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This year, an actuarial investigation of the mortality, service and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries of the retirement system was made in accordance with the provisions of Section 13, Subsection (13) of Chapter 255, P.L. 1944 and amended by Chapter 157, P.L. 1972 of the New Jersey Statutes. This subsection specifies that such an investigation shall be made once in every three-year period. The results of this investigation are described in detail in the attached report.

Buck performed the experience review based on data supplied by the State of New Jersey Division of Pensions and Benefits. Buck Consultants did not audit the data, although it was reviewed for reasonableness and consistency with prior data. The accuracy of the results of this review are dependent on the accuracy of the data.

The assumptions recommended in this report are proposed for use in valuing the pension benefits for members in the Police and Firemen's Retirement System. Use of these assumptions for any other purpose may not be appropriate. No one may make any representations or guarantees based on any statements or conclusions contained in this report without the written consent of Buck Consultants.

To the best of our knowledge, this experience investigation report is complete and accurate. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from current measurements due to plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic and demographic assumptions, increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements, and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. An analysis of the potential range of future results is beyond the scope of this valuation.

This report was prepared under our supervision. We are both Fellows of the Society of Actuaries and Members of the American Academy of Actuaries. We meet the Academy's qualification Standards to issue this Statement of Actuarial Opinion. This report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice and we are available to answer questions about it.
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# Report on an Investigation of The Experience of The Police and Firemen's Retirement System of New Jersey 

## Prepared as of June 30, 2013

## I. Introduction

Section 13, Subsection (13) of Chapter 255, P.L. 1944, as amended by Chapter 157, P.L. 1972 of the New Jersey Statutes provides that once in every three-year period the actuary shall examine in detail the mortality, service and compensation experience of the members and beneficiaries of the Retirement System. This investigation is designed to ensure that the tables used for determining expected liabilities of the Retirement System are consistent with recent experience. If tables are not updated periodically, the resulting contributions may either be too large or too small to fund the actual accruing liabilities.

This report was prepared in accordance with applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP). The Standards of Practice provide guidance to actuaries in selecting various actuarial assumptions for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans.

This report summarizes the Retirement System experience for the period from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013. Experience for State employees and for employees of the various Municipalities \& Local Groups participating in the System were examined in total. Please note that, in instances where the data being examined appeared inconsistent with prior results or incomplete, we made no current recommendation. These items will be reviewed closely when the next scheduled experience study is prepared as of June 30, 2016 and proposed changes, if warranted, will be recommended at that time.

In reviewing the Salary experience we examined the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014 in light of recent legislation limiting overall budgetary increases. We did not deem it necessary to consider this additional year of experience for the other assumptions contained in the valuation which would not be significantly impacted by the this legislation. All recommendations contained in this report are consistent with each other, as appropriate.

## II. Examination of Experience

Although this study covers the period from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2013, it will also make reference to the results from prior studies, where appropriate.

The experience among active members, retired members and beneficiaries has been compared with the experience expected according to the active service tables and retirement tables adopted by the Board of Trustees as a result of the July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2010 experience study.

In the case of withdrawals, since the Board has adopted select rates of withdrawal, the data for employees with less than two years of service, exactly two years of service, exactly three years of service, exactly four years of service, five to nine years of service and ten or more years of service were tabulated separately. Similarly, rates of retirement were tabulated separately for employees with less than 21 years of service, 21 to 24 years of service, exactly 25 years of service and 26 or more years of service. However, in investigating the experience with respect to death and disability, the employees were treated in one group. The expected number of separations from service on account of withdrawal, death, disability and service retirement was calculated by multiplying the rates of separation used as a basis for the active service tables by the number of those exposed to risk. Similarly, the expected number of deaths among service retirees, beneficiaries of deceased members and disability retirees was calculated by multiplying the rate of mortality used as a basis for the inactive tables by the number exposed to risk. The actual number was then compared with the expected number. The tables shown in Section III present the results of these comparisons. If the ratio of actual to expected is 1.000 , the tables have exactly predicted what actually occurred. If the ratio of actual to expected is greater than 1.000 , then the tables have underestimated actual experience. If the ratio is less than 1.000 , then the tables have overstated actual experience.

Finally, the expected salaries of those members who remain in service from year to year were obtained and these expected salaries were compared with the actual salaries. Again, a ratio of actual to expected of 1.000 would indicate that actual salary increases were identical to anticipated increases while a ratio greater than 1.000 indicates that salaries have increased faster than anticipated and a ratio less than 1.000 indicates that salaries have increased more slowly than anticipated.

## III. Comments and General Recommendation of the Actuary

The following presents the tabular results of the experience data studied, a discussion of the results and our recommendation.

The tables present a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected experience and the ratios of actual to expected experience. In addition, we have prepared graphs that illustrate the actual current and proposed (if applicable) rates for each assumption. Please note that the experience for certain assumptions, such as accidental death that has a large exposed population and a rather small incidence, does not graph well because of the relative number of members.

## A. Active Plan Experience

The first portion of this section contains a summary of active plan experience, which examines the following rates:
a. Non-Vested Withdrawal Rates
b. Vested Withdrawal Rates
c. Ordinary Disability Rates
d. Accidental Disability Rates
e. Service Retirement Rates
f. Salary Increase Rates
a. Non-Vested Withdrawal Rates

1. Less than two years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of non-vested withdrawals with less than two years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 105 | 3 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 1.141 | 1.141 |
| 25 | 1,549 | 118 | 77.45 | 106.88 | 1.524 | 1.104 |
| 30 | 1,022 | 108 | 61.32 | 95.05 | 1.761 | 1.136 |
| 35 | 447 | 52 | 31.29 | 43.81 | 1.662 | 1.187 |
| 40 | 88 | 14 | 8.80 | 12.06 | 1.591 | 1.161 |
| 45 | 26 | 2 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 2.174 | 2.174 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 3,237 | 297 | 182.41 | 261.35 | 1.628 | 1.136 |

Recommendation: Increase rates for ages 25 to 40.

Actual withdrawals were about $63 \%$ higher than those expected. This has been the trend during the prior five studies in which the actual rates of withdrawal were greater than assumed. Therefore, we propose a further increase in the assumed rates of withdrawal in this category at ages 25 through 40 to more closely reflect this eighteen-year trend.


## 2. Two years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of non-vested withdrawals with two years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 26 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 1,322 | 29 | 21.42 | 26.84 | 1.354 | 1.080 |
| 30 | 1,053 | 32 | 23.17 | 28.96 | 1.381 | 1.105 |
| 35 | 442 | 19 | 9.95 | 14.02 | 1.910 | 1.355 |
| 40 | 99 | 3 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 1.345 | 1.345 |
| 45 | 5 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 2 | 1 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 25.000 | 25.000 |
| Total | 2,950 | 84 | 57.36 | 72.64 | 1.464 | 1.156 |

Recommendation: Increase rates for ages 25 to 35 .

The total number of actual terminations was about 46\% higher than those expected, mainly at the earlier ages. Therefore, we propose an increase in the assumed rates of withdrawal in this category at ages 25 through 35.

3. Three years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of non-vested withdrawals with three years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 13 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 1,342 | 16 | 18.78 | 15.84 | 0.852 | 1.010 |
| 30 | 1,609 | 27 | 28.32 | 28.32 | 0.953 | 0.953 |
| 35 | 673 | 9 | 11.84 | 11.84 | 0.760 | 0.760 |
| 40 | 243 | 5 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 1.111 | 1.111 |
| 45 | 6 | 1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 9.091 | 9.091 |
| 50 | 5 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 3,892 | 58 | 63.85 | 60.91 | 0.908 | 0.952 |

Recommendation: Decrease rate for age 25.

Actual terminations are about $9 \%$ less than that expected, specifically at age 25 . This is a continuation of a trend identified during the three previous studies. We are proposing a further decrease in the assumed rate at age 25.

4. Four years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of non-vested withdrawals with four years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 1,133 | 3 | 10.20 | 6.80 | 0.294 | 0.441 |
| 30 | 2,083 | 25 | 27.28 | 27.28 | 0.916 | 0.916 |
| 35 | 1,022 | 20 | 13.38 | 16.07 | 1.495 | 1.245 |
| 40 | 440 | 7 | 7.66 | 7.66 | 0.914 | 0.914 |
| 45 | 20 | 2 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 4.255 | 4.255 |
| 50 | 6 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 4,705 | 57 | 59.12 | 58.41 | 0.964 | 0.976 |

Recommendation: Decrease rate for age 25 and increase the rate for age 35.

Actual terminations have been about 4\% less than expected and are within an acceptable range of those expected. However, actual terminations at age 25 are about $71 \%$ less than those expected, while actual terminations at age 35 are about $50 \%$ more than expected. We recommend a decrease of the rate at age 25 and an increase of the rate at age 35 to better reflect the experience during these ages while keeping the overall ratio of actual terminations to the expected number of terminations generally the same.

5. Five to nine years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of non-vested withdrawals with five to nine years of service.

|  | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF |  |  | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |  |
| GROUP | Exposures | Actual |  | Current | Proposed | Current |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 1,448 | 3 | 5.06 | 5.06 | 0.593 | 0.593 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 10,309 | 69 | 56.69 | 61.85 | 1.217 | 1.116 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 8,072 | 58 | 62.16 | 62.16 | 0.933 | 0.933 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 4,508 | 27 | 34.71 | 30.20 | 0.778 | 0.894 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 1,005 | 7 | 13.57 | 13.57 | 0.516 | 0.516 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 50 | 4 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 5.000 | 5.000 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 11 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 25,403 | 168 | 173.16 | 173.81 | 0.970 | 0.967 |

Recommendation: Increase rate for age 30 and decrease the rate for age 40.

