

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION

~~SECRET~~

I. GENERAL INFORMATION			
DOT Job Code No.	1426504	Federal Project No.	7002(107)
Project Management Team	Walt McGrosky	Data Base No.	
Route & Section	Route 183/Rout 46	Structure No.	1408150
Local Road Name	Ledgewood Ave		
Municipality(ies)	Netcong Borough	County(ies)	Morris
Type of Project	Bridge Replacement & Roadway Improvements	Length	.5 miles
From Milepost	29.95	To Milepost	30.55
Congressional District	24	Legislative District	11
ROW Cost	\$1,225,000.00	Construction Cost	\$12,000,000.00

EXISTING FACILITY			
ROW Width	(Rt183) 55'-94'	(Rt46) 44'	
No. Lanes & Width	(Rt183) 2-4 Ln 12' ea. (Rt46) 4 Ln 12' ea		
Shoulder Width	(Rt183) 8' outer 1' inner	Median	4'
	(Rt46) 8' outer 1' inner		None
Overall Roadway Width	(Rt183) 40'-70' (Rt46) 64'		

PROPOSED FACILITY			
ROW Width	(Rt183) 80'-107'	(Rt46) 94'	
No. Lanes & Width	(Rt183) same (Rt46) same		
Shoulder Width	(Rt183) same	Median	4'
	(Rt46) same		None
Overall Roadway Width	(Rt183) same (Rt46) same		

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (attach location map; USGS map suggested)
A. Project Need (briefly explain why the project is needed): The existing Route 183 Structure over NJ Transit is functionally obsolete. The original structure was built in 1900 and rehabilitated in 1930. It has been determined that the structure cannot be rehabilitated due to the deteriorated condition. The close proximity of the existing bridge carrying Route 46 WB over Route 183 SB and the Netcong Circle make it impossible to upgrade the bridge over NJ Transit without impacting the circle, and resulted in initiation of a study to assess the operation of the circle. The need for the project is due to operational and geometric deficiencies, safety issues and higher than average accident rates associated with the existing area. With projected traffic increases for the design year, the circle's operations will continue to deteriorate. Additionally, an excessive number of traffic accidents occur in the vicinity of the circle would not be decreased.
B. Proposed Improvements (provide a brief description of proposed improvements): The project will involve the replacement of the existing NJ Transit Bridge (Structure # 1426-150). As part of this project, the existing Netcong Circle will be replaced by a signalized at-grade intersection between Routes 183 and 46. The Route 46 WB Bridge over Route 183 SB will be demolished. The latest design alignment provides more of a curved alignment for Route 183 to minimize Right-of-Way (ROW) impacts reduce speeds and promote traffic calming, especially for traffic approaching Netcong from the higher speed, downhill sections of Route 183 NB and Route 46 WB. A left turn lane, straight-thru lane, and right turn lane will be provided at each intersection approach. While some Right-of-Way is required along the

frontage of Routes 183 and 46, no relocations are anticipated as a result of this project. Sidewalks are proposed along both Routes 46 and 183 through the project limits.

C. Right-of-Way Taking			
Total area needed: 0.51 ac	Est. No. parcels:	In fee-21	easements-4
Est. No. relocations:	residences-n/a	businesses-n/a	parking spaces-n/a
Community Facilities Affected: n/a			
Area of public recreation land taken: n/a (acres)		Out of a total area of: n/a (acres)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Green Acres/State-owned Land Involvement		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Federally Owned/Federally Funded Land Involvement		
Comments:			

III. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Noise	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sensitive receptors exist within 200 feet for two lanes or 400 feet for four lanes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project substantially changes the vertical or horizontal alignment of the roadway.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Traffic volumes or speeds substantially increase.

Conclusion:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Noise study not required. No significant impact anticipated.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Potential noise impacts were studied and are discussed in comments. Project still meets CE criteria.

Comments: This project involves roadway improvements, circle elimination and structure improvements. No significant impact on the noise environment is anticipated.

B. Air Quality: CONFORMITY WITH THE CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS (CAAA) OF 1990

Section 1: Regional Emissions Analysis (STIP or MPO's conforming transportation plan)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project is included in the FY 2008 - 2011 approved State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP).
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project is not listed in the FY 20_ - 20_ approved STIP but is included in the MPO's conforming transportation plan.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project is not included in either the approved STIP or the MPO's conforming transportation plan.

