INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT

Under the recent constitutional amendment, the Governor must sign any bill passed by the Legislature within 10 days of the close of the 2 year legislative session before that session ends. This amendment would permit the Governor an additional 7 days following the end of the legislative term to consider these bills. The current limitation does not allow the Governor reasonable time in which to consider bills passed within 10 days of the end of the session.

COUNTIES	YES	NO
Atlantic ....	24,449	12,067
Bergen .....	122,864	55,250
Burlington ..	36,888	21,926
Camden .....	47,848	28,052
Cape May ....	14,538	6,645
Cumberland ..	12,469	8,422
Essex .....	68,618	24,154
Gloucester ..	27,254	19,902
Hudson .....	39,969	17,786
Hunterdon ...	10,073	5,937
Mercer .....	41,147	18,724
Middlesex ...	71,426	33,327
Monmouth ....	71,988	30,280
Morris .....	54,395	20,629
Ocean .....	51,152	23,940
Passaic .....	35,279	19,815
Salem .....	8,075	6,148
Somerset ....	24,091	12,074
Sussex .....	14,763	8,311
Union .....	62,725	24,838
Warren .....	9,748	5,928
<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>849,759</b>	<b>404,155</b>