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Problem

- Urban runoff pollutants (TSS, metals, nutrients, pathogens, salts, ...)

Problem

- Urban stormwater management

Grey Infrastructure: Stormwater = Waste

VS.

Green Infrastructure: Stormwater = Resource
Green Infrastructure (GI) approaches to cleaning and reducing urban stormwater runoff

- Infiltration systems
- Detention systems
- Retention systems
- Constructed wetlands
- Vegetated systems
- Filtration systems
Limitations to GI stormwater treatment practices

- Uncertain Phosphorus (P) removal (sometimes P even increased in the effluent).

- Accumulation of metals in the soil
  - can exceed non-toxic limits
  - replacement of soil is costly
Goal, Objective & Hypotheses

- **Long-term Goal**
  - Develop an effective, low-cost, and simple technology for stormwater treatment.

- **Immediate Objective**
  - Evaluate water treatment residual (WTR)-coated wood mulch for adsorption of dissolved metals and P in urban stormwater.

- **Hypotheses**
  - WTRs are capable of irreversibly adsorbing heavy metals and P in water.
  - Leaching of undesirable chemicals from original and used WTRs is minimal.
What are Water Treatment Residuals (WTRs)?

- Aluminum-based WTRs at Water Treatment Plant (Bridgewater, NJ)
Water Treatment Residuals (WTRs)

- By-product of municipal drinking water treatment coagulation and flocculation.
- Primarily amorphous masses of aluminum and iron hydroxides as well as some humic substances and activated carbon.
- More than 2 million tons generated each year in US, most of which are landfilled or incinerated.
- Can often be obtained for little or no cost.
- Using WTRs for stormwater treatment represents a beneficial reuse of a waste.
- Co-PI, Dr Sarkar has researched WTRs as sorbents for removal of soil and water pollutants for over a decade, publishing 40+ peer-reviewed articles on the topic.
Water Treatment Residuals (WTRs)

- WTRs require minimal processing to be used as an adsorbent – sieving and grinding to achieve large specific surface area.
- But, hydraulic properties are poor.
- **Solution**: apply a coating of WTRs to wood mulch to improve hydraulic conductivity.
- “Garden variety” cedar wood mulch was used.
- Commercial “mulch glue” was used to bind WTRs to mulch at a mass ratio of 1 to 3.
WTR–coated wood mulch
Micrographic images of mulch surface

Uncoated mulch

WTR-coated mulch
Micrographic images showing the presence of micro-size WTR particles.
## Simulated urban runoff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>NaOH / HNO$_3$</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.2.5$\text{H}_2$$\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6$\text{H}_2$$\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Na$_2$HPO$_4$</td>
<td>2.3 (as P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dissolved solids</td>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperazinediethane–sulfonic acid (PIPES) as a pH buffer</td>
<td>C$<em>8$H$</em>{18}$N$_2$O$_6$S$_2$</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(WTR = 10 g/L; pH = 7.0; initial concentrations: Cu = 100 µg/L, Zn = 800 µg/L, Pb = 100 µg/L, and TP = 2.30 mg/L; RSDs were less than 6.0%, not shown in the figure).
Batch tests (Al–WTR coated mulch)

(WTR = 10 g/L; pH = 7.0; initial concentrations: Cu = 100 µg/L, Zn = 800 µg/L, Pb = 100 µg/L, and TP = 2.30 mg/L; relative standard deviations were less than 6.0%, not shown in the figure).

2nd order adsorption
Flow-through column tests: Column: 3 inch diameter, 2 inch of mulch/WTRs
Column tests (Al–WTR coated mulch)

(pH = 7.0; initial concentrations: Cu = 100 µg/L, Zn = 800 µg/L, Pb = 100 µg/L, and TP = 2.30 mg/L; RSDs were less than 6.0%, not shown in the figure).

- No evidence of saturation or breakthrough
- Removal of metals is nearly constant
- Behavior of P is different
Leaching tests

- Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP)
  - To evaluate the leaching potential of the used materials caused by rainfall.
  - US EPA standard Method 1311.

- Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)
  - To evaluate the mobility of hazardous wastes in simulated landfill conditions.
## Leaching tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminants (µg/L)</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Ba</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Se</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPLP Tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused mulch</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>10,230</td>
<td>3.295</td>
<td>29.330</td>
<td>2.015</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent mulch</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>3.918</td>
<td>1.861</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPLP criterion¹</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCLP Tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused much</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>2.977</td>
<td>3,603</td>
<td>2.408</td>
<td>47.290</td>
<td>4.287</td>
<td>7.513</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent mulch</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>2.257</td>
<td>8,565</td>
<td>3.025</td>
<td>38.590</td>
<td>3.138</td>
<td>4.735</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCLP criterion²</td>
<td>5,000.0</td>
<td>5,000.0</td>
<td>100,000.0</td>
<td>1,000.0</td>
<td>5,000.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>1,000.0</td>
<td>5,000.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹SPLP criterion: the higher of the health-based leachate criteria or aqueous practical quantitation levels (PQLs) when very little or no site specific information is available (NJDEP, 2013).

²TCLP criterion: maximum concentrations of contaminants for the toxic characteristics from 40 CFR 261.24 - Toxicity characteristic.
Conclusions

- Heavy metals and P were rapidly and effectively removed by WTR-coated mulch.

- WTR adsorption was a second order reaction with respect to each pollutant.

- Leaching of hazardous chemicals from spent WTR-coated mulch was insignificant.
WTR–coated mulch provides a new approach to reuse WTRs (an industrial waste).

WTR–coated mulch is a new filter medium for alleviation of urban runoff pollutants.
Current Work to be completed in 2017

- Similar evaluation of Fe-based WTRs on mulch.
- Removal of oil.
- Effects of pH and temperature.

Future Work (contingent on funding)

- Field test of both Al and Fe based WTRs.
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