Section 2: Based on its scope, the project is categorized by the Transportation Conformity Rule (TCR) as:

<input type="checkbox"/>	A project type listed in Table 2 of the TCR, i.e., Exempt from the conformity requirements of the CAAA (i.e., exempt from regional emissions analysis, Carbon Monoxide (CO) analysis, and Particulate Matter PM2.5 and PM10 analyses requirements) and may proceed towards implementation even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	A project listed in Table 3 of the TCR, i.e., Exempt from regional emissions analysis requirement, but local effects of this project with respect to CO, PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations must be considered to determine if a hot-spot analysis is required. <i>Complete Section 2a below.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A project type not listed in Table 2 or Table 3 of the TCR, i.e., must be part of a conforming STIP and/or a MPO's conforming transportation plan and requires CO, PM2.5 and PM10 hot-spot analyses. <i>Complete Section 2a below.</i>

**Section 2a(1): Project type listed in Table 3 of the TCR for CO analysis
Project type not listed in either Table 2 or Table 3 of the TCR for CO analysis**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project located in CO Attainment Area . CO analysis not required. Project may proceed to the
-------------------------------------	---

	project development process.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The total eight-hour Carbon Monoxide levels are expected to be reasonably below the NAAQS of 9 ppm. This is based on LOS data for the intersection(s) and the total highest traffic volumes at this (those) intersection(s) and the distance of the sensitive receptors to the roadway. No quantitative analysis is required. Project may proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project located in a Carbon Monoxide Non-Attainment/Maintenance Area and requires a Carbon Monoxide hot-spot analysis. A CO Analysis was completed at the following intersection(s): _____
	And the results are: _____

Section 2a(2): Project type listed in Table 3 of the TCR for PM2.5 analysis Project type not listed in Table 2 or Table 3 of the TCR for PM2.5 analysis	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in PM2.5 Attainment Area . PM2.5 hot-spot analysis is not required. Project may proceed to the project development process.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in a PM2.5 Non-Attainment/Maintenance Area and the project is not an air quality concern under 40CFR 93.123(b) (1). Quantitative/qualitative analysis is not required. Project may proceed to the project development process.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in a PM2.5 Non-Attainment/Maintenance Area and the project is an air quality concern under 40CFR 93.123(b) (1). A PM2.5 hot-spot analysis was completed at the following location(s): _____
	And the results are: _____

Section 2a(3): Project type listed in Table 3 of the TCR for PM10 analysis Project type not listed in Table 2 or Table 3 of the TCR for PM10 analysis	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in PM10 Attainment Area . PM10 hot-spot analysis is not required. Project may proceed to the project development process.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in a PM10 Non-Attainment/Maintenance Area and the project is not an air quality concern under 40CFR 93.123(b) (1). Quantitative/qualitative analysis is not required. Project may proceed to the project development process.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The project is located in a PM10 Non-Attainment/Maintenance Area and the project is an air quality concern under 40CFR 93.123(b) (1). A PM10 hot-spot analysis was completed at the following location(s): _____
	And the results are: _____

Comments (include LOS, if appropriate): The project improvement will not result in increase in diesel traffic. No significant impact on air quality is anticipated.

C. Potential Ecological Constraints (check those that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Floodplains	<input type="checkbox"/>	Shellfish Habitat
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wetlands	<input type="checkbox"/>	Acid Producing Soils
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vernal Pools	<input type="checkbox"/>	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Waterbody:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sole Source Aquifer
	<input type="checkbox"/> Category One	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Forested Areas
	<input type="checkbox"/> Trout Production	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Threatened and Endangered Species:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Trout Maintenance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State-listed species
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Trout	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federally listed species (fill checklist below)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wild and Scenic River	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Essential Fish Habitat

Federally Listed Threatened & Endangered Species Checklist:	
(Please see http://www.fws.gov/northeast/njfieldoffice/Endangered/consultation.html for guidance on the current US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Consultation Procedures. County/municipal species lists are only valid for 90 days.)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is not located in an area with county-wide distribution of a federally listed species <i>and</i> is not within or adjacent to a municipality with a known occurrence of a federally listed species. Documentation of this determination is in the project file. No further action is required under the Endangered Species Act (ESA).
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is located in an area with county-wide distribution of a federally listed species <i>and/or</i> is within or adjacent to a municipality with occurrence of federally listed species. Habitat requirements for each of the species have been reviewed and the project's impact area (i.e., action area) was assessed to determine whether it contains potentially suitable habitat. <i>Based on existing information or field surveys, the results revealed:</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project's impact area (*i.e., action area) does not contain potentially suitable habitat for a federally listed species. Documentation of this determination is in the project file. No further action is required under the ESA. Concurrence from the USFWS is not required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The project's impact area (i.e., action area) does or may contain potentially suitable habitat for a federally listed species. <i>The assessment and all relevant project information:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Have been submitted to the US Fish and Wildlife Service's NJ Field Office for ESA Section 7 consultation . Correspondence is attached. See comments below.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Will be submitted to the New Jersey Division of Land Use Regulation Program during the permitting process . Project requires authorization under the NJ Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act. See comments below.
*Action Area: The action area is defined by regulation as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR §402.02). This analysis is not limited to the "footprint" of the action nor is it limited by the Federal agency's authority. Rather, it is a biological determination of the reach of the proposed action on listed species. Subsequent analyses of the environmental baseline, effects of the action, and levels of incidental take are based upon the action area.	

Conclusion: Although this project is located in Morris county which has a county wide distribution of the federally listed Indiana bat. The project area is highly urbanized and does not contain potential habitat.

This project is adjacent to the Boroughs of Roxbury and Mount Olive. Both communities have occurrences of federally listed Bog Turtle and Swamp Pink. It was determine that the project's impact area did not contain potentially suitable habitat.

The Route 183 bridge is located adjacent to a NJDEP designated Landscape Project Forested area. This area is said to contain the NJ State Endangered Species Red-Shouldered Hawk. Since no tree removal is planned as part of this project, no impact is expected.

No significant impact anticipated

Further studies are needed to obtain permits. Project still satisfies CE criteria.

Comments (briefly describe *all* potential ecological constraints): This project is located in the 15 Basin Sole Source Aquifer Region. Due to the nature of the project there will be no impact to the resource.

The project is located in the Highlands Planning Area. Due to the location and type of project no coordination with the Highlands council is required.

Any changes to project design will require E-team review.

D. Anticipated Environmental Permits/Approvals/Coordination (check those that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	US Coast Guard	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Pollutant Discharge
<input type="checkbox"/>	USACOE Section 10 (Navigable Waters)	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Dam Safety
<input type="checkbox"/>	USACOE Section 404 (Nationwide)	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Remediation Approval
<input type="checkbox"/>	USACOE Section 404 (Individual)	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Tidelands Conveyance
<input type="checkbox"/>	USEPA Sole Source Aquifer	<input type="checkbox"/>	EO 11990 Wetlands
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Freshwater Wetlands—GP	<input type="checkbox"/>	EO 11988 Floodplains
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Freshwater Wetlands—IP	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Highlands Preservation Area:
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Transition Area Waiver		<input type="checkbox"/> Exempt
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Coastal Wetlands		<input type="checkbox"/> Highlands Applicability Determination
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Waterfront Development		<input type="checkbox"/> Highlands Preservation Area Approval
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP CAFRA	<input type="checkbox"/>	USDA-Farmland Conversion (Form AD 1006)
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Stream Encroachment (minor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJ Agriculture Development Area
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Stream Encroachment (major)	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Green Acres Program/State House Commission
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Stormwater Management: <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 0.25 acre impervious surface <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ≥ 1.0 acre disturbance <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown at this time <input type="checkbox"/> Approval through NJDEP LURP Permit (or) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NJDOT self-certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	National Marine Fisheries Service
		<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Parks & Forestry (PL 2001 Chapter 10 Reforestation)
		<input type="checkbox"/>	D&R Canal Commission
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Meadowlands Commission
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	Pinelands Commission
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NJPDES Construction Activity Stormwater GP (RFA)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation
<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Water Quality Certificate	<input type="checkbox"/>	NJDEP Threatened & Endangered Species Coordination
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify):

Comments: NJDEP Stormwater Management regulations will apply as well as a NJPDES Construction Activity Stormwater GP (RFA) will be required because the project area disturbance will exceed one acre. Stormwater permit will be approved though NJDOT self-certification. It should be noted that upon project completion the total area of impervious surface for the project will be reduced.

Since the project area is said to contain the NJ State Endangered Species Red-Shouldered Hawk, coordination with NJDEP may be required to ensure that this endangered species is not disturbed by construction activities if the project scope is modified during design.

E. Cultural Resources				
Technical Findings:				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project is not an undertaking for Section 106 purposes; concurrence has been received from FHWA.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect per FHWA/SHPO Agreement of 7/6/00; subject to conditions identified in the Agreement.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Section 106 Consultation per 5/25/01 SHPO concurrence with <i>Section 106 Compliance Procedures, Federally Funded Drainage Improvement Program</i> ; subject to conditions identified in the Agreement.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect to significant properties if they exist in APE per 36CFR800.3(a)(1) with SHPO concurrence. (Because the Section 106 regulations allow for a level of effort for conducting and evaluating cultural resources to be commensurate with the undertaking, this category of finding was developed to be used for certain projects when no cultural resources survey has been conducted; and self-imposed conditions, if applicable, are presented as part of the undertaking, e.g., Pipeline 3 or other small-scale projects.)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	No National Register (NR) listed or eligible properties in APE (Section 106 Findings = No Historic Properties Affected).			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	National Register listed/eligible properties exist within APE (<i>see consultation summary below</i>).			
Archaeology	Architecture			Section 106 Finding
	Bridge	Building	District	

		Bell/Stoll/ Allen Tavern House			NR listed/eligible property(ies)— No Historic Properties Affected
					NR listed/eligible property(ies)— No Adverse Effect (NAE)
					NR listed/eligible property(ies)— NAE with conditions
			OMDL & WRHD		NR listed/eligible property(ies)— Adverse Effect

Section 106 Consultation Summary		Date
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FHWA concurred with Adverse Effect Finding	7/31/06
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SHPO provided Section 106 consultation comments	3/24/06
<input type="checkbox"/>	FHWA concurred with no Adverse Effect with Conditions	1-29-08
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ACHP notified of Adverse Effect	7/31/06
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ACHP responded to notification (check one/enter date):	8/25/06
	<input type="checkbox"/> ACHP will participate in consultation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACHP declined to participate in consultation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOA executed by FHWA (check one/enter date):	3-12-08
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MOA filed with ACHP	
	<input type="checkbox"/> ACHP accepted/signed MOA	

Comments (include MOA stipulations or other conditions, if applicable) : Adverse Effect on the NJ Route 183/New Jersey Transit Morristown Line Bridge, a contributing resource within the Old Main Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Historic District. MOA Stipulations: 1. Design Considerations 2. Recordation 3. Cultural Resource Identification Study.

F. Section 4(f) Involvement	
Section 1: Historic Sites	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Section 4(f) Involvement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project results in a "constructive use" of Section 4(f) property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project results in a use of Historic site(s) on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (check one below):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under de minimis Evaluation of Impacts and all applicability criteria have been met, including concurrence <i>first</i> by the FHWA that the project meets the applicability criteria, and <i>then</i> concurrence by SHPO with the "No Effect" or "No Adverse Effect" determination <i>after</i> they are notified of the intent to use a <i>de minimis</i> finding.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under the Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for minor involvement and all applicability criteria have been met, including concurrence by the SHPO (or ACHP) with the "No Effect" or "No Adverse Effect" determination.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under the Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for Net Benefits and all applicability criteria have been met, including notification to and concurrence by the FHWA with the determination.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project has an "Adverse Effect" determination. Individual Section 4(f) was prepared.
Comments:	

Section 2: Historic Bridges	
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Section 4(f) Involvement

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under the Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for Historic Bridges .
<p>Comments: The Route 183 Bridge is a contributing element to the Historic District due to its construction year of 1900. Therefore, the project will have an adverse effect to an historic resource. As such, several measures of coordination have taken place since the Feasibility Assessment stage mainly between NJDOT, SHPO, FHWA and Consulting Parties.</p>	

Section 3: Publicly Owned Park, Recreation Area, Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuge	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Section 4(f) Involvement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project results in a "Constructive Use" of Section 4(f) property (fill out Site Information below)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project requires acquisition from publicly owned recreation land (fill out Site Information below):
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under de minimis Evaluation of Impacts and all applicability criteria and conditions have been met, including concurrence <i>first</i> by the FHWA that the project meets the applicability criteria, and <i>then</i> notification to the officials with jurisdiction of the intent to use a <i>de minimis</i> finding.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for minor involvement and all applicability criteria and conditions have been met, including concurrence by the officials having jurisdiction over the property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under the Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for Net Benefits and all applicability criteria have been met, including notification to and concurrence by the FHWA with the determination.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic applicability criteria were not met; Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation was prepared.
<p>Site Information (for projects involving "Constructive Use" or acquisition from publicly owned recreation land, wildlife or waterfowl refuge):</p> <p>Name of Site (use local name): _____</p> <p>Lot and Block: _____</p> <p>Total acreage of site: _____</p> <p>Acreage of site affected (acquisition and permanent easements): _____</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Federal encumbrances involved (e.g., Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, Rivers and Harbors Act).
Comments:	

Section 4: Independent Walkway & Bikeway Construction Projects	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Section 4(f) Involvement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Involvement. Project is covered under the Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation . Project requires use of recreation and park areas established and maintained primarily for active recreation, open space, or similar purposes. All applicability criteria have been met, including approval in writing by the official with jurisdiction over the property that the project is acceptable and consistent with the designated use of the property and that all possible planning to minimize harm has been accomplished in the location and design of the bikeway or walkway facility.
Comments:	

G. Hazardous Materials and Landfills	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Involvement with known or suspected contaminated site.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Involvement with underground storage tanks.
Conclusion: NJDOT performed a Hazardous Waste Screening dated December 17, 2002 which	

identified seven properties as potential environmental concerns. It was determined that four of the seven properties required further environmental investigation.

Low potential for involvement with contamination; no further investigation required.

Further investigation and/or sampling required to determine extent of involvement with contamination. Project still meets FWHA criteria for a CE.

Comments: A Limited Site Investigation was performed in April 2006 for Lakeview Energy (Circle Gulf), Randolph Colson (North Star Oil Co.), Conrail and Lotus Enterprises (Family Ford). No significant levels of contaminants were detected in the soil samples above NJDEP Soil cleanup criteria. Based upon the results of the investigation, contaminated groundwater may be encountered during the drainage line installation.

H. Socioeconomics

The project will **not** result in any significant socioeconomic impacts.

Comments: Due to the nature of the project and no ROW takings The project will not result in any significant socioeconomic impacts

I. Environmental Justice

Project will have **no** disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income and/or minority communities.

Project will have disproportionately high and adverse effects on low income and/or minority communities.

Conclusion:

Project is in compliance with the goals of Executive Order 12898 and the requirements of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Project is in compliance with the goals of Executive Order 12898 and the requirements of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, through the identification of measures to address disproportionate effects, including actions to avoid or mitigate them. Project satisfies CE criteria.

Comments: Due to the nature of the project and no property relocations the project will have no disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income and/or minority communities.

J. Public Reaction (briefly describe input from the Office of Community Relations or current status of public reaction):

A resolution of support was issued by the Borough of Netcong in Morris County on June 14, 2001. It was signed by Nicholas C. Pompilio, Mayor of Netcong.

A Public Information Center was held in the Borough of Netcong Municipal Building, on December 5, 2000. Public support was favorable.

K. Environmental Commitments (refer to MOA stipulations or other conditions noted in Section D, if applicable; permit conditions, etc.):

Implement soil erosion and sediment control measures during construction, as required. Implement standard measures for minimization of construction-related noise impacts during construction. Obtain and comply with applicable NJDEP permits if necessary, during construction.

MOA Stipulations: 1. Design Considerations 2. Recordation 3. Cultural Resource Identification Study

Since the project exceeds one acre of disturbance area a RFA form should be completed and submitted to NJDEP 30 days prior to any type of field activities.

DETERMINATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION


Project name and location: Route 183/Route 46 Bridge over NJTransit, Netcong Borough, Morris County

CE #: 23CFR 771.117(d)(3) bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossing.


The proposed project satisfies the Categorical Exclusion definition outlined in 23 CFR 771.117 (a) and will not result in significant environmental impacts.



Project Manager, Div. of Project Management 3-17-08
Date

Recommended by: 

Environmental Team Leader 3-17-08
Date

Certified (or) Approved
 

Manager, Bureau of Landscape Architectural Environmental Solutions 3-17-08
Date

Concurrence (non-self certified CEs) 

Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration 3/24/2008
Date

enclosures (please include any correspondence referenced in the CED):

- Project Location Map
- NJ Natural Heritage Program letter
- USFWS coordination letter(s)
- NMFS coordination letter
- SHPO Eligibility & Effects concurrence letter
- Signed MOA
- Final Nationwide Section 4(f) Programmatic Evaluation for:
 - Minor Involvement with Historic Sites
 - Use of Historic Bridges
 - Minor Involvement with Publicly Owned Park, Recreation Area, Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuge
 - Independent Walkway and Bikeway Construction Projects
 - Net Benefits
 - De minimis* Evaluation of Impacts documentation (i.e., notice to SHPO, *de minimis* template)
- Final Individual Section 4(f)
- Resolution of Support from Municipality/County
- Other (specify):