Actual terminations were about $3 \%$ less than expected and are within an acceptable range of those expected. However, actual terminations at age 30 are about $22 \%$ more than those expected, while actual terminations at age 40 were about $22 \%$ less than expected. We recommend an increase of the rate at age 30 and a decrease of the rate at age 40 to better reflect the experience during these ages while keeping the overall ratio of actual terminations to the expected number of terminations generally the same.


## b. Vested Withdrawal Rates

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations of vested withdrawals with ten or more years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 1,800 | 6 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 1.386 | 1.386 |
| 35 | 11,436 | 24 | 27.45 | 27.45 | 0.874 | 0.874 |
| 40 | 20,758 | 50 | 56.04 | 56.04 | 0.892 | 0.892 |
| 45 | 12,653 | 42 | 35.43 | 35.43 | 1.185 | 1.185 |
| 50 | 4,263 | 20 | 12.79 | 12.79 | 1.564 | 1.564 |
| 54 | 704 | 2 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 0.952 | 0.952 |
| Total | 51,616 | 144 | 138.14 | 138.14 | 1.042 | 1.042 |

Recommendation: No change.
Actual terminations were about $4 \%$ more than expected. In total, this is a reversal from the trend identified in the prior study, in which actual terminations were found to be less than expected. However, this is within an acceptable range of expected experience, and their incidence is quite small in relation to the total number of members who were exposed. We recommend no change to these rates at this time.

c. Ordinary Disability Rates

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations due to ordinary disability.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 144 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 6,796 | 0 | 3.40 | 3.06 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 17,876 | 29 | 26.78 | 26.78 | 1.083 | 1.083 |
| 35 | 22,094 | 46 | 73.75 | 58.55 | 0.624 | 0.786 |
| 40 | 28,580 | 94 | 114.53 | 103.46 | 0.821 | 0.909 |
| 45 | 26,021 | 101 | 116.28 | 102.52 | 0.869 | 0.985 |
| 50 | 15,220 | 47 | 76.77 | 68.34 | 0.612 | 0.688 |
| 53 | 1,994 | 11 | 11.05 | 10.97 | 0.995 | 1.003 |
| 54 | 1,651 | 7 | 9.15 | 9.08 | 0.765 | 0.771 |
| 55 | 1,393 | 5 | 10.03 | 7.72 | 0.499 | 0.648 |
| 56 | 1,173 | 8 | 8.45 | 7.60 | 0.947 | 1.053 |
| 57 | 953 | 8 | 6.86 | 6.18 | 1.166 | 1.294 |
| 58 | 760 | 2 | 5.47 | 4.92 | 0.366 | 0.407 |
| 59 | 610 | 5 | 4.39 | 3.95 | 1.139 | 1.266 |
| 60 | 504 | 3 | 6.45 | 5.16 | 0.465 | 0.581 |
| 61 | 400 | 2 | 5.76 | 4.61 | 0.347 | 0.434 |
| 62 | 317 | 4 | 4.56 | 4.11 | 0.877 | 0.973 |
| 63 | 227 | 0 | 4.91 | 3.43 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 177 | 1 | 4.25 | 2.97 | 0.235 | 0.337 |
| Total | 126,890 | 373 | 492.89 | 433.46 | 0.757 | 0.861 |

Recommendation: Decrease rates at all ages, except age 30.
The data for termination due to ordinary disability indicates that the number of actual disabilities was about $24 \%$ lower than that expected during the measurement period. This result is consistent with the results of the prior study, in which a significant reversal of the trend for disability retirements was first recognized. As in the prior study, we note that this continued decrease in ordinary disability retirements is caused by the liberalization of the conditions for receiving an accidental disability pension due to the New Jersey Supreme Court ruling in the Richardson v. Board of Trustees. Therefore, except at age 30, we are recommending a further decrease in the assumed rates of ordinary disability.
c. Ordinary Disability Rates (cont'd)


## d. Accidental Disability Rates

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected terminations and the ratios of actual to expected terminations due to accidental disability.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 144 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 6,796 | 6 | 2.22 | 4.21 | 2.703 | 1.425 |
| 30 | 17,876 | 44 | 25.49 | 49.70 | 1.726 | 0.885 |
| 35 | 22,094 | 95 | 52.70 | 86.83 | 1.803 | 1.094 |
| 40 | 28,580 | 127 | 91.57 | 120.89 | 1.387 | 1.051 |
| 45 | 26,021 | 110 | 76.83 | 103.04 | 1.432 | 1.068 |
| 50 | 15,220 | 50 | 27.96 | 41.55 | 1.788 | 1.203 |
| 53 | 1,994 | 9 | 3.21 | 5.44 | 2.804 | 1.654 |
| 54 | 1,651 | 2 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 0.752 | 0.752 |
| 55 | 1,393 | 1 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 0.446 | 0.446 |
| 56 | 1,173 | 3 | 1.89 | 1.89 | 1.587 | 1.587 |
| 57 | 953 | 2 | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.307 | 1.307 |
| 58 | 760 | 1 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 0.820 | 0.820 |
| 59 | 610 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.010 | 1.010 |
| 60 | 504 | 2 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 2.469 | 2.469 |
| 61 | 400 | 1 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 1.538 | 1.538 |
| 62 | 317 | 1 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 1.961 | 1.961 |
| 63 | 227 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 177 | 3 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 10.714 | 10.714 |
| Total | 126,890 | 458 | 293.16 | 424.85 | 1.562 | 1.078 |

Recommendation: Increase for ages 20 through 53.

The data for accidental disabilities indicates that the number of actual disabilities was about $56 \%$ greater than that expected during the measurement period. The increase in actual accidental disability retirements is expected due to liberalization of the conditions for receiving an accidental disability pension due to the New Jersey Supreme Court ruling in the Richardson v. Board of Trustees. Therefore, we are recommending an increase in the assumed rates of accidental disability retirements at ages 20 through 53 where most of the actual incidence of accidental disability retirements occurred during the examination period.


## e. Service Retirement Rates

Prior to the enactment of Chapter 428, P.L. 1999, the System provided an allowance upon attaining age 55, with no minimum service requirement, with retirement mandatory at age 65. A higher allowance was also provided upon completion of 25 years of service. Chapter 428 provided (a) a higher allowance for members who have 20 or more years of service and (b) enhanced benefits for members with 20 to 24 years of service who attain age 65. Due to the Chapter 428 changes, experience prior to age 55 was examined in the four prior studies. The following Tables present the experience for service retirements during the study period.

1. Less than 21 years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected retirements and the ratios of actual to expected retirements among members with less than 21 years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 40 | 983 | 57 | 23.98 | 39.32 | 2.377 | 1.450 |
| 45 | 1,981 | 92 | 49.53 | 79.24 | 1.857 | 1.161 |
| 50 | 848 | 40 | 31.80 | 36.46 | 1.258 | 1.097 |
| 53 | 108 | 4 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 0.741 | 0.741 |
| 54 | 81 | 12 | 4.05 | 8.10 | 2.963 | 1.481 |
| 55 | 301 | 23 | 9.63 | 18.06 | 2.388 | 1.274 |
| 56 | 220 | 11 | 7.04 | 10.56 | 1.563 | 1.042 |
| 57 | 156 | 1 | 4.99 | 4.99 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 58 | 123 | 3 | 3.93 | 3.93 | 0.763 | 0.763 |
| 59 | 106 | 6 | 3.39 | 3.39 | 1.770 | 1.770 |
| 60 | 90 | 6 | 2.88 | 2.88 | 2.083 | 2.083 |
| 61 | 72 | 4 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 1.303 | 1.303 |
| 62 | 71 | 10 | 9.05 | 9.05 | 1.105 | 1.105 |
| 63 | 47 | 4 | 5.99 | 5.99 | 0.668 | 0.668 |
| 64 | 47 | 16 | 17.62 | 17.62 | 0.908 | 0.908 |
| Total | 5,234 | 289 | 182.35 | 248.06 | 1.585 | 1.165 |

Recommendation: Increase rates at ages 40 through 50 and ages 54 through 56.

The data indicates that the number of actual retirements has been higher than that expected in this service group, continuing the trend seen in the previous study. Therefore, we recommend increasing the rates at the ages where actual incidence is much greater than expected (i.e., ages 40 through 50 and ages 54 through 56).


## 2. 21 to 24 years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected retirements and the ratios of actual to expected retirements among members with 21 to 24 years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 40 | 1,464 | 9 | 0.00 | 8.78 | 0.000 | 1.025 |
| 45 | 8,335 | 47 | 0.00 | 50.01 | 0.000 | 0.940 |
| 50 | 4,959 | 32 | 0.00 | 29.75 | 0.000 | 1.076 |
| 53 | 536 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 447 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 389 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 56 | 332 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 57 | 263 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 58 | 218 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 59 | 161 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 60 | 124 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 61 | 93 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 62 | 68 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 63 | 41 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 19 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 17,449 | 122 | 0.00 | 88.54 | 0.000 | 1.378 |

Recommendation: Increase rates for ages 40 through 50.

Actual retirements were about $0.7 \%$ of those eligible to retire during the measurement period when no retirements were expected. The actual retirements occurred, mostly, at ages 40 through 50. We recommend an increase to the rates at ages 40 through 50 to better reflect anticipated experience at these ages.


## 3. 25 years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected retirements and the ratios of actual to expected retirements among members with 25 years of service (the eligibility for Special Retirement).

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 45 | 1,510 | 860 | 800.00 | 827.93 | 1.075 | 1.039 |
| 50 | 2,206 | 1,291 | 1,252.35 | 1,271.10 | 1.031 | 1.016 |
| 53 | 250 | 157 | 147.59 | 152.78 | 1.064 | 1.028 |
| 54 | 200 | 135 | 118.08 | 122.22 | 1.143 | 1.105 |
| 55 | 183 | 128 | 108.04 | 118.84 | 1.185 | 1.077 |
| 56 | 167 | 127 | 110.92 | 119.79 | 1.145 | 1.060 |
| 57 | 135 | 111 | 104.61 | 104.61 | 1.061 | 1.061 |
| 58 | 116 | 87 | 89.88 | 89.88 | 0.968 | 0.968 |
| 59 | 92 | 77 | 71.29 | 71.29 | 1.080 | 1.080 |
| 60 | 72 | 54 | 55.79 | 55.79 | 0.968 | 0.968 |
| 61 | 51 | 47 | 39.51 | 43.47 | 1.190 | 1.081 |
| 62 | 40 | 36 | 30.99 | 34.10 | 1.162 | 1.056 |
| 63 | 35 | 30 | 27.11 | 29.83 | 1.107 | 1.006 |
| 64 | 28 | 27 | 21.69 | 23.87 | 1.245 | 1.131 |
| Total | 5,085 | 3,167 | 2,977.85 | 3,065.50 | 1.064 | 1.033 |

Recommendation: Increase rates at all ages, except ages 57 through 60.

The data indicate that there were $6.4 \%$ more actual retirements than expected. The number of actual retirements is much higher than that expected at ages 55 and 56 and at ages 60 through 64. Therefore, we recommend increasing the rates at the ages at which this actual incidence has been much greater than expected.

4. Greater than 25 years of service

The following table presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected retirements and the ratios of actual to expected retirements among members with more than 25 years of service.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF SEPARATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 45 | 502 | 77 | 77.31 | 77.31 | 0.996 | 0.996 |
| 50 | 2,885 | 548 | 444.29 | 533.15 | 1.233 | 1.028 |
| 53 | 695 | 170 | 121.49 | 151.86 | 1.399 | 1.119 |
| 54 | 617 | 171 | 107.85 | 150.98 | 1.586 | 1.133 |
| 55 | 520 | 159 | 90.90 | 127.24 | 1.749 | 1.250 |
| 56 | 454 | 115 | 79.36 | 111.09 | 1.449 | 1.035 |
| 57 | 399 | 101 | 69.75 | 97.64 | 1.448 | 1.034 |
| 58 | 303 | 88 | 52.96 | 74.14 | 1.662 | 1.187 |
| 59 | 251 | 70 | 57.18 | 68.62 | 1.224 | 1.020 |
| 60 | 218 | 62 | 49.66 | 59.60 | 1.248 | 1.040 |
| 61 | 184 | 66 | 41.91 | 58.68 | 1.575 | 1.125 |
| 62 | 138 | 54 | 31.44 | 44.01 | 1.718 | 1.227 |
| 63 | 104 | 47 | 23.70 | 33.17 | 1.983 | 1.417 |
| 64 | 79 | 46 | 29.86 | 40.31 | 1.541 | 1.141 |
| Total | 7,349 | 1,774 | 1,277.66 | 1,627.80 | 1.388 | 1.090 |

Recommendation: Increase rates at all ages, except age 45.
The data indicates that the number of actual retirements has been much higher than those expected at most ages. Therefore, we recommend increasing the rates at the ages at which this actual incidence has been much greater than expected (i.e., all ages except age 45).


## Salary Increase Rates

The following table presents a summary of the total salary from the prior year, actual and expected salary for the following year and the ratios of actual to expected salary among continuing actives. In light of recent legislation limiting overall budgetary increases, the table reflects the Salary experience during the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | SALARY INCREASE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual Salary from Previous Year |  | Actual |  | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 20 | \$ | 4,710,273 | \$ | 5,591,146 | \$ | 5,167,688 | 1.082 |
| 25 |  | 290,435,647 |  | 326,571,750 |  | 314,048,704 | 1.040 |
| 30 |  | 1,163,549,316 |  | 1,254,634,126 |  | 1,235,270,046 | 1.016 |
| 35 |  | 1,738,111,207 |  | 1,823,738,898 |  | 1,820,611,413 | 1.002 |
| 40 |  | 2,425,692,433 |  | 2,517,976,841 |  | 2,524,425,781 | 0.997 |
| 45 |  | 2,481,225,623 |  | 2,562,873,563 |  | 2,579,442,604 | 0.994 |
| 50 |  | 1,386,818,432 |  | 1,430,642,505 |  | 1,441,597,758 | 0.992 |
| 55 |  | 590,182,207 |  | 607,791,854 |  | 613,494,403 | 0.991 |
| 60 |  | 189,087,056 |  | 194,254,156 |  | 196,555,995 | 0.988 |
| 63 \& 64 |  | 20,474,262 |  | 20,973,438 |  | 21,282,995 | 0.985 |
| Total | \$ | 10,290,286,456 | \$ | 10,745,048,277 | \$ | 10,751,897,387 | 0.999 |

Recommendation: Increase rates at ages 20 to 30 and decrease rates at ages 40 to 64 .
The previous study recommended a salary increase assumption that varied by age and graded down from just under $12 \%$ at age 21 to a flat $5.95 \%$ per year for ages 45 and above. However, in accordance with economic assumptions recommended by the Treasurer, the current salary increase assumption projects future salary increases that are reduced by $2.00 \%$ per annum for fiscal year ending 2012 through fiscal year ending 2021 and 1.00\% per annum for fiscal years ending 2022 and thereafter. The above table shows that, in total, the annual salary increase assumption is within an acceptable range of actual experience. However, we recommend an increase to the rates at ages 20 through 30 and a decrease to the rates at ages 40 to 64 to better reflect expected experience at these ages.


## B. Mortality Experience Among Active and Inactive Plan Members

Mortality Improvement
As noted in prior experience studies, we have seen continued and steady improvement in mortality rates over time. This trend is expected to continue into the future. In fact, Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 35 states that the actuary should "include an assumption as to expected mortality improvement after the measurement date." Therefore, we recommended the use projection Scale AA in the projection of the mortality tables to provide a generational approach toward future mortality improvements during the previous study.

Since the last study, mortality improvement Scale BB has been published by the Society of Actuaries (SOA) as a tool for actuaries to project mortality improvement. The rates of mortality improvement in the US have differed quite substantially from those predicted by Scale AA. Consequently, an alternative to Scale AA, called Scale BB, was developed and is recommended for use. Therefore, we recommend the use of projection Scale BB in the projection of the mortality tables.

The mortality experience for male service retirements meets the criteria of having "credible experience" (i.e., having, at least, 1,000 expected deaths over the examination period). Therefore, we have recommended the use of the RP-2000 with a 2011 base year projected one year using projection Scale AA. This base table will be effective during 2013 and will reflect mortality improvement after 2013 using the generational approach determined by projection Scale BB.

The mortality experience for all other retirees, beneficiaries and active participants eligible for ordinary death benefits is not sufficient to be considered statistically credible. Therefore, we have recommended the use of the RP-2000 mortality tables with a base year of 2000 for these participants. The base tables will be projected to each valuation date using projection Scale BB and further projected on a generational basis by Scale BB into the future.

It should be noted that during the preparation of this study, the Society of Actuaries finalized its latest report on mortality, which included a recommendation to update mortality tables and projection scales. Therefore, we would recommend that the mortality assumption be reviewed again prior to the July 1,2015 valuation.
a. Ordinary and Accidental Death Rates among Active Members

The experience for ordinary and accidental death among Active members is presented in the following tables. For ordinary death, actual deaths were about 5\% lower than expected for males and $58 \%$ higher than expected for females. In accordance with ASOP 35, we recommend the use of the RP2000 Employee Pre-Retirement mortality tables projected to the valuation date by projection Scale BB. The base table will then be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

1. Ordinary Death Rates

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF MALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 124 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 6,082 | 3 | 2.23 | 2.22 | 1.345 | 1.351 |
| 30 | 15,552 | 9 | 6.02 | 7.02 | 1.495 | 1.282 |
| 35 | 19,246 | 15 | 10.94 | 14.42 | 1.371 | 1.040 |
| 40 | 25,577 | 16 | 23.01 | 27.01 | 0.695 | 0.592 |
| 45 | 23,805 | 22 | 28.61 | 34.51 | 0.769 | 0.637 |
| 50 | 14,091 | 19 | 23.66 | 28.71 | 0.803 | 0.662 |
| 53 | 1,855 | 3 | 3.89 | 4.70 | 0.771 | 0.638 |
| 54 | 1,545 | 4 | 3.46 | 4.20 | 1.156 | 0.952 |
| 55 | 1,299 | 4 | 3.12 | 3.80 | 1.282 | 1.053 |
| 56 | 1,094 | 6 | 2.82 | 3.50 | 2.128 | 1.714 |
| 57 | 885 | 3 | 2.45 | 3.07 | 1.224 | 0.977 |
| 58 | 710 | 5 | 2.12 | 2.69 | 2.358 | 1.859 |
| 59 | 557 | 3 | 1.81 | 2.30 | 1.657 | 1.304 |
| 60 | 465 | 0 | 1.66 | 2.10 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 61 | 370 | 2 | 1.46 | 1.83 | 1.370 | 1.093 |
| 62 | 296 | 0 | 1.29 | 1.59 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 63 | 211 | 0 | 1.01 | 1.22 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 162 | 0 | 0.86 | 1.01 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 113,926 | 114 | 120.46 | 145.94 | 0.946 | 0.781 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Employee Pre-Retirement Male mortality table projected to the valuation date by projection scale BB . This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection scale BB.

1. Ordinary Death Rates (cont'd)


| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF FEMALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 714 | 1 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 7.143 | 7.692 |
| 30 | 2,324 | 1 | 0.53 | 0.65 | 1.887 | 1.538 |
| 35 | 2,848 | 2 | 0.99 | 1.30 | 2.020 | 1.538 |
| 40 | 3,003 | 3 | 1.65 | 2.07 | 1.818 | 1.449 |
| 45 | 2,216 | 2 | 1.85 | 2.38 | 1.081 | 0.840 |
| 50 | 1,129 | 1 | 1.44 | 1.80 | 0.694 | 0.556 |
| 53 | 139 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 106 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 94 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 5.556 | 4.348 |
| 56 | 79 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 57 | 68 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 6.250 | 5.263 |
| 58 | 50 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 59 | 53 | 1 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 6.667 | 5.882 |
| 60 | 39 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 61 | 30 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 62 | 21 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 63 | 16 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 15 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 12,964 | 13 | 8.23 | 10.26 | 1.580 | 1.267 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Employee Pre-Retirement Female mortality table projected to the valuation date by projection scale BB. This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection scale BB.

1. Ordinary Death Rates (cont'd)


## 2. Accidental Death Rates

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 20 | 144 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 25 | 6,796 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 30 | 17,876 | 0 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 35 | 22,094 | 1 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 0.629 | 0.629 |
| 40 | 28,580 | 2 | 2.29 | 2.29 | 0.873 | 0.873 |
| 45 | 26,021 | 0 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 15,220 | 0 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 53 | 1,994 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 54 | 1,651 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 1,393 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 56 | 1,173 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 57 | 953 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 58 | 760 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 59 | 610 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 60 | 504 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 61 | 400 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 62 | 317 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 63 | 227 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 64 | 177 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Total | 126,890 | 3 | 10.12 | 10.12 | 0.296 | 0.296 |

Recommendation: No change
2. Accidental Death Rates (cont'd)


The incidence of accidental death is small and no change is recommended.
b. Inactive Plan Experience

The second portion of this section contains a summary of inactive plan experience which examines the following rates:

1. Service Retirement Mortality Rates
2. Beneficiary Mortality Rates
3. Disability Mortality Rates

## 1. Service Retirement Mortality Rates

The experience indicates that actual deaths among retirees were well within acceptable limits for both male and female retirees. For male retirees, we recommend the use of the RP2000 Combined Healthy Male mortality table projected one year using projection Scale AA as the base table as of the 2013 measurement date with respect to members retired on account of service retirements. For female retirees, we recommend the use of the RP2000 Combined Health Mortality Table projected to the valuation date by projection Scale BB for members retired on account of service retirements. The base tables will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF MALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 45 | 1,948 | 4 | 3.08 | 3.06 | 1.297 | 1.309 |
| 50 | 6,981 | 22 | 15.81 | 15.63 | 1.391 | 1.408 |
| 55 | 11,615 | 49 | 43.81 | 43.27 | 1.119 | 1.132 |
| 60 | 13,080 | 98 | 90.15 | 89.22 | 1.087 | 1.098 |
| 65 | 14,163 | 197 | 179.13 | 177.53 | 1.100 | 1.110 |
| 70 | 10,718 | 216 | 233.39 | 231.17 | 0.925 | 0.934 |
| 75 | 6,667 | 226 | 247.63 | 245.36 | 0.913 | 0.921 |
| 80 | 4,447 | 255 | 285.34 | 283.47 | 0.894 | 0.900 |
| 85 | 2,976 | 316 | 327.10 | 325.55 | 0.966 | 0.971 |
| 90 | 1,209 | 227 | 209.59 | 209.01 | 1.083 | 1.086 |
| Total | 73,804 | 1,610 | 1,635.03 | 1,623.26 | 0.985 | 0.992 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Combined Healthy Male mortality table projected one-year using projection scale AA for members in receipt of a benefit. This base table is effective 2013 and will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale BB.


| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF FEMALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| 45 | 192 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 522 | 2 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 2.222 | 2.299 |
| 55 | 686 | 3 | 1.92 | 1.81 | 1.563 | 1.657 |
| 60 | 507 | 1 | 2.56 | 2.29 | 0.391 | 0.437 |
| 65 | 337 | 3 | 3.21 | 2.82 | 0.935 | 1.064 |
| 70 | 184 | 1 | 3.05 | 2.67 | 0.328 | 0.375 |
| 75 | 137 | 2 | 3.74 | 3.28 | 0.535 | 0.610 |
| 80 | 58 | 5 | 2.61 | 2.29 | 1.916 | 2.183 |
| 85 | 21 | 2 | 1.64 | 1.44 | 1.220 | 1.389 |
| 90 | 13 | 1 | 1.64 | 1.45 | 0.610 | 0.690 |
| Total | 2,658 | 20 | 21.49 | 19.13 | 0.931 | 1.045 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Combined Healthy Female mortality table projected to the valuation date by projection scale BB for members in receipt of a benefit. This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection scale BB.


The current table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA for 2 years. The proposed table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale BB for 13 years.

## 2. Beneficiary Mortality Rates

The actual number of deaths was close to expected for female beneficiaries and for male beneficiaries the actual experience is negligible. However, in accordance with ASOP 35, we recommend the use of the RP2000 Combined Health Mortality Tables projected to the valuation date by projection Scale BB for beneficiaries in receipt of a benefit. This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF MALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| Under 45 | 43 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 50 | 27 | 0 | 1.82 | 1.77 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 55 | 14 | 0 | 4.08 | 3.96 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 60 | 18 | 1 | 7.60 | 7.06 | 0.132 | 0.142 |
| 65 | 23 | 1 | 10.98 | 9.65 | 0.091 | 0.104 |
| 70 | 19 | 0 | 9.91 | 8.42 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 75 | 4 | 0 | 7.86 | 6.68 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 80 | 16 | 3 | 4.74 | 4.03 | 0.633 | 0.745 |
| 85 | 13 | 2 | 3.09 | 2.62 | 0.646 | 0.762 |
| 90 | 1 | 1 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.000 | 3.737 |
| Total | 178 | 8 | 51.16 | 45.22 | 0.156 | 0.177 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Combined Healthy Male mortality table projected to the valuation date by projection scale BB for beneficiaries in receipt of a benefit. This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection scale BB.


The current table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA for 2 years. The proposed table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale BB for 13 years.

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF FEMALE DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | atio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| Under 45 | 729 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 4.768 | 4.901 |
| 50 | 607 | 5 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 4.786 | 4.919 |
| 55 | 1,019 | 7 | 2.86 | 2.70 | 2.447 | 2.589 |
| 60 | 1,337 | 14 | 7.08 | 6.32 | 1.977 | 2.214 |
| 65 | 1,887 | 19 | 18.58 | 16.29 | 1.023 | 1.166 |
| 70 | 2,423 | 42 | 40.75 | 35.74 | 1.031 | 1.175 |
| 75 | 2,521 | 74 | 71.58 | 62.83 | 1.034 | 1.178 |
| 80 | 2,889 | 148 | 135.02 | 118.53 | 1.096 | 1.249 |
| 85 | 3,066 | 236 | 237.22 | 208.09 | 0.995 | 1.134 |
| 90 | 1,571 | 190 | 198.65 | 175.90 | 0.956 | 1.080 |
| Total | 18,049 | 738 | 713.41 | 628.04 | 1.034 | 1.175 |

Recommendation: RP2000 Combined Healthy Female mortality table projected to the valuation date by projection scale BB for beneficiaries in receipt of a benefit. This base table will be projected from the valuation date on a generational basis using projection scale BB.


The current table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA for 2 years. The proposed table is the RP-2000 projected on a generational basis using projection scale BB for 13 years.

## 3. Disability Mortality Rates

The total actual deaths were about $22 \%$ more than expected. This is inconsistent with the results of the two previous studies, in which a trend was observed regarding longer life expectancies and rates resulting in the reduction of mortality rates. Therefore, we recommend no change to the assumptions at this time.

DISABILITY RETIREMENT

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exposures | Actual | Expected |  | Ratio of Actual to Expected |  |
|  |  |  | Current | Proposed | Current | Proposed |
| Under 45 | 5,265 | 45 | 37.62 | 37.62 | 1.196 | 1.196 |
| 50 | 2,430 | 19 | 25.69 | 25.69 | 0.739 | 0.739 |
| 55 | 2,123 | 30 | 25.67 | 25.67 | 1.169 | 1.169 |
| 60 | 1,873 | 45 | 26.72 | 26.72 | 1.684 | 1.684 |
| 65 | 1,708 | 42 | 33.11 | 33.11 | 1.269 | 1.269 |
| 70 | 1,123 | 36 | 26.56 | 26.56 | 1.355 | 1.355 |
| 75 | 574 | 41 | 24.43 | 24.43 | 1.678 | 1.678 |
| 80 | 318 | 30 | 24.29 | 24.29 | 1.235 | 1.235 |
| 85 | 188 | 15 | 22.08 | 22.08 | 0.679 | 0.679 |
| 90 | 59 | 8 | 8.98 | 8.98 | 0.891 | 0.891 |
| Total | 15,661 | 311 | 255.16 | 255.16 | 1.219 | 1.219 |

Recommendation: No change.


## IV. Summary of Proposed Assumptions

As noted earlier in the report, the experience investigation for the period from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2013 indicates the need for certain changes in the tables used for determining the liabilities of the System. The proposed changes are summarized as follows:

## Rates

## Proposed Changes

## Non-Vested Withdrawal

- Less Than Two Years of Service
- Two Years of Service
- Three Years of Service
- Four Years of Service
- Five to Nine Years of Service

Vested Withdrawal

- Ten or More Years of Service No change

Disability

- Ordinary Decrease
- Accidental Increase

Service Retirement

- Less Than 21 Years of Service Increase
- 21 to 24 Years of Service
- 25 Years of Service
- Greater Than 25 Years of Service

Salary Increase
Active Death

- Ordinary

Increase
Increase
Increase
Adjust ${ }^{3}$

- Accidental

Inactive Mortality

- Service Retirements
- Beneficiaries of Deceased Active and Retired Members
- Disability Retirements

Change to Standard Table ${ }^{4}$ No change

Adjust ${ }^{4}$
Decrease ${ }^{4}$
No change

1. Decrease rate for age 25 and increase the rate for age 35 .
2. Increase rate for age 30 and decrease the rate for age 40.
3. Increase rate for age 20 through age 30 and decrease rate for age 40 through age 64.
4. In addition, the base table will be projected on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

## V. Cost Impact of the Proposed Assumptions

The overall effect of the proposed changes in assumptions would be to increase the normal contribution and the accrued liability payment for both State and Municipalities \& Local Groups. The following chart presents a summary of the liabilities and contributions under the current and proposed assumptions:


1. After reflecting reallocation of Local obligations which are to be paid by State.
2. Does not reflect the phase in under Chapter 1, P.L. 2010.
3. The amounts exclude ERI and Chapter 19, P.L. 2009 payments payable by certain Local employers.

The calculations were based on the same data and actuarial methods as were used in the July 1, 2013 valuation, including a 7.90\% interest rate.

## Appendix A. Comparison of Actual, Current and Proposed Rates of Separation and Mortality

The following tables give a comparison of the actual, current and proposed rates of separation from active service and rates of mortality for active and retired members at quinquennial ages.

TABLE 1

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

NON-VESTED WITHDRAWALS
LESS THAN 2 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0286 | 0.0250 | 0.0250 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0762 | 0.0500 | 0.0690 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.1057 | 0.0600 | 0.0930 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.1163 | 0.0700 | 0.0980 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.1591 | 0.1000 | 0.1370 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0769 | 0.0350 | 0.0350 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

2 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0162 | 0.0162 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0219 | 0.0162 | 0.0203 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.0304 | 0.0220 | 0.0275 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.0430 | 0.0225 | 0.0317 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0303 | 0.0225 | 0.0225 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0225 | 0.0225 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0225 | 0.0225 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0225 | 0.0225 |

TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

NON-VESTED WITHDRAWALS
(Continued)
3 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0140 | 0.0140 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0119 | 0.0140 | 0.0118 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.0168 | 0.0176 | 0.0176 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.0134 | 0.0176 | 0.0176 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0206 | 0.0185 | 0.0185 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.1667 | 0.0185 | 0.0185 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0185 | 0.0185 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0185 | 0.0185 |

4 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0026 | 0.0090 | 0.0060 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.0120 | 0.0131 | 0.0131 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.0196 | 0.0131 | 0.0157 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0159 | 0.0174 | 0.0174 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.1000 | 0.0232 | 0.0232 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0200 | 0.0200 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 |

TABLE 3

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION

 FROM ACTIVE SERVICENON-VESTED WITHDRAWALS
(Continued)

5-9 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0021 | 0.0035 | 0.0035 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.0067 | 0.0055 | 0.0060 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.0072 | 0.0077 | 0.0077 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0060 | 0.0077 | 0.0067 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0070 | 0.0135 | 0.0135 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0800 | 0.0160 | 0.0160 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0160 | 0.0160 |

TABLE 4
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

VESTED WITHDRAWALS WITH 10 OR MORE YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES: NO <br> CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.0033 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.0021 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0024 | 0.0027 | 0.0027 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0033 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0047 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0028 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |

TABLE 5

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE <br> ORDINARY DISABILITY

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00035 | 0.00032 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00050 | 0.00045 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.00162 | 0.00147 | 0.00147 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.00208 | 0.00333 | 0.00265 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.00329 | 0.00400 | 0.00362 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00388 | 0.00448 | 0.00394 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00309 | 0.00510 | 0.00449 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.00552 | 0.00554 | 0.00550 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.00424 | 0.00554 | 0.00550 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00359 | 0.00720 | 0.00554 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.00682 | 0.00720 | 0.00648 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.00839 | 0.00720 | 0.00648 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.00263 | 0.00720 | 0.00648 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.00820 | 0.00720 | 0.00648 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00595 | 0.01280 | 0.01024 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.00500 | 0.01440 | 0.01152 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.01262 | 0.01440 | 0.01296 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.02160 | 0.01512 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.00565 | 0.02400 | 0.01680 |

TABLE 6
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION
FROM ACTIVE SERVICE
ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00022 | 0.00029 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.00088 | 0.00031 | 0.00062 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.00246 | 0.00139 | 0.00278 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.00430 | 0.00238 | 0.00393 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.00444 | 0.00318 | 0.00423 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00423 | 0.00291 | 0.00396 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00329 | 0.00182 | 0.00273 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.00451 | 0.00161 | 0.00273 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.00121 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00072 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.00256 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.00210 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.00132 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.00164 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00397 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.00250 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.00315 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.01695 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |

TABLE 7

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

SERVICE RETIREMENTS
LESS THAN 21 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0580 | 0.0250 | 0.0400 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0464 | 0.0250 | 0.0400 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0472 | 0.0375 | 0.0430 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.0370 | 0.0500 | 0.0500 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.1481 | 0.0500 | 0.1000 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.0764 | 0.0320 | 0.0600 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.0500 | 0.0320 | 0.0480 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.0064 | 0.0320 | 0.0320 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.0244 | 0.0320 | 0.0320 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.0566 | 0.0320 | 0.0320 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.0667 | 0.0320 | 0.0320 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.0556 | 0.0425 | 0.0425 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.1408 | 0.1275 | 0.1275 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.0851 | 0.1275 | 0.1275 |

21-24 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.0061 | 0.0000 | 0.0060 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.0056 | 0.0000 | 0.0060 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.0065 | 0.0000 | 0.0060 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.0093 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.0089 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.0077 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.0030 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.0114 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.0092 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.0242 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.0441 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.0488 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

TABLE 8

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## SERVICE RETIREMENTS

(Continued)
25 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.4000 | 0.4557 | 0.4557 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.5695 | 0.5298 | 0.5483 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.5852 | 0.5677 | 0.5762 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.6280 | 0.5904 | 0.6111 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.6750 | 0.5904 | 0.6111 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.6995 | 0.5904 | 0.6494 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.7605 | 0.6642 | 0.7173 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.8222 | 0.7749 | 0.7749 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.7500 | 0.7749 | 0.7749 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.8370 | 0.7749 | 0.7749 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.7500 | 0.7749 | 0.7749 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.9216 | 0.7749 | 0.8524 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.9000 | 0.7749 | 0.8524 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.8571 | 0.7749 | 0.8524 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.9643 | 0.7749 | 0.8524 |

GREATER THAN 25 YEARS OF SERVICE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.1534 | 0.1540 | 0.1540 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.1899 | 0.1540 | 0.1848 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.2446 | 0.1748 | 0.2185 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.2771 | 0.1748 | 0.2447 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.3058 | 0.1748 | 0.2447 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.2533 | 0.1748 | 0.2447 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.2531 | 0.1748 | 0.2447 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.2904 | 0.1748 | 0.2447 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.2789 | 0.2278 | 0.2734 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.2844 | 0.2278 | 0.2734 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.3587 | 0.2278 | 0.3189 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.3913 | 0.2278 | 0.3189 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.4519 | 0.2278 | 0.3189 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.5823 | 0.3780 | 0.5103 |

TABLE 9

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED

 SALARY INCREASES| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES* | PROPOSED <br> RATES* |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $18.70 \%$ | $11.15 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $12.44 \%$ | $9.53 \%$ | $10.38 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $7.83 \%$ | $7.28 \%$ | $7.59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $4.93 \%$ | $5.77 \%$ | $5.77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $3.80 \%$ | $5.08 \%$ | $4.90 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $3.29 \%$ | $4.96 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $3.16 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $2.98 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $2.73 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 3} \boldsymbol{6 4}$ | $2.44 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ |

[^0]TABLE 10
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

ORDINARY DEATH - MALE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00030 | 0.00034 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.00049 | 0.00035 | 0.00036 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.00058 | 0.00038 | 0.00044 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.00078 | 0.00056 | 0.00074 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.00063 | 0.00089 | 0.00104 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00092 | 0.00120 | 0.00145 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00135 | 0.00169 | 0.00214 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.00162 | 0.00206 | 0.00280 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.00259 | 0.00236 | 0.00307 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00308 | 0.00256 | 0.00349 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.00548 | 0.00280 | 0.00404 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.00339 | 0.00307 | 0.00446 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.00704 | 0.00349 | 0.00494 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.00539 | 0.00405 | 0.00550 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00454 | 0.00616 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.00541 | 0.00511 | 0.00692 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00576 | 0.00779 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00653 | 0.00879 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00745 | 0.00977 |

ORDINARY DEATH - FEMALE

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00018 | 0.00018 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.00140 | 0.00019 | 0.00020 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.00043 | 0.00022 | 0.00027 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.00070 | 0.00034 | 0.00046 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.00100 | 0.00054 | 0.00069 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00090 | 0.00083 | 0.00108 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00089 | 0.00129 | 0.00164 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00162 | 0.00212 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00179 | 0.00230 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.01064 | 0.00196 | 0.00255 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00215 | 0.00286 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.01471 | 0.00238 | 0.00317 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00267 | 0.00353 |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 0.01887 | 0.00305 | 0.00395 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00344 | 0.00444 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00388 | 0.00504 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00440 | 0.00569 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00501 | 0.00654 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.00576 | 0.00737 |

TABLE 11

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

ACCIDENTAL DEATH

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES: NO <br> CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.00006 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.00006 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.00006 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 0.00005 | 0.00007 | 0.00007 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.00007 | 0.00008 | 0.00008 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00009 | 0.00009 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00009 | 0.00009 |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00009 | 0.00009 |
| $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00009 | 0.00009 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00014 | 0.00014 |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00014 | 0.00014 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00014 | 0.00014 |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00014 | 0.00014 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00014 | 0.00014 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00013 | 0.00013 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00008 | 0.00008 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00008 | 0.00008 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00008 | 0.00008 |

TABLE 12

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES <br> OF MORTALITY AFTER RETIREMENT

MALE SERVICE RETIREMENT

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00205 | 0.00147 | 0.00149 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00315 | 0.00214 | 0.00218 |
| 55 | 0.00422 | 0.00359 | 0.00365 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00749 | 0.00667 | 0.00677 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 0.01391 | 0.01256 | 0.01273 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.02015 | 0.02170 | 0.02202 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 0.03390 | 0.03717 | 0.03770 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 0.05734 | 0.06412 | 0.06475 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 0.10618 | 0.11028 | 0.11105 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0.18776 | 0.18185 | 0.18261 |

*This base table is effective 2013 and will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale BB.

FEMALE SERVICE RETIREMENT

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00109 | 0.00108 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00383 | 0.00165 | 0.00164 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00437 | 0.00274 | 0.00260 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.00197 | 0.00513 | 0.00453 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 0.00890 | 0.00972 | 0.00839 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.00543 | 0.01667 | 0.01441 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 0.01460 | 0.02790 | 0.02421 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 0.08621 | 0.04576 | 0.03967 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 0.09524 | 0.07756 | 0.06704 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0.07692 | 0.13124 | 0.11493 |

*The base table is the RP-2000 mortality table projected 13 years using projection Scale BB and will be further projected on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

TABLE 13

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES <br> OF MORTALITY AFTER RETIREMENT

## MALE BENEFICIARY OF DECEASED ACTIVE AND RETIRED MEMBERS

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00147 | 0.00145 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00214 | 0.00214 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.00359 | 0.00357 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.05714 | 0.00667 | 0.00626 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 0.04348 | 0.01256 | 0.01100 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.00000 | 0.02170 | 0.01836 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 0.00000 | 0.03717 | 0.03142 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 0.18750 | 0.06412 | 0.05372 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 0.15385 | 0.11028 | 0.09217 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 1.00000 | 0.18185 | 0.15920 |

*The base table is the RP-2000 mortality table projected 13 years using projection Scale BB and will be further projected on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

FEMALE BENEFICIARY OF DECEASED ACTIVE AND RETIRED MEMBERS

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00417 | 0.00109 | 0.00108 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00833 | 0.00165 | 0.00164 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.00690 | 0.00274 | 0.00260 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.01051 | 0.00513 | 0.00453 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 0.00955 | 0.00972 | 0.00839 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.01733 | 0.01667 | 0.01441 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 0.02935 | 0.02790 | 0.02421 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 0.05123 | 0.04576 | 0.03967 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 0.07697 | 0.07756 | 0.06704 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0.13601 | 0.13124 | 0.11493 |

*The base table is the RP-2000 mortality table projected 13 years using projection Scale BB and will be further projected on a generational basis using projection Scale BB.

TABLE 14

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES <br> OF MORTALITY AFTER RETIREMENT

DISABILITY

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | ACTUAL <br> RATES | CURRENT <br> RATES | PROPOSED <br> RATES: NO <br> CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 0.00855 | 0.00803 | 0.00803 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.00782 | 0.01059 | 0.01059 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 0.01413 | 0.01210 | 0.01210 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.02403 | 0.01426 | 0.01426 |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 0.02459 | 0.01949 | 0.01949 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.03206 | 0.02412 | 0.02412 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 0.07143 | 0.04325 | 0.04325 |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 0.09434 | 0.07899 | 0.07899 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 0.07979 | 0.11728 | 0.11728 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0.13559 | 0.16179 | 0.16179 |

Appendix B: Complete Set of Proposed Assumptions

TABLE 1

ACTIVE WITHDRAWAL TABLES

|  | RATE OF WITHDRAWAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Less Than <br> 2 Years | 2 Years <br> of Service | 3 Years <br> of Service | 4 Years <br> of Service | $\mathbf{5}$-9 Years <br> of Service | (10 or More <br> Years of Service |
| 20 | 0.02500 | 0.01620 | 0.01400 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 21 | 0.02500 | 0.01620 | 0.01400 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 22 | 0.02500 | 0.01620 | 0.01400 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 23 | 0.06900 | 0.02030 | 0.01180 | 0.00600 | 0.00350 | 0.00000 |
| 24 | 0.06900 | 0.02030 | 0.01180 | 0.00600 | 0.00350 | 0.00000 |
| 25 | 0.06900 | 0.02030 | 0.01180 | 0.00600 | 0.00350 | 0.00000 |
| 26 | 0.06900 | 0.02030 | 0.01180 | 0.00600 | 0.00350 | 0.00000 |
| 27 | 0.06900 | 0.02030 | 0.01180 | 0.00600 | 0.00350 | 0.00000 |
| 28 | 0.09300 | 0.02750 | 0.01760 | 0.01310 | 0.00600 | 0.00240 |
| 29 | 0.09300 | 0.02750 | 0.01760 | 0.01310 | 0.00600 | 0.00240 |
| 30 | 0.09300 | 0.02750 | 0.01760 | 0.01310 | 0.00600 | 0.00240 |
| 31 | 0.09300 | 0.02750 | 0.01760 | 0.01310 | 0.00600 | 0.00240 |
| 32 | 0.09300 | 0.02750 | 0.01760 | 0.01310 | 0.00600 | 0.00240 |
| 33 | 0.09800 | 0.03173 | 0.01760 | 0.01572 | 0.00770 | 0.00240 |
| 34 | 0.09800 | 0.03173 | 0.01760 | 0.01572 | 0.00770 | 0.00240 |
| 35 | 0.09800 | 0.03173 | 0.01760 | 0.01572 | 0.00770 | 0.00240 |
| 36 | 0.09800 | 0.03173 | 0.01760 | 0.01572 | 0.00770 | 0.00240 |
| 37 | 0.09800 | 0.03173 | 0.01760 | 0.01572 | 0.00770 | 0.00240 |
| 38 | 0.13700 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01740 | 0.00670 | 0.00270 |
| 39 | 0.13700 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01740 | 0.00670 | 0.00270 |
| 40 | 0.13700 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01740 | 0.00670 | 0.00270 |
| 41 | 0.13700 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01740 | 0.00670 | 0.00270 |
| 42 | 0.13700 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01740 | 0.00670 | 0.00270 |
| 43 | 0.03500 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02320 | 0.01350 | 0.00280 |
| 44 | 0.03500 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02320 | 0.01350 | 0.00280 |
| 45 | 0.03500 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02320 | 0.01350 | 0.00280 |
| 46 | 0.03500 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02320 | 0.01350 | 0.00280 |
| 47 | 0.03500 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02320 | 0.01350 | 0.00280 |
| 48 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 49 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 50 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 51 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 52 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.02000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 53 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
| 54 | 0.00000 | 0.02250 | 0.01850 | 0.01000 | 0.01600 | 0.00300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2
ACTIVE DEATH AND DISABILITY TABLES

| AGE | RATES OF DEATH |  |  | RATES OF DISABILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinary |  | Accidental | Ordinary | Accidental |
|  | MALE* | FEMALE* |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 0.00018 | 0.00006 | 0.00032 | 0.00021 |
| 21 | 0.00034 | 0.00019 | 0.00006 | 0.00032 | 0.00021 |
| 22 | 0.00035 | 0.00019 | 0.00006 | 0.00032 | 0.00025 |
| 23 | 0.00036 | 0.00019 | 0.00006 | 0.00045 | 0.00025 |
| 24 | 0.00036 | 0.00019 | 0.00006 | 0.00045 | 0.00029 |
| 25 | 0.00036 | 0.00020 | 0.00006 | 0.00045 | 0.00029 |
| 26 | 0.00036 | 0.00021 | 0.00006 | 0.00045 | 0.00033 |
| 27 | 0.00037 | 0.00021 | 0.00006 | 0.00045 | 0.00037 |
| 28 | 0.00038 | 0.00023 | 0.00006 | 0.00106 | 0.00366 |
| 29 | 0.00040 | 0.00024 | 0.00006 | 0.00127 | 0.00322 |
| 30 | 0.00043 | 0.00025 | 0.00006 | 0.00147 | 0.00278 |
| 31 | 0.00048 | 0.00030 | 0.00006 | 0.00167 | 0.00234 |
| 32 | 0.00054 | 0.00034 | 0.00006 | 0.00187 | 0.00190 |
| 33 | 0.00061 | 0.00038 | 0.00006 | 0.00189 | 0.00527 |
| 34 | 0.00068 | 0.00042 | 0.00006 | 0.00227 | 0.00460 |
| 35 | 0.00074 | 0.00046 | 0.00008 | 0.00265 | 0.00393 |
| 36 | 0.00081 | 0.00049 | 0.00008 | 0.00303 | 0.00326 |
| 37 | 0.00087 | 0.00053 | 0.00008 | 0.00341 | 0.00260 |
| 38 | 0.00093 | 0.00058 | 0.00008 | 0.00348 | 0.00477 |
| 39 | 0.00098 | 0.00062 | 0.00008 | 0.00355 | 0.00450 |
| 40 | 0.00104 | 0.00068 | 0.00008 | 0.00362 | 0.00423 |
| 41 | 0.00110 | 0.00074 | 0.00008 | 0.00369 | 0.00396 |
| 42 | 0.00117 | 0.00082 | 0.00008 | 0.00376 | 0.00370 |
| 43 | 0.00125 | 0.00090 | 0.00008 | 0.00379 | 0.00578 |
| 44 | 0.00134 | 0.00099 | 0.00008 | 0.00386 | 0.00487 |
| 45 | 0.00145 | 0.00108 | 0.00009 | 0.00394 | 0.00396 |
| 46 | 0.00155 | 0.00118 | 0.00009 | 0.00401 | 0.00305 |
| 47 | 0.00167 | 0.00128 | 0.00009 | 0.00409 | 0.00215 |
| 48 | 0.00179 | 0.00138 | 0.00009 | 0.00412 | 0.00197 |
| 49 | 0.00192 | 0.00149 | 0.00009 | 0.00430 | 0.00191 |
| 50 | 0.00206 | 0.00161 | 0.00009 | 0.00449 | 0.00179 |
| 51 | 0.00236 | 0.00178 | 0.00009 | 0.00467 | 0.00173 |
| 52 | 0.00257 | 0.00194 | 0.00009 | 0.00487 | 0.00168 |
| 53 | 0.00280 | 0.00212 | 0.00009 | 0.00550 | 0.00273 |
| 54 | 0.00307 | 0.00230 | 0.00009 | 0.00550 | 0.00161 |
| 55 | 0.00349 | 0.00255 | 0.00014 | 0.00554 | 0.00161 |
| 56 | 0.00404 | 0.00286 | 0.00014 | 0.00648 | 0.00161 |
| 57 | 0.00446 | 0.00317 | 0.00014 | 0.00648 | 0.00161 |
| 58 | 0.00494 | 0.00353 | 0.00014 | 0.00648 | 0.00161 |
| 59 | 0.00550 | 0.00395 | 0.00014 | 0.00648 | 0.00161 |
| 60 | 0.00616 | 0.00444 | 0.00013 | 0.01024 | 0.00161 |
| 61 | 0.00692 | 0.00504 | 0.00008 | 0.01152 | 0.00161 |
| 62 | 0.00779 | 0.00569 | 0.00008 | 0.01296 | 0.00161 |
| 63 | 0.00879 | 0.00654 | 0.00008 | 0.01512 | 0.00161 |
| 64 | 0.00977 | 0.00737 | 0.00008 | 0.01680 | 0.00161 |

[^1]TABLE 3

ACTIVE SERVICE RETIREMENT TABLES

|  | RATES OF SERVICE RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Less Than 21 <br> Years of Service | 21- 24 <br> Years of Service | 25 <br> Years of Service | Greater Than 25 <br> Years of Service |  |
| 40 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.4557 | 0.1540 |  |
| 41 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.4557 | 0.1540 |  |
| 42 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.4557 | 0.1540 |  |
| 43 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.5483 | 0.1540 |  |
| 44 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.5483 | 0.1540 |  |
| 45 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.5483 | 0.1540 |  |
| 46 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.5483 | 0.1540 |  |
| 47 | 0.0400 | 0.0060 | 0.5483 | 0.1540 |  |
| 48 | 0.0430 | 0.0060 | 0.5762 | 0.1848 |  |
| 49 | 0.0430 | 0.0060 | 0.5762 | 0.1848 |  |
| 50 | 0.0430 | 0.0060 | 0.5762 | 0.1848 |  |
| 51 | 0.0430 | 0.0060 | 0.5762 | 0.1848 |  |
| 52 | 0.0430 | 0.0060 | 0.5762 | 0.1848 |  |
| 53 | 0.0500 | 0.0000 | 0.6111 | 0.2185 |  |
| 54 | 0.1000 | 0.0000 | 0.6111 | 0.2447 |  |
| 55 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 0.6494 | 0.2447 |  |
| 56 | 0.0480 | 0.0000 | 0.7173 | 0.2447 |  |
| 57 | 0.0320 | 0.0000 | 0.7749 | 0.2447 |  |
| 58 | 0.0320 | 0.0000 | 0.7749 | 0.2447 |  |
| 59 | 0.0320 | 0.0000 | 0.7749 | 0.2734 |  |
| 60 | 0.0320 | 0.0000 | 0.7749 | 0.2734 |  |
| 61 | 0.0425 | 0.0000 | 0.8524 | 0.3189 |  |
| 62 | 0.1275 | 0.0000 | 0.8524 | 0.3189 |  |
| 63 | 0.1275 | 0.0000 | 0.8524 | 0.389 |  |
| 64 | 0.3750 | 0.0000 | 0.8524 | 0.5103 |  |
| 65 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |  |

TABLE 4

## ACTIVE SALARY INCREASE TABLE*

| AGE | RATE | AGE | RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.15704 | 42 | 0.04808 |
| 20 | 0.15236 | 43 | 0.04419 |
| 21 | 0.14768 | 44 | 0.04408 |
| 22 | 0.14300 | 45 | 0.04397 |
| 23 | 0.11229 | 46 | 0.04397 |
| 24 | 0.10856 | 47 | 0.04397 |
| 25 | 0.10484 | 48 | 0.04251 |
| 26 | 0.09943 | 49 | 0.04251 |
| 27 | 0.09402 | 50 | 0.04251 |
| 28 | 0.08503 | 51 | 0.04251 |
| 29 | 0.07987 | 52 | 0.04251 |
| 30 | 0.07470 | 53 | 0.04101 |
| 31 | 0.07156 | 54 | 0.04101 |
| 32 | 0.06842 | 55 | 0.04101 |
| 33 | 0.06265 | 56 | 0.04101 |
| 34 | 0.05966 | 57 | 0.04101 |
| 35 | 0.05666 | 58 | 0.03850 |
| 36 | 0.05535 | 59 | 0.03850 |
| 37 | 0.05404 | 60 | 0.03850 |
| 38 | 0.05081 | 61 | 0.03850 |
| 39 | 0.04956 | 62 | 0.03850 |
| 40 | 0.04831 | 63 | 0.03598 |
| 41 | 0.04819 | 64 | 0.03598 |

[^2]TABLE 5
BASE MORTALITY TABLES FOR SERVICE RETIREMENTS*

| AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  |  | RATES OF MORTALITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE** | FEMALE** | AGE | MALE** | FEMALE** |
| 40 | 0.00107 | 0.00068 | 75 | 0.03730 | 0.02402 |
| 41 | 0.00113 | 0.00074 | 76 | 0.04158 | 0.02647 |
| 42 | 0.00120 | 0.00082 | 77 | 0.04630 | 0.02915 |
| 43 | 0.00129 | 0.00090 | 78 | 0.05150 | 0.03213 |
| 44 | 0.00138 | 0.00099 | 79 | 0.05729 | 0.03548 |
| 45 | 0.00149 | 0.00108 | 80 | 0.06372 | 0.03922 |
| 46 | 0.00159 | 0.00118 | 81 | 0.07139 | 0.04340 |
| 47 | 0.00171 | 0.00128 | 82 | 0.07984 | 0.04812 |
| 48 | 0.00183 | 0.00138 | 83 | 0.08900 | 0.05343 |
| 49 | 0.00196 | 0.00149 | 84 | 0.09908 | 0.05942 |
| 50 | 0.00210 | 0.00161 | 85 | 0.10998 | 0.06620 |
| 51 | 0.00240 | 0.00178 | 86 | 0.12194 | 0.07383 |
| 52 | 0.00261 | 0.00194 | 87 | 0.13523 | 0.08234 |
| 53 | 0.00286 | 0.00212 | 88 | 0.14984 | 0.09172 |
| 54 | 0.00313 | 0.00230 | 89 | 0.16559 | 0.10185 |
| 55 | 0.00355 | 0.00255 | 90 | 0.18267 | 0.11405 |
| 56 | 0.00412 | 0.00286 | 91 | 0.19897 | 0.12689 |
| 57 | 0.00461 | 0.00317 | 92 | 0.21596 | 0.14014 |
| 58 | 0.00519 | 0.00353 | 93 | 0.23296 | 0.15353 |
| 59 | 0.00585 | 0.00395 | 94 | 0.24994 | 0.16685 |
| 60 | 0.00664 | 0.00444 | 95 | 0.26696 | 0.17987 |
| 61 | 0.00756 | 0.00504 | 96 | 0.28334 | 0.19242 |
| 62 | 0.00863 | 0.00569 | 97 | 0.29925 | 0.20431 |
| 63 | 0.00987 | 0.00654 | 98 | 0.31498 | 0.21258 |
| 64 | 0.01112 | 0.00737 | 99 | 0.32988 | 0.22252 |
| 65 | 0.01256 | 0.00830 | 100 | 0.34421 | 0.22837 |
| 66 | 0.01422 | 0.00936 | 101 | 0.35863 | 0.23854 |
| 67 | 0.01587 | 0.01040 | 102 | 0.37169 | 0.24796 |
| 68 | 0.01762 | 0.01149 | 103 | 0.38304 | 0.26261 |
| 69 | 0.01952 | 0.01270 | 104 | 0.3920 | 0.27545 |
| 70 | 0.02187 | 0.01431 | 105 | 0.39789 | 0.29312 |
| 71 | 0.02420 | 0.01588 | 106 | 0.40000 | 0.30781 |
| 72 | 0.02687 | 0.01766 | 107 | 0.40000 | 0.32273 |
| 73 | 0.02993 | 0.01963 | 108 | 0.40000 | 0.33744 |
| 74 | 0.03339 | 0.02176 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |

[^3]TABLE 6

BASE MORTALITY TABLES FOR BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED ACTIVES AND PENSIONERS*

| AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  | AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE** | FEMALE** |  | MALE** | FEMALE** |
| 20 | 0.00033 | 0.00018 | 65 | 0.01089 | 0.00830 |
| 21 | 0.00034 | 0.00019 | 66 | 0.01216 | 0.00936 |
| 22 | 0.00035 | 0.00019 | 67 | 0.01338 | 0.01040 |
| 23 | 0.00036 | 0.00019 | 68 | 0.01468 | 0.01149 |
| 24 | 0.00036 | 0.00019 | 69 | 0.01627 | 0.01270 |
| 25 | 0.00036 | 0.00020 | 70 | 0.01825 | 0.01431 |
| 26 | 0.00036 | 0.00021 | 71 | 0.02019 | 0.01588 |
| 27 | 0.00037 | 0.00021 | 72 | 0.02242 | 0.01766 |
| 28 | 0.00038 | 0.00023 | 73 | 0.02497 | 0.01963 |
| 29 | 0.00040 | 0.00024 | 74 | 0.02785 | 0.02176 |
| 30 | 0.00043 | 0.00025 | 75 | 0.03109 | 0.02402 |
| 31 | 0.00048 | 0.00030 | 76 | 0.03465 | 0.02647 |
| 32 | 0.00054 | 0.00034 | 77 | 0.03854 | 0.02915 |
| 33 | 0.00061 | 0.00038 | 78 | 0.04283 | 0.03213 |
| 34 | 0.00068 | 0.00042 | 79 | 0.04759 | 0.03548 |
| 35 | 0.00074 | 0.00046 | 80 | 0.05289 | 0.03922 |
| 36 | 0.00081 | 0.00049 | 81 | 0.05919 | 0.04340 |
| 37 | 0.00087 | 0.00053 | 82 | 0.06613 | 0.04812 |
| 38 | 0.00093 | 0.00058 | 83 | 0.07371 | 0.05343 |
| 39 | 0.00098 | 0.00062 | 84 | 0.08198 | 0.05942 |
| 40 | 0.00104 | 0.00068 | 85 | 0.09100 | 0.06620 |
| 41 | 0.00110 | 0.00074 | 86 | 0.10089 | 0.07383 |
| 42 | 0.00117 | 0.00082 | 87 | 0.11326 | 0.08234 |
| 43 | 0.00125 | 0.00090 | 88 | 0.12703 | 0.09172 |
| 44 | 0.00134 | 0.00099 | 89 | 0.14225 | 0.10185 |
| 45 | 0.00145 | 0.00108 | 90 | 0.15884 | 0.11405 |
| 46 | 0.00155 | 0.00118 | 91 | 0.17530 | 0.12689 |
| 47 | 0.00167 | 0.00128 | 92 | 0.19259 | 0.14014 |
| 48 | 0.00179 | 0.00138 | 93 | 0.21049 | 0.15353 |
| 49 | 0.00192 | 0.00149 | 94 | 0.22881 | 0.16685 |
| 50 | 0.00206 | 0.00161 | 95 | 0.24736 | 0.17987 |
| 51 | 0.00236 | 0.00178 | 96 | 0.26600 | 0.19242 |
| 52 | 0.00257 | 0.00194 | 97 | 0.28463 | 0.20431 |
| 53 | 0.00280 | 0.00212 | 98 | 0.29929 | 0.21258 |
| 54 | 0.00307 | 0.00230 | 99 | 0.31756 | 0.22252 |
| 55 | 0.00349 | 0.00255 | 100 | 0.33136 | 0.22837 |
| 56 | 0.00404 | 0.00286 | 101 | 0.34942 | 0.23854 |
| 57 | 0.00446 | 0.00317 | 102 | 0.36214 | 0.24796 |
| 58 | 0.00494 | 0.00353 | 103 | 0.37809 | 0.26261 |
| 59 | 0.00550 | 0.00395 | 104 | 0.38694 | 0.27545 |
| 60 | 0.00616 | 0.00444 | 105 | 0.39789 | 0.29312 |
| 61 | 0.00692 | 0.00504 | 106 | 0.40000 | 0.30781 |
| 62 | 0.00779 | 0.00569 | 107 | 0.40000 | 0.32273 |
| 63 | 0.00879 | 0.00654 | 108 | 0.40000 | 0.33744 |
| 64 | 0.00977 | 0.00737 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |

[^4]TABLE 7
UNISEX MORTALITY TABLE FOR DISABILITIES

| RATES OF MORTALITY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | RATE | AGE | RATE |
| 25 | 0.00540 | 68 | 0.02140 |
| 26 | 0.00540 | 69 | 0.02228 |
| 27 | 0.00540 | 70 | 0.02316 |
| 28 | 0.00547 | 71 | 0.02566 |
| 29 | 0.00555 | 72 | 0.02810 |
| 30 | 0.00562 | 73 | 0.03206 |
| 31 | 0.00569 | 74 | 0.03688 |
| 32 | 0.00576 | 75 | 0.04230 |
| 33 | 0.00583 | 76 | 0.04865 |
| 34 | 0.00591 | 77 | 0.05636 |
| 35 | 0.00598 | 78 | 0.06534 |
| 36 | 0.00605 | 79 | 0.07172 |
| 37 | 0.00612 | 80 | 0.07869 |
| 38 | 0.00619 | 81 | 0.08590 |
| 39 | 0.00627 | 82 | 0.09332 |
| 40 | 0.00634 | 83 | 0.10107 |
| 41 | 0.00641 | 84 | 0.10900 |
| 42 | 0.00648 | 85 | 0.11709 |
| 43 | 0.00786 | 86 | 0.12538 |
| 44 | 0.00795 | 87 | 0.13384 |
| 45 | 0.00803 | 88 | 0.14264 |
| 46 | 0.00812 | 89 | 0.15184 |
| 47 | 0.00821 | 90 | 0.16151 |
| 48 | 0.01037 | 91 | 0.17144 |
| 49 | 0.01048 | 92 | 0.18151 |
| 50 | 0.01058 | 93 | 0.19169 |
| 51 | 0.01070 | 94 | 0.20388 |
| 52 | 0.01080 | 95 | 0.21705 |
| 53 | 0.01124 | 96 | 0.23058 |
| 54 | 0.01166 | 97 | 0.24523 |
| 55 | 0.01210 | 98 | 0.26115 |
| 56 | 0.01253 | 99 | 0.27821 |
| 57 | 0.01296 | 100 | 0.29884 |
| 58 | 0.01340 | 101 | 0.3121 |
| 59 | 0.01382 | 102 | 0.33950 |
| 60 | 0.01426 | 103 | 0.36588 |
| 61 | 0.01469 | 104 | 0.39735 |
| 62 | 0.01512 | 105 | 0.43666 |
| 63 | 0.01847 | 106 | 0.48541 |
| 64 | 0.01898 | 107 | 0.54546 |
| 65 | 0.01949 | 108 | 0.61870 |
| 66 | 0.02001 | 109 | 0.70700 |
| 67 | 0.02052 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * The assumed salary increases are reduced by $1.00 \%$ per annum through fiscal year 2021.

[^1]:    * Projected from 2000 to 2013 with projection Scale BB.

[^2]:    * The assumed salary increases are reduced by 1.00\% per annum through fiscal year 2021.

[^3]:    * Base tables are projected from 2013 using projection Scale BB.
    ** Projected from 2011 to 2012 with projection Scale AA.
    *** Projected from 2000 to 2013 with projection Scale BB.

[^4]:    * Base tables are projected from 2013 using projection Scale BB.
    ** Projected from 2000 to 2013 with projection Scale BB